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## CHAPTER V

## TREATY RELATIONS

## S 1. Miperil Coxtrof in Treity Matters

Tue Imperial ('rown has an absolute power of eoneluding treaties, and in so cloing it is alvised by the Imperial Ministry. There is no case yot known in which any treaty proper has: been made withont the consent of the Imperial Government, and the normal mode of making treaties is to eonelude them throngh plenipotentiaries granted full powers by the Crown. The term treaty which has been applied, for example, to the ('nstoms Agreement between the Sonth African Customs Union and the Dominion of New Zcaland is merely a terminological inexactitude. In a few cases Governors have been empowered to conelude agreen ats in the nature of treaties. For example, in 1901 an agreement was made between Lord Mihner on behalf of the Transvaal and the GovemorCieneral of Nozambique with regard to the recruitment of native labour for service in the Transvaal mines and railway rates, ${ }^{1}$ and this agreement was superseded by another agreement concluded by Lord Selborne as Governor of the Transvaal with the ex-Ciovernor-General of Nozambiqne on April 1, 1909.: The High Commissioner for Sonth Afriea has always been entrnsted by his commission ${ }^{3}$ with special powers of commmication with the Governments of foreign possessions

1 I'arl. I'ap., Cd. : - 1 144, 1). 184.
 arrangement with Portugal as to the deportation of Indians via Lourengo Marques.
${ }^{3}$ c. g. In latd sidborne’s Commission, 1905, clatuse iit: Lord Ciladstonés, 1910. There are also many arrangements between South Afriean Ciovernors and the Free state and the Transwat, e, go arailway convention (Cape and Free State), Oetober 16, 1896; telegraph (Cape, Natal, Transvaal, and Free State), August 11, 1884. The High Commissioner signed the treaty with the Transiaal as to Swaziland in 1894. See Cape Parl. Pap., 1898, G. 81. $1 \pm 93$
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 in Africa. Direct Conventions with regard to postal maters have several times been concluded with the tacit or express approval of His Majesty's Government, but postal matters have always been treated as being of a commercial charaeter. Or again, in $190+$ Anstralia informally arranged to facilitate the travel in the Commonwealth of Japanese merchants, students, and tomists, but there was no treaty, just as Qucensland had arranged informally with the Japanese ('onsul there for the limitation of emigration from Japan to Qucemsland in 1900.1There is no real doubt that treatics made by the Crown are binding on the Colonies whether or not the Colonial Governments consent to such treaties. It has indeed been suggested that ratification by the Colonial Government is: necessary, and a phrase used by Lord Kimberley in 1872 2 during the correspondence with the Anstralian Colonies as to the creation of a quasi Customs. Union in Australia has been quoted by Todds in favour of this view. Lord Kimberley there said that the power of making treaties reved wrat the Imperial Govermment, subject to legislation being passed by the Imperial and Colonial Parliaments where necessary to cnable the treaty to be put in force. But this view is certainly wrong, unless it merely means that a C'olony may or may not exercise its right of adherenee to a treaty by which it is not bound but with regard to which it is only given an option of adherence, and indeed it would obviously be impossible for international relations to be successfully zoncluded inless there were one power which could repre. ent effectively in external in .tters the Empire as a whole. On the other hand, it is an essential part of the Constitution of the Empire that so far as is practical no treaty obligations slall be imposed withont their concurrenee on the self-governing Dominions.

[^0]Quite apart from this ohligation, which exists whether legislation is passed or not, is the question whether the mere making of a treaty can alter the rights and obligations of British subjeets. It appears clear in theory that the (rown can cede territory, and thus change the allegiance and the legal rights of its subjects; ${ }^{1}$ but if it does not take this step it appears equally elear that the mere making of a treaty is: 'adequate to create any new legal rights or duties muder' municipal law. There is no preeisely definite case appearing on the matter, but for all practieal purposes the action of the Government in comexion with the case of Baird $v$. Walker ${ }^{2}$ may be regarded as deciding the matter. In that case Sir Baldwin Walker, under the authority of a modus vicendi with the French Republie, coneluded by Her Majesty's Govermment, took steps which involved interference with the property of Mr. Baird on the Treaty Shore of Newfomedland. Mr. Baird brought an ation in the Newfoundland ('ourt against Sir Baldwin W:יlker, whose defence was that his act was an ate of state irto whieh the (olonial Court had no power of inquiry. 'The Colonial Court ${ }^{3}$ deelined to atecept this defence as adequate, and the matter then went on appeal to the Privy Council. The Judicial Committee deeided that the decision of the Colonial Court was correct. They expressly disclaimed any intention of passing judgement as to whether the treaty was or was not sufficient justification for the action of Sir Baldwin Walker. What they did deeide was, that if the treaty was set up as the justification for his action, it was formally to be pleaded in defence, and that it was no answer to Mr. Baird's claim to say that the act complained of, which was prima facie a breach of Mr. Baird's legal rights, was an act of state. It

[^1]
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may be noted that commed did not attempt to argue that any treaty cond alter the law, only that treaties of peace, or treaties akin to such treaties, conld do so.
The deriviom of the Privy Council left it opern for Her Majesty's Gevernment to defend the action of Sir Baldwin Walker on the ground of the treaty. It is significant that they did not do so, but that they took steps to pay compensation to all those whose lobster factories had been interfered with hy Sir Baldwin Walker.

The sime question of the effert of a treaty in overriding the law of the country has been disenssed in other cases, both by the Prisy Conncil and by Colonial Courts; the general tendency is to consider that the making of a treaty is not sufficient to alter the ordinary rights of british subjects. For example, in the case of T'serru v. Registrar of Deeds, ${ }^{1}$ derided by the Transwal Supreme Court in 1905, it was held that, whatever was the force of the Conventions between the Tramsaal Republic and Her Majesty's Govermment in 1 ssi and 1884, they were not snfficient to make it part of the law of the Transvaal that land held hy natives should not be registered in ilheir names, but in the name of a Government officer. In 1902 Mr. Deakin intimated clearly that in his opinion an Imperial Treaty could not override the law of Australia, and thongh there are expressions of opinion to the contrary;' it seems ecrtain that this view is correet.
The correct procedure, therefore, is for every Colony which
${ }^{1}$ [1905] T. S. 30; cf. [1904], T. s. 24]; V'incent v. Ah Yemg, 8 W. A. I. R. 14.5 ; Broun v. Lizars, $2(1.1$. 1R. 837, which decides that an extradition treaty is not snfficient authority for extradition without legislation. Sice also 11 i I'aruta v. Bishop of $\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ ellingtom, 3 N. Z. J. R. (N. S.) S. ('. 7:2, where it was held that a Crown grant was conchsive that the Crown had legally accuired land from the natives, despite the terms of the Treaty of Waitangi : Nirralu Tainaki v. Baker, 12 N. \%. L. R. 483, overruled in [1901]
 [1899] А. (. 57: ; 9 (С T. R. 70).

* The British Culumbia courts held the reverse, and this is also the view of the Provineial Covernment ; see Tai sing v. Matuire, 1 13. C. (Irving), at p. 109, and Lefroy, Legislatice lower in C'anada, ple. 250.7. It is hardiy necessary to diseuss these cases : there is no treaty with China imposing obligations as to immigration, as the Court seems to inave held.
anceptes a treaty to pass any legislation neressary to give it full force, and this has often beer done, e.g. by the North American Colonies to carry out the provisions of the Eixtratdition Treaty of 1842 , of the Reciprocity Treaty of 18 i 4 , and
 1908 and 1911 to contirm the Japanese and Frencla treaties. The Imperial Govemment has almo often legislated to supplement Colomia' legiolation, as in the rase of the AngloAmerican Traties of $185 t$ and 1871 , and the Anglo-Frenely Treaty of 1904. In the ease of Newfoundland an Inperial Aet to override Colonial legislation was; proposed in 1891, and only withdrawn on an undertaking being given by the Colonial Govermment that Colonial legislation would take plaee, and an Order in Comeil of September 9, 190;," was aetually passed, muler the lmperial Aet of 1819 , to suspend the operation of certain (obonial legishation which was inconsistent with a morlus rivendi of september 6, 1907, with the United States. This Order in Council was revoked in 1908 on the acceptance by tle Colony of a morlus virendi pending the submission of the guestions at issue with the United States to arbitration.

It is, of conrse, in caclo rase a phestion of interpretation how far treaties extend to the Dominions. Thas Hor Majesty's Govirmment in $185 \mathrm{~B}^{5}$ held that British Colmmbia was not entitled to the lenefits of the Treaty of Washington of 1871 , as it had become part of the Dominion of Canada sulsequent to that date. On the other hand, general treaties would elearly, on the acecpted principle of international iaw, apply to territories acquired subserepuent to the dite of the treaty, as, for example, the Transvalal and the Oratge River Colony. Certain difficulty might arise in such a case, for normally these Colonies, as self-governing Colonies, would have been given the option of adhering to ireaties of a commereial character, whereas as it was they fell under the

[^2]
## 

operation of treaties which were conchaded at a time when responsible government was not known. ${ }^{1}$

The quention of the relation of the Imperial and ('olonial Goverments with regard to the interpretation and the cuforcement of treaties was raised in 188 s int combexion with the disenswion of the rights of the Amerien fishermen in ('al dian waters.

In a note of May IO, I R8Gi, addressed to Nir Liomed Wiost, Mr. Bayard, in disemssing the ghestion, wrote, "The 'Treaty of 1818 is between two nations, the United States of America and Cireat Britain, who, as the contracting parties, can alone apply anthoritative interpretation thereto or enforce its provisions by appropriate legislation.' He went on to urge that the seizure of eertain vessels by the ('anadian anthorities 'would appear to have been made imder a supposed delegation of jurisdietion hy the Imperial Govemment of Great Britain, and to be intended to inchade anthority to interperet and enforee the prowisions of 1818 , to which, as I have remarked, the United States and Cireat Britain are the contracting parties, who can alone deal responsibly with questions arising theremeder'. In a dispateh of Jily -3 , $1886,{ }^{3}$ to $\mathrm{Si}^{2}$ Lione! West, which was communicated on Angust $\approx$ to Mr. Bayard, Lord Roschery commmieated to the Uniterl States Government a report of the Privy ('onmeil of ('amada on the question. In that report it was pointed ont that the anthority of the Legislatures of the Ibvine ers, and, after federation, of the larliament of C'anada, to make enactments to enforce the provivions of the Convention, rested on well-known constitutional prine iples. 'The Legislatures existed, as did the Parliament of ('anada, by the authority of the Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and lreland. and the Colonial statutes had reecived the sametion of the British sovereign, who, and not the mation, was actually the party with whom the United States made the I'onvention. The offiecrs who were engeged in enforeing the Acts of C'anada or the laws of the Empire were Her Majesty's

[^3]"tional Latu, i. 6it. Ibid., b. 8is.
ofticers, whether their anthority emanated directly from the Queen or from her represontative, the Giovemor-(inneral. The jurisdietion thus exereised conld mot therefore bo properly deseribed, in the langiage need by Mr. Baybud, us a supposed, and therefore questionable, delegation of jurisdiction by the Imperial Covermment , dreat Britain. Her Majosty governed inl mada as wroll as in (ireat Britain; the olficer. of f'mada were her oftiocers; the atatutes of ('anada were her statutes based on the advier of her Darlatment sitting in Camada. It was, moreover, an error to ronceive that, because the United States and Great Britain were in the first instance the contracting parties to the 'Treaty of 1818 , no question arising under that treaty could be 'responsibly dealt with' either by the Parliament or by the executive athorities of the Dominion. The raising of the objection was the more remarkable as the (iovernanent of the United States had long leen aware of the necessity of reference to the Colomial Legislatures in matters affecting their interests. The Preaties of 1854 and 1871 expresely provided that, so far as they concerned the fisheries or trade relations with the provinees, they shonld be subject to ratification by their several Jegislatures, and seizures of American vessels and acts followed by condemnation for breach of the Provincial Customs Laws had been made for forty years without protest or objecetion on the part of the United states Govermment.

In a mate from Mr. Pholpsis to Lord Idde ileigh, of Septemeher 11, $1886,{ }^{1}$ no exception was taken to this view.

The question rose again in 1891-2 in connexion with the proposed arbitration as to certain questions of rights on the French shore. The Freneh Government endearoured to insist that all legishation and excentive action for enforemg the award should be taken by the Inperial Parlament. This elaim Lord Salishury absolutely declined to admit. Franee was entitled, he held, to exact the pumetual performance of the treaty ohligation of Great Britain. Lut had 10 anthority to insist on any spocial method, ${ }^{-}$
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This view has now received the theit apprownh of the llagne 'I'ribuma: in combexion with the North Amerionn Fislareries Arbitution, in their awnel of September loblo, where they revognize the right of ('mada mad Newtomothond to menke
 reatrict that power to the Improial allthorities onls.

Ols the other hand, it remains of eonerse for the herperial diopermment to deride whit interpretation will be gitt ont It disputed treaty. 'Thiss. in 19 ons, that fiowermment were memble to acept the view of the (iovermment of Newfermallatel that the meaning of the 'Ireaty of INIS with the United states regarding the tisheries was tow eloar to admit of displete, med therefore refiasel to conforce its terms lsolintely, withont reference to the views of the Cnital si-utes Gexermment.'
S.E. The Arbingements for separite Adherexpe to and withibawad from (iexfril 'Tbe.ties hy the Dominons, wid for the considthtion of the Domingos is begard to atell Theithes.
The original practiee was to eonchate treaties binding oll all the dominions of the ('rewn, and ase late as 1 stie and Asta, the treaties with Belgimm and with the North Cirman
 vided for the grant of metional freatment in the colonices to
 In mgatian Treaty of Nivegation still bonnd all the Colonios,
 was reserved for british ships the reservation was permitted to continue. IThe commercial Treaty of 18 an with AnstroHongary applies in express terms to all the coionies, and existing traties with Norway and Sweden of $18: 2$, with




the Sorevetary of State for Foreign Alfairs ngreed that come mererial treaties shonld nut be npplie:able to the responsiblepovermmen Colonies antomatically, but that these Colonies Ahonld bre siven an option of adherence, nsmally within a meriod of two gears. 'The first treaty to contain a coponial Clanse was apparenty that with Nonte ongero of Jamary 21 , Lses. The Treaty of Isci3 with Italy permitted the remponsiblegwermment colonies to adthere wit in one sear, the Treaty of $188 s$ with Mexieo permitted the same Cobonics, inelhding Natal in view of the probable carly grant of rexpenible govermment, and tixed the time for adherence it twa srars, and the same principle was laid down in the treation of 1885 with Homduras and of $18: 1$ with Mhakat.:
The right of separate withedrawal was not then asked for, and it appeare first in a Comeention of Joly 15, Is 9 as, with Trugnay, and in a Proclamation of Febriary :3, 19wn, with Honduras, which cmbled Her Dajesty's Giovermment to terminate the Treaties of 1885 and 1887 with these states (on giving six and twelse monthes notere respectively on behe if "II aly British possession which might have adhered to the miginal treaties.

It the colomial conference of hane a resolution was pasacti in facour of restriating coast wise tracle to thone combtries: which promitted Finglish ships to engage in coast wise trade, and in remsequence of this conference dechatams were signe: at A theas on Nowember 10, 1904, and May 4 . 1900, chabling the Treaty of 1886 with (irece to be terminated hy a sars notice in repect of any of the adhering colonies. In 1907 the resolutions of 1902 were reaffirmed, the limperial fiovemment dissonting on so far an the proposal was intended (1) regard as consting trade the trade between the Mother Combtry and the Dominioms. Before the Conference in 1 :now Anstalial gave a preference to Britinh grods imported in
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British ships manned by white bahour. Thle Bill was reserved becanse of representations of the Imperial (iovernment as to its conflieting with treaties (experially the Russian of 1 sisa and the Austro-Ifungarian of 1868 ), and it did not come into operation. As a result of the incident and of the Conference, power was obtained in 1907-s, hy negotiation with Paraguay, Eyypt, and Liberia, to withdraw in respect of any self-gove"ning Dominion on a year"s notice.

It must not, however, be thought that ly obtaining power to withdraw or to cexmpt self-governing Jominions from the ohligrations of treaties, those Dominions are by that fact shut out from the benefits of the treaties in question. The righte given by the treaties may be divided into two elasser. In the first place there are rights which may be roughly described as political, such as privileges and exemptions in farour of consular agencies; the right to carty on internal commerer : exemption from compulsory military service ; from judicial and administrative and municipal fometions (other than those imposed by the laws relating to juries) ; exemption from contributions imposed as an equivalent for persomal service; exemption from military exactions or requests, exeept compulsory billeting and other military exactions to which subjeets of the comentry may be liable as owners or "exupiers of real property; the right to accuire properts moralle or immovable; the right to disposie of property hy inheritance and similar conditions. On the other hand. there are matters which are practically purely commercial, such as seales of import dutics, and it is clear that ad distinetion must be drawn between the two classes. An Autralian, for example, as a British subjert. muse be held to be entithel in Japan to all the privileges given to Britiol subjects by the Treaty of 19nl, althongh the Commonwealth is mot bound by that treaty: On the other hand, it is equally chrar that goods from Anstralia are not entitled to the - perciall tariff granted be the Treaty of 1911 to goods from the United Kingdom, and as a matter of fact they are mot aceorded surh treatment, and one of the great ohatacles to the developuent of commercial intercours betwen the Common-
wealth and Japan is the differential tariff imposed by the Japanese against places which have no treaty rights.

It may he argned, of course, that the position is somewhat one-sided, inasmuch as Japanese in the Commonwealth, for example, have no rights analogons to those of British subjects in Japan, but consideration shows that any attempt to a void this result would lead to inextricable diffieulties. In view of the eonstant intercourse between Great Britain and Australia it would be very difficult to define any basis on which an Australian subject could be distingnished from an ordinary British subjeet, and the Colony is penalized sufficiently for its lack of adherence hy the tariff disabilities under which it labours in consequence.

In political matters proper there lias been no attempt to obtain separate powers of adherence or withdrawal for the Dominions, and it is clear that such an attempt womld be meaningless. It is impossible, as long as the Empire retains any unity, for one part to be treated in political questions: differently from another part, and the separate adherenee to and withdrawal from treaties is only possible as in commercial treaties, where a differentiation of treatment could be based upon a differentiation of locality: This remains trie cren in the most recent treaties, and in this case almo the practice of consulting the colonies has not pet been Entrohecel save within somewhat narow limits. Nor. as a matter of fact. have the (olonies put forward any formal daim to be given an option as to adherence in the cave of gencral political treaties. Recent examples of political treaties concheded without consultation with the Colonies are the Harue ('omventions of 1899 and 1907 , the former of which, in the Convention relating to the laws of war, impered crrtain obligations on the countries adhering: for example, as to free postage and exemption from customs dues for prisoners of war, to which effert could not be given without folonial legislation, and the latter of which also required certain amendments in legal matters. Similarly in the case of the political combentions with Japan; the treaty with Frane of 1904 for the settlement of outstamding questions;

## 

the later ('onvention of 1905 relating to the N゙(w Hebrides ; the treaties with the Northern Powers for the maintenance of the stuthes quo in the North Sea; the treaties witl the Mediterranean. 'owers for the maintenance of the sfatus $q^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in the Meditermanan: the general Aet of Alyeciras regatrding Moroceo of lank. dor.. no attempt hasis been made, nor couk any attempt be made. to permit acparate adhesion onf the part of the Dominions. So even the new Extradition Treaty with Belgium of 1911 applies generally to the whole of the Empire. Thus alse a Bill was introduced in the Luperial Parliament in 1900 to enable His Majesty's Government to eary out the Hagne (onvention, and another Bill 10 amend the law of Naval Prize, in order to render it posible for His Majesty (bovermment to accept the mondes in the Nival Prize (onventioal agreed upon at London in I!ns as a hasis for the jurisdiction of the International Prize Court contemplated but the Hague Convention of 1907.

On the other hand, it is egually a tixed rule that in all possible cases the Dominion (iovermments should be consulted with regided even to political treatios which directly affect their interests. So far back as $1 \times \operatorname{si}$. When the Treaty of Wiashingtom was negotiated, Sir John Mardonald was one of the British representativen and ateded on behalf of Canada. Similary it was lat down in a dixpateh from Mr. Labouchere of Mareh 24 ; $185 \%$, that no addition would be made to the traty burdens of Newfombland without consulting the Newfondland (fovermment. Thus on two oceasions, in 1 siat and less, treaty arrangements with France have berol dropped becanse of the ohjoction of that forermment, and the Treaty of lewt with France. so far as it concerned Newfomelland, was bised on the fullest eonsultation between the Colony and he Imperial Covernment, and the lmperial


Govermment in that treaty made wey mbetantial saterifices itself in money and territory in oder that the burdens of the French rights in Newfomitland should be lessened. In 1906 and 1007. as the published correspondence shows, every effort was made by the lmperial Government to secure the eo-operation of the Newfomendand Govermment in negot iattions with the United States for the settlement of a morlus riremdi regnlating the fisheries in Newfoundland waters, and it was after the fullest consultation and agreement with the Gewermments of ('anada and Newfoundland that it was: aranged in 1909 to submit the questions at issue with regaral to the Americen rights of fishery to the Hagne 'Tribumal. On the same principle the Commonwealth of Austratia and the Dominion f New Zealand were consulted with regard to the proposed agreement with France as to the New 1 'rides, though imfort mately in the case of these Dominions: - co-operation was not secmed. A representative of New Zealland, however, took some part in a later negotiation of the details of the arrangement, and in carreing out the arangement steps have been taken to keep the Gevermments of the Commonwealth and New Zealand fully informed.

But by far the most striking example of arrangements for such consultation are the cases of the General Arbitration Traties with the United states of Ameriea, that ratified on June 4,1908 , and that of August 1911 , and the Pecuniary ('laims 'Treaty of 1911 , in which it is expressly provided that His Majesty ${ }^{\text {sis }}$ Govermment reserve the right, in the eave of any questions affeeting the interests of a self-governing Dominion, to obtain the conemrence of that Dominion in the epecial agreement whieh is required ander the treaties for the reference to arbitation. The eiremmstanees of that case are, however. peculiar. Under the Constitntion the senate ocempies an anomalous position, inasmuch as its comsent is necesary for the ratification of any treaty, and it does not feel itself in any way bound to accept a treaty beramse it has been made by the Executive Govermment. It is therefore reasomable to expeet that the United States
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Govermuent Nowld aceept a simiker stipulation with regard to the case of a Dominion, whereas it would hardly be reasonable to ask for a similar concession from other powers.
At the same time it must be recognized that there is a definite limit to such concessions. In the long run the Imperial Ciovermment must decide, inasmuch as it is upon the Empire that the results of any deeision will fall, and therefore the central power must accept the responsibility and have the filal authority, and this has been recently baid down in the conrespondence of $1907^{1}$ with the fiovernment of Newfoundland regarding the American fishery: rights.
It may be added that the practice has of recent years been introduced of comsulting the Dominions with regard to the case of gencral commercial treaties, in order to asecrtain if there are any representations which it is desiable to make in the special interests of those Dominions. Thes in the Angh-fireck agreement a ripecial insertion was made of contion in view of the interests of the Cowermment of Newfommand, and steps have been taken to serme the presenee on the Advisory Committee of the Board of Trade of represeltatives of the self-gover ning $\mathbf{i}$ ominions.

## S3. ('ommercial Negothations Witil requrd to tile Dominions

His. Majesty's Govermment has from an carly date been anxious to assist the self-governing colonies to secure by treaty commercial arrangements, which may appear to them to be advantageons in their interests, and in respect of such negotiations: have always desired to have the assistanee of Colomial ministers familiar with the matters dealt with.

Reference may be made to the negotiation by Lord Elgin, then Governor-fieneral, of the Reciprocity Treaty of 1854 with the Linited States in the interests of C'anada, in which the Camadian Govermment were consulted in the fullest manner powible.

> In 186.5 Her Majesty's (iovennment expressed their readi' Ć. Parl. Pap, Cd, $376 \overline{6}, p, 175$.
ness to areept Canadian assistance in negotiating a 'Ireaty of Reciprocity with the United States. 1

In 1871 Sir John Maedonald wass one of the plemipotentiaries engaged in the negotiations for the Treaty of Wishington, (: Brown negotiated with the States in 18it, while Sir Alexander (Galt and Sir ('harles 'Tupper, from 1875-st, on several oceasions conducted negotiations for commerebial treaties with spain and France. It was at first proposed in suth eases that the colonial representation shoull be treated as being congaged in an informal negot iation, and that he shonld not actually sign the convention when condeded, but this prineiple was abandoned almost immediately, and as carly as 1884 it was contemplated that had the negotiations with spain then on foot resulted in an agreement, Sir Chates Tupper, High Commissioner for ('mada, should have signed the agreement together wit! His Majesty \& representative at Madrid.
 herlain the Treaty of Washington, which was, however, not approwed by the United States Nemate, and therefone was newor ratitiod.

In $1 \mathrm{~s}!2$ C Comadian ministers with Sir d. Panncofote conducted negrotiations with the United States Secretary of State, but no settlement resulted. ${ }^{2}$

In 1893 Sir ('lunles Tupper negotiated a treaty with France which was finally aceepted by both the French and British Governments. Sir Charles signed the treaty along with His Majesty $\because$ represtative. ${ }^{3}$

In 1890 and $190:$ Sir Robert Bond negotiated, through the Ambassatlor at Washington, with the United States: Secretary of State. The former negotiation resulted in a Combention which wats not proceeded with, owing to the "ppresition of the (anadian (iovermment on the gromme that it wass hostile to the interests of camada, but the negotiation of 1902 terminated in the signature of a Convention, which, however. never came into operation owing to the ebjections of the United States Ciovermment.
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In 1907 Mr. Fielding and Mr. Brochemp, on helailf of the Canadian forernment, negotiated a separate treaty with France which received the approval of His Majesty $y^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ (Government, and which, as supplemented bey a Convention of l90日, has heen ratified by both fovernments.

The principle regnlating the eonduct of such negotiations has alwares been as in the rases eited above-that His Majesty's Minister in the forcign ('ourt concerned shonld be a plenipotentiary for the purpose of signing the treaty, and that the whole negotiation shonld be carried on mader the supervision and with the approval of His Majestys: (socernment. The panciples were lad down clearly in ssis. ${ }^{1}$ and they were more fully expresised in an dispatell from Lord lipon ${ }^{-2}$ convering the decision of the Imperial Government with regard to the resohntions arrived at by the representatives of the self-governing Colonies at the Ottawa Conference of $1 \times 94$, which laid down the following rules:-

- Any agreement made mast be an agreement between Her Majesty and the sovereign of a foreign state, and it was to Her Majestys Government that the foreign state womld apply in case of any questions arising muder the agreement. Fio give the colonies power of negotiating treaties for themselves withont referenee to Her Majesty's Government wonld be to give th $n$ an international statns as separate and sovereign states, and wonld be equiralent to breaking ip the Empire into a momber of independent states, a resilt injurions cqually to the Colonies and to the Mother Comentrs and one that would be desired by neither party. The negotiations, therefore, between Her Majesty and the foreign sovereign mast be eondered hy Her Majesty's representative at the foreign Conrt, who wonld keep Her Majestys Government inlormed of the progress of the disenssion, and seek instrmetions from them as necessity arose. In order to give dae help in the negotiations, fer Majesty's representative should, as a rale, be assisted by a delegate, appointed by the colonial Ciovern-

[^8] Ilouse of C'ommons Deimatso, 18x . p, 390:1892, p. 1952; Ewall, The King.

ment, either an a plempotentiary or in a subordinate capacity, as the cireumstances might require. If, as a result of the negotiations. any arrangements were arrived at, they would reduiere apmoval by Her Majesty's (fovermment and by the Colonial fovemment and abon by the Colonial Lagislature if the involved legislative are tion before the ratifieation conld take place. This procedme had been in the ?mst adopeded, and Her Majesty: (Govemment had no doubs as to itw propriets, ass seedring at once the strict observance of existing international obligations and the preservation of the mity of the Empire. The exact mode in which the negotiations have been conducted was varied lightly in 190 in the rawe of the negotiation of the French Treaty regarding ('anadian trade in that pear. ${ }^{1}$ In the case of the previous 'Treaty of 1803, not only was the treaty signed jointly by the Ambassitdor and Sir ('harles 'Tupper. but in the negotiation Sir 'harles Tupper was assisted by Sir Joseph Crowe, who was attached to the Paris Embass: On the other hand, in 1909, Mr. Ficlding and Mr. Brodeur earried on negotiations directly with the responsible French officials, and it was only after an agreement had been practically arrived at that full powers were iswed to the Canadian Dinisters together with the Ambassador for the signing of the treaty. There wis, howerer. it should be moted, a gronnd of convenience for the aresocialtion of Sir Joseph ('rowe with Sir ' 'harles Tupper in the carlier negotiation. Sir Charles 'Tnpper has tohl mee that he desired the aid of an officer who could converse fluently in Fronch. and as early as 1884 the Jmperial Government were prepared to permit Sir ('har's's Tupper to negotiate directly with the Spanish representatives if he had so wished. In hoth eases, before the plenipotentiaries were authorized to sign the treaty the conditions latid down were earefully examined by the Imperial Govermment, and the treaty was of course subject to ratification by the Imperial Government.
' The :-hims of a reat change marle by the lile eral paty in the Camatian Houre of Commons on January $\mathbf{l 8}$. 1908 , repoated in the Imprial Commons
 7.). were completely refuted at the time he. Mt. Fonter, Mr: Borden, and
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Since the eonclusion of the French Treaty of 1907 and the -imilar supplementary urrangement of 1909, which was also negotiated by the Camadian ministers, C'anada has concluded, in 1910, arrangements with (iermany and with Italy regneding commercial matters. These arrangements were negotiated in C'mada with the (ierman Consul-f feneral at Montreal, and with the Royal (bonsul of Italy. In both cases the negratiation resulted not in a formal treaty hut merely in a provisional agreement made in consideration of the intention to conchade a formal treaty thomel the ordinary chamel. The Canadian (iovermment received the approval of His Majestys (iovernment for the ronchision of these ('onventions, and the Comadian (ioverument have expressly recognized that if any more formal arrangements are desired they should take the form of a treaty and be negotiated by plenipotentiaries chuly appointed. Ha both cases the eoncessions agreed to by the Gamadian Govermment were earried into effeet hy Orders in Comeil under the authority of the C'ustom.s Traiff, bun7.

In the case of the United States, in order to seceme the grant of the minimum Payne tariff, the Canadian (iovernment carried on in 1910, with the knowledge and approval of His Majestys (iovernment, negotiations with the United States liovermment. No treaty resulted from these negotiations, hut the United States fovermment aceorded the minimum tariff on the understanding that Canada would give coneessions. on eertain articles, and the ('imadian Government gave the concersions. not by special grant to the United Stater, hut by lowering by A.t of Parliament. (c: 16) the tariff for the whole world. ${ }^{1}$ In 1911 a much more comprelensive arrangement was made at Washington, amoming to a limited reciprocity, thus fulfilling C'anadian views of old standing. The arrangement was to be carried ont by reciprocal legislation, and not treated as a treaty proper. The Ambassador was kept informed of it progress, and ererything done be the comadian ministers to a woid scrions injury to british trade. ${ }^{2}$





In the case of Belgimm and Holland no agreement has been made by 'anada, bit on the representations of the two (Guermments concessions have heen made to them by Order in Council in 1910. in view of the fact that in both comentrics (amadian prochucts receive favourable treatment.
It will be seen that in mo casi has (amada conduded a treaty with a foregn power dirent; that in two cases provisonal arrangements have been made of an informal chamater expresty in contemplation of formal armagements. and that even in these cases the approval of His Majestrys Govermment has been obtained, while in one case an agreement for reciprocal legislation was arranged.
Similarly in 1909 Lord selborne, as Governor of the Tra" vaal, with the approval of His Majesty's (iovermment, made an arrangement with the ex-(iowernor-deneral of llozamhigue with regard to the reerniting of labour for the Tramsval mines, railway rates, \&e.
The principles which must regulate the substance of such conventions are laid down in the dispateh from Jord Ripom of Jme $38.1895,{ }^{1}$ to which referenee has been made above ; no monlification has been made in the pesition since. These prineiples reiterated in $1907{ }^{2}$ are :-
(1) That no foreign power can be offered tariff concersions Which are not at the same time extended to all other powers entitled in the Dominion to most-favoured-nation treatment. This is provided for by law in the Constitution Act of New Zenland, ${ }^{3}$ and was formerly so provided in the Constitution Acts of the Australian Colonies; ${ }^{1}$ and even were this not the (ane it is obvions that His Majesty could not properly cinter into an engagement with a forcign power inconsistent with his obligations to other powers, and before any convention or treaty can be ratified it is necessary that His Majestys Govermment should be satisfied that any legislation for giving effect to the treaty engagements should make full provision for cnabling His. Majesty to fultil his obligations both to the power immediately concerned and to any other

[^9]
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pewers whose treaty rights might be affected. This prime iple was fully nerepted bey 'anada in resperet of the Freneh comventions of 19017 and 1909 , and similaty in rexpert of the concessions made to (iermanys, the United states, and lalay in 1910, and propowed to the l'nited states in 1911.
(:) Finther, His Majexty゚a dowermment regard it as cosential that any tarift comecosion condeded by a Deminion to a foreign perwer shomid be extended to the United Kingdonn. and to the rest of His Majesty: Dominions. It is clear that uo Dominion womld wioh to afford to forecign nations: better treatment than it accors to the west of the Empire of which it forms a part. For ceximphe, when iaformal disenssions with a view to commercial arrangements be ween the Dominion of remada and the Cutad states were conducted in lase. the Dominion Gowermuent derlined to agree that Gabada shombd dierriminate against the procherts and mandfactures of the Cnited Kingdom, and on this gromed the negotiations were broken off. ${ }^{1}$ Similary, when Newfondfand in 1 s 90 had made preliminary armangements for a convention with the United states which would have aecorded preferential treatment to that power," Her Majestys Government ankmoledged the fore of the protent made bye ('anada, and when the Newfonndand Govermment proposed to pass tegislation to grant the concession atipulated for hy the United states. the secretary of state in a dispatch of March 26,1892 , informed the Dominion (invermment that they might rest assured that Her Majesty will not le advised to awsent to any Nowfoundland legistation discriminating directly against the producte of the Dominion :
 anking from forcign powers coucerions hostile to the interests of other parts of the Empire. If. therefore, a preference was rought by or offered to a Dominiom in respect of any articte in which it competed seriously with the other colonies or the Mother Conntry, His Majesty's Gowemment would feel it

[^10]

[^11] of the eoneresion to the rest of the Fimpire, and in any case to aseertation fire as posible whether the other (obonies aflerterl womlel wish to be mate a party to the arangement. In the event of this proving imposithle, and of the result to the tratle of the exchated part - of the Eimpite In ines - tomely prejudiefial, it would be neeremary formater whether it is desirable in the eommon interests to proereed with the megotiations. His Majentrs (envermment recogni\%e that they womld not be justiticel in olijeeting lo a propmat morely on the gromed that it is inomsistent with the commereial and fitancial poliey of the Enited Kingrtom, but the ghartianship of the common interests of the Fimpitre rests with them, and they commot in any way be parties tob ally aramgements. detrimental to these moterests as a whole. Inthe performane of this daty it may be necersary to reguire apparent satrofices on the part of a Colong, but lhey are eontident that their
 fiosermments that they would not interpose any difle olt ios withont goon reason in the way of ally armagements which a Coblome maty regard as likely to be bencticial to it.

All these matters have bere :arefully observed by ('imata in commerelial negotiations atferting the trade of that Dominion. All concersions mate to foreign prowers hate been given to all the Britiah limpire, and it was expmesoly stated by the ('anatian Gowermment in the Canadian Hense of ('ommons on damary $1+1918$, that in drawing uf, the terms of the 'reaty of $190 \pi$ they had aimed at securing that the preference given to Framee should as little as possible deat withatieles in which there was a considerable trate between fireat britain and ('anada, and that their am was as fite ats posible to preserve the preference given to Great Britain while eneonmaing the trade with France. It might be added that the C'anadian (iovermment has mainteined a similat principle, namely, that the United Kingdom shonld receive the benetits of any inter-colonial preference. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^12]
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In 1911 the ( $a m$ mhm (iovermment were realy to aceopt a track preference on varionsurticles from the United States, hint on the whole mandy in articles in regard to which there was no serions competition with british interests ; even that netion excited much comment in C'inadn and in Eingland,' and told agininat the (fovernment in the clection of 1911 .
S. Threatiec as afreotine fotheration

In the case of the two ferlerations of C'anada and the fommonwealth, treaty matters are complicated hy the fact that the powers of legislation and govermment are shated somewhat differently between the eent ral and the l'rovine ial or State Govermments.

In the case of C'macla. s. IB3: of the Brilish Nurth Amerien Art prowides that the Larliament and (iovernment of Canada whall have all powers necessary and proper for performing the ohligations of ('anada, or of any provine thereof as part of the Britinh limpire, towards foreif o rountries arising under treatios between the Empire and surh foreign comntries. 'The rlather appears to be interpreted to meath, and monst apporently have moant, at least as regards treaties comeloded before 1867, that the existence of a treaty, whatever the subject-matter, conferred full powers upon the Dominion Parliament. Under eonstitutional practice, however, the Canadian Government does not allere to new treaties where the matter concermed is one which is within the exclnsive legislative competence of the provincial legislatures muless the Provincial (iovermments eonsent to such adherence. Thus the Dominion Government has mot adhered to the ('onvention between the United Kingdom and the United States of Ameriea, relative to the disposal of real and personal property, though the topic might have been held to fall under the power to legislate as $\mathbf{t}$ o aliens under s. 91 of the Brilish North Americe Act, 1867 , on to the Comention for the prohibition of the night-work of women, or to the Convention with France as to automobiles, as ali the Provincial lioperments were not prepared to adhere.

[^13]It is rary powible, howerer, that the Dominion (iowermment conld adthere even when it hat no speritie legistative power, ${ }^{1}$ and by adherence ohtain suth power, mad the objeetion that the Dominion dovernment would thes be enabled to override a Provincial Parliament within its own aphere of activity would seem to be met adegmately hy the reply that a treaty "an only be made o.y the Imperial Government, and that if the lomerial (ioverment and the Dominion (iovermment comsider acherene therrable, the ciremetanees canmot be surh ats to justity a Dronimial dovermment in derlining to adhere. The position, therefore, is:- -
(1) the wherenee must be declared for the Dominion as a whole;
(2) such adhermee is constitutionally dechared at the reqnest of the Dominion Govermment abone, and
(3) under constitutional pratice the Dominion (ioveroument in eav where the Dominion Parlament has no direet legislative power, will not normally adhere exept with the consent of all the l'rovincial (iovermments, but
$(4)$ it is probable in law that the Dominion (envermment could adhere in any case and by adherence obtain power to kgislate.

In any case it is clear that it would rest with the Dominion Government to secure that the Prowincial Gevernmentoberved teaty arange ents in which the Dominion (iovernment had concurred, of whin were otherwise hinding.
The matter was considered in the Comadian Parliament on May $14,1906,-$ in comesion with the treaty with the United states as regards waterways, and Mr. Borden quoted 8. 13: of the British Norlh America Act. adding that he did not know that any exart wonst metion had ever been put upon
' Cf. the question of white phophtorus: a Bill was introduced by Mr. Mackenzie King into the Dominion Parliament in 1:01, amd one objection to it was on gromeds of juradietions as it is alesimed to prohibit mandfacture amb sale as well as impertation, in order to join the intermational combention as to it: see Dehutco. Jamary 19. But the penere
 (1) trade and commeree, and the case seems to fall within the eonception of that term eontemplated by the Privy Council.
${ }^{2}$ D. brates, pp. 6644 serg.
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the seetion, but that it would seem to hime in the light of it - hangage that there was at heast grave dontht whether or not the Lexishatures of some of the provinees of C'amada mast not be ealled upon by the (iovernment to implement the provinons of the treaty in cane it was ratitied. BKewhere afo he indicated that the (ionvermment of Ontario onght to be comsulted with regard to the treaty and that the (iovernment of Ontario would reguire topass some of the legithation nerersally before the treaty rould eome into elfere ${ }^{1}$

Sir Wilfod hamrier disi not. in dealing with the guestons. make ally eloar statement as to his viens on the point of the position of the (:overmment of Ontario. but he stated that Mr. Gibhons. lew when the treaty was negot lated, had instructions dheing the time that the hegot iations were being earried on to confer with the (iovermment of Ontario, beranse the ( imadian foremment realizerl that the Ontario (iovernment were concerned and very properly eonerobed, in a matter




 imeperndent proition of the states. The (onstitution of the (ommonwealth. as adopted, empowers lys. st (xxix) the ( ommonwealth Parliament to legishate regarding extermal allairs. Int what power is given with regard to traties by that clanse is hot koman. for it has never been decided by the High fontre or the Prive ('mmeil, and the wide interpretation at extermal powere which might arem matural is rendered somewhat doubtful by the late that the ('ommom-


 Gamatian dowerment resolutely dectimed to anere to the free expurt of
 desire to coneres the provinces even il they could do sos. Which they did




Wealth ('onstitntion Bills of Is! I amd Ins: incheded traties with external affatis in the powers of the (ommomweath Parliament. but the words were omited in the final Act. ${ }^{1}$

In the comerepondence anising ont of the Vondel ease, Mr. Deakin, ats Athornev-(ieneral of 'h. ('ommonwealth, argued that the omiswom of the wr i- matid me lifference to the legal position, hat whether tha is cemred it in 京mposible to saly. In athe case. it is clear thath mataty rath be athered to except with the assent and at the . $\cdot \cdots$ of the ('ommont wealth (Eovemment. Nor does it seem doubthol that in matters within the legislative eompetence, whether exelnsive or paramomat, of the Com...onweath l'antiament, it womld he legitimate to athere to any treaty at the refolest of the Commonwealth Parlianent alone. On the other hand. it is impossible to be certain what is the position in case in
 those eases. While the asent of the ('ommonweallh to ally athereme is ohvionsly comstitntionally neressary. conlel the ('ommonwealth athere withont the assent of any partirolan
 (xxix) to make rowd its alherenere: It appeats that where the ('mmmonweallh hats mot exelasive or patamonnt pewer, it might athere for some states who so desire, and not for others, bit where the ('mmmonwealth has power, presmath! it woukd adhere as a whole or not at all. But it is possible that in any case the (commonwealth wouk not be witling to allere partially, as this might be held to resilt in a disremination hetween the staters. which is cont rary both to the spirit and the letter of the (ommonwealth constitution.

[^14]
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It is elear that the treaties whirh were hinding on the states before fedcration remain binding on the C'ommonwealth in respect of these states after federation. That has been reeognized by the fact that the Anglo-Japanese Ireaty of 1894 , as applied to Quocensland by the protor ol of 1897 , wais denounced at the request of the Commonwealth (iovermment.

The same doctrine of the continuing effece of treaties binding on Australian states before federation has: been laid down by the Secretary of State in the ease of the AngloFrench Declaration of 1 sse respecting wreck. and of the adherence of all the Australian states, sive Vietoriat, to the Anglo-French Mailship (Onvention of August 30 . Is!em. ${ }^{1}$

The ease of South Afriea presents no difficulties: the Union power of legislation is paramount ( 9 Edw. VH. c. 9, s. 59 ), and the Union takes over the burden of any traty binding upon a Colony, Union ( $\therefore$. 14S) in respect of that temitory.

## § $\quad$. 'íne Rathrication of Tresties

The legal theory is that the crown makes treaties and ratifies treaties on its own responsibility withont reference to Parliament. The theory is no doubt correct, but in practice it has been of late years con herably moditied. ha the first place, in deferenee to considerations of political expedieney, important changes, such as those of the eession of Heligoland in 1890 and the French Convention of 1904 , have been made subject to the approval of Parliament. Secondly, the Govermment have hesitated to ratify treaties which would have altered the law of the lind without first obtaining the necessary alteration of the law. Good instances are the cases of the (opyright Art of 1 ssta, passed to render possible adherence to the convention of Berne, and the similar Bill introdured in 19111 and arain in 1911 to render possible ratification of the Berlin Comention of 190 s. Again, in the session of $1: / 10$ Bills were introduced to allow of the ratifieation of the Hague Conventions of $190^{-2}$.

Moreover, even in the case on treaties which do not require any alteration of the lan, as in the case of the International ${ }^{1}$ L'f. I'arl. Pap., Cd. 3s91, p. 6; 43.30, p. 1:2.

Nasal Convention of lams, a promise was given to latliament that there should be an opportunity of diseussing the proposed convention before it was finally ratified, and the convention in question was not ratified until it had beed laid before the Imperial Conference of $1911 .^{1}$

The new arrangements are perfertly natural. In the eighteenth century, when the doctrine was aceepted that treaties rested on the responsibility of the Executive, there was always the possibility of the impeachment of ministers. This is no longer feasible in the twenticth eentury, and when there is any doubt as to Parliament approving the action of the Goverment it is obvionsly desirable that there should be avoided the possitility of the comntry being plaeed in the position which would be involved by its aceepting a treaty obligation which the Parliament wonld be mwilling to carry out. Parliament would thus be placed in a false position : if it declined to pass the necessary legistation the Government would be unable to make good its acecptance of the treaty, and Parliament is accordingly compelled either to carry out what it does not approve or place the comitre in the position of having failed to make good an international obligation formally undertaken.

In the case of the Domi" ghite carly treaties were concluded and ratified whicl effeet on the passing of the ne . er, could only come into Pawtiments the United states of 185 t and 1871 respecticely were in the main part dependent for their coming into effect on the passing of legislation by the Imperial Parliament and the Colonial Parliament of Canada, on the one hand, and the United States Congress on the other hand.

Similarly, the treaty of 1857 with France regarding Fremeh fishery right: in Newfomdland was ratified by the Imperial Government, but could only come into foree on the necessary legiskation being passed by Newfoundland and by the Lmperial Parliament. The Newfomdland Govermment and
${ }^{1}$ see I'arl. I'ap., Cd. 5-4.5. 111. 9-134; Mouse of Lordo Debates, Mareh 8, 9, and 13, 1011. $\quad 2$ Cf. Anson, Law of the Constitution, 11. ii. lut.
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Puliament declined to pasis such kegivlation, and therefore the treaty remained a dead letter.
The Treaty of Wishington of 1888 , which never came into foree contained in Article XVI a provision for ratification by the Queen after receiving the assent of the Parliament of Canada and of the Legislature of Newfoundland.

This was adopted in accordance witn the precedents of 1 sat and $18: 1$, but the nature of the tre aty rendered it elear that legisation both in Conada and Newfondland would be necessaly before the treaty could have any effect.

The question of submitting treaties before ratification to Dominion Parliaments was further discussed in 1909 in commexion with the treatice concluded at the begiming of that vear with the United States Government. ${ }^{1}$ Some minfa vonrable or ment had arisen in the Camadian Honse of Commons because no cope of the Bomdary Waters Treaty was available thongh the treaty was before the Unite. States semate. At the same time comment was made in the C'madian I'ress whieh implied that the ('anadimn (iovernment had been in some degree ignored in the negotiations. In a telegram from the Sece tary of State of Janary 3 ? which wats read in the c'anadi . Howse of Commons, it was pointed ont that there was a misunderstanding as to the presentation of the treaty to the Dominion Parliament. The treaty-making power in Great Britain was the King, acting on the advice of his responsible ministers in the United Kingdom, who, in the case of treatics affecting a Dominion, acted in full consultation and accord with the Government of that Dominion. In the United states the treaty-making power was the President by and with the advice of the semate and unt the Sonate had approved, publication in the United States or in the United Kingdom was not emsiomary: The United States sinate stood, therefore, in a different position from cithe: the Imperial or the Canadian Parliament.

The question as to how far it is desirable that treaties should be approved by Dominion Parliaments was also disenssed in the Comadian House of Commons on May 14,

[^15]1909, in connexion with the treaty with the United States as regards waterways. Mr. Borden expressed then the opinion that a treaty of the kind in question should be made subjert to the ratitication and approval of the Deminion Parlianent, and he expressed the hope that if the treaty in grestion were revised and another brought down at a subsecuent sessiom, it shombl be made suljeet to the approval of Parliament. It couk not be carried into effect withont the legislation of the Parliament or withont the legislation of some of the Provinees of C'anada. Therefore the treaty should be subject to the ratification and approval of Partiament in order that it might be fully discussed by the representatives of the people before it beame binding on the people. He alluded to several instanees in which this course was taken, inchotling the Treaty of 1888 with the United states regarding fishery and other matters. It was signed on Fehmary a and taid before the Canadian Parliament on March 7. The most authoritative textbooks laid it down that treaties should be made subject to the approval of Parliament in cases:-
(1) Where they imposed any burden on the peopte ;
(2) Where they involved any change in the law of the land;
(3) Where they required legislative setion to make them effective, or where they affected the free exercise of the legislative power ;
(4) Those affecting territorial rights.

He pointed out that Sir Willian Anson in the last cdition of his Latr of the C'onstitution (1908) ${ }^{1}$ had omitted the eriticism formerly passed on the approval by Parlianent of the HeligoFand Treaty of 1890 ; while Mr. Lowell in his new work on the Gorernment of Englund a expressed the view that without the sanction of Parliament a treaty conle not impose a charge neon the people or change the law of the land. and it was doubtful how far without that sanction private rights can be sacrificed or territory ceded. Mr. Bo.den pointed out that the Waterways Treaty must have the effect of altering the law of the land if carried into effece. New laws were required with regard to actions brought hy people in Canada against

[^16]
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people residing in the United States, or by people in the United States against people domiciled in Chimada. It sacrificed private rights to a certain cextent, and in regard to varions parts of the bomblary waters it made a cession of territory. The Heligoland Treaty of 1890 and the AngloFrench Treaty of 1904 were both made subject to the approval of the Parliament of Great Britain. The Japanese Treaty of 1904. and the French Treaties of 1907 and 1909 had been made subject to the approval of the Camadian Parliament, and he thought that it would be the wiser course, in dealing with matters of this kind, to make surf treaties subject to the approval of Parliament. It wonld have the additional effect of avoiding the unfortumate ocenrrence when the treaty was published in full in nearly every newspaper in Canada and the United States. when it was not officially before the somate of the United States, nor officially before the representatives of the people of the combtry. In 1911 sir W. Lanrion promised to lay the Pelagie Scaling Convention of that year forthwith before the House of commons.

## § 6. Fobelge Reations other thas Thenty

In matters of foreign concern other than treaty, the position of the lmperial and the Dominion Government is periectly simple. It is clear that it is to the Imperial (iovernment that foreign Powers most look for redress of any wrong to their subjects. It is, of course, maturai that representations should also be made locally, but if any formadaction is required it mast be made through the appropriate diplomatic chamel-either the British representative at a foreign Conrt or the foreign representative at the Court of st. James's. The position is neatly illustrated by what happened in the case of the riots of Vimeouver in $190 \overline{\text { a }}$. Formal representations for redress were made to the Imperial Government from the Govermments, whose nationals suffered in the riots, and in addition the Ganadian (iovernment were in informal communieation with the Japanere Consul-ficheral, and Sir Wilfidd Lantier, with the approval of the Governor-General, addressed to the Japanese Covernment through His Majesty's
representative at 'okion an expression of regret for the exereses which had ocemred. ${ }^{1}$

The principles gniding the matter were formally had down hy the Imprerial Govermment both in Lord Riponis dispatelh of June : 8 , 189 s, ${ }^{2}$ regatding the ronchasion of commereial treaties and in the correspondence with he Goverments of the C'ommonwalth of Australia and of the State of South Anstralia which arose out of the Vondel incictent. ${ }^{3}$ It is in that dispateh emphasized that the responsibility in these matters rests with the Imperial Govermment in the long rin, hat that the Imperial Govermment is entitled to look to the Dominion (iovermanent for the carrying out fathfully of all treaty and other foreign obligations. As a matter of fact, the limperial Govermment retains no direct control over a Dominion Govermment. however math the actions of that Government might affect foreign relations. The Imperial Government recognized to the full this position when they granted responsible govermment ; they felt that it most he assmmed that a commonity that was fit to manage its own internal affairs could te tristed to carly out an ohligation which, as part of the Eimpire, it had towards foreign comentries mader treaty or moder the general principles of international law: For eximple, in the case of the riots at Vanconver the obligations to Japan might be held to arise not merely under the ordinary international law, bat also moler the 'Treaty of 1894 acecoped by (anadia moder a special arrangement in 190f, while theobligations to (hina rested onlyon the ordinary international law. But both cases were treated precisely


$\therefore$ Parl. Pap., (: Tse2.
 P'arliamentary/ De befos, Iemis-9. p. \&.7.3.

- ''f. Nir Wilfrisl Latider's clofarent assertion in the Comadian Homse of Commons on March $\overline{7}$, Iat 1 , of the duty of Gana to appowe the recipros. eity armoment by legistating is sontemplated therein as in aceordance with it - natiomal homour, in view of the malerstanding with lresident Taft. loyally carried out on his part by comening a special session of the Congress of the Cinited States.
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 alike, and compensation was pide to the vietims of the rints in glicention.It results from thair peritioun as parts of the Empire that the Dominions have no stathe as international contites. Accordingly no ministers are aceredited to them, and the Consular offieres who are aceredited to the Govermments are not invested with any diphomatio status as a gememal rule. thongh now doult in partienlar casere, as in the caso of the agreements in 1901 made by (anada with the (iermann Consul-(ieneral und the Royal ('onsul fur Italy with regard to trade matters, the Consuls are for the time locing permitted to hold a position which is semi-diphomatic, thongh not completely so. But comsuls are entitled in the Dominions to no diplomatic privileges, thongh they reecive certain conrtesies, mainly in the shape of the cexemption from rllstoms dut ies for stores far their official nise, in cases where the ('omsul is not a British sulbert engaged in trate in the Dominion. It is provided ahor log the Cohniall regulations that communieations from the Governor to a forreign Consml or Consmb-General shombl be signed, in the case of a Colony having respensille goverment, by the fowemors Private Secretary.
In some minor matters a certain degree of independent recognition is aseribed to the !ominions. Thus in pustal matters the Dominions are represented at portal comferenes and have votes like the Imperial Govermment itself, and the same remark applies to the Radio-Telegraphic Convention.2 Under that convention it is probable His Majesty"x ( Government will ohtain at conferences the same number of votes: at i: accorded to the British Empire muler the Powtal Union convention of Rome, namely six.



 asked for the appointment of a Consul: sce e. If. the case of Chinese Comsuls in New Zealiand. Parl. I'up., lems. .1. 1. 1p. 3. 4.
 correspondence with foreign (iovernments is allowed, e.g. New Zealamd


 ferences all the Dominioms. ine hating the state (ionermments. a sombtimes represented, alld hate votes, hat these are hosibese matters, and in pratal and telographie matters dirent
 appored lye the Imperial (ioveroment. It womld la a com-

 and it womle varaly la in flany a termination of the exist img mity of the Fimpire amd the fumdamental alteration of its C'onstitution.' But the striet theory allows of a gomel deal of latitude: thus in lent the Anstralian (invermment
 cortan fareilities in enterines Anstalias:" ju-t as (Quern-land had dome in l!mo, in both rasers he direet neretiation wity

 of latys, and the dmeriean sereretary of state were all direet. though they did not reonlt in treaties terhmically an called. and in the latter mave the dmbassio. Ior wat consulted. While in all easex lomperial apporal was aceoded. In fact. the prewent day reeognize both formal treaties amb informal agrementas heing part of the forequr relations of the Dominions.

The question of the relations betwern the Dominions and
 considered at erreat lengeth in commexion with the Weetern
 interested in the large number of is landes seattered thromgh
 tation- were made in farour of the anmexation of istands to Great Britain. 'The matter was chaborately dise use ed in comexion with the question of the ammexation of Fiji, and the Imperial (iovermment decided in $187+$ to acquire eontrol over the group.

[^17]
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 that as ther were yourially interonted int the ammexat inn





 make anye eontributions. Voirtorial wis sommelat rellotiant, and New Zaaland would mot contribute withont a voice in the


 aswiatathee with rexald to Fiji.
 by recommendations from the (iovermment of Niw South


 Gowermment with regerd to the whole proition. It was contended that the posesesion of Niel Ginimea and of other Weatern laditie lalanda would be of valle to the binpire Eronerallys, athd comblue sperially to the peace and safety of Sustraliat and the development of Austrabian trade, and the preverntion of erime thronghent the lacitie. alld that the (atablishment of a foreign power in the neighbourhood of Shatralial woukl be ingurious to Briti-h, and mome pattirularly (1) Instralian interests. He latil strese mpon the fact that no whior had beern made to contribute towarde the cost of the athinintrati in of the territory it was propered to atmex. The only interest which world atecrue to the Eimpire at large, as far as he combl sore, was the advantage of Anstralia. Eingland had donce emongh to discharge her dity of main-

[^18]




 come for ally almexat ion to take place





 thonght that it was al mather for lmperial eomsiderations.
 fiarliantent of Viatoria womlal mot be willing tw make all

 "contribution. Sir Julins Vingel thomght it was a mell feature. but held that a mpatt deal of weight misht be attached to the view that the Improtial lemerment hater the



 the allu. . ion at fiji. and be held that if ally metteret fore further atmesatioms were made. as in the cane of the Nilvi-

 He cexpersed his readinese to commmmeate with the Anstralian Cobonies with a view to secoming renneerted atetion, but the admitted that such atcom wits bery improbable.

The matter remained mone or leas ghienerent matil lssas.
 demand to be expressed in Australia for ammexation. Stepo were actally taken by the Govermment of Quemstand to amex a portion of New (inine: without anthority inom
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 anmexation of the romaining pall follownd and there was
 Gamamy amd dimat britain, while on the wher hatul. the





 bility hat pravtiatly at the same time moulted in the





 in atheximg the territory before ellertive-sepmexik he taker fo: allllי..ation :

 mombs that the fmperial (eberomient mitht have semed






 Molteno, A Feleral sunth Ifrica, P1. s2-6.
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 (1) the ymention.'




 'Tloe whole of Fiji. sume ss.ther aphate milo- int the part of





















 propertion of the tappayers of thi combtry to molersand
 immediately eoncermed homal he varmptod from. the


 patt of the Eampire Why their interests should be sameritieed ill order to ohtalin for distral tar the whele of the New Hehrides. His Majostys dearmment hate foll it thoir duty to put Exfore pour Xinisters al plably as powible a side of the Now Hobrides ghestion which is atmethmes formoten. They hate constathty tormember the fate whel rome Ninisters ako recognize, that they are trovtere for the whoke of the
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Empire, for this comntry, and for the other colonies, as well as for Anstralia. The have to take into aecoment not only. the satisfaction whieh womld be folt in Anstralia if the New. Hobrides could be secemed to the Empire hy some eone ession (lsewhere. but also the dissatisfaction which wonld be telt in that part of His Majesty Dominions at whose expense the emberesom was made. His Majenty dovermment have always recognized the debt which the Fimpire owes to the Britioh race in the Pacitio ()cem for it-splentid loyalty and pationtim. never so compicumbly shown as daming the hate somth African war: and ther have eriven their reasoms for thanking that the impression that the interests and wishes of Anstralia and Now Zabland in regard to the Parifie I-lands have not reeceved the firlent eonsideration from the Imperial ( ewromment is not well fomeded.

The Now Hebride were de-sined to give further tromble. ${ }^{1}$ In 1906 a fombention was held at Londe n hetween repereme tatives of the British and the French Govermments to deal with the position of the New Hebrides by extablishing a condominion in that gromp which recognized the equal rights of the Freneh and the Britioh Gowermments. Copies of this agrement were forwarded to the (iovermments of Anstrabia and New Zealand in a diepatch of March as lamo.2 The (invermment of New Zabland in reply obje eted to any propoxal of a condominiont. and sum, coted that concensions should be made elsewhere in order to serente the withatrawal of France foom the eromp. of if that were imposible. that a partition of the wromp should take place. In a reply to this telegram.
 comsider the primeiple of making a comecosion of territory Facehtore and pointed ont that the Anstralian (iowermment proferred joint control to a partition.
 addreseded a dispateh to the seceretary of State, in which his ministera protented assainst the drawing up of a comvention without their being comsulted. and abjoceded strongly to the whole seleme of the eomention as well as revitieing the terms of the comvention in detabl.


the Secretary of state by the Gowernor of New Zealand In a telegram in reply of October 4 . I Ooni.' the Secretary of State informed the two devermments that other interests than Fremeh or British were being reated in the New Hebrickes: that in order to awoid posithle eomplications it had been sumpered to the French finvermonent that ant
 the Froneh (iowemment hadd derelined to arer pet this properal. and presed for ratification of the draft ronvention. His Majestẙ ( Envermment comsidered that the immediate ratitication of the convention was the best conree to adopt. but they desired to know the views of the two dovermments. The Govemments of hoth Alestralia and New Zealand deelined to advise, being mable to judere either of the posisibility of obtaining amendments or the risk of further delaty, and they left the re-ponsibility with His Majestys Gowernment. The lmperial Govermment acrepted the rexpomibility and combimed the convention, and in a di-patele of November 16. 1906, ${ }^{2}$ the Sereretary of State explained at length his view - both as to the aetion which had been taken by the !mperial (anemment. and an to the relations of the Govermments in matters roncerning the We:ctern Patcitic. The following paragraph emphasizes his views as to the alleged inaction of the Imperial anthoritios:-
64. In parbaraph 10 of his letter Mr. Deakin observes: "The people of Australia and New Zealand feed that it is ent tirely due to the intertion of the lmperial (iovernment that this step |i.e. the ammexation of the New Hehrides by (ireat Britain| was not taken many Vears ago. Vour Ministers do not sperefly any partioubar instanere of the inaction' to
 eoncerned to defend at this date the poliey alopted hey their predecesoms more than a gemeration ago. But if it is meant to imply that the seneral poliey of Hi- Majesty fowemment int the Pacifie durine the last thity year hais becon wanting in energy on in desine to meet the whone of the dust mhan Colonies. I need only refer you to the !th. loth, and 11 th paragraphs of my predecesores dispateh of October 31 , 1903, with which iny colleagues and I are in full argeement.

[^19]
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Is for the New Ilebrides in particular, I may point ont that darine the last twonty rears at least it has been clearly
 hasis of all aldmitted cefuality of interents between this rombtry and France: and Imay perhaps add that. acoording Io the testimomy of the High commisiomer for the Western Lacifice of the British Resident in the (iromp, and of Naval officere who hate sorved there one of the main reasoms why Briti-h etthement and British inflanere in the lalandsare not now as laree as they might have been, is to be found in the "peration of the Anstralian ('nstoms tarifl framed in 1901-:.
The views of the Secretary of state did not ohtain the fall apporal of the ( $o v e r m m e n t s$ of the Dominions. and the question was raised again in 190\% when the colonial Premiers attended the lmperial Conferemee.' It was fomed possible to obtain the aswistance of the New Zealand forermment in 1907 in drafting supplemental arrangements on matters of detail with the Fremelh Govermment.?

In the case of North Ameriea prior to 1906, constant combplaints were made of britioh diplomare $y$, complaints echoed even by the lrime Minister. It was hekl. thongh reeent investigation has shown without ardequate gromed, that the Imperial Govemment had sacrifieed c'anadian interests both in 1842 as regards the main boundary, and in 1846 as regards the bemmdary of British commbia. As a matter of fact, the former treaty represented a rery satisfactory compromise, for the negotiators of the Treatro of 1783 had hopelessly given away the British ease, and mothing was left but to make the best, and a farly satisfactory best, of a bad bargain. ${ }^{3}$

The settlement of the Columbian boundary was governed


 ph. 37 serg. These papers form a necessaly commerpose to Holgins's works, which are repeated by writers like deh, without eritical examina-
 dannel Revire, 1909. 1p. 179. 1No), is based by his enthosiasm for (:marlian independence. Sice a semsible vien in Hendersmes.s American Diphommia Qurations. It is cesential to remember that there are two -ides to cores dipule, and that in every cane the L'nited states have hat strong argmerits, pen if th us they seem less cogent than our own.
by the actual facts and perthips in some measure by the ill-
 tive in the weat. hat it was eleany not a surmeler of ('anadian interests on Imperial grounds.
'Jhe Reciprocity Treaty of Isist, negotiated hy Lord Eilyin. Wat metuestionahly of the ereatest alvantage , mada, and a striking proof of the ansiety of the Impery (in-ictument in C'analian interests: and the regred with 1 it: termination ly the United States was ereeted in (ia $:$ is conclusive proof of its value.

On the other hand, great fecoling was callesed by the conchasion of the Treaty of Wiashington in 18it. Sir John Macelonald was one of the plenipotentiaries. and he evidently felt that the British negotiators were too much inelined to salcrifiee ('anadian for Imperial interests. ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand mant be set the fact that dieat Britain was prepared to make to the United States the enomons saterifiee inwolved in the agreement to arbitrate the Alabama claims on a basis which rendered a heavy liability inevitable. Moreover, the United states were at the height of their military power. having vast forees traned in the ('ivil Viar, Canada was practically defenceless. and the terms which were obtained for C'anada emonot. on a calm review, be eonsidered to have heen unsatisfactory. The Beloring Sea Arbitration." in which canada was suceresful in a large measure, satisfied the ('amadian people, but this satisfaction was dispelled by the award in the Alaska bomodary case." It is easy now to regret that an arbitration shoukd ever have been acerpted which confronted there national arbitrators with other three national arbitrators. and to deplore the quixotic action of ('amada in maintaining the impartial rharacter of these arhitators when three far from impartial arbitrators hat





 1. 4705; C'unadian Annual Retieu, 1903. 111. 346 sés.
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been nominated by the United States. In the result the derision which was given against camada depended on the rote of the Chief . Instiee of England. and the indignation felt in comada was more serions than any perions exhibition of dissatistaction with the Imperial Governmem.

The advent of Mr. Brye an Ambasiador, and the satistacetory conclusion of a long somes of tratien to regulate the fisheries. the bomadary waters the international boundary. wreekage, the comverance of prisoners, pecumiary chamis. and above all the sucesesful conclusion of the Arbitration as to the North American Fisheries, have induced in ('anada a more favourable view of British diphomacy.
At the same time a new development of more importance has taken plaee in Canada, namely the practice of earrying on negotiations. informally indeed, but none the less impor$t$ nt, with the consular representatives of foreign Powers. Lar since $1899^{-1}$ the Japanese Consul-feneral has habitualle commmicated with the Imperial foverument in the mont formal manner regarding disabilities impored by the Legislature of British columbia on Japanese subjects. His representations have been supported by representations: made hy the Japanese Ambasador in London. In 1893 and in 1907 - the plan was still adopted bey the ('anadian (iovernment of negotiating formally for commereial arrangements with France. the arrangements iening eoneloded in a formal treaty signed by the Ambassador at Paris and by the Camadian Ministers in Canada. This plan was alon adopted in 1909 in come xion with the supplementary arrangement with France, and in toufi a formal convention was arranged bey desire of famada for adherence to the Japanese treat of 189.4 . $^{3}$ But at the same time there has grown up a simpler proeechure.


*The action of the (anadian forsermment in not seenring a special concession as 10 immionation 16 as in part dae for an maderstanding with the Consildienemal, hat it expesed them to srave censme hy the opposition when the Vanenmer riots hroke unt: see Part V. chap. iv : C'amolian


At the beginning of 19111 . Mr. Fielding, ('anadian Minister of Finamere. medrotook informal negot hat ions with the (ierman (omsmb-(ieneral at Jontreal in comexion with the surtax of $333_{3}^{1}$ per cent. imposed on (ireman imports into (anada. which had formed the suhject of informal nergotiations in carlicre rears. ${ }^{1}$ In this case. however. an agreement was come to on Fehmary 18 . This agreement was a wowedly provisional. and contemplaterl a lormal convention at a later date. but no such comvention has ret becon made.

Similarly negotiations were carried on in the same year with the Italian ('onsal, and an infomal arrangement. whic! however. the King of ltaly formally appowed. Was agreed upon. Again direct negotiations took plate between famada and Enited Ntater- represelatatives in 1910 with a view to the roncession to ('anarla he the Enited States of the minimum rates under the l'ayne tariff. which was ultimately artanged. and in 1 an an elaborate reaprocal armagement was marle between Comada and Linited States representatives dealing with the same question. In that disenssion it was expressly agreed that there should be no formal treaty. but that there *hoult be legishation on either side. bringing the agrecment into effere . It should be noted. howerer. that in this case His Majesty $\mathrm{y}^{\circ}$ : Ambasialdor was kept informed of the process of the negrotiations. While in the other the Imperial (iowernment had liall linowlerge and gate consente

Simultameonsly with the reeiproe ity negotiations. arrangemonts were made betwoen reprexentatives of (amada and the Cnited Ntates. the Ambas-ador being made party. for the settlement of the outstanding differences in the North America Fisheries Arhitration. ${ }^{3}$

The conclasion of the reeiprocity armagement ${ }^{4}$ with the


 But this was rejectrol then aml ake on December lo. lan! hy Nir W. Latl-
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United stater naturally produced elaborate discension in the Conited Kingdom, and served as a basis. for an amendment (1) the addrese in reply to the King : Sipeerly un the opening of Parliament in Febriaty 1911. But it is mo new policy in I'mada: it is the sequel of many vears of steady progress.
Receprocity with the United States. which is maturally ralled for lyy the proximity of the stater, hat been the subject of tentative efforts from very endy times. and a considerable measure of reciprocity was serolred in 1854 by Lord Elgins: treaty. Up till 18sif. when the treaty terminated at the instance of the United States. the policy of reciproceity was areepted by every party in (anada, and the efforts of the Dominion forermment. which came into existenter in $186 \mathrm{Si}_{6}$. were devoted to securing a continuance of the arangements. 1 For that purpose steps had been taken in anticipation of confederation in 186.5 by Mr. Galt and Mr. Howhand, but these efforts were unsucecesful. In 188s the first tariff of the Dominion was adepted, which inchoded in the sehedules an offer of reciprocity in natural products. whied, with modifieations to suit changed eireumstances, was a feature of all Gemadiall tariffs down to 1894 . In Is 69 the C'madiant Minister of Finamere in Sir John Macdonaldd: Government made offers to Wianhington which amomited to an offer of a very considerable degree of reciprocity: but these offers were rejected. In comexion with the negotiations of the Treaty of Washingtom in 1831. Sir John Macdomahd, with the apporal and assiotanere of the lmperial Commissioners,
 in return for a renewal of the treaty of $1 \begin{gathered}\text { and }\end{gathered}$. but this offer was aldor rejected.
Sir John Macdonald rexigned in 1873 in eomexion with the Paceific Railway memedals, and the Liberal Ministry which suceceded him. in areordance with the national police, which



 ti5t seq. ; Sifton in T'uronte II orll. August $\leq 3,1911$.
 for al rencwal of the traty of lxit. Mr. Brown nequtiated With the asistance of Sir Edward I'homenn, then British Minister to the United States at Wishingtom, and event mally. a draft treaty for twenty-one yeams was framed. The treaty embraced a very wide range of meriprocity, striking oft all the duties on momerons manufactured articles. and putting hmber, eoal, and all farm produce on the fiee list. But the draft treaty was mot even considered by fongres: it reached the senate only two days before adjommenter, was taken inp in secret session, and retmoned to the President with the adriere that it was inexpedient to proered with it. comsideration.

Sir John Maedonadd returned to oftice in 1878 , and proreeded to develop the polier of protection which had helped materiatly to win the clection. A National Policy of Protection,' he said in that ression. ' will prevent ('anada from being made a sacritiee market, will enconrage and develop an active inter-provincial trade, and moving as it onfohe to do in the direetion of reciprocity of tarifis with onr meighbomrs so far as the varied interests of comada may demand. will greatly tend to procome for this commery eventally reciprocity of trade.' 'The ('anadian tariff of 1570 still embhodied the standing offer of reciprocity in natural products, hut of course the United States were not prepared to aceept anything so limited as that.

It must not be thought that Sir John Macelonalds (iovernment in adopting protection desired to pr vent recipnority with the United States. When the fishery dauses of the Treaty of Washington were terminated at the instance of the United States in 1885, the (amadian Government granted to Ameriean vessels the fishing privileges enjoyed moder the treaty until the close of the season. This agrement was arrived at on the maderstanding that circumstances afforded a prospeet of negotiations for the development and extemsion of trade between the United States and British North America. Mr. Foster. Minister of Marine and Fisheries, expressed the hope that renewed negotiations would be carried on with

## 

the result of extablishinge extenderl trade mations betwern the Republir and ('inarda. Nir Chathe Tupper. in provate comespondenere in lass with Mr. Bayard, stated that ther
 a staight forward treatment and a liberal and veltownanlike plan of the contire comburerial relations of the two commerice. Sir Charles Tupper therefore properad to the Conited states
 stomal be contimarel in comsideration of a mothal arrangement providing for ereater freedom of eommereial interonmor




The Liberal party had matmally thromathont maintained its attituke in farome of reciprocity and in ass Mr. Lamper moved an antondment to stpply on Fohmary 26 der daring that steps shondel be taken by the (iowomment to seernere innreatrieted feredom in the trader relations of the two comentres. At the same time Dr. (iohlwin Smith adrorated bery stronsly the fullest measure of reeiprocity, and indeed a ('u-tom- Lnion with the United states. This perition was arecepted in a *perell hes sir Richard Comtwright, who had been Minister of
 and was Minister of Trade and ('ommeree in Sir Wiffred
 which lee deremred in fatour of commerejal mion even in view of the political risk of ammexation. 'There is,' Ine said, a risk. and I ramont overlook it. But it is a choice of risks. and own present prosition is anything but one of stable equilibrimm. Without Mantobal and the Maritime Provinces Wre camot maintain ourselvor as a bominion. And looking to theit preant tempers and condition, and more especially. to the financial results of confederation in the Maritime Provinces. 1 say deliberately that the refisail or failure to secure free trade with the Chited States is much more likely to bring about just such a political revisis as these parties affect to dread than even the very chosest commereial connexion that can be conceived.'

Mr. Lambiores attitule wis more cantions. but thongh he Was not presared to atcept commorevial mion he derfated that his peliey wis to abathen the polier of retaliation "to show the Smerican people that we are brothers, and to lookd ont our hatuls to them with a dace regarel for the doties we
 Mombers of Parliamont allowizerl Nia liachard ('irtwright to intreduce into the llonso of (bmmons a racolation in fabomb of receprocity with the l'nited states which impled diserimination againet the . Mother (ombtry. The lasolntion Which Sir Richard 'artwright introhuced on Marell It. Isses. read ats follow: :-

That it is highly desirable that the largest powihle freedom of commere ial intercourar shomblebtainbetwerntle bominion of C'amada and the United Nitates, and that it is expedient that all artieles mambactured in or the natural producto of either of the said combtrios -lomild be admitted free of dats. into the ports of the other. articless anheret to duties of excrise or of internal revemue alone cexepted : that it is further experdient that the (iovermonent of the Dominion shonld take steps at an early date to ascertain on what terms and comditions arrangements can be offee ted with the United Staten for the purpose of recoring full and marestrieted reeiprocity of trade therewith.

In 1891 Sir John Mandonald himself reminded ('imada that Whatever measure of rectprocial trade had heen ohtained from the United states had been obtanined by the conservatives, and he stated that he helieved that there was roon for extending our trade on a fail basis, and that there were things on which we comble enlarge our views withont in ally way infringing on the National Polic. $\boldsymbol{y}^{`}$.

Simultaneonsly with the amonneement of the diswolntion of Parliannent, the Government published steps which they had taken to secure reciprocity with the United Staters and they offered a renewal of the Receprocity Treaty of 1 sith with modifeations required by the altered eiremmetances of both comntries. The fact that the negotiations had been commenced was used as a strong argument against the chams of the Opposition to be given office. At any rate, partly by this
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 thometht that he dematmed. hat in ally rase it is chear that.
 l'mited Sitates.
'The National libural ('omwention whielt met at Ottawn in Jome 1s: 13 promomered as follows on the position:-

That having requal to the prosererity of ('mardar and the ['nited sitates as allonimime commtries, with mathy matual interests. it is desimble that there shouli be the mosit friembly.
 them: that the iutorests allike of the Jomini $n$ atol of the E:mpire would be materially adranere by the exablishing wi

 Cohomies: that the pretext maler whioh the (Esermment appabed to the combtry in lsoll resperting megotiation for at trealy with the Conited stales was miskenting and dishomes. and intended todecover the chectorate: that bosincere etfor has bern made hy them to whatan a trates, bint that ont
 frolled as they ate by momopolies and rombines. are wot

 are sincerely desirons of promot ing a treaty dillorms homontable to both combtries: that a fitir and liberal reviprocity treater would derelop the great hathral resomeres of ('allatal.



 f. 181: and his heminisce mers.













 Iligh Commision foronsider all the ont-tanding qur-tiont


 Britain. 'The fommaiseionters made all rifut to mentre for



 arranged which prosided protiondly for freve trade int the

 al the daties on certain mambiathores. It Hex.l hatrally be said that in rarrying ont there Ingothations the ('anadian



 of a preferential tariff in $15!87$, and they show clearly low





 (1, Dermiment.
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 sitllation in a -per a lionnto.

1 may le palshom 1 has: held it lome a frimully alliame: Britain, ('amada. ald 1 adroxated ro.ipm. witl that view I It is for that reandol a at int that diecetion, mat $18 \cdot n$ about that realization the. ciond-rpeced.

Her "hat my wint pastion in. advorathel the formation of
 ley 犬゙:". IIth that viow " Sitates. Latmery her British In bremed. HIn all linglinh \%ollverein I (madd chaine to bring wh heartily bid them

 ment. -joke as follow: : :

We have heard. all of 11- a great deal about the quention -if reciprovity: some of 12 . perhape. in times past thomght

 as they might he. I am homme to -ity that at one time I took that view mberld. Sut even if I held that vioul lo-dat. I wombl feel that the acoomit was proty meaty apmaide

 they have in the one fire mathe of the world. It womld he




 romoltices.





 ＂ith regard to the attitule of his lioverumsont allal the hi＝10ッ of the I＇いいtion：





 arcrataling what misht be tome in the wate of rexpmotal










 dechared for reetpoeity at that time．and the only guention

 taking the histmy of the rexipmoty treat！of lixis．the eally
 National Potios aml the perion sinere the change in admintio－
 lind that thromghom all thene vallo，whatever ditforence



 al Dincrica．

 of all ethort to do justice to both ；we comment thi－arrampe－
 President of the United State will commend it to the julge－ ment withe congres．The one fear I hatre is that there may be people who will saly that we hath malde et gotel at batgain that the（＇ongless should not appore of it．Intimes
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past frienelly arrangements have beren made with the United
 of the: Compress. fat we thank the time is more favomable mow. We think we have fomad the pirehologieal moment for dealing with this question; we think we are within reach of some of the commere ial advantates for which our people have strogeled now for half a coblurs. Wie combit this materer to the rate of the ('anardian l'aliament whathe firm combiction that it is foing to the a good thime for (amada, at good thing for the (bite states, and that we will continue to have it and mantatn it mot beranse there is any binding ofligation 10 doso, hut Secanse the inte elliserne of the peoppe of the two combtre will dereile that it is a good thing for the prometion of fremdy relations and for the development of co:mmere of the two comatries.

His attitude to the whole question in admirably smmmed
 Fehrualy 7 . 1! 11 , Which reads as follows:--1

Recipnoeal trade relations with the United Stater have been the poolicy of all parties in ('anada for gencrations-

 contains a standing offer of reejpority with the States covering a lage portion of the products inchaded in the present armagement. The mowilligntes of the Americans to make ally reasomabice armugement led to much disappointment in ('amada. Sir Wilfrid Lamore several years ago give expressinn to this, and salid ('intadal would not age: in take the entiative in negotiations. Now that the Amernams have emtirely ehamered their attitude and have apporached (amada with faif ofters. ollr ( envernment take the pesition that we should meret theoll fairly, amd that in making sur han arrangement an is now propred we are realizine the desibes of our prople for hatt a century : andaloothat in promoting fivendly. relations with the neiglitembing repuline we are doing the best possible servier to the Empine ('anada is recking matkets
 lines and sending out commerejal afents. Would it not he
 Fobmary !. 11. Mareh $\overline{7}$, s. entain very important yeedes. expectally impertant in their bearing on British prederence and lugalty to the Brition combesion, and in their recognition of pronincial righte hy Mr. Pitteran

ridiculous in the pmrsuit of surh a podiey to refine to atail hersedf of the markets of the great mation lying alomeside? The expresed fear that it will revionsly athere imperte from farat Britain is grommolles: the ereater part of the agrere ment deals with matmal prodarts which (ireat batian does

 It ipporas to be assimmed in stome plartors that the taniti
 States and agginast dircat Britain. There is on fommation for this. In "Poy rase (ireat hritain will till have the samer rate, or a lower one. ('anlada's rixht to deall with the British preforenere as she pheases remaine motorehed lye the agreement. The adoption of the agrerment will probially. lad to somor further revision of the 'amadian Taritt' in which the ('anadian larliament will be entirely free to tix the British I'reforential 'rarill at ally mates dhat may be deemed proper.

In view of the condusion of the reeciprosity armagement the
 to press for the exemption of ('amada fom the operation of the old treatios with Argentima, Anstria-Hhmgary, Bulivia,
 zerland, and Vememela. which contain most-fil vomred-nation Clansess. and are binding on (amada. It may at onee be addmitted that the presence of these rlatheses is vexationss and ammering, bet the demmeiation is a serions matter moless it aan be arranged for without involving the demmeriation of the treaties gemerally: The propesal groes far beyond the demme riation of the Belgrian and German treaties, for theon treat ies
 ant acredental and moreaboning restrietion on the intermal freedos: of the Wimpire, which might properly be remowed from the lempire as a whole by the demmeiation of the treaties. Ton demomere these wher treaties merely to free C'inaldil would be $^{2}$ a vere diflerent stop.

In these negotiations the ('matdian ministers were to all intents and phoposes neither less not more than plenipoten-
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tiaries, and they avoiled the neressity of any formal treaty by arranging for comemerent legislation. But they had already negotiated with representatives of America at Ottawa, and it is significant that in the C'madian Honse of Commons, challenged on a question of the precedence of 'omsuls. Nir W' Jamier ${ }^{1}$ expressed the view that thomgh the position of romsuls was amomalomes it was mevertheless semi-diplomatic. and that it wombl be deximble that preeredence shombl be areonded to them. hat he did not raise this issule at the ('onferentere.

It is cleare, indeed. that the recent megotiations have raised in a new form the old view which was held by the Liberal party in Canada, that the Dominion Govermment shond have the treaty power. Mr. Blake spoke in favome of this view on October 3, 1874, ${ }^{2}$ and in 188.2 and Sir W. Lanrier re-echoed the matter in his speecel om the Alaskan debate on October 23 . $19033^{3}$ With this view may be compared that of the Royal Commission appointed her the Govemor of Victoria to ronsider federal mion. Which rerommended that the

See Debates, 1!911-1, 11, 973 seg. Fien also his answer in the IIUnse of

 emplatically deelined to adopt the proposal of a ('undian atticelic to the Fmbassy at Washington on the ground that Mr. Bryee's serviess were quite adequate, and in Jammary 1911 he publiely enlogized the serviees of the Ambassador in negotiating treaties for Canada. The prase was deserved : Mr. Brycers teran of oflier sitw mot morely the Fi.sheries Arhitration Creaty of 1900:, but alsual Peceniary ('lans Treaty (1911), a Pelage Sealing Treaty (1:11), Arbitratiom Treatios(190s aml l!911), and treaties for the Passamaquordly boundary (1910), the regulation of boumbiry waters. inclading a grameal provision for an athitation trihmal for (ianadian questions (19men), which maty solve infurmally many ditheulties as to diplo. matic intercomsen trimsit of prisoners, wationg privileges, de.



 and sir (' Tuphers views were both given. (f. alan Nir IV. Lamber in Delates, $1907-8$, p. 1:(0) ; 1!n!!. p. 1980 (on Fiternal Afinirs lepatment Aet 8 \& ! Vilw. VII. e. 1:3). But see Mr. Aspuith's reply in llonse of Cummons. Mareh 3, 1! (109 (i. 14:1, 14:3).

Australian Colonies slomild be aceorded the treaty power and given thre staths of neutral powers under the same Crown as the United Kingdom. The sulstance of the if recommendations ${ }^{1}$ was as follows:-

## Vic'torta

## IIT. Neutrality of the Colomies in Wrar

13. It has been proposed to cestablish a commeil of the Empire. whose advice must he taken before war was dee lared. But this measure is so forcign to the genius and traditions, of the British Constitution, and presupposes so large an abandomment of its functions by the House of Commons, that we dismiss it from consideration. There remains, however, we think, more than one method by which the anomaly of the present system may be cured. . . .
14. The Colony of Victoria, for example ponsesess a separate Parliament, Government, and distinguisining flag; a separate naval and military establishment. All the public; appointments are made by the Local Government. The only officer commissioned from England who exercises authority within its limits is the Queen's Representative ; and in the Ionian Islands, while they were adnittedly a Sovereign State, the Queen's Representative was appointed in the same manner. The single function of a sovereign State as moderstood in International Law, which the Cobmy doce not exercise or possess, is the power of contracting olligations with other states. The want of this power alone distingufshes her position from that of states undoubtedly. sovercign.

20 . If the Queen were anthorized by the Imperial Parliament to eoncede to the greater Colonies the right to make treatios. it is contended that ther would fulfil the conditions constituting a Sowereign state in as full and perfect a sense as any of the smaller states cited by public jurists to illustrate this rule of limited responsibility: And the notable concession to the interest of peace and hmmaty made in our own day by the Great Powers with reepect to privateers and to merchant shipping renders it probable that they would not. on any madequate grounds, refuse to recognize such states as falling under the rule.
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21. It must not lee forgotem that this is a subject in which the inierests of the Coloniess and of the Mother Comitry arre identio:1. British statesmen have long aimed not only to limit more and mone the experntiture inemered for the definere of distant Colonies. hut to withdraw more and mone from all astensible responsibility for their defoner ; and they wonld probable ser imp honomahbe method of adjusting the present anmalinue what fons with nu lew saltistaction than we should.
$\therefore$ : Nor would the reresuition of the neut rality of the selfgoverned Colonies deprive them of the pewer of aiding the Mother Cominty in any just and nee essary war. On the contrary, it woild emable them to aid her with more dignityand effeet, as a sovereign State conld, of its own free will, and at whatever period it thenght proper, eleet to become a party to the wall.

23 . We are of opision that this subject onght to be brought under the notice of the lmperial Gevernment. If the proposal should reecive their sanciom, they can aseertain the wishes of the American and African Colonies with respect to it, and finally take the necessary measures to obtan its recognition ns part of the public law of the eivilized world.
Comment at the time was generally unfavourable; the leading papers, such as the Argus and the Duity Telegraph. condemed the idea as impracticable, and the matter we:at no further, for no other Colony moved in it. In the Naval Bill debates of 1910 Sir Wilfrid Lamier was aceused by the Opposition of denying the doetrine that war with Great Britain meant war with the colonies, but the acensation was wholly minust and mufomeded. He only asserted that in any war it wals for canada to decide how far she would actively assist Great Britain; Camada, of course, would resist any attack on herself with all her strength. ${ }^{1}$ The doetrine is quite logical and fair so long as the Dominions




 lome. the prearions pexition of the armanement of 1817 tor the limitation of armamontw on the great lakes, which has not been at all carefully observel of late by the Enited Nitates, in the view of Canada ; see C'anodian

have no voice in determining Imperial policy and Australia "hanty holds the same view. for just as moch as Camada she has insisted on the fate that she shomblat mantain supperme emotril aser her fle t, leasing her free to plaw it at the

 Coblonies at Imperiat expense fing Imprial purpmes. papmed
 acepted hy any Daminiom. Hir ultimately aldented even by New Zealand.



 consultation mint he lift to the diseretion of the Imperial fovernment, as


 Britishantiment dexpite the attractions of material gains: the recipren ity agreement was in effect rejocted ly a majurity much harecer thath that (di)

 and Mr. Paterom, the negotiators of the agrecment, lowing their atats. Xin dombt the incautions sefermets of American puliticians to peosilise political results comeded for mueli. On the nataal yinestion the attitude of the Conservative leader hats been manly to comphase the need of clane con opration with the Britiolt thert. On the other hamed the Natanalist party
 drageine (:anada into liritist wars.
 Sir IV, Landiers attitude at the Conference, by the Volkestem in somth

 Risumd Tahl. i. Ni. 1).

## ('H.IPTER V'

## TRADE RELATIONS ANO CURRENOT

## \& I. Trater Relatiox

Theres em lac no claturer prof of the antomomy of the Colomies than their fiscal armagements. When selferowernment was ancorded to ('amadia, thongh there was no idea and had been no idea since 17 B , of taxing the Colony withont poending all the proceeds therein, it was bound by a tariff exacted from it by the Imperial Parliament and raised mider laws cmacted by the same anthority. In $18+66^{2}$ an Imperial Act allowed the British Colonies in Camada to reduce or repeal hey their own legishation duties imposed by hmperial Acts upon foreign goods imported from foreign eonntries into the Cobonies in question. Canadin soom availed herself of the privilege, while in $1849^{3}$ a further Imperial Act added to the control of dinties the eontrol of the colonial posit ollice, allowing C'anada full power to dispose as she would of her postal arrangements, a matter of great commer ial importanee in a growing Colony where commmications were diffientt, and where Imperial legislation was obriously utterly ont of place. In $1849^{\prime}$ the remains of the navigation laws went, and the St. Lawrence was thrown open to the vessels of all mations. The Legislature had addressed the Smperial Covermment on the subject, and hatd meged that it was imposible to maintain the system of protection in the Colomies for British shipping when Great Britain had alban-

Colonial legislation conlal alsu inpose daties, and there was eonfusion and contlict : sete of © Vict. e. 49. Imperial enstomsofficers disapleared





 lict. c. 62, and see nuw $36 \& 37$ Viet. c. 36 , ss. 149-51.
doned the proteetion against foreign competition hitherto imposed for Colonial imports. As a matter of fact, the adoption of free trade had caused great dislocntion of trade and eommerce in Canala, which was not removed nutil the repeal of the narigation laws threw open the St. Lawrenere to the flage of the wortd. The Australian Cohmies on thein birth were given power to raise customs duties. ${ }^{1}$ subjeect, however, to the proviso that they should not be contraly to treaty, or differential. or imposed on gomels for the use of the lomperial foreses in the colony, whirh was a natural ruke. as the lmperial fovermment had to deiray the cost of the garrisons. and could hardly be expected to pay duties on the goods whieh they imperted to feed and whethe the troops. In the ease of the four South African Colonies no limitations were imposed on their powers with regard to customs dution when self-government was adeorded, nor was Now Zaband fettered in 1852,2 except by the provision that duties must not he contraly to traty, or be levied on groods for the troops or maval foress. Newfomblland received the benefit of the Act regarding ('anadian provinces of 1846 .
A further development of the doctrine was seen when the Colonies began to abandon the crown Colony poliey of lex ying dutics solely for revenure priposes and to pass a protective tariff. In 1859 the Governor of c'anada sent home a dixpateh forwarding an Act imposing very heaver duties; the Seevetary of State replied asking him to bring before his ministers a protest from the Chamber of commeree at sheffied calling attention to the danage which would result from such duties to trade in the United Kingdom. ${ }^{3}$ He called attention to the fact that such heary duties were practically in favour of the trade of the United States. in view of the large facilities for smuggling granted by the long frontier between Canada and the States. He added that when an authenticated copy
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of the A. arrived low would probally fed bomed to assent to it. but he comsidered it his dhey no less to the ( ohong than to the Mother Comutre to express his regret that the exprocome of timgland, which has fully prowed the injurions




 the C'mathan producer by the colmial consumer, whose interests, as it seems to me hate not been sulticiently convidered on this oreasion. La a kater dispath of Novernber as. Is.59. the secretary of state forwaded a hetter foom the Privy Comed for Trath in which it was said :-
They think, hewever, that in leaving the det to its operattion. Her Majestys (iovernment shonld express their regret that the fiseah reguireme ents of (amada shonld have compelled it to renort to a measinte so objectionabke in prine iphe, and their apprehension of the ingmionseffert which $i$ is eak ulated to produce upon the induatrial progrese of the prowine ese

On Nowember 11. 18.59, the (6overnow sent back a reply from the Canadian (in. ©erment prepared by Mre (afterwand Sir) A. (Galt, in which the following vindication was givern of the prine iples which should regulate the relations in these matters of the Home and the colonial Geverments:- -
The Minister of Finamee has the homour respectfully to submit certain remanks and statementonpon the Dispation of His Grane the Duke of Neweastle, dated Angnat 13. amd upen the Memeriat of the Chamber of Commeree of Sheflieht, dated A:gnst 1, tramimitted therewith.
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It is to be deeply regredted that his (imee shombl have: given to su gratt a degree the wright of his silletion to the statements in the Memorial, Withont having previously
 explaning the fiscal poliey of the province and the gronems "pent which it rests. The representations upon which his (iface appear to have formed his opinime are thase of a provincial town in England. profenedle artated hy seltioh motives: and it may faily be chamed for (amada, that the deliberate atets of it: Legishature, representing nearly there millions of people. shombl mot have beren eondemmed by the Imprevial fowermmont on such anthonity. until the finllest opportmity of explamation had been afforded. It is believed that mothing in the Legislation ol' ('mamba warants the expressions of disapproval which are contained in the dispateh of his (iratere, but that on the eontrary dur regare! has been had to tha wellare and prosperity of Her Majesty's ('anadian subjects.

From expressions used hy his (irame in referenee to the sametion of the Provinciad (Ginsoms Aet, it woukd appear that he had even entertamed the sugges!ion of its disallowance ; and though, happily, Hor Majesty has not been so advised, get the question hationg been thas saised, and the omserpuenees of surb is step, if evel adopted, being of the most serions chatacter, it beeomes the duty of the Prowincial (iovermment distimetly to state what ther eonsider to be the position and rights of the ( amadiam Legislature.

Respert to the dmperial finvermment must always dietate the derime to satisty them that the porlere of this rometry is meither bastily mor wnisely formed; and that due regard is had to the interents of the Jother ('onntry as well ats of the
 Leegislathre amb perple eamot. through those fechings of deferenee whis! they awe to the dmperial anthorites, in ans mamore waise or diminish the right of the people of C'anala to deride for themseh es both as to the mode allad extent to: which tatation shall be imposed. The Provine ial Ministry are at all time ready to atfond explanations in regard to the ate of the begishature to which they are party: but subjeet to their duts and allegiamee to Her Majenty, their respmsibility in all wemeral questions of poliey must be to the frovimedal Partament, he where eontitene the administer the aflains of the comatry ; and in the imposition of tasation it is su planty necessaly !hat the Jdministration and the people should be in acoord, that the former cannot admit

## 116: ADMINIS'TRATION AND LEOHSLATION frare v

repernsibility or require appowe I beyond that of the loreal
 if the veews of the lmperial (exvemment were to be prelered to those of the perphe of ('anala. It is, therefore, the duty of the present (iovernment distinetly to attime the right of the ('madian lagislatare to moljet the taxation of the people in the way they decm lesest, even it it shonkl matortumately happen to nimet the disapporal of the lmperial Ministry. Her Majesty cammot be adrieed to disallow such arts, milos her altisers are prepared to assmme the administration of the atfans of the Cohny imeremetive of the vews of its inhahitants.

The lamperial (fowermment are not reponsible for the delts and engagements of Camala. They do not maintain its judicial, ehocational, or eivil service; they contribute mothing to the imemal govermment of the comitry, and the I'rovincial dagishatare, acting through a Ministry directly repmosible to it, has to make provision for all these wants; they must necessanily elatin and exercise the widest latitude as to the natme amid extent of the burthens to be placed upon the industry of the perple. The Provincial (bovermment helieves that his Grace mast share their own convietions on this important subject: hout as serious evil would have resulted had his Crame taken a different course, it is wiser to prevent future complieation hy distinctly stating the position that mu-t be maintained byevery Canadian Administration.

These remanks ate offered on the general principle of Cohmial taxation. It is, however, confidently believed, that hath his (irace been filly aware of the facts comected with the recent ('imadat Customs Aet, his dispateh would not have been written in its present terms of disipproval.

The (amadian (iovermanent are not disposed to assume the whigation of defonding their poliey against sum assalants ass the Shoffied ('hamber of Commerce; but as his Grace appeats to have arecpled these statements as conreet, it may lee well to show how litte the memoriatists really molerstood of the subjeet they have ventured to pronommee upon so rimphatically.

The objeet of the Memmial is • to represent the injury antioipated to the bate of this lown (Shefliede) from the recent advance of the import duties of Canada?. To this it is sufficient reply to state that no advance whatever was mude on Sheffield goods by the C'nstoms Aet in question; the dity was 20 per cent. on these articles enumerated in the
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former tariff, allal the onty ditheremere that they are sow classed as menmmerated, phying the same daty: But ont the wher hamd, he the present tarif, the me material, irm,

 the Act of which the Momombatists eomphain, their position in competing with thr (imadian mambacture is artatly better than moder the previons taditi. Theratahlishment if this latel entirely destross the foree of the whole argmonent in the llemorial, as regats the trade they esperially represent.

The Chamber of ('mmmeres, in the in ansioty to serve the interests of their own trade, have taken mp two positmas from which to anail the Camatian tatill, which are it is a ollceived, somewhat romtradielory. Ther atate that it is intemded to lisiter mative mamaliadures, and ater that it will benetit Eniterlstates manufacturers. It might be sulforent to saly that the taniff cammot powihly effeet both these ohjects. as they are planly antagoni-tie; hat it may be well to put the Chamber of Commerece right on some peint- eommeeted with the eompetition they encombter from the Americall mambaremers. There are eretain deseriptions of hamdware and contlery which are mambindmed in a stperior mamer he the Ameriean and ('madim mamfactmers, and these will not, mader any eiremmatanees, be imported from sheflield. In these geocis there is really mo competition; their relative merits are perfectly well kiown, and the question of duts or price does mot derede where they shatl be bought. It regard to other gooeds in whid Nheflied has to compete with the lonited states, it can he casily shown that me alvamtage can by bessibility be enjoved be the lone eigere in the ('anadian matret, heemore sheffiedi is able now to export very langely of the ve very goode to the Ameriean markes. paring a duty of $\theta^{4}$ per cent.. and competing with the Amerionn makrit. Certamly. thent, in the ('mada market Shedfied, paying omly 20 per eemt, duty, em have mothing to fear from American eompetition, which is subjeet also to the same duty, ame even if admittod abmalately free, womld yet be son.ewhat lese able to compete that in the Litumstates. The fate is, that eretain geneds are bought in the Sheflield marked, and eetain in the Americam. We have in (banda thatesmen who make goods similar to the American, but mot to the Sheffied ; and if our duty operates as am encouragement to manofacturers, is is rather agamst the Ameriean than the English mannfacturer, as amy one acgmainted with this comtry well knows.

## 

 the primeiphe "pwon which the ratuat ion of gomels for dity is made by 'amma, which is on the vahe in the marker where

 Pake'll at theip vahoe in the Conited Nitates. 'This monde of
 and is athpted with the deliberate intemtion of emonotagines the dieed trale. as will be hown hervaftere

The reple of the Buate of Trade imbleater the danger that inthatries which grew "p emeler protection wonlal always
 shown to be a real onte, thongh Mr. (all wation then athle to agree with the foreast, and thongh high pretection was int moneed only in lsiat after the retmen of the Macedonald Ministry in tsix. Bht apant from that comsideration, which

 allil that Was the las attempt of the lamperial fiowermment

 preted of having sympathized with the Ciplere Honime of Vieforiat in the dispute of Istig-b, regarding the tacking of the new tariff for protertion to the Apropriation bill.

In Axill a strong desire manifested itsedf for the adoption wh inter-erolomial free trade bepween the Anst matian Cotonies athe the Cotong of New Zaland. Int the dittionlty was that




 Four a tince fereling tan high in the (inhnios. athl efforts were made to sernere a eomerosion form the lmperial devernment of firther powers. In dantary tstis, the lamerial (dovern-





## 







 basis. 'Thr conterenere, however. with the vie on which New Kobland and Quecondand were in hatmone Honght mot presemt at the conforeotere, were in tavore of the right to

 asked only shed privileges as have heren eiten un the catee of



 18:II. Was a long minute hey the Athorney-feneral ut Ners Zealand.' who argmed that there eonht he now insuperahb. wheretion to an aramgement which had existed in the caso of Cimada belore federation moder laws of lasis and of 1 stad of Cantada, and $\operatorname{c}$. 8 of the Revised statutes of Nowa Sootia. and le prointed out that there was no treaty known to hint Which bomme eombtres to reeceve national treatment if one Golony made comeessions to amother Colony, thonghthe Belgian treaty of 1862 forbade the Colonies to give preforence to the Mother Country: On October $27^{-2}$ the (fovernor forwarded a copy of the inter-eolonial free-tade Bill (No. fi3). Which wise admittedly ultru rives, but which it was dewired shombl be rendered legal by lmperial legiolation. Ont October $\boldsymbol{s}^{3}$ the (invernor of somblat Anstia sent lome a petition on the greation from the Parlament asking for the repeal of the prowision againat ditferential daties. and the Padiamunt of Now Zealand pased a Bill (No. ! ! ! $)$ for the purpore of anthorizing reciprocity with the Ans: tralian Colonies. ${ }^{\prime}$
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The whole position was smmed nf by the Serevelay of Nitate in a dispatchof doly $1: 3$, $1 \times 31$, as follows:-

I have had for some time meler my consideration dispatches from the Governors of several of the Anstralasian Golonies, intimating tho desire of the Colonial Govermments that any two or more of those Colonies shombld be permitted to conclude agrecments securing to cach wher reciprocal tariff adveltages, and reserved bills to this defere havealready rearled me from Now Zealand and Tasmamian.

It apperass that, whilst it is at present impossible to form a (emeral ('nstoms Union, wing to the conflicting views of the different Colonial (Govermments as to Constoms duties, the opinion extensively prevalk whiels was expressed at the hater-colonial fonference ladel at Mellomene last year, in favome of such a medasation of the law as would allow eath (olony of the Anst mala-iangroupto arlmit any ol the products
 or om bore faromabla torms than similar products and matmiatetures of other combtrics.

At the same time it has mot treed stated to me from athy guarter that the shbioer urgenty presses for the inmediato. decision or action of Hor Majesty therofore, that any delay that may arise in dealing with it will he attributed to its 't '. IC emase, hamely, to the desime of
 ins all its hearings. with a view to arvive at side at sedtlement. as may mot meroly med temporary objects, that eonstitute
 mereial policy.
Tere necessary comsultations with the Boand of Trade and with the Law Gitcers have mavoidably tren protracted to a late perion of the sexion, amd if Har Majenty s (invermment werestantied that bley eombly poperly eonsent to the removal of the restrivtion agatinst differential daties. it would mot the posibhlo now to ohtain for wimportant al measume the atten-
 meats inmpohathe that the introduction of a Bill to eniable the Anst ralasian Colomies to impose differentid dat ies might raise -roions disemsioms and opposition hoth in Parliament
 tre ineonsistent with the principles of Freve Trate and prejudicial to the commoreial and politial relations betweron the different part of the Eimpire. And I feed combent that
the Colonial (bovermments will not regret to have an opportmity atforded them of findher hiondly disenssion of the whole smbjert after leaming the views of llem Majestys Govermment nown it, before any tinal eomehsion is armed ats. I will therefore proeed to motice points which serom to Her Majesty` (dovermment to require partientar examination.

The (fovermment of New Vealand appears, from the bill laid before the Homse of Representatives and from the finamoial statement of the Treasmer, fo lave originally contemplated the granting of ipereial bommes to gorols imported into New Zealand from the other Anstralasian Colonies. As, however, this experliont was mot eventally adopted, I am relieved from the neressity of dinenswing the objections: to such a mode of avoiding the rule agrainst ditferential dnties.

The proposal now before me raises the following quentions, namely;

1. Whether a preverlent exists in the rase of the British North Amerisan Colonies lor the redanation of the pate or law now in forec:
2. Whether Her Majesty : Preaty ohligations with any Forrign Power interfere with sheh relaxation?
3. Whether a general pewer shomid be given to the Anst ralasian (dovermments to make recipmoal tarifi arrangements, imposing differontial dhtios, withont the romsent of the fimperial Govermment in rach partienlar cans?
4. Whether, ont gromals of gencoal lmperial policy I! 10 proposal ean propery be adoptad:

The Attormer-Goricral of New Zadand, in his Repont aecompanying the reserved Bill, observes that its man prosvisions are almost a literal dopy of provisioms which have been for some time past in foree in Cbandat and other North American Colomies; and I observe that, in the varioms combmonications before me, the angment is repeaterlly presered that the Anst malasian (oldonies are entitled to the same treatment in this respert as the North American Colonies. It may be as well, therefore, to explain what these provisioms alethally atre.

I enchose extracts from the Acts of Newfommand ${ }^{t}$ and Prince Edwaml listand ' of the vear 1850; Int I need mot
 frmer Act gave profential terms t, Nowa Notia, New Branswick, Now



## 

dwell пpont them, beranse as dealing with a limited list of raw materials and prodmer mot imported to those (oblonies from Earope they are harlly, if at all, applicable to the present rase, and I shall refin only to the Aet pased by
 conactment principally redied пpon as a precedent.

Sehedale I) of this Aet (exempts from dhty erertain speritied raw materials and prochere of the Britioli Nowlt American Provinces, and the thixt wetion enacts that any other articles than those mentioned in seherlule 1 ). being of the growth and prodnce of the Britivh North American Provinces.
 the fenverner in 'ommeil'.

This. which was one of the limst Acts of the lecgislathere of the newly-onstitated Dominion in its opening session, Was passed in the experetation that, at Ho distant date. the othere Possersions of Her Majesty in North Ameriea womld berome part of the bominion. and the assemt of Her Majestys (forermment to a measime passed in cirommatamers so perentian and exerptional camot fomm ameredent of maversal and
 that the Anstralasian (iovermments are justitiod in citing it as an example of the admission of the primeiple of differential daties.

With referenere the areond grestions, as th the existencer of any 'lumaty. the obligat oms of which might be imeonsistent with eompliance by Hom Majesty with the pracolt poposal, the Board of Trathe have informed me that this point comld only he raised in commexion with the lerms of the Preaty
 thromgh the operation of the most-tavomerl-nations . Inticle to all other combtries posesesing rights eonterved by that tipulation.

The Seventh Antiche of that Treatr. Whiels extemb the
 Possersions of Her Majesty. contabine the following prot vision:-

 import duties than the prodnee :if the Cnited Kingdem of Ereat britain and lwatal. or of any ather vomitry, ol the like kind
 preehde Hev Majest from promitting the Lagislatmre of a British I'osstession to impore on artiches hemg the proture
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of the States of the Zalluerein any higher or other import duties than those which are levered ion articleco of the like kind which are the prowluer of and her Britah Pomesesion. prowided
 OII artielles of the like kind. Inding the pronhere of the l'nited Kingdom of Cireat Britain and Irdand.

Bhitt apart from the strict interpertation of the Treaty: it arme rery dontatul whether it would be a wise comer in the part of the Awtratasiam Cohnome. Which, buth ats regarts comigration and trade. has inere rextoriw relations with Germany than with. perhape any wher forvigh comitry.
 vallage in the Cohnial markets.
Proceding to the third question. Whe ber it the prine iple

 the expres saturtion of the hererial foncermment in sach
 alrady sald. to loam that I comeider it open to serime - al ubt

Her Majostys finserment are alone repomsible for the duc observanie of Treaty armagements betwern forcign comeries and the whoke Empire, and it wombl be sameds. possihle for the condonial (Eovermments to foresere the externt to which the trade of other part:- of the Empire might tw. affered by aperial tariff : gle ement- betwern partionlat colonios.
 agrements. being abwedly for the - whmerd benchit of wertainc laswof the commonnity womld be liable to be affecerd by tomprary political diremationco. The dow having bern

 anght in thro prose for exceptiomally favinable treatment
 grounds for ath changes als might her prippoed would ta. intelligible only th these comerned with laval pelitio.

It would appear. therefore. Whe by meran- drat that

 ment. howerer limited : amd while it womld be wery dithonlt for thens th make shell all examination in at satisfactory



It remain- for me lathe. wath how tar it is mexpedient.
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in the interests of wath Colony eonerned. and of the Empire collectively, that the Imperial Parliament shonld be invited to legislate in a direction contrary to the established commere ial poliey of this country:

Her Majesty (iowermome are bomed to say that the measure proposed hy the (oblonial (iovernments seems to them inconsistent with those principles of free trade which thers believe to be alobe permanently condneive to commereial prosperity, nor. as far as they are aware, has any attempt heen ande to show: that any great practioal benefit is expeeted to br derived from reciprocal tarill arrangements between the Anstralavian (olonier.

At all' evente I do not find allywhore almong the paperWhich hase reashed me those otre bey representations and illostrations of the utility or berescity of the measare which I think might fairly be expeeted to ixe adduced as werghing against it- motemiable inconvenisances

It is. indeed. -tated in and adelese hefore me that the
 the serious prejutice of the varions producing interests of the Australian ('olonies. I mekerstand this and similat "xpresions to mean that it is desired to give a suecial


 witl ather parto of the Fompire and ol formon comotries as they would hate withus their onn Colony under as s-tem of protertive dution. What is ermed recturocity is thas. in reality. prentertion.




 free trade the: hatere relinqui-lacil all intereforeve in the the

 the eflere of proteretion tor the mative prother.

But a proposition that in onde part of the Fore pire. ©om







Her Majesty:s subjects thronghont the Empire, and nowhere more than in Anstralasia, have manifested, on various oceasions of late their strong desire that the connexion between the Colonies and this Country shomk be maintained and wtrengthened, but it can hardly be dombted that the imposition of differential duties upon British produce and mannfactures most have a tendency to wraken that connexion, and to impair the friendly feeling on both sides, which I am confiche your ( 0 owernment, as muchas Her Majesty's Riovernment desire to preserve.

I have thonght it right to state frankly and unreservedythe views of Her Majcsty's Govemment on this subjeet, in order that the Colonial Covernments may be thoroughly aware of the natmre and gravity of the points which have to be deeided; but I do not wish to be menderstood to indicate that Her Majesty* (iovernment have, in the present state of their information. come to any absolute eonchsion on the guestions which I have disensed d.

The objections which 1 have pointed ont to giving to the Colonies a general power of making reeiprocal arrangements would not apply to a cistoms mion with a uniform tariff, and although such a general monon of all the Colonies is. it appears, impracticahle it may be worth while to eonsider whether the difficulty might not be met by a ('nstoms union between two or more colonies.

In reply to this dixpateh there was a meeting of Premiers in Mebbourne in $1 s i l .^{1}$ when it was agreed to press further upon the lmperial fiosermment the desire to be given a free hand in these matters of inter-colonial preference. To these disparches a reply was sent by Lond Kimberkey on April 19, 18:2.2 in the following terins:

Her Majenty: (Govermment have had before them your Bispateh, No. of the of amelabethedispateres fiom the Gevermons ot the other Anstralasian Colonies, of whels copies are encloed. in reply to my rirenlar dispateh of duly 13 of las vand.

A- ihe rexolutions migned her the delegates of the Anstrabian (. Feniss and the memorandmen eomeving the views of the Ata \%aland fiwermment relate to the same -ubjere, it will be 'envernient that I shonld deal with them in the same di-jate ta


## 117: IDMHNISTRATION ANI LEEGISLATION |PART

a cont roversy on points of detail, as to the tariff arrangements wif the Colonies. On the contrary believing. as they do. that
 (1) misunderstandings and differences, they are ansions that their derision on the questions now before them should be based upon broad primeiples of policy. so as to awod the irritation which is shre to arise from comstant demands on the one side and concessions on the other. But after an attentive eomsideration of the varions doemments submitted (1) them. Her Majosty © (iovernmont are of opiniom that. lowking to the gravite of the isolles mased bey the colonial Emermments, insolvins as they do. the commereial relations (1) the whoke Empite and ceen the right of the Imperial fourmment to eonemele treatios binding the (oblomies. they
 dianosom, Basmmeh as it appears to them to berequired, in order that the nature and extent of the questions which have to be detemined may he lulty moderetomed. both in this comotry and in the Colonios. I will. therefore proceed to reamine the femands which are now put forward.
Thre resolations signed by the delegrates from New South Wales. 'Tasmania, Somth Amstralia, and Videriad. cham that the Anstralian dolomies ahall have the right to make arrange-ment- with earl othor for commereial reciprexity, that no treaty -hall be conchated by the haperial fowernment interfering with the exoreme of such right : and that lmperial mederence with inter-colonial tiveal legistation shall abonluthy case.

The sesohtion- -igned be the dedegates from New Sonth Wiales. Jasmaniar and Sonth Anstralia. enter into finller 1heath- They mantain the right of the Australian Legislatlure : ontwh llacir tiseal policy ans between themsolves,
 1)..y. ..... the chesere that the eommexion between this
 that haly that any treaty cain lar constitutionally made
 1at What foregh fomemments onght not to le allowed to bevane parties to stipulations rexpereting the trade of whe part of the limpite with amother. Whether he hand or sea: the derelam that, if the Articke in the Treaty with the Zadturein!, referred to in my abose-mentioned dipateh. were interpreted an as to prevent the Anstralian (oblonies Tom imposing difterontial duties as between themselves and foreign comentries, those Colonies would clain to be considered

## 

free from the obligation : and they refor to the agreement between New צouth Wales and Vietoria as to border duties. as a precedent for reeiprocal arrangements between the Gobonies. Lastly, the delegates who sign these resolentions. whilst ther arree that efforts should be made in the ('olonial Legislatures 10 provide for mutual freedom of trade. assert the right of the (olmies which they respertively represent to impore such datios on imports from other plares. not being differential. as eateh solomy may think tit.
 the New Zealand fowernment. commencem by an cxamination of the Alets which have heen pased. givinig to the Britioh Sorth American Colonios certain powers an toreciprocity with each other and with the laited Stater: it then procerds to disernses the ghestion of treaty obligitions and on this p,oint it whareses that 't is a matter which should create mueh satisfaction on broad and conlightened mational grounds that the right of Her Majosty ( indonien to make between themselves arrangements of a fed ral or rexiprocal nature. without contlieting with treaty agreomento. has been recongized :

Ther New Zealand (iovermment thank it would have been demomalizing to the yomer commomition of Abstralasia had they been tatughto belicere that reeciprocal tariff arrangements between the (ohmies were ineorsistent with Her Majenty゚s Praties with Foreign Powers. but that they cond werride the spirit of such treaties her the subterthge or evasion of a ('lastoms mion

They suggen that the objeet of the Zollverein Treaty seems to be to prewent the colonion making such receprectal arrangements with the United Kingdom of Geat Britain and Ireland as from time to time may be fomed desirable: and they ask why a Foreign Preaty should contain a provision tending to prechade the mion of different paide of the Empine:
 -hombl not be combined to that of mere inter-commial arrange ment.

It may be for the intere of the Australian Condore just as much as it hats beon for that of the Briti-h Ambrican
 artielos from the l'nited States on from some other enmoter It is despable that the Sereretary of state shemble detione the por-ition of the Australasian ( otomios in this respect.

They conclude ber pointing ont that (ireat Britain must
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logieally do onte of two things-either leave the colonie: untettered diseretion; or. if whe is to regulate tarifis or reciprocal tariff arrangements, or to make treaties afferting the colonies, give to the Colonies representation in matters attereting the limpire. In other words, she must aply in some shape to the Empire that federation which, as bet ween the Colonies themselves. Her Majesty's Ministers comstantly recommend. 'Tio nrge the right of Great Britain to regnlate these matters muler present circmmstances, is to urge that the interests of the coolonies shond be dealt with in the absence of the requixite knowledge of their wants and roy mirements.

It is apparem fonce that there propositions, taken toHether, go far Beyond what was mulerstood ber Her Majesty:Government obe the original request-mimely, that the Australasian Cohnies should be permited to condide agreements amongst themselves securing to cach other reefiprowal tariff advantages.

I will deal, in the first place. with the point raised as to the obligation of the Anstralian Colonies to conform to the seventh Article of the Zollverein Treaty.

Her Majestys ( (overnmemt apprelne that the constitutional right of the Queen to conelucle treaties binding all parts of the E:mpire cannot be questioned. suljeect to the diseretion of the Parliament of the Cnited Kingdem or of the Cobonial Parliaments, as the case may be, to pass any haws which may be required to bring suld treaties into operation.

But mi Acts of the Anstralian Legislatures conld be neressary to give validity to a stipmation against differential duties, inasmurh as. by the Austrotian C'blomien Government
 doty shall he imposed newn the importation into any of the said colonics of any article, the produce and mambacture of or imported from, any partientar ountry or plate. which -hall mot be cynally impoted on the importation into the same Colony of the like article, \&ce. from all other comentries and place whatsonser . And the comstitution Aets of Xien Sontl Wakes Vietoria, and (blu nland contain like

 (oblnial hogivature from lewing any duty, imposing ang prohibition ow westiction. of granting ally exempton of priviloge upen the impertation or cexportation of any artic le: contary to. ar at vinime with, ally treaty comblated by Her Majesty with any foreign Power.
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It, therefore, Artiele VII of the \%olleerein 'lreaty were conserned to prevent the distralian (olonies from inyosing higher ditic- $\quad$ poon goeds imported from the Zollverein than upongoods imported from cach where it is manifest that Her Wajesty wonld not have excereded her tom-titntional permerin agreering to such a stipulation, and that the (olomies
 repudiating the teats.

Her Majorty (iovemment, after a further carelal examimation of the ${ }^{\circ}$ gollverein 'reaty. remain of opinion that the atrict literal interperetation of the serenth Artiele of that treaty does not preehade the imposition of ditioremt ial duties in one British Colony or lomanown in fanome of the produce
 the same time proint out that it conld hatdy lave beren intended that. by reeprocal armagements betwern (obonies. perlap: far distant from each others the prodhere of the Zollverein shonld be placed at a disadrantage ase compared with Comonial produce. Whilsi ('olomial produce shonld enjoy. in the perts of the Zollverein. all the privilegen of the most facomed nation.

No doubt the megotiators of the treaty thonght that ther had obtained smificient seromity for the Zollwerein, as regards the menerondonial trate. he the provision that. in the Polonices and Posexsoiome of Har Majesty. the produce of the States of the Zollverein shomblat be suhject to any higher or other impert chaties than the produce of the Enited Kingedom : but if the (colonies are to be at liberte to impose differential dution as arsionst Britial produce, it is obsions that this serority altergether disiappeats.

Aphert howerer from the obligations of existing treatios. it is neeresaly to romsider the rifere of the gencral views capresicd he the Anstralian and New Wealand (iovernanents on the sulyjeet of ('ommerecial Treatice.

It is ease to moterstand the cham isanded in the seromed of the mesolutions to which the Vioforian delegates were parties. that no treaty entered into hy the Imperial (iowernmout with any foreign lower shoulal in any way limit or impede the exereise of the right of the Anstralian colonies to enter into reripmoral tarifl armagements with wath wher hat it is not at tirst sight wh flear what is racant hy the statement in the other set of menhations that motreaty ean be poperle or comstitutimally made which direetly on indireret!


It weme inconsistent to objeret to stipulations whirli treat
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the cobmiew nes separate commmities, so tar nes relates to Hheir tixalal arrangerment- oll the gromed that the colonioss
 the same time set up lay the Colmies to treat the liated Kingedom itself as a furigu commmity, by impongy differonfial duties in fa come of wher parts of the Euppire, a a gatinst Britisis produce.

But the memings is, 1 appreflend to be gathered from the

 reperetiug the twate of onl: pant of the Empire to allothere. whether les land or as: : : mad lut ther light io throw
 that the whjeet of the treaty with the \%olluerein sereme the
 with the L'inted kinedom. Hat of Gireat Britain were to comfederate here bompire it might, and probably wombd. be a conclition that. thromghent the Empire. there shombld be
 Treaty is to make (ireat Britain hold there relation of a furcigh an miter 'th her colonice:

It sermas. therefores to follow that, int the opinion of some at least of the Anstralinian fowermments. the porte of the L'nited kingdem shombl mit. as at present, be open to the prodhere of the whole word on "plual terme. but that the
 porte: ore in wher vo.ed. that we should abandom the prinephes of frere trad a and return to the old erstem of differemtal duties. The Ni.w Kealand Momoranduni. indered.
 mann rmblacing the whole Empire but it mate perhaps. be thomght that if hat herol fomed impowible the aljacent

 rancely woth white to convider the pmibility of an vast
 eattered wer the whole ghone moder suld widely varying
 from the insuperable practical diftiontios of ath as scheme. it is sufficient to print out that it-realts, if it rombld bo adepted. would certainly wet be to pomote the views of commerwial puhere set forth int the papers now muler comvideration. For. in weh al Customs mion, (iveat Britain. with her wealth and permbation. mast, for an indethite

 in deteremer th the viess of the dolomies, depart from the prime iple of free tade, under which the trade and commerere of the Fempire hat attained to stel mexampled prosperity.




 have for their wisjeet the remosal of the hatriops to trate

 reverme of the mited eombtios. Sut the formation of sind
 to mative indastry, now of inequality of tratment of imports from ronntries mot belonging to the mano. On the other
 lo condeder are not combined to the pomotion of free inter-

 imports from other place in retmon for comeoponding contomsions. It is mo fouht tome as the Xew Zabland Hemonamlom points ont, that rexpority agrement might armewhat mitigate the evits of the retaliatory taritts of a








 lishing the prime ghe of differemtial dhtie-

 have reared to intertere with the right of the self-governing


 pheres mot being ditherent ial as cally 'olong may think tit." they would have no reason for intorfering with the tight of a
 wontd be nothing in the mion itevf. as there would be in the proposed reciprocity argerments. inconsistes: with
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the maintenance of the present rule aremat differential duties.

Moreover, if the principle of diflemential duties were admitted, it would be very difficult to limit the applieation of the prineiple to agreements between particular Colonies.

The New Zealand Memorandum pointe out that the vast limits of the Cnited States bring that country into ready commumisation with Australia as well as with British America, and that it may be for the interests of the Austratasian Colonies, just as much as it has been for that of the British Ameriean Colonies, that arrangements should be made to admit free articles from the United Stater, or from some other country.'

These are the logical consequences of the adoption of the system of reciprocity agreements, but no such questions are involved in the establishment of a Customs union.

It is observed in tho New Zealand Memorandum that the measure proposed by the Colonial Governments may be used to make similar arrangements to those whid were introduced in the treaty with France, devised by the late Mr. Cobden.

Hor Majestys (iovermment would ecrtainly have no gromed for objection if the (inmial Govermments proceeded upon the principles which were arted upon by this country. in the case of that treaty. Instead of establishing differential duties, the British fiovernment extended to all countries the benefit of the concession made to France : and, far from seeking any exclusive privileges for British trade, they cherished the hope, unfortunately now frustrated, that the treaty would pave the way to the complete adoption by France of the system of free trade with all nations.

Some stress is laid upon the agreement made in 1867 between Victoria and New South Wales respecting the duties on the land frontier between the two Colonies, as affording a precedent for reciprocity agreements between the Colonies. It appears to me that the agreement of 1867 was rather of the nature of a limited Customs union. No differential duties were imposed under it upon goods entering the ports of Victoria or New South Wales; but, so fir as concerned commereial iniercourse by land, the two Colonies were united, the loss to the New Soutli Wales Treasury by the arrangement being redressed by a yearly payment of $£ 60,000$ by Victoria.

The precedents in the case of the North American Colonies are, however, to a certain extent in point, as I have already admitted in my dispatch of July 13 last year. It may indeed be observed that, as the whole of the British Posses-
sions ont the Continent wif North Americe are now miterl in one Dominion, the application of the principle of intercolonial reciprocity is excoedingly limited, being eonfincal to Prince ledward Island and Xewfoundland; and that, as regards reciprocity hetween the Dominion and the Enited States, the contiguity of their respective territories athon a frontier line now exten ling arows the entire continent renders the case so peruliar, that the preecelent camot fairly be applied to the eommereial relations of Australasia, which is separatal from the United Stater by the Pacifie Ocean.

But it eannot be denied that reciproeity hargams may be made between countries far remote from cach other, and that the ever-increasing facilities of communication between all parts of the world must remeler it more and more diffieult to maintain distinctions based upon merely geographical comsidrations.

All these complications wonld be avoided if the Colonies adhered to the free-trade poliey of this eomentry Not th: least of the advantages of that policy is that, as it seeks to secure no exelhsive privileges, it strikes at the root of that narrow eommereial jealousy which has heen one of the mont tertile cansen of international hatred and disisensions.

Her Majestys (iovermment heheve that protere ionist tarifis: and differential duties will do far more to weaken the comnexion between the Muther Country and her Colonies than any expressions of opinion in favour of a severance, such as are alluded to in the resolutions of the delegates from three of the Anstralian Colonies.

Whilst, however, Her Majesty`s (iovermment deeply regret that any of the Australasian Colonies shombl be disposed to reeur to what they believe to be the mistaken policy of protection, they fully recognize, so far as the action of the Imperial Government is coneerned, the force of the observations made by the Chief Secretary of Victoria in his Memorandum of October 7, 1871, ' that no attempt can be more hopeless than to induce free self-governed states to adopt exactly the same opinions on such questions as free trade and protection which the people of England happen to entertain at that precise moment ' : and they are well aware, to use again Mr. Duffy's words, ' that the Colonists are naturally impatient of being treated is persons who cannot be entrusted to regulate their own affairs at their own diseretion.'

Similarly, Mr. Wilson, Chief Minister of the Tasmanian Government, in his Memorandum of September 11, $1871,=$

[^25]
wherves that it is only on all abset ract theory of the superion aheantages of a free-t racte pulier. that the sereretary of sitate whjects to a proposal which seems to simetion proteetion, - Inder the name of reciprocity. These are verews. he goes on to state, 'which can find mo acerentance with ('ohomial Legis:fatures, muler a system of ('onstitutional diovernment.' It is ohvions that a probonged cont rovere on a smbjeet on which the opinions enteltained on either side are unfortunately, so entirely at variance, womld not tend to promote the prineiples of free turde, opposition to which wonkl become identified in the minds of the Colonists with the assertion of their rights of relf-government, and that it conld seareely fail to impair those relations of cordial and intimate friendship, which both the Imperial and the Colonial Governments are equally desirous to maintain.

But althongh for these reasons: Her Majestys Government might not feel justified in refinsing to allow the Colonists to adopt the policy which they think hest for their own interests. they desire to point ont that, in orler to meet the views of the Colonial fovernments as expressed in the papers now before me, it wonld be neecessary not mily to repeal so mueh of the Australian Colonies Government Act, $1: 3$ \& 14 Viet. e. 59 , as prevents the imposition of differential duties, but to exempt the colonies in question from the operation of any future commercial treaties which may be coneluded by this comitry, containing stipulations against such duties, leaving them at liberty, subject to the obligations of existing treaties, to make such arrangements as they may think fit, for reciprocity with each other, or with foreign nations; and before so serious a step is taken, they would ask the Colonists gravely to consider the probable effeets of a meswure whieh might tend materially to afferet the relations of the Colonies. to this eountry and to the rest of the Empire. In the meantime they have thonght it right not to proceed in this matter until the Australasim Govemments eoneemed have had an opportunity of eommunieating any further olservat ionswhich they may desire to make in explanation of their views.

The response to the intimation of the views of the [mperial Govermment was satisfactory: Pasmania repeated the request for legislation, and expressly pointed out that it only asked for powers as to inter-colonial duties. and Vietoria coneurred in this view. as did Queensland. New Zealand ${ }^{1}$

$$
\text { Parl. Pap.. C. .万66. jp. } 57 \text { seq. }
$$
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argued all over again the question as regards the question of right to have treaties with differential duties in the ease of foreign countries as well, but in 187: a conferenee at Sychey representing all the Colonies and New Zealand asked for powers as to Australasian inter-eolonial duties only, and these were eoneeded by the Imperial Aet of $1853,{ }^{1}$ which, however, contained still the prohibition of differential duties in case of other British territorios and foreign states and duties contrary to treaties.
The clauses of the Imperial Acts as to differential duties were not finally removed until the passing of the Act of 1895.2 The passing of that tet was the outeome of the Ottawa C'onferenee of 1894 , to which allusion will be made elsewhere. The conference asserted the principle of preference among the different parts of the Empire. and demanded the abrogation of the treaties of $186: 2$ with Belgium and of 1865 with the Zollverein, which hampered the granting by the Colonies of a preference to the Mother Country. It was not deemed experlient at that time by the Government of the clay to accede to that request, but they yielded to the further request that all legal fetters on inter-colonial preference should be removed, and they aceordingly repealed by the Act of 1895 the proviso to the Aet of $1873,{ }^{3}$ which lays down that no new duty shall be imposed upon and no existing duty shall be remitted as to the importation into any of the Anstralian Colonies of any article, the produce or manufacture of any particular country, which shath not be equally imposed upon or remitted as to the importation into such Colony of the like artiele the produce or manufacture of any other country ${ }^{\circ}$.

It is somewhat curious that the Imperial Government should have treated C'anada so differently in this regard in the early days before fecleration: it is clear from the cases which were cited by the New Zealand Government ${ }^{4}$ in the ${ }^{1} 36 \& 37$ Vict., c. 22; Hansard, cexr: 1008-2011; cexvi. 153-8; cf. Holland, Imperium et Libertas, pp. 288 seq.
: $58 \& 50$ Vict. c. 3; Hansard, xxxi. 646, 647, 699, 852, 1533, 1534.
${ }^{3}$ Also 13 d. 14 Vict. c. 50 , s. 27.

- Parl. Pap,, (!. T03, pp. 8 seq. Uf. Housc of C'ommons Papers, 1846, $\mathbf{x x} \mathrm{xii}$. $27-55$; 1856t, sliv. 169-71; cf. 1864. x1. 697 : Adderley, C'olnnial Policy. p. 58. 127: 3
case of the argoment that since 18 gol the Imperial Government had assented sometimes rehetantly, sometimes quite readily, to a system of inter-colonial preference in that Dominion, 1 on donbt in anticipation of federation. Mor:over, the Imperial Government were most maxious for reciproeity with Ar erica for Canada, a.ad arranged such a measure in the 'Preaty of $1854 .^{1}$ wheh permitted Canada to accept better terms in American markets than those aecorded to England. The difference of treatinent corresponded no donbt in great measure to the date when the question arose, and when the question of chfferential duties had become a matter of mueh more serious consideration than it was in the early days of Canada, when free trade was slcwly developing. Moreover, it is clear that some of the objections felt by the Imperial Government were based Oll a not unnatural reluctance to see the tariff barriers already rising in Australia increased us against England.

As a matter of fact, after all the enthusiasm of the Colonies for the Act of 1873 they took no real advantage of it, and the benefits of inter-colonial preference began only to be seen in quite recent history, when Canada commeneed the plan of geanting the Imperial Government preference. Mr. Seddon, after arrangeneents in 1895 with South Australia and ('anadi, adopted the plan of arranging a preferential agreement in 1906 with the South African Customs Union, whieh is still in foree, and under which the two Dominions exchange reductions on certaid artieles of produce. A similar agreement was negotiated by Australia with New Zealand, but the agreement failed to secure, p proval in New Zealand, and has so far not been revived. Negotiations between Canada and Australia have not led yet to any agreement."

[^26]The Cammian preference tirst acorded in $18: 97$, when its appearance was celehated by once of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Rudyard Kiphing's best poems, wa- increased at the next revision of the tariff, and stands.:" ill very high in favour of Ciceat Britain. ${ }^{1}$ It is conceded entirely as a free gift in recompense for the part played by the lmperial power in the Fimpire, and it is given without conditions, though alike in 1902 and 1907 at the Colonial Conferences Canada offored finther preference in return for a preference in British markets. It has recently heen reognized by the Royal Commiswion, which has suggested the basis for a reciprocity arrangement between Canada and the West Indies, that any advantage extended to these Colonies by Canada shall be accorded gratis to the Mother Comntry. 'Ihis, it will be seen, is in aceordance with the principles laid down in regard to these negotiations as regards foreign Powers by Lord Ripon in 1894, but it was not the principle adopted in the Aet of $1 \times 73$, which allowed the Colonies of Australia to shut out the Mother Country from ary inter-colonial preference.

## § 2. Currancy

The intervention of the Crown in curreney matters can be disposed of briefly. Coinage is a royal prerogative, and currency figures prominently among the earlier cases of disallowance. In 1843 a New Brunswick Act was disallowed because the rates of value of the coins were not specified correetly: ${ }^{2}$ In 1845 a refusal was sent to a proposal by the Legislature of Prince Edward Island that it shonld be allowed to issue $£ 10,000$ in Treasury notes, redeemable in fifteen years, and a contemporaneons request to be allowed to suspend the repayment of Treaswry iotes was also refinsed: ${ }^{3}$ In 1851 a Canadian Act of $1850(c \cdot 8)$ in respect of charency, which the Governor-General had assented to, Was disallowed on the ground that it ought to have been reserved under the royal instruetions, that it purported to confer upon the

[^27]
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 it fixed withomt the previons consent of the Imperial fiovern-
 setting the cont ool of that diovermment regarling currenery' Other Bilk were paseed in 18.11 and $18 \overline{3} 3$ dealing with the subjeet, but there was mo further infringement of the prerogntive, and the Bills were not to take difeet until after the royal satuction had been obtained. The coinage Acts of the Dominion emacted in 1871 ( 6,4 ), and con-
 the rogal prerogative, aul provided for the issue of a roynd proclamation fixmg the nominal rates at which coins struck for mee in Conada wore current. By an Act $9 \& 10$ Edw. VII. c. 14 the whole atfiair is now placed on a statutory basis, und the (fovernor in 'ommeil is given the royal muthority.

In 1866 the Governor of Queensland was pressed by his ministers to ronsent to the issue of an inconvertible paper currency, but the Gowernor dectined to do so, thongh there Was at financial crisis, suggesting instead the issue of treasury bills coupled with the introduetion of fresh taxation. This course his ministers refused to acerpt, and tendered their resignations, thongh he pointed out that he wass acting in necordance with the royal instructions, which, as then worded. forbade the assent of the (iovernor to the passing of nny Bill making paper legal tender: He agreed. however, to let them introduce the Bill into Parliament, white he madertook to communicate with the Secretary of siate. but as they insisted on resigning he sent for Mr. Mroment. Who took offiee, and introdnced a Bill allowing the issile of treasing bills for E3m, olow, which was promptly pasect. Afterwards rentain of the colonists petitioned for Sir George Bowen's recall heeanse of his action in this ease, but he was upheld by the seeretary of state:-

In the Newfombland erinis of 18.9 the (iowemor tele-

[^28] to a bill lor registering the gentes of the sevelal billes, athel endorsing the motes with a (invermment madratere of payment at a valation reporterl ise a juint eommittere of thr two Houses of the Legiskature, amblarmging for their payment in due consse hy the (iovermment if the limets of
 told that her rombl mosent. it heing maderstand that tho
 of the notes by ant horizing sinch assellt.'
 Set resperting currency notes which was loot to conlle inte foree until the royal approval hat been given, and this approval was duly given in due conse; the emmency motex not being really a form of paper currency at all, hat being orders for money piamble to men employed on publie works. or given hy way of reliet instead of cash, to save risks of hoss and of delay. Such notes are presented tor piyment to the merehants of the capital, and are at once ley them converted into eash.

A new departure has been taken in 1909 by the ('ommonwealth of Anstralia. Hitherto it had been content te aneerp the usual system in force in those Colonies where British money is the legal tender. In these cases the Cohny Was not responsible for the provision of silver conagers to such vtent as might be necessary : they were entitled to whtain at coins they desired from the 'Treasury on paying the a value, while the British (iovermment remaned responsible for carriage, the renewal of worn-ont coins and wot forth reesiving on the other hand the bemefit of the profits on the roinages:- 'The Commonwealth (iovernment at the 'oloniad Conference of $1900^{-3}$ asked that they might reverive a share

2Per comtra, the phaces which wse mom-British allere cointige have them

 litle and Jurisdiction beyond the Soas, pp. 2 B 3n.
 15s 63; 57t5. $\mu \mathrm{p}$. 168. 164, 370, 371; 574; 1. .1. 244.
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 by the lmperial mint in lambors. 'Thi- rointage has Hos









 of which are maler the eontrol of lise limperial (eoremoments, and work in aldervance with the rules laid down by that (ione allment, thomgh the cost of the mints is proviled hy
 of the eomages. The gold coins strmet at those mints are salie tender wherever a British gold eonin is valiel temeder. ${ }^{1}$

On the wher hand, there is local legisiation in ('anada regarding local eomages. ther arecoptante of Bhitish gold rurrent in the United Kingelom (. ! ! , the bates and valnes of doblats and cents, and the acepptance of fosedgen coins such as the Ameriean coins. Again, the new silver coinage
 Aet. No. of of lemor, alld the salle Art aleo deale with goled
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 athel are firith. ${ }^{1}$

New Zaaland instill using silser comage imported from the Mint and gold roblage minted in Antralia or in Emsand,






 authoria $\quad \therefore$. 3
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 but the treatment of fureign -hipping is aton al matter of
 "ith regard to such *hpping whinh may be comsidered umfain

 taken may lor contine to a purtion omly of the Eimpire.

Originally it was the mbiversal pratetice to kerpl in the
 merehallt shipping, hat with the disappearather in lst⿳ of the - Sctem inlopted in the Nisigation Aets, greater liberty whe ateorded to the Colonies and the Jerehernt Nhippinet . let of 18.5.' whiel imangrated the new sy: tomprovided hy s. intithat tre leginlative anthority of any Britiah Pusionsion shall
 Majosily in Cominell in repeal wholly er in part any provisions of this Act relating to ships registered in such Possession : but mo surh Aet or Orimatme shatl take effeet until such apploval has been deedared ... such Iossession, or unt il such







 in the shape of a collision off (inpe Jatlia in Some Sustralia, and wider


 for the ploprose.


 - 1. Whi h perovilat that

 time msy meghtar thir resistint lathe of that Britiah Pownex




 herot pramont.
(2) The Art or Ondinamere shall wat all Britivh hip.

 is mindr.

 state ally rights and privilenes in mepet of the roastime





These provisions are reprated in subatomore and wording

 to apply to ally British ship rexingotel in, trothing with, of being at ally purt in the Posecosits. als! provivithe of part ii

 ,1) the A.t deatimg with matera and vermen int the (al- of
 therefore falling antomationally morev part ii, if they tade
 are dabled to issme prodamations with regated to ratigtat iom ships whish are given the fosere of lomprial law, and the
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 "xaminations for certitioaters and :marking of hadlines.

There have been at varions times contlicts between the lmperial (iovernment and Colonial (bwemments as to merchant-shipping legislation.

In C'mada severt Art ${ }^{-1}$ have been anmented to meet the view of the haprerial (iovermment and Actoof Is! and 1893,2 which dealt with hadlines, were never allowed to come inter "peration. as the fimperial deverment were mot satiofied that the Comadian loadline was suffiecontly satisfactority marked as to justify the giving to it of huperial calidity.
The Act still stande as part we of the ('anada Shipping Aol (Reve Nol.. (e. 11:3) of 190w, but is not in foree nutil a proclamation is insmed he the (ionemon-fineral. whel could not be done withome Imperial consent.

Moreower, certan Colomial Acts in Australia hate been questioned on this gromed. But the tirst serious dispnte between a Dominion (Eovernment and the Imperial Government arose in connexiom with the New Zealand Act regarding shipping and seamen of lifin3, which wam reserved by the (iovernor and only assented to just before the period of two vears in which assent is possible was expiring, on the materstanding that the questions raised would be decided by a conference to be held in London::
Similar questions presented themselves in connexion with the Navigation Bill of the Anstratian Commonwealth, which

[^30] Australia, and which formed thir suljoert of eorrespondenere between the Imprerial and Commonwealth fovernments ${ }^{1}$

In the emurse of the disenssion with the (ommonweath Govermment, it was argued hy thr Commomwealth Law Departonent. in a memorandum laid before the Sustralian


 place. that the power to legislate for peace wrom. and good grovermment was wifle emongh to salle tom in the cate of thips extra-territorial jumialietion. latt of mote importance was the argment that the (ommomwalth pesasomed pewer with respeet to navigation and hipping independent of that conferred be the Act of Is:4. and thi view has beret acerpterl by the (ommonwealth (iovermment. Whieh late it down that the power to legistate as to shippine rested ont -a. it it and as of the ('onstitutions. It is clear that this contention is so far
 736 of the Merchame Shipping Act 1894 : that Act afferts the mode of exereising the powere and the legishative anthority depends on the Constitution Act of the Legistatme. The real question at issue is how far these seetions affert legislation by the Dominions. Mr. (iarmansugests that s. $\overline{3}$ ation an enabling clanse and not a restrieting chanse, and on thin theory he has some difficulty in accombting for its provisions. He suggests that it gives an extra-territorial operation ${ }^{3}$ to the law of the Colony, but he is not clear as to what the exact purpose of the section was, hot he holds that it doce not mean that legishation as to coasting trade can omly be valid if earried out in the form deseriber in s. $\quad 336$. that is. subjeet to the rondition

[^31]
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 of containing a suspending rlanse and treating all British vessels wherever registered alike. S. $73 \pi$, he considers, enables a Colonial Legislature to repeal clanses of the Act of 1894 which apply to a (blony, and he suggests that undesw such repeal is neoded. the provisions of $\therefore 735$ as to the insertion in the Act of a slaserending clanse, and the confirmation by Order in commeil, do not need to bre obeerved.As a matter of fate the chases which are now comborlied in s.s. $735^{5}$ and $\overline{3} 36$ ol the . Iet of 1 set were passed to supersede a system of restriction which would have made leghishation
 repugnant to definite provisions of Imperial laws. Ss. 73.5 and 336 are really intended to confor powess to deal with Imperial provisions and to repeal them, and therefore they contain provisions to recure that the lmperial (iovermment shafl be fully consulted before these wide powers are carried ont. Moreover, both these sertions are adequate to confer extra-teritorial validity on the laws of the Colonies passed under them. When this is recognized it will be seen that the clauses are at onee cmabling and restrictive; they give a power to a colonial Legislature which was greater than it would normally have possessed, but on the other hand they imposed conditions upon the exercise of that power, and these conditions. in view of the great Imperial interests involved, cannot reasonably be held to be unfair or unjust. Nor is it possible to accept the view apparently suggested in a dixpateh from Mr. Deakin of June 15, 1908, that the C'onstitution Act of 1900 implicitly repealed the Merchant Shipming Act of 1894 . This primeiple has been contended for by Canada in respect of copyright, but may be regarded as detinitely impossible to be upheld. Moreover, it was admetted in the discussion between the delegates and Mi: C'Lamberlain in IGOH) that the Colonial Lanes I'alidity Aet. IStio. must apply to the commonwealth.

It is another and very difficult matter to deride exactly how fan the Merchent shipping Aet restricts Colonial legisla-
('f. 'Historicuns's letter to The Times, Jume I, Is7t, where in connexion with merchant shipping this ductrine was detinitely refuted.
tion. It is, inteerl, a nore or less eomplete eode and, prima facie, should regulate all British ships which are not reqistered or coasting in the Dominions. But to what extent ram Dominion Parliamonts add further ronditions: To what extent do the positior provisions lad down excherle other provisions being laid down by Dominion Parlament: : For example, the lmperial Act rloes not provide for surver of non-passenger vessels. It is therefore doubtfin whether the aceptance of provisions is to be regarded as forhidding such legislation. or whether it leaves it open for the Parliament of the Commonwealth tor regnire. as it dees in the Navigation Bill. all stemm vesels to be smreyer regularly. On gromels of eonvenience, it has been argioed by merehant shippers in the Cuited Kingdom that as long as they eomply with the regulations laid down by the Boarel of I'rade they should not be subject to other legislation, whether as to survey, the provision of appliances with regard to safety, the aljnstment of compasses, and so forth. But it is not so clear, and in each ease it is a matter for comsideration on the worling of the legislation, whether such legislation is or i- not repugnant to the Imperial Act.

In some cases the rephgnancy is clear but mimportant. For example, the Commonwealtl Navigation Bill and the New Zealand Aet eonfer on the minister and not on the Governor the power to allow a prosecntion for sending a British ship to sea in an muanthy condition, while s. tia of the Imperial Act rearly gives the power, and no doubt deliherately. to the fovernor. The power, therefore, in caseother than thase reforing to registered or coasting vesselmust be held to be given improperly to the minister. and thiis a distinction of some consequence, for the Governor or the minister in a self-governing Colony are not necessarily synonymons. Or again, the New Zealand Art and the Commonwealth Bill transfer to the Dominion and the Commonwealth respectively the proceeds of wreck, which legally in part still belong fo the Imperial Crown. Then again. part siof the Act as to lighthouses apparently restricts the power of Colonial Legislatures to levy light dees, and the
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New Zealand Act and the ('ommonwealth Lighthonses Bill af l!oll beth ignore these seetions. But it serems impossible to arerept the vew that these provisions ane ultre pires. The procednre laid down in the Imperial Aet applies and must be followed if it is desired in virture of that Act to insume the payment of hace bey all vessels, aud the local Act call only be efferetive in regaref to vessels which remme inter the ports on tertitorial waters of the Colony. On the other hamd, it is nof choubtinl that parts i, ii, vi, viii, xiii, and xiv in great measure apply to the (ohmies. There is a chear confliet of juriselietion betwern the prowision of the (omemonweath Narigation Bill. Whieh pohibits the nse in Austratia of a certificate of ann offierel cancedled in the (ommonwealth and then re-issued by the Board of Trade. Un!ess restricted to the case of coasting and registered vesols the rlanse mast be regarded as certainly altorn eires the (ommonwealth Parliament.

The question of the powers to be exereserl by the (iovernments of the bominions: with regald to merchant-shpping legislation was exhantively diselused in 1907, at the Navigation Conference of that vear. Australia and New Zealand wore alequately represented, and though much divergence of opinion displayed itself during the diserssions, ultimately a full agrement was come to with regat to the principles on which the merchant-xhipping leg' ation of the Dominions. should be based.

The disenssion which took phace was, as far as wanpossible compatibly with the nature of the subject, not hased merely on legal grounds or on the interpretation of the existing Aets, but was hased upon considerations of expediency and con enience. The impontant resolution is No. 9asexplaned hy No. Ill, which reads as follows:-1
9. I'sosels to which C'oloneinl C'onditiones are "pmlicable That the vessels to which the conditions inposed by the law of Austratia or New Zealand are applabable should be (re) ressels registered in the Colony, while trading therein,

and (b) veseds "herever registered, white trating on the roast of the © 'nlong: that for the pmpose of thi Resohntion aresed shall be dermed to trad if she take on beard rimed or passengers at any port in the colony to be carried to and landed or delivered at any port in the 'obong.

Passed manimomaly.

> 10. I'mesking Trunl.

I resore engaged in the owersea thate shath not be deemed to engage in the coasting trate merely beeame it rarries between two Australian or New Zealand perts.
(倍) paswengors hokling thongh tickets to or from some oversea place.
(b) merchandive comsigned on thromgh bill of bating to or from some wersea place.

Passed manimonsly.
Since that conference the Parliament of New Kealand in an Act, No. 36 of 1909. has legistated si; as to marry out in its application to New Zealand the remolntion of the conference by limiting to vessels coasting in New Zealand, or registered in the Dominion, the applieation of anch prowisions: of the New Zealand shipping legistation which differ from the provisions of the lmperial Merchent shipping Act: ${ }^{1}$

The onl- point of aly conseguence in which the legistation of New Zealand as contained in the consolidating A.t No. 17s of lgos and in the amending Act of 1900, to which the royal assent was only given in Mareh 1911 on a promise of amendment to restriet the operation of the provision to goods shipped from New Zealad, is open to eritieism, is the provision in s. 4l, which reguites that the conditions lad down by New Zealand shall regulate bills of lading wherever entered into in respert of resseds convering goods to and from New Zealand: ${ }^{-}$

[^32]
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In the case of the Commonwealth of Anstralia the Navigation Bill was recast in $\operatorname{ions}$, so as to correspond generally with the recommendations of the Navigation Conference of 190\%. After further divelssion with the fonernment of the Commonwealth, practically complete agreoment was arived at betweren the lmperial (iovemment and the (.,mmonwealth Government as to the terms of the Bill. The Bill, however, did not pas:; that year, and in 1909 it was not found possible to make substantial progress with :it. It was reintroduced in 1910 and again in $1911 .^{1}$ Practically the only very important point in law in which it goes clearly beyond the recomm . dation of the Conference of 1907 , as interpreted by the Imperial and the Commonwealth Govemments, is a question as to the validity of certificates returned by the Boarel of Trade to offieers of vessels after cancellation in Anstralia. The Bill of the Commonwealth proposes that surblicertifieates should not be valid for use in Australia, while the Imperial Government in 1908 secured the agreement of the Commonwealth Govermment to a proposial that this provision should in necordance with the prinoples laid down at the Navigation Conference of 1907 , be restricted to the ease of ressels coasting in the Commonwealth or registered therein. The Bill insists on the surver of vessels in certain eases. and posibilities of international rifficulties are eontained in the chase requiring ressel: to be unloaded by local "orkers.

There is a certain difference in the legislative powers of New Kealand and those of the Commonwealth of Australia with regard to merchant shipping. The power of New Zealand is limited bys. 735 and 736 of the Imperial Mcechem :hipping Aci of 1894 to regulate the coasting trade and sessels registered in the Colonits.

In the view of His Najesty's Govermment, which rests ont
'Commonwealth Parlinmentary Dibutes, 1914, pp. 3717 seq., 3784 seq, :3881 seqf., 3993 seq., 4173 seq., 4264 seq., 4307 seq., 4388 seq., 4503 seq. i mento was issuef to Parliament showing the rifferences in the Bill from that proposed in lims and the points at iswe with the lmperial Govern-


## 

the highest lexal amthority. there aretion- atemately and exthsively detine the powero of the New Zoahand l'artanment. subjecet to the remark that of eonree the Parliament of the bominion ram re-enart any provicions of the lmperial


 on certain matters to Dominion l'arliaments.'

In the cease of the commonweahth of Am-tratiat there powers are molonbtedly personed by the fommonwealth

 Commonweath shall be in foree on all Britioh shipes, the Qneen's ships of war execpted, whene tiret pert of elearance and whose port of tertination are in the (ommonwath : The meaning of this chanse wonld appear to be to extend the leginative powere of the rommenwealth with regated t:, merchamt shipping not only to regintored vesocte ambl
 not registered of engeged in the comating itade. strictly -peaking. if they fill within the ambit of the worte of the ection. Of conre the section means munh mone than that. in that it pats the other laws of the (ommonsealth in foree on board these veacels, hut with regard to merchant -hipping its effeet must be as stated.

The precise meaning of the clanse has fortmately received judicial interpretation in the Hirh (innt of the Commontwealth in 1908 in the care of The Jerchent SEmece rinild of
 limited.s In that ease a joint stock company regi-ter, i in Victoria were owners of a lime of ships registered in Melbourne. seoms reasonable, it may be added, that the power hould iniat and It be given to the ot! er Dominions. wat the poner wholl exiat ind shmuld
 $1: 9 \cdot 9$
and engaged in trading !etween Anstrahia, ('alloulta, und Sonth Africa. 'The officore of the company' x ships resided in Australia and were engaged there, bit the ships articles were filled in and signed in Calentta. The offieers, though not entitled to be discharged in Australian ports, were allowed to leave at such ports if they wished, with the consent of the master, The ships did no inter-state trade, but oecasionally. made short trips from Calcutta to other Indian ports. The organization of employees to which the officers belonged filed a claim in the Commonwealth Court of Conciliation and Arbitration for the settlement of a dispute between the offieers and their employers as to the wages. hours, and conditions of lahour during the volages of their ships. The matter came before the Commonwealth High rourt on a -pecial rase stated by the President of the commonwealt! Court of Conciliation and Arbitration under s. 31 of the Commonwealth Conciliation amel Arbitration Act. 1904. It was argued in favour of the Merehant Service Guikl that s. i of the Constitution Act must be interpreted in a wide sense. on as to go beyond the powers conferred on the Commonwealth Parliament byse. 73 and 736 of the Imperial Merchant Shipping Act, 1894.
It was also argued that the laws of the Commonwealth should be regarded as applying to disputes between the people of the Commonwea'ih, not only in Australia, but wherever the parties may be.

The Court rejeeted the arguments and decided in favour of the company. They held that in the ease of the ships in question, even supposing that the port of departne was an Australian port, which was doubtful, it was impossible, as a matter of fact, to hold that the port of destination was also within the Commonwealth. 'The only interpretation,' said O'Connor J., 'whieh will give any effective operation. to the section is to take the port of destination as meaning the port of final destination or last port of the voyage. The words of $s$. J would then be taken to deseribe a round royage beginning and ending within the Commonwealtl. That is the class of voyage to whieh in my opinion the seetion
was intended to apply: The julge wemt on topoint out that this intreppretation was in acoordanere with the state of facts whed must be taken to have bern within the kow lerger of the British Legislature at the time s. g was passerl. It was known that a shipping trate carried on by ships owned and registored in Anstralia and manned and oftheremb hy Australian citizens had for many vears exictod in Anstratia and was rapidly hereasing, and that it extended to Sew Zealand, the Pacifie, and hedian ports. It wav reasonable to implete to the British Leceintatmere an intentom to place the ships engaged on round woyges in such a trade in the same position as regards Anstralian laws as the ordinary British ship holds in regarl to Britioh laws, mamely, that while on a voyage coming within the meaning of the wertion the Anstralian ship should be for the rimposes of 'ommonwealth laws 1 a floating portion of Commonwralth territory. If the voyage were of that derofiption it was immaterial to what part of the world it might extemd. If it were a romel royage begiming at an Anstrelian port. calling at Calentta or any foreign port, and ending in inn Anstralian port, the thip during the whole woyge would be under the (ommonwealth laws and under the jurisdiction of the Commonweath fourts. He held on the evidence that the byyges in which the ships in question were engaged were not sum poyages.
The effect of this jurlgement is seen in the Navigition Bill of the Parliament of the Commonwealth of 1910 . inasmuch as a me\% defiaition has been introduced in s. it, namely: 'Australian trade-ship) 'inchules evary ship (other than a limited coast-trade ship, or river and hay ship) cmployed in trading or going between places in Anstralia, amd exery ship employed in trating betnien (11) Australia, and ' Such lans might be thone regarding coluned races (s. in, xxai), ur immigration and emigration (xxvii), influx of criminals (xxviii), external affairs (xxix), relations with islamls of Pacific (xxx), trade and commerce with other countries and between the states (expecially if extended to all trade and commeree as proposed in the Bill of 1910), naval and nilitary defence (vi), lighthouses, \&e. (vii), quarantine (ix), fisheries beyond teryitorial waters (x), consus and statistics (xi), currency, crinage, and legal
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(1) Pertinties muler the mutherity of the Commomwenth.
 itaticizal reprownt the whages made in the metion sine lane, when the bill was tivat dalterl in th prement form. Simitarly the definition of foreign-wing hip, 'men reads-Forregn-gung ship indudes esery ship (wher than an Alastratian tradeship) emplened in tarling of ding bet ween places inl Aust tatia and phacer heyond An-tratia.

In the came of Now Kealand the whe he heredereded by the High Comet of Now Kaland two calse of great impertance. which ow dombt intheneed the (ievermentert of th. Dominion in their action at the comference of $1: 911$. In the cance of $/$ In
 was whether an award by the Sew Zealind Court of Ahbitation an to the minimum rate of wage to be paid to eooks and stewards and reamen on resers trading betweon New Kealand and Austalial wan bindiug upon (wo stementip, companies, the tiret the Cition Steanship Company of New Zealand, being registered in New Zealand, with the heas oftioes and management in the Domiminn, and the vesese affered regisered there. The other eompanys the HuddatParker Company Proprietary, dimited, was a company wgistered in Victoria, where it had its: hearl office and general mathagement, and where its slips were registered. The articles of the Cuion Stemming Company: shipe were signed in New Zealand, and the men were paid there, while those of the Huddart-Parker Companys vessels were signed in Anstrakia, where alow the men reecived their pay. It was fomed, as a matter of fact, that the awards made by the Arbitration Conrt were not ohserved in full $y$ the companies. inasmuch as they called npon the emplogees in some of their ressels to do work which meder the award should have beed paid for as overtime. and which wan not at patid for. Thihappened white the shipe were in Aust ralian or fijian perte, or at sea, as well as whon they were in New Yeatand waters or harbours, and the Court of Arbitration sent a case for the

[^33] diction of that ( 'ollot.


















Oll these gromed: he hedel that the awad mater by the







 it had perwer to do so, and the Aet condd mot be eomsidered is reterming to melt vessels. He stated. Duwerer, that if
 purely comatal trade and make centrants in Nell Zaiataml "ith ramen alld whers on braded their shipe for lithour in
 but all that was admally dee eited could equatly well have heroll deveded
 to territorial waters omly. hot mast apply to registerad vessels wherever
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coastal trade, then the arm of the New Zenhand law was fong coungh to rearh them.

It shembld be noterg that at the Merchant Shipping Comferrace of 1907 mon atrex was haid npon this julgement by the Prime Miniter of Now Keahme, mid the julgement has nut passed withont arithism. The ('hief Justice has, however, in a recent case which is refermed to behw, re-asserted his comviction of the somaluess of the judyement.

It will be observed that in that case the and mal remit of the judgement was to cnforee New Kaland comditions only upon New Zealand registered vesseds. But in a subsequent case the remark of the Chief Jnstice as to the powers of New Zealand with regard to the constal trade was carried into effect with the result of confliet between an award of the High Conrt of the Commonwealth of Anstratia and the law of New Zeahand. This cance was that of Huldert, Parker and C'ompmy Iroprietary (Limited) r. Nixon.'
In that case the plaintiff was a proprietare company incorporated moder the state of Victuria and owning stemmips: which were registered in Mellomrne, althongh the eompany had agents and offices in New Zealand. These stemuships traded with New Zeatand and were engaged in the eonstal trate. The seamen and wficers were engaged on article: signed in Me Hemme or in Syduey, which were for six monthe and fixed the wage of the persons empheyed. The wages were paid by monthly adrances at Melbome or Sydhey, according to the place of engagement. The wages in question were in some cases equal to or greater than the current rate of wages payable in New Zealand, bin were in some enses less than the enrrent rate of wages. The wages were fixed by an award of the Commonwealth Court of Conciiation and Arbitration, which was constituted by virtue of the 'ommon Wralth Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1904.

The Marine Department of the New Zealand (iovermment clamed that while the ships were in New Zealand ports and whise they were trading between two New Zealand ports, they were subjec! to the provisions of s. .i. of the Shipping amil



 the semmen while so compherd shall bepailand maye revover the curvent rate of wages for the time being rolling in Now

 cargen carved coast vise hall motity the master wit the ship of the provisions ot the seetion, allit the suprontendent is
 chatly the amomit of wages payble. liy the mext - thbe
 timal chearame of the ship until he is satisiand that the rew has been paid the comrent rate of wages mang in New Zealand, or my ditiorence ixetweoth ilo agred rate of surh wages and the New Zeadand rate of wages. The rompany held that they were only abliged to pity the rate of Wages provided for in the artioles, and the quentions submited to
 Aet, l008s, upplied to the company's :hhipe while in Sew Zealand forts, and while at a betwren New Kaland putawhether the superinterdent of Mereantile Mandere hat the right to endorse the attieles of the companys - hipe as pros


 withetanding that a different rateof wages was fixed by the shiposarticles.
'Though the opinions of the Cont in are momewhat divergent, it was decided by the Court that it was open to the seamen to cham the payment of the extmanders which represented the difference between the mates culured by the drbitration iburt in the (ommonwealth and the raters prevaling in the roastal trade of New Zealand, and that the refasal of a - fearance was a legitimate means of enforemg the right of the aitors to those watres. The Cond hed that the provisions of the Shipping iet were invalid in far as they purported to confer upon semmen the right to sue for all their wages, as
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int that detse the Aet camm into contliet with s. Itife of the I/reheme shipping Act. Ise9. Which provides that when a seaman is engaged for a voyage on engagement which is to terminate in the Cuited Kingdom, he ahall mot be entitled to suc in ally Cont abood for his wages execept on revtain conditions ${ }^{1}$ whirh had not been fultilled in the rases in fluestions. It was truse that the rase actually before the fourt was not one of a vosage which was to terminate in the Lenited Kingedom. But the (hucf Jutiece hedd that as the Virtorian Parlament had adopted similar provinions to
 the same respect should be paid to the Victomian Aet as was paid to the Imperial Act, and he therefore hede that the seamen could not rlaint for their wages. lout only for the exter payment reguired moder the legislation of New Zealand to make their watere up to the standard prevailing in the coasting trade.?

He also hedd that power to endonse the articles had been propery vested in the Superintendent of Mereantile Marine, and that the collector of Customs eould properly refuse a dearanee of a vesel if the conditions as to payment hat not been complied with.

Williams J. agreed with the ('hief .Jestice: it is not quite rear how far he held that s. T. in properting to give a saman the right to sue for the wages speritied in the artieles was repugnant to x lik of the Imperial Art and to that extent void. Chapman of agreed in substamee with the chief Justice and Williams. J.. but not on the gromeds given by them for their derisions. He reeoneileds. lote of the Imperial Act with $\therefore$. $\overline{5}$ of the New Zealand Act on the ground that the two sections dealt with totally different matters, and that therefore there was no repugnancy. The New Zealand
'i.e. the Court did not hold that the pewer given bye s. $i 36$ of the
 taperial det even as regards eqasting vemels. But the judgenent in rofect wive the right tw aher matherially. and it is mot easy to see why they did motallow repeat.

The Court overtocked the fact that wom of the Aet of 1 s: 14 gives the Itemi.un chactment humerial validity.

Act provided for an addition to the wages of the rew. to the enforeed not by suit in the ('onnts but the the action of the collector of ('ustoms in refosing a dearamere. So that so interpeted there was mo real diacrepalley tetween the Dominion and the lmperial Act- On the wher hamd. Edward.J. held that s. $7.5 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : ullore reice as contlicting with $\therefore$ lifi of the homerial Act. alld that therefore a mamam was neithere emtited to exta wager. non conld he she for theme

 in Vietoria and higes resiotered in the Conited kingdom. and that, strictly -peaking. the provisions of a New Zatand Aet combld not be repmgnant to thome of a Victorian Act. Int he relied on the agemment that if a distimetion were made in the teatment of shipe registered in the Conited Kingdom, and of -hipes regintered in Victoria. the purpose of $x .360_{\text {of the }}$ Imperial Act, which repmires that vesoch shombl be treated alike wherever registered. would be defeated, and therefore that $x .75$ mast mot be hed to apply 10 verols registered in Vietoria. He cealled attention abo to the mataimeses of the
 rases the wages under the artidere were gerater than these payable in Xen Zabland. and for the owners could 1 and reduce the wages on that gromad. wherea- they were requirel (6) increase the wages in the rase in which they were not ergal to those payathe in New Zealand.

It is mot exactly rasy to follow the judgement of the majority of the Court. They were mot apparently willing to claim that the power of regulating the roating trade confered upon the New Kealand Pantianent ty ㄷ. $\mathbf{B} 36$ of the lmperial Aet of ls9t extended to altering a provision of the Imperial Alet. On the other hand. they held that the New Zealand Parliament could eompletely alter the effect of the Imperial Aet by changing the rate of wages of a seamans engaged for a rovage which was to terminate in the United Kinglom, hy giving him a right forecover in the New Zealand Courts, or ber the action of the New Zealand Marine bepartment, the differeme betneen the wage.
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payable to him under the artieles and the wages current at the time in the eoasting trade of New Zealand.

It is diffieult to see how direct repeal of a provision of an Imperial Statute differs substantially from the power elaimed for the Dominion Parliament by the majority of the Court. It is clear that the intention of the section of the Imperial Act in question is that a seaman shall be entitled normaty only to sue for wages in the United Kingdom, and the wages in question are elearly those stipulated for in his agreement To give him the right to higher wages during a portion of his service, and to enable him to sue for the difference between his ordinary wages and the higher wages, is in everything hut form to alter substantially the section of the Imperial Act. It is a difficult question why the majority of the Court wese not content to hold that the power to regulate the coasting trade was sufficiently wide to enable the Parliament to repeal provisions of the Imperial Act whir rould otherwise normally apply. It may indeed be doubtful as a matter of history whether in giving in 1869 to Colonial Parliaments the power to regulate the coasting trade it was meant to do more than confer upon the Parliaments the right of cpening or elosing that trade to suel vessels as they thought fit; but the Act must be read not with regard to the original intention of the clanse, but to the effect of the wording, and the power to regulate the coasting trade as given in the Act of $1894(\therefore, 736)$ is so widely expressed that it seems clear that it must extend to repealing provisions of the Imperial Act which would otherwise be ineonsistent with the local legistation.
If this were not the ease the power to regulate the coasting trade which has been conceded by the Imperial Govemment as belonging to the Parliaments of the Dominions wonld become little more than meaningless, and it would seem simpler to place on the power of regulating a wider n..aning than to accomplish the same result by ingenions efforts to reoncile the provisions of the Dominion and the Imperial legislation.

It must alsu be remarked that in the case in question the
provisions of the Imperial Statute had no application, for not only was the vessel in question registered in Vieturia, but the samen were not engaged for a voyage or engagement which was to terminate in the Cnited Kingdom.

All the members of the (ount appear to have acpuiesced in the view that the Victorian Statnte No. 1557. which adopted the provisions of the Imperial Act, 1894, ineluding $\therefore 166$, was to be regarded in the light of a colonial Statute. The Chief Just ice merely said that ats the vessels were registered and eontrolled hy stat utewhich the lmperiat Legivlat ure had anthorized the State of Vietoria to pass, they onght to have the same protection as British ships registered in England; apparently admit ing that the Aet had not, strietly speaking, the force of an Imperial Act, and this view was clearly expressed by Edwards J. If this were the ease, then it is elear that the provisions of the New Zealand Act could not possibly be invalid, as there was nothing to which they eould be repugnant except the law of another Colony. But as a matter of fact, the Court appears to have overtooked the fact that by s. 264 of the hmperial Merchant Shipping Act of 1894 the same effeet as that of the Imperial Act itself is given to Acts passed by Legislatures of British Possessions which apply to British ships registered at, trading with, or being at another port in that posicsoion, any provisions of part ii of the Merchamt shipping Ad of $189+$ which would not otherwise apply.
'The Vietoria Parliament by Act No. 1557 applied mutatis mutandis to ships registered in Viotorit the provisions of part ii of that Act includings s. 166, and it would appear therefore that ass a result there is imported into the Imperial Act a provision to the effect that if a seaman is engaged for at voyage terminating in Victoria he shall not be entitled to she abroad for his wages. There docs not therefore appear to be any substantial differene between the case of ressels registered in the United Kingrlom and vessels regis. tered in a Colony, if that Colony has adopted under s. 264 the provisions of s 166 of the Aet of 1894.

It may also be noted that the Court did not diselus the
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efferet of s. is of the ('ommomuralth of Australien C'onstitution Act. $1900{ }^{1}$ In that case the wages patable on board a ship wore defined by an award of the Conrt of Conciliation and Arbitration of the ('ommonwealth of Australia cestablished mader a Commonweath Actand if the latws of the Commonwealth are by all fmperial statute of he in foree on vessels Whose firet pert of eloarance alld whose port of destination are in the Commonwralth. it would apperal that under an Imperial Are they are in foree eren in Sow Zatand waters


The ghestion womld arise then, whether the perwer given
 sufficiently extensive to colable the New Zealand Parliament to repeal a legislative provisiom. Wealing indireetly with merchant shipping. wheh would otherwise apply to vessels Which fall umder s. of the Commonnetalth of dustralien C'onstitution Act.

It seems hard to believe that such a power exises and the New Zabland law can therefore only be reoomeiled with s. os of the Commonwealth Constitntion Act on the reasoming adopted hy ( 'hapman .J.. viz. that the right given was quite a new are. ach had mothing to do with the original right of the seaman to his wages. But this eombl be avoided in finture by the Commonwealth providing that no addition to Wages should be mater while outside dast raliat on any gromind.

But on whaterer gromads the decison can be hased it is perfectly "lear that much confinsion will incevtably arise in shipping matters mess some agrement can be come to hetween the valoms parts of the Empire as to miformity of legislation.

The result of this judgement is that the owners of vesocls which engage in the coasting trade of New Zealanel, although they pay rates of wages tixed by the ambitration award in Australia, are nevertheless bound to pay rextar wages in cases in which the coastal rates prevalent in New Zealame exeed the rates whieh are prevalent in the Australian trade : ti:3 \& $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{l}$ lict c. $1:$.
but on the other hamd. they eammer diwhey the allated of the Arhitation Comet, and they therefone rammot paty hare wages in those cases in which the Australian rates of wages wheh are lad down in the award exered these prevalent in the New Zealiand eoasting trade.

There is therefore a clear eontliet hetween the powition of New Kealand and Anstralian lemislation, and the contlict will no doubt be still more marked when the ('ommonwealth of Anstralial legislates ofl the subject, fior its Nivigration
 Zabland, which prowide for the payment of Anst mathan rates of wages in the coasting tade. and therefore New Keakand vessels which engage in the coasting thate of the ('mmmonwealth will be subjeret to the law of Sew Zealand, and alow to the law of the ('mmmomwealth. and there will no doubt be eollision between those lans. just is there has been betwere the law of the fommommealth and the law of Now Zaiditud.

If it tums out, as aedme to be the ease, that the Anstratian A.t wondel werride the New Kaland law, even in New Zeatand waters. it seom- certain that Now Zabland woud naturally dexire to ohtain inceramed power for the regnlation of merehant shipping. as it would ohvously be awsward if New Zealand were compelled to conform to coanting conditions: in Anstralia while the Australians cond not legally be compelled to eonform to roasting conditions in Sow Zealand.

It should be noted that in the diecussion of the ease of Hnddart. Parker \& Company: the point was mentioned that it was very dombtful whether it would not be possible for the shipowners to make good the extra payment made in New Zealand hy deduetion from the wage eamed ont eide New Zealand. so that ihe total amoment paid wowld not
 Wages in Australia, and therefore, according lo the" New Zoaland judgethent, are whera ever protunto, unlexss. Sof the (oustitution I Iet cosers the case, and clearly urould not do so in esery ciase of cometimy.
: 2? న. Z. L. R. 6.\%.
exeered the amome provided for by the Anstralian drbitration award. The Court did mot express any opinion as to whether this would be legal or not. In the case of the Commonwealth of Australia it has been recognized that this is a great difficulty, and it is atte:upted to dispose of it by a seetion which reads as follows -
(1) No provision in any agreement, whether made in or out of Australia, shall be taken to limit or prejudice the rights of any seaman under this part of this Act.
(3) Where, by reason of a semman's being entitled to a higher rate of wages while the ship on which he serves is engaged in the coasting trade-
(a) any deduetion is made from his wages earned out of Anstralia ; or
(b) he is paid a lesser rate of wages outside Anstralia tham is usual in royages of a similar nature,- it shall be deemed that the seaman is not paid wages in aceordamee with this part of this Act whike the ship is sh engaged in the eroasting
prade.

Exactly to what extent this section will be upheld in the Courts it is diffienlt to say. The analogy of the Pemimsulur and Orientel Sterm Navigution Compremy v. Kingston ${ }^{1}$ has been quoted by the fiovermment of the commonwealth as justifying legislation of this character. The eases are analogous, but not precisely the same, and it is meertain to what extent the Privy Council would follow their previous judgement if the matter rame before them in a conerete instance.
The practieal difficulty involved is the danger of the coasting trade of any Colony being appropriated by ships, the seamen on which are paid less wages than thowe which are paid in the coasing trade of the Dominion in question. But it would seem possible by agreement, at any rate between $t$ wo suth aeighbouring Dominions as the Commonwealth and New Zealand, to obviate legislative interferenee with the ships of either Dominion.

It does not appear probable that the extension of the powers of Dominion Legislatures would by any means result

$$
{ }^{1}[1003] \text { Д. C. } 471
$$

in greater smplitiontion of thipping matters. (on the contrary, it would seem that further ennfinson would be inevitable if the powers of these bominions are extended. What does seem desirable is that some agreement should be come to between the Commonwealth and Niw Zaaland with regard to conditions of shpping, and if possible some agreement with the United Kingdon:.

At present the existing legislative powers are tending to confusion and diffentty, and to add neerllesty and withont corresponding advantage to the problems of British shipping.

In addition to the Aet No. 36 of 1909 to amend the existing legislation (consolidated in 1908). which only reenved the royal assent in 1911, the New Zealand Parlament passed in 1910 a Shipping and Seamen Amendment Bill, whieh the Governor reserved, and which males important moditieations in the existing law. By C lanse $\because$ it is provided that the rate of wages prevailing in New Zcalaul shall be paid to all seamen on vessels plying on trading from New Zadand to the Commonwealth of Australia and from New Zraland to the Cook Islands. $3 y$ s. 3 it is provided that an extria tax of 25 per cent. of the amount of pasiage monery or freight shall he levied on passenger tickets, bills of hading. or shippints documents issued in respect of vessels trading from New Zealand to the Commonwealth or the Cook l-lands, if the ressels carry any Asiaties as part of the crew. These taxes will not, however, apply if these vessels comply with the provisions of $\therefore .2$ of the Act, that is to say, if all the erew, including Asiatics, are paid the New Zealand rate of wages.

The Bill was introduced and pased rery guickly through cue Parlianent without much disenssion. in order to strengthen the hands of the Prime Minister at the Imperial Conference in 1911 in asking for extended powers for the Dominion in matters of merehant shipping. ${ }^{1}$

It was admitted by the Government in the course of the discussion that the legislation mast be reserved for the royal assent, but it was contended that the iegislation was similar in principle to that of the legislation of the Commonwealth.

[^34]
## 

 alud any pitat of the dast billith contiment

It was admitted bey the Ithomer-finmat in the Eiper Honce that it might in perable to cramte the provisions of


 rates while emgaged in trading from Now Zabland to Anstralia, tont deductmy from the total wages of the emploveres the exceses ratem aral.
 the Dominion (iovernment that the Jut ratian Covermment should seoure that the eress shombl be properly paided in aceordance with Sew Zailand ronditions, Int in reases of diveharges abmode it was admitted that the lat combld be "valded. On the other hamel, the New Zabland (iovermment would enfore for the lemefit of Anstralia similar provisiome
 Was to be dond was not -tated. and is by means obloms.

It alos appeated from the Debator that a matin object of attack was the Penim-nhar and Oriental steam-hip Compans. Whicin at preacht has a -leamship acreice to New Zealand. These veresels. Which trade from Inatralia to New Zabland. do not seem cere to do coant ing trade in New Zealand (if they did it seems that they comble atoded diffecultices for the time being by turning their Lascars into passengers and romning the ships with white erews. as is done by the Vnion (impmany when they emplog Lations), Int merely engage in trade betweren Alast ralia and New Zacaland, and of eouse trade with the United Kingdom and chowhere. They compete it reems, effectively with the Now Kealand Cnion line and the Anstralian Huddart-Pader line, and of course the rates of wages paid to Latseans, and in adelition the eonditions moter which Lascars: are carried, give them a real advantage in such competition.
 ef. the proposil of the Commomesath at the faperial Conference of 1911 in fatour of legistation against compirate to evade the lans of one part of


With regarl tos. $\because=$ of the det it womblatobly be inporesible to hole that it gese bevould the pencers of the Now
 Sew Zealand and the Cowk ladimls. The lowe l-lands are a dependeney of New Zondiad, and there can be litle dombt that trade with them is wating trade wheh ram be regn-
 Whatever view he taken of the effeet of -731 o wi the Merchent shimpin!s Act, 1s:94. On the wher hembl, it is at very different matter when the meghation of the wages of verocls traling with the ('mmomonealth is ionterned.

There is mus real amahyy between t!e relations of New Kealand and the Commonwedth and ther relations at the rontinent of Aust malia and 'T'asmania. 'lasmania is a part
 is minuestimably comsting trade. Similaty trade between New Zealand and the Cock Lstands is coasting trade, but trade between New Kealand and the Commonwealth callmut pussibly be so called.

Aunther mistake was male during the debate, in addetim in the minor error of treating the Australian Navigation Bill as having been passed by the Patliament of the Commonwealth.

No notice was taken of the fict that the powers of the Commenwealth are mader the Con-titution dilferent from flome of the lianliament of the Dhominions. A- has been
 Supreme Comrt of the Domintion, and it seems clear that the point, "hich is by mu means minnmitant, has exaped the notice of the leceal adriners of the (ioncemment in the Jominiont.

The propresed hegivation wo.ld in the tir-t place be what vires with regard to versels which do mot lall meder the Commonwealth Jaw. 'The L'arliament of New Zeatand has power to regulate the wages payable ir 'he cosisting trade, but it has no power to regulate wiages payable otherwiee than in the coasting trade.

1:2:9:3

## 

If the Peminsular and Oriental St camship Company engage in that trade they must pay coastal rates, but as long as they do not engage in that trade they emmot be forced to (to so by New Zealand legistation. Strict!y speaking, it is true New Zealand could legislate to provide that constal rates. should be paid white the vessel was within the three-mile limit, but such legidation would be of infinitesimal importance and if not repugnant, as it probably we 'd be, the s. 166 of the Act of 1 s94, could be evaded by the company with the greatest possible case.

Further, with regard to eli ships whose tirst port of clearance and whese port of dest ination are in the Commonweath, the Commonwealth haw applies under ss is of the Commonercallo of Australia C'onstlution Act, 1900, and it does not seem that the New Zealand Parliament can override the Commonwealth law, which thus has hmperial ralidity. ${ }^{1}$ Of course, it the term 'trading from New Zealand to the Commonwealth' is interpreted only to include vessels which are registered in New Zealand or in some sense are domiciled there, no conflict might arise, but it is very donbtful whether New Zeatand does nut intend to regard the Huddart-Parker vessels as falling within its sphere of activity.

More serions is the position with regard to $s .0$ of the Bill, which is avowe!ly an attempt to exelude Asiaties from trading with New Zealand. It should, however, be noted that the attempt is not absolute ; that is $t 0$ say, that mo attempt is made to interfere with vessels masaed by Asiaticwhich mesely trade with New Zealand or some other furcign country, or some British possession, and which do not trade from New Zealand to Australia or the Cook 1slands. It should be moted further that the legislation camot be said to be ultra eires the Dominion Patiament, and that it therefore does not stand on the same footing as $s .2$, the uhjections to which are legal as woll ats political. The discrimination in s. 3 is directed by mame agamst Asiatics. and is arowerly, hy the admission of the Covernment in

[^35]I'arlianeont, directerl against Iviatios. It form-, Iturelome a direet contradietion to the poliry which has heen consistentl! mhpted agsinat and diocriminations. and it is not to lie. wondered at that : lis Imperial fioveroment. during the discussion at the Lupreial Conferene of $1: 911$, fommel itorlf minable to molatake to sircure $t_{1}$ rogal awomt to the Bill, $\therefore$ hicli therefore cament take efferet.
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 "t a prome anthorized by him, should intpurt into 1 I.
 printed book tiret comporend ar prime and and plished in the l'niterl kimplom "herein there io repprisht, and reprinted
 penalty of the arizare of the reprint liy the ('ustoms and the
 lor eatels oftewer.

Sin the following vear the Legestatume of the Provinere of (imatala pared a series of meolations arging that the Finglish 'rypright Ace had not incrased the importation of binglish literatme; that the exdesiont of Ameriean reprints, evon if powilla. Wonlel be undesitable ats conthing the colonists to the shlu! of American works. Which would weaken their
 rold. athl that the lat neither rombld be mor wonld be.





 Whe Imperial donermment, as will be seen trom liall firey di-patehol Nowember s, Is $46 .{ }^{2}$ and after full comsideration has Her. Vajesty (ioneromont an Imperialdet was pasoed in lsi-
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As a reante of the legritations in phentions. reprints in


 -mall. 'Fhre ('alladiant pulblidare alow romplaimed that the

 stater pmblishere amel pronters.
 mbited in the Dominions, and the liritien Verth Amerien . Im


 of the Provinces. Lathe followiug peat the semate of
 pediency of extending the privileges granted by the Act of
 hall, in Her Majosty opinion, be seromed th the allthors,

 means Britioh amthors will be meme collectatly protectent in their rights and a mallerial benctit will lxe conlemert on the
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injury of the Briti-h anthor, while if ('analian puhlishers. were allowed to reprint they wonld smpply not only their own mankets hat part of the United states markets. to the weat advantage of the anthor, as the royalty eoneld be more casily and more effectually colleeted than the impert duty. This was follone in 1 s 69 by a formal propusal that ('anadian publishers should be allowed to reprint the look: of English aththors withont their consent on paying a loyalty of $1: 3$ per cent. on the puhlishad price.

It was oljereted to this proposal by the Imperial (iovernment, among other things, that it was dombtfal whether the rovalty wonld be collected better than the import duty had been; that the proposal would make Engli-h books cheapere in ('analla than at home'. thas making the British reader pay a monopoly priere to let the Colonists haverencapere books: that if the phan were feasible it wond no donht have been adopted by arangement, between the anthor and the C'anadian publishers, and that the Imperiad Copyright Conrentions with foregn nations womh have to be denomened it the proposal were athwed.

The C'anadian Cowermment, however, did not aceept the bews of the Imperial Govermment. and they introduced and catried a Bill in 1852 which reguired reprinting in ('anala within a month; if this were not done licences might be is-sued to ('amadian publishers to reprint on payment of a royalty of $1: \frac{1}{2}$ per eent., foreign reprints of such reprinted works being totally exchaded. The Bill, which was reserved by the Guvernot-(ieneral, was rehemently opposed in Fingland, and as a compromise the Imperial Government prepared a draft Bill which was sent in a circular dispateh to
 fare of booke prblished in the colonies hat they sombl be published in the United Kinglom withit. twenty days, and if this were not done the Julicial Committee of the Prive Council might issue a licence for their publication, and if not published in the United Kingdon within six monthis foreign epprints of books might be imported. In the catse of howli-

not published in a manner suitable fore cinenhation in a Coblons. any person might apply to a 'ourt for a liecone to reprint on terms fixed be the fomm, and if it were not reprowhed in sueh conveniont form within six monthe after first publieation, formiat wint:

The Cat litur fimbima ent, however, objected to the proposed $\therefore$ : 11 the yrom of the procelume mater it. and urged that for ryat ase at hould he given to theirown Act. Her Majesty's Fowemsent, however. Were mahle to arept this proposal, and owing tor the mwillingness of ('amada tos ace popt the draft Imperial Bill it was not proweeded with. but Lord Carnarvon, then Seretary of Siate for the Cobomioexpresed his rearlinese to eo-opreate with the bominion Govermment and the eonfident hope that a measure could be deviad which. while preserving the right - of the owners of copreright worl-mater the Imperial Act, would ave effere to the views of the f'analian forernment and Parliament

As a rexilt of the discussion whieh followed upon Lom Car-narvon'- assurance. the C'anadian Parliament pased, in 185\%, a Copyright Act (c, ss) miving power to any preon domiciled wither in Canada or in any part of the British Dominions or in any country having a copyright treaty with the Cuited Kingdom, to obtaincopyright in Canada for twenty-eight yearswith a second term of fomrteen vears. the eondition for obtaining such eopyright to be, that the book shonld be printed and published, ou reprinted and republished in Canada. There was a saving in the Act for the importation of books lawfully printed in the United Kingrom. The ramadian eopyright. thas secured was, so far as it related to books first published in the United Kingdom. in adidition to and concorrent with the eoprright throughout the Queen: Dominions rxistines hy virtue of the Imperiat sopyright Aet of 1842 . The pratetical effect of the Canadian Act was to exchude dhring the term of Canadian copyright foreign reprints of surh books. if they obtained the benefit of the special Cimatian eopstieht he heing published and printed in Canada. The Camadian fet was eonfirmed hy the Imperial A.t of $18.5(38 \& 39 \mathrm{Vi} \cdot \mathrm{t}$. e. 53 ), as doubts had arisen whether the Comadian fet was

## 

 admixion of foreign repmints into ('amada.
This Are is sill in tomer in (illada as chaptere oll of the

The prestions as it stood after the pareinge of thin . Aef in
 moder the Smperial Art of $184: 3$; that the entrometion of foreegn reprints into lamadat was regnlated under the allthority of the Imperial Aet of latz by Jocel legivation in the Dominion. and that (enpright in worke prodnced in G'anada was granterl for ('anarla hy the Camadian Act of 1si.5. Fomeden amthors in certain (a) (e) g. that of France) poseresed eopyrichts in ('anada by virture of Orders in Conncil

 'mjoy eoproght in the Lnited kingdom.

An important change tork place in the pesition of the question of coppright in conserpurnere of the International Combention signeal at Berne on December !s, Issif, creating an Jntemational Chion for the protection of literary and arti-tie works. The effect of the Comerention was to secone to allthors in ally of the eommtres of the Enion. or the ir kiwfol representation in wher countree of the Enion, for their works. whether pmblished in one of these eomntries or moprobished, the rights which the reperetive Jaws of those rountries granted or might thereafter grant to matives. The emjoyment of thee righte was to be sulycet to the accomplishment of the conditions and formatities presrebed by haw in the commtry of origin of the work, and was not to exeeed in the other eometries the term of protection granted in the conntry of origin. The Are was adopted by Order in Gomncil of Nowrmber 2s. 1 sis.
This (ommention was acerpped by the (ewemments wh Canada and the Anstrabianian Coblonies.

The treaty was the onteome of a Conferenere hedelat Berme in Isst and lissi, and when eamly in lsan it was decided to pass a Bill to enable the romention to be aerepted by Hey Majestys (iovermment the Dominion Covermments were
(an whed and determined to arept the (imvention. but in accepting the Conrention Her Majosty $\because$ Covernment reserved to Her Majesty the power of amounceing at any time the separate demmeriation of the Convention by alle of the solf-governing Colnilice.
 Parliament areordingly eontains.- .8 and ! whirh provide as follows:-
8. (1) The ('oplyright Itw shall, subjeet to the provisions of this Act, apply to a literary or andie work tirst prodneed in a British posecsion in like mamer as ther apply to a work tirst produced in the L'nited kingedom:

Provided that -
(1) the enaetments reoperting the regist ry of the onpright in such work thall not apply if the law of sum poreraion provides for the registration of such ropyright : and
(b) Where such work is a book the delivery to any jeranns
 rempired.
(:2) Where a register of copyright in book- is kept mucher The authority of the (invomment of a British persereion. ath extract from that recrister purporting to be eertition as al time ropy by the officer keeping it. and anthenticated by the
 or the: cof the (iovernor of a Briti-h presesion.or of a Coloma : etary or of ame secretary or minister athminitering a department of the (ewserment of a Britioh powesoion. thall be admissibte in evidence of the contente of that regi-ter, and all Connts shall take judicial notiee of every surh neal or signature, and shall admit in evidence, without further proof. all docments athenticated by it.
(3) Where before the passing of this Aet an Act or ordinanee has hoen passed in any british poseresion resperet ing eoppright in any literay or artistic works. Her Majesty in Comacil may make an Order modifying the copmeright Iesiand this Aet. $\therefore$ far as they apply to such British posession, and to literary. amelartistie works first produced therein. in such: manner an to Her Majesty in (ommeil seems expedient.
(t) Nothing in the ' obpright Iftwon this Act shall prevernt the passing in a British posiesosion of ally Act or ordinance repeeting the copyright within the limits of sheh por-o..on of works first produced in that possession.
9) Where it appears to Her Majesty experlient that :m

Wider in Commeil moter the Imfrmational( oplyright Acts made after the passing of this Act as respert: any foreign country shonld mot apply to any British poriomon, it thatl be lawfill for Mer Majesty by thr atme we ally other Order in (oumeil to declare that such Otder and the Intrombienme ('opyrieght Act: and this Aet thall not, and the same shall not, apply
 for preventims any projutice to any rights acquired prefionty to the date of : meh Orker: and the expressions in the said Acts relating to Her Majenty ${ }^{\circ}$ dominions shall be eonstrod aceordingly; but atre as provided hy such deckation the said Art- and thi A A thalt apply to every


It will berern that there eretion- extemb to the athent of
 right throughont the Querai: Dominions. and that it pre-
 ing eopyright within that perse-sion of works first preduced in that poreseriont.

The effee of the Jet, therefore was that the atathore of a hook first published in any pate of the British Dominions had (on wight in the book throbghout the Britivl Dominions for
"e term allowed by linglish law, and the authon of a book first publiahed in any foregn country belonging to the (opsright Luion lad eoperight thoughout the British Dominions: for the salme term or for any less term allowed hy the law of the foreige comotig for congright under that law. 'The Convention and the Act provided that the copyright is acquired automatically, so that any comditime as to printing or reprinting locally as a condition of ohtaining coppright in a book first published in any rountry of the (oppright L'nion could liot be impored consistently with the Convention by any eotutry which formed part of the Lnion.
 dealing with ropyright which provided that cogyright conlel be ohtamed by any person domioded in any part of Canada (1. the British possessons or any eitizen of any country which had an Intermational Copyright Treaty with the United kingdom in which Canada was inclurled. The term of
copyrght was to be fwontr-eight pears, and the eondition for obtaining coperight was that the work shomkl be before publication or prohnetion elsewhere or simultaneonsly with the dirst phblication or prodnction ederwhere, registered in the office of the ('matian Mini-ter of . Ingicultmee athl that such work should be printed and puhbished or prodneed in
 within one mon:h after publication or prodnction clsewhere. If any peran entitled to coprotirht did mot take alvantagr of it-provisions.any person domiciked inf 'antalat might obtain from the Dinister ol Agricult nee a licence to print and publiad or to produce the work, and al leernee was to be erantod to any applicant who agred to pay the anthor at malty of to pere cent. on the retail price of cath cople wherthetion of
 was adhued that the work was bedig printed and publi-hed or prochaced of at to moet the demand- in ('antala, the Cowernor- (iencral might prohilhit the importation of anys copies of the work as longe as the wathor"s copyright was in forer. It was expresoly provided, however, that nothing in the Act shond be deemed to prohibit the ims netation from the United Kinglom of eropies of Werk- of whid the (opyright was still cxisting, and which were lawfinly printed and puldished there. and the Act was bot to apply to works forwhich copuright had been obtancel in the Conited Kingrlon or other conntry within the International l"non before the coming into fore of the Act. The Act was not to come into operation matil a day had been fixed hy proclamation of the Governor- (imeral.

The Governor-feneral forwarded the Ace to the seceretary of State, together with a request from his ministers that step--honld be taken to denomne the Convention of lssi om Johalf of the Dominion of ('anadia. The gromeds on which the demmeiation wats asked for 11 ere that its provisions were not in accordanere with those of the C'madian Copgright Art of 1889 ; that it was not in aerordane with the requirements of Canada, and that it wass a limitation of the privilegen wi Canadian publishers confered by the Cinadian Copyright

## 

 substance of which were the ohl objertions which had been mised foare belore, that the Berne (ombention had stmewhat incteased the ramses of complaint whish had fermerly exist ed by giving foregen anthors an antomatie enpryight in ('analat The benctit conferred on Camarlian anthom was eompanatively small. and the proximity of the laitend siates demanded that ('anala shombl be treated in a diflerent way from anto other Tominion. Ther (iovermment of Camalal were salliadied that their properals in the Aet of tsse were afteymate in the interests of the anthore and they were prepared to smbmit reghations to serme the collection of the royalty eontemplated in the A.t and its payment to the propere part ies.

The Minister of dhatice also arged as to the valiolity of the


 of course, the hmperial A.e of Issit, whith had berel applied
 of power to hexivate as to copyrisht bey the Brilish Forth Amerien Act was al grant ot pewer to repeal previoms lmperial lequisation applicable io ('abada. He admitted that the wiew taken hy the hmperial haw offieers in lsat was that the grant of power in the British Porth Americt Ad was merey a grant of power to the Dominion Parliament as oppored to the Provibecial hegislatures. and that it gave no greater power to the Dominion than the Provincial Leceislatures had hitherto emjored.
 diepatch. Lard Kamtsford intimated that he was mable to atherize the Governor-fencral to insule al prodanation to bring the det of tsse into forere: He stated that he was atherisel he the Law Offieers that the British North Amerien Act did net almthorize the Dominioni Parliament to amenel or repeal, an fill as belates to ('amalio. an limperial Act eomberme privileges within ('imatas. He preinted omt that vimilat
 ' Parl. I'ap. C. -isss. pp. 1 :

## CHIF. VTH (OUPVRIGHT LESGISIATINX

and in $1 \times 7.0$, amel that the arlvere was in hamemy with the judgement of two judges in the rase of stmiles i. Brifford.'


 of 15 :5 mo limitation of time for printing and p,ublishing
 the sew Aet allownomly one momb amd in the ervat majomity of ease it would pracitablly be imposible to make the neecsary arrangement-within that time. Serondly, strong abjection was felt to the provi-ion emponering the grant of liecences to print and publioh work- Eor which coplerisht might hawe hern ohtamerf. Thesereretary of state almitted that the Royal Commisioners on ('opstight in their Report of 157 shad recommented sheh gramt: in rase mo delequate provision were made be rephblication in the Colomy or otherwise within a reatomable time atter publication else where for a sulyly of the work sumbient for eroneral sale and "irembation in the Colony" han the conditions: whirl in the vien of the Commissomerosemed reasmable as conditions precedent to the granting of sum licence- had hardly had effect given to them in ther Aet. He adeled the. it Was mot propmed to denommee the Consention of lxati on behati of Canarla for the present, ar Her Majent: not able to comeme in the iseme of a proclamation to hring the A.t of asse into foree. He sngegented that it mofht be better to leave the law as it -toul pemding the determination of the question of legislation on enperrisht which wats muler considetation in the United State aml amy newotiations:
 the Conited sitates.
 the passing of an int in the Enited statem whid provided for the grant of American coploright in a book to the author, being a eitizen or subjeet of a foreign state or nation, on condition that two printed copies of the book printed from type set within the limits of the United States mat be
' 1 U. .1. R. tisi.
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delivered or teporited in ateordance with the rempirements of the $\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{t}$ on or hefore the pulatiation of the beok. S. I:
 - itizen or shbere of a tomergn state or mation :
(of) If surla foreign sate or nation promits to witions ot
 -nbetantially the same basis as to it s whon ritizens ; or
(1) Wheri streh forejgn tiate or hation is paty to ant mblemational agrement which porides for recipmonty in the granting of mopright, her the lomas of which agrer-
 10) the agreermerth.

In reply to all ingniry form the Lonted states Minister. Mr: Lincoln, the Mampers of Natishm? on .hane 16, 18:91, Wrote : \& follows:
 English latw, an alion hy tirs poblication in ansp part of Hes Majest $y^{\circ}$ s dominions min obtain the bemetit of linglish copsright, and that, cont emperantons pmhtication in at foreign "omatry doce not prevent the anthon fom obtaining Englivh coprright
'That residenere ins some part of Her Majestys dominions iै not a neressary romrlition to an alien ohtaning eopyright muler the 'Bnglivh copyright law; and

That the law of eopright in forme in all British possessions permits to citiärens othe Enited States of Americat the bemetit of copyright on shostantially the same hasis as to Britivh subjerts.

On dilly 1, Is: 1 , the President of the Vinited stater pore rlamed that the tive of the eomdition pereitied ins s. $1: 3$, 1



The pasing of the Conted stateo law and the grant of "Opyright to Engliah anthos in acendance with its terms "ereregarded in England ass a matter of the ereatent imporfance. On the wher hand, the result with regerd to Cimada was, in the opinion of the Cimadian lionemment, to inerease the disadvantages of their prestion, intamuch as, under the law of the United States. ('anadian authors would obtain
 up their wror - in type within the limit- of that eonntry, white ant Duterican anthor wonld detomationlly ohtain eoply right in ('inada by pmblishing merely in the l'nited kingdom.

In view of the compheatorl porition of athairs fhe Imperial Govemment appointed a Departmental (bamitter represיnting the Cobonial Ohiere, the Forrign Oifice, the board ot Trade, and the othe of the P'antianmentary (ommsid, to consider the ('aladian C'oppright Are of 1889 . In thrig repent the Conmiftere perinted ont that the C'anadian S.l was inco:a sistent with the Barme Combention, as the ('imalian Cievernment recognized, alld that if "amada withhow tront the

 right in the United Kinglonn or in : 1 ofy other part ot thr British Dommions except ('at!at!e; and llo amthor of al book tirat published in any onther part of the lititish bominions (exeept the Chited kinglonn) or in any foreign conntry belonging to the Copyright Luion wonld ecase to have eopsyright in ('anmata. 'They recognized that if Comada preseend for witherawal fom the linom her reguest cond mot well be refased, bint this step womld tee a matter bor mand regret. sinee it wondestrike a serionsi blow att the peliey of latermational and Imperial copyright, and wombl be a retrograde measure that wonk condemn ('andada to a poliev of isolation and of atatagonism to the commmaties of civilized states which had become parties to the Treaty of Berne. Noreover, the withdrawal of Canada from the terms of the det would seriously affect, for example, Amatralian anthors.

The Committee considered that the C'analian legislation Has, to some extent, hardly comsistent with the alsurance given hy Her Majesty's fovernment to the (fovernment of the United States of America. They -mgented that the Canatian Are of 1875 was no longer neeessary and might he withdrawn. The Imperial det of $t s \in 6$ gave copyright 11 books lirst published in any part of the Queen is Dominions, and the det of 1875 was no hemger, therefore. esential.

[^36]
## 

The Committee hedd hat the Camadian det of Ixas was inmonsivent. with haperial leginhation and wonld therefore reppire to he eondirmed by an homerial Act, but they were not prepared to recommend that it should ber se condirmed in its preanl form. 'lhey ureed that at bill for the porjower
 it would be ineonsi-tent with the poliey of making mopright independent of the place oi printing, whieh Her Majon! $\mathrm{y}^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ Gowermment hatl lon many rears herol mering the Lated Stalles to adopt: it would impair Hur riyht in Camada of

 being ineomsiveroll with the deelatation made in lstol to the Ubited States, on the fath of which the United States hatd
 Ther Committee ronsidered that the Camadian reader hat Ho gromods lor complaint under the exitting arrangements, as it could mot matter to him, as a roader. Whether the reprints whinh $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ • used were produred in ('imandar or in the Lonited siates. Ciantadian authors conld onty sulfer from The iondainn of Canada in copyright matters. No doubt Whe Comadian mblishers and printers felt severely the eompetition of rivals in the Linited siates, but it was doubtan whether the Berme (onvention had angmented the difficultios, for even belore the Convention conntres like Pran Whish had copreright traties with the United Kingdem. Wror entitled mader thome treatios and the Intermationtel C'opmrizhle

 Americall publi-hers 10 reprint britiah bowss, and the real wrievance of the ('madiall publi-hers wat that they were

 legishation was enfored against their weaker rivale.
The Committee reeognized that the present state of the Conadian law was unsatisfactory, and they suggested that on proof of a hook first published in the United Kingelom and by surh publication having copswight in (amada not being

















 formien ropints as shomlal he still admittul into tha (')

The repert of this r'ommittere wias trim-mitterl to tha

 the Dominion finvernmant in the light fhomst ngent it

 whioh it wias stated that mothing in the Popont wat likely ter
 sombl be given with the least pesxible Jolise wt the withdratwal of C'anadatront the Berne ('onvention. The Mini-try
 repeatedly assumed that her eomtinnance in anly trealy amimerement of this kind would be smbject to her desine io withdraw at ing tinne on giving the requirerl notice. In il



[^37]1:25:3

## 

Miniater of daticer emphasiad the lawt that the Parliantent
 (insermment Ho myen that the artamentent made with
 Semetary of the exiathe lat of "oppright in lhe Empire : and wartot all madertaking that that law wombluever be alte I.
 that famma hatd beromme mose than ebor a matract for

 alloring their fixed polley of insi-ting on rephinting in thatt


 ment, when it hat beron repeatedly meneniferl that the exis-
 alld asuranere had herol given that the gre vancere would be
 the view. of the fommittere at the prostion of Camadian



 pais the det of lsse regarding it as beyond dombt.
 forwarded at minntre from his miniaters statime that it was
 impored on forcigat repints of Britioh eopyright works for the hemelit al enpright holders in wiew of the rhangers which were experent to be malle in the haproial ('ypright Latw
 the Gormonetioneral was asked wherher his ministers had considered what would be the effeet of the seerond seretion of

[^38] font that imquiry wat not allabred
 of the Vinistor of Jnstice, Nir Lohn 'Thompall, whon hatl


In l9世11 a compromior was eflexted alled the as of of the







 to reprochuce in ('allada ant edition or editions of' =urd bouk
 importation inte \{'allarla of any (")pico of the lowk printed


In the meantime the que-tion of emandidating the limprial Coperight law bexame more and more preswere. An
 attempts were mathe on behalf of the repmestatatives of ant herss in the Uniter kingeten to whata the eomentence of ('antada in himprial leqistation on the shlijewt. Mr. H ill ('aine visited Camada in $1 \times 50$ and Mr. Thring paid it a visit in 1899, but in meither cane was ally final reult whathed. althongh the biews of Britioh anthons were very fully represented to the Govermment of Camada, which gave Them
 question with Mr. Chamberlain in l!ou.

Bills to concolidate the (onpright Latw were int rothed into the Imperial Parliament by privalte members in $1898,189!1$. and 1900, but hone of these Bills pasivel. Short! after. the question of the constitutional position of Canala with remand to copyright was raised, hut not settled, in the Compts.' The Imperial Book Company of Toronto imported into C'anmia reprints of the Eucyclopedia Britamice, ninth colition, and

$$
\therefore \text { T. L. K. तll, }
$$

к 2

Messis, dilam \& Charlen Black and the Chark (ompany. Limited. bronght an atetion againet hem on September is. 1901, in the High conrt of Ontario. claming that they were infringing their coprright in the work by importing inte Ganada reprints of the Encyelopertion Brilemmice printed in the Chited States. It was urged on behalf of the Imperial Book Company that there was no suffieiont registration of the work at stationers' Hall. and that the notice in writing required hys. 15. of the Imperial' 'ustom.s C'onsolidetion Art. 1876 , to be given to the Commisioners of Constoms in Canarda when an auther desied to seedure that reprints of his wows shoukl not be importerl, had mot been correctly given. They ako contended that. sinee the passing of the Brolish North Americer Art, 1s67. the Parliament of Cimada had had authority to legishate for ('mada in regard to copyright and to override the Imperial Aets prior to 1867. and that the respondents had not complied with the requicement of the Canadian statntes. and that aceordingly they were mot entitlerl to relief.

The action wat tried at Toronto on September 3, 1902. by Mr. Jutice Street, who dismisod the cham with costs, on the gromal that the notiee given by the phantiff to the
 Consolidntion Act. Iste, was defeetive in that the date of the expation of the eopyright was incorrectly stated. The plaintiff, however, subsequently obsaned leare to re-argue the case, and on Jamary $\because 6.1$ lonis, ilr. Justien street delivered a reend judgement, griving jurfgement in favour of the phantiff. restraning the Imperial Book C'ompany from importing and selling the Encyrlopmedie, and direeting the dehivery up of moold copies and an account of profits. In his judgement Mr. Ju-tiere Street decided that $\because .15$ of the Imperial C'ustomes Comsolidetion Act. 1sids, had never been in foree in cimada beramse of s. Iat of the same Act. Which provided that the Imperial C'nstoms Act should extend to and be of full foree in the several British possessions abroad exeept when any possession had made entire provision for 50. R. 184.

## 'hisp. viit] COPYRIGHT LEGISLATION

the management and regnlation of its ('ustoms: A. ('anada


On the other hand, he held that $\therefore 15$ of the laperial 'opyright Act. 1st?, which prohibit any peran, not being the proprietor of the eopreright or aome perem anthonized b. him, from importing into any part of the Britioh dominionsany book first compord or writeon or printed and publi-hed in any frat of the Conted kinedom and reprinted in any country or place wheremerer out of the britiol dominions. was in forere in Canarda.
 appealed to the Comrt of Appeal for Ontarion and the appeal was disminesel by a majority. ${ }^{1}$ The petitionow next appealed to the Supreme Come of Cimata, which manimoulys, on
 Justice Sodgewirk. in delimering juldgement. side :-

We are mamimomsly of opinion that the eoncha-ien at which the majority of the Cont of Appeal arrived is the conreet one and that the appeal hould be dimmined with conts. In so deriding, however, we wihh to -tate that we expmen no opinion one way or the other mon the preation as to Whether smiles v. Befforel was rightly derided.

The defendants anked the Privy loumeil for -pectial keave to appeal, on the gromat- that the derevion of the (anmatian
 Wats not in foree in Comadal was wrong and -hould bereromed. or it that decision were eontert, $\therefore$. 17 of the huperial énymieght Aet, Iste, had been repeated by Comadian legivation. The Privg Commeit, howerer, derlined to frant spreial leate to appeal, and it may therefore be a-ommed that they rexfarded the decivion as substantially correct.

It will be acen that the jnilgement in thiv carear-mme that the Order in Comeil which wa-made under the hmperial Aet of 1857. and under which the prohibition of the importation of foreign reprints into (imada Wias sumpended on long as provision was made hy Canadian legishation for the levying

[^39]
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of a duty on the reprints for the benctit of English Copyright owners, had ceased to have effeet when the Canadian Parliament repealed the section of their Customs Aet imposing the dhty, and that therefore $s .17$ of the Imperial Act of 1842 atill remains in force in Canada.
This case leaves it still dombtful whether or not the Canadian Comrts wonld hold in a suitable case that the power of legitation given by the British North Americe Act, 1867, with regard to copyright, is sufficicint to override the terms of an Imperial Act prior to that date and applying to the Dominion.

It should be noted that the Imperial protection for works of art is probably limited to the Cnited Kingdom. It has been decided as to paintinge, drawings, and photographs in the case of Giruzes v . Gorric ${ }^{3}$ that the fine Arts C'opyright Act does not apply beyond the United Kingdom. The same rule would probably apply to works of engraving and senlpture, so that the only provision that is made for them beyond the United Kingerlom is that made by Colomial Law. On the other hand, any literary or artistic work first produced in a British posesession ohtains copyright in the Lnited Kingtom muder s.s of the International Coppyright Act, 1886.

A new importance was given to the matter by the revision of the International Copyright Convention, carried ont by the International Conference held at Bertin in October and November 190 s .

The revised Convention, which was signed ud referendeme by the British delegates on behalf of Hix Majewty: Government, embodied certain alterations which conld not be put into foree in the British Empire withont a change in the existing law. The revised Convention was examined, from the peint of view of the interest: of the United King dom, by a strong Departmental (ommittee, presided over by Lord (iorell, which reported in December lam9 anbenamtially in favour of the ratitication of the Comvention.2 Betore, however, any action could be taken to carry ont the recommenda-

[^40][^41]tions of the commitee it Wase neeresary to aseertain the views of the other parte of the Empire.

A Conference of reprexentatioce of all the - elf-ghermin!s Dominions, convened as a subsidiay Comference of the Imperial Conterence, and rompriving aton at reprecontative of the India Office, aceordingly met to comsider in what mammer the existing mifor •- of the law on (onglyight conld best be maintained, and

II : at reppets the coivting lam shonld be modified, the hat Copyright Convention.

The following remhtions were atrived all as to all limperial (oprright Law:--
 a mew and miform lan of coprofit thronghont the Emp ine and meommonds that ant Act dealing with all the conemtials of loperial Coppright Law shomble be pased hy the lmperial Parliament, and that this det. excoul suld of ite provisions as: are expresely rentricted to the l nited Kingdom, shonld be expmesed tio extend to all the briti-h pescessons: Provided that the Act dall mot extend to a self-goverming Dominion unless declared hy the Legislature of that Dominion to be in fore thereiii, cither without ams moditications or adhlitions. or with smeh moditicatiom-and additions: relating exclusively 10 procedme and remedies as mes lie enacted by such Legi-lature.
(b) Any self-governing I Ominion which adopt - the new Aret should be at liberty subseguently to withdraw from the Aet, and for that pmopose to repeal it a far as it is operative in that Dominion, subject always to treaty obligations and respect for existing rights.
(c) Where a self-geverning bominion has paseot lagislat ion substantially identiral with the new lmpreval Act exequt for the ombsion of any provisions which are expmesty restricted to the Conited Kingdom, or for such modifieations: as are verbal only. or are neressary to adapt the det to the circmmst ances of the Dominion, or relatecexhsively to procedure or remedies or to works firs pmblished within or the authors whereot are resifent in the bominion. the Jominom should, for the phrposes of the rishts eontered by the Jot, be treated as if it were a Dominion to which the dei extomes.
(d) A solf-governing Dominum which neither adopts the
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huperial Act nor passes substantially identical legislation, shonld not conjoy in other paris of the Empire auy rights except such a* may be conferred by Order in Conncil, or, within a self-governing Dominion, by Order of the (iovernor in Comeril.
(e) The Legislature of ally Britioh pomession (whether a self-governing Dominion or not) to whirh the new hmperial Act extends, shonld have power to modify or add to any of its provisions in its: application to the Poscowion : but, except on far as such modifications and additions relate to proecdure and remedies, they shombapply only to works the anthors whereof are revident in the Poression and to works first published therein.

## Repent of existing Copyright Acta

3. The Conference is of opimion that as from the date on which the new Imperial Act takes effeet, the existing Imperial Copyright Acts should be repeated so far as regards the parts of the Empire to which the new Art extends. In any selfgoverning Deminion to which the new huperial Act does not extend the existing lomperial Act-shonld. so far is they are operative in that Dominion, continue in fore matil repealed be the Legivature of that Dominiom.

## Intromational C'op,y, ighlit

4.-(11) The Conferenee is of opinion that, save in so far as it may be extended by Orders in Comencil. coppright under the new Imperial Aet should subsist only in work of which the author is a British subjeet, or in bona tide revident in one of the parts of the British Empire to which the Act extends; and that coppright shontd eease if the worl: be first published elsewhere than in such parto of the Empire.
(b) The Conference is of opinion that, if posible, it should be made clear on ratitication that the ohligations imposed by the Convention on the Britioh Empire should relate solely (0) works the authors of which are siblijects or citizens of a country of the Union, or bena fide resident therein; and that in any case it is essential that the above reservation -hombld be made in regard to any self-governing Dominion which so dexires.
5. His Majesty should have power to direet by Order in Comel that the bencfits of the new hmperial Act, or any part thereof, shall be granted, with or withont conditions, to the work of anthors, being subjeetsor citizens of or residents
in a foreign combtry, and to works tirst palblished in that country, conditionally on the forcign country in question making proper provision for the protere ion of linitish subjects entitled to eoperight: provided that Urders granting the bencfits of the Act to at foreign rountry within any selfgoverning Dominion should be made by the (iovermor in Council of that Dominion.

A Bill to effere this rexnlt was introdnecel inio the Honse of Commons: in 1911. Was extensively immentle! and sent to the Lorels, but the princeiple of colonial antonnmy was rexperted. Meanwhile a ('anadian Bill was allowed tostand wrer in 1911 for the paning of the Jmperial Act. ${ }^{1}$
 deals only with works limst pulblisherl there, as it is of comme ropen to ally

 the rogal assent as being proned in tow whe terms. but an . Del of 1 sion
 stat. 18:2, ce. 119. 111. The lnion will mo donbt legishate ather the limprial A.t is passed.

## ('HAD'TER IX

## 

Questlos of marmage degrees and of divore have ariacon rhiefly in the case of the Anst matian cohonies. probally beranse there only has there berol no boty of opinion sufficiently strong to prevent the matter becoming the subjeret of adranced legishation. Such hegistation was renchered imbposible once and for all in Canada since 1 skion, and the date of admission of the Provinces of British ('olumbia and Prince Ledward lisand, by the tramsfer to the Dominion of the sole power of legislating upon this topie, and the existence of the Roman ('atholice popmlation of Qucbere and elsewhere in
 lation, is in like casere. In Vietoria a Bill to amend the law of divoree was not assented to in 1860 , but the measure became law in $1 \times 64 .^{1}$ In 1875 and 1879 Bills as $i o$ divoree reserved in New. South Wiales were not assented to. but an tet of 1881 (No. 31) became law. In 1887 a still more important Bill eame forward from that Colong. The Bill did not rereive the royal assent, but the dispateh of January $\underset{-}{ }=$, 18ss." which intimated that it had not bern found powible for the time being to advise the issite of an Order in Council confirming the Act. laid down certain matters as suitable for further diseusion in the Colony before a final decision als to the Aet was arrived at. The first matter mentioned in that dispatch was the emalheses of the majority by Which the Bill had passed one of the Houses of Parliament, the Legislative Comeil; this was thonght to show that the measure might mot be really wanted by the people. and that further consideration might be desired. The second observation was that the measure wonld be very



 Mii. 314, 8:27.
ineonvenient if it were to be atopted at law in one patt of Alsetraliar only, and thas canse one (olong to have mone -imple divore laws than any of the rest : and the thind hat it down that the havis of livorec Nould be clomicile, wtherwise there would be the hopelen bealt that in varion- parte of the Empire there wonld be persons- who were in some phacer law fully mariod. in othere not.and the matter was still worse if second marriages were formed ber divoneed persomsed
 divore was acemed the roval aresent hy Grler in Comed of Mareh 21 . The canses laid down for divorce were hathitnal drumkemess compled with failure to support for there bears, or with cruelty on the hushands patt. or drumbemes with negeet of domentid duties on the wifers part. on lesertion for three vears; and after there pears imprismment a petition could be prevented if the repondent had still a connmuted rentence for a capital crime to fitere of at sentene of at least seren yean' penal servitule: a petition was posible if within the preeceling yom the repondent had murderou-ly dsasalted the petitioncr. and in the case of the wife became of adnltery cither in the conjugal residence or coupherl with ciremmstancesof aggavation or of a repeated act of adnle ery: of all these new callse of divore there watsonly one the last, Which was then law in Australia. being that adopted in the New South Wiakes Aet of lssi. There callem of divotere were only open to perans-bene fide domiciled in the colons for two peas and upwards before the bringing of the petition, but for the purposes of the word domicile a dexerted wife who was demiciled the (olony at the time of her desedtion Was incheded, and surh a wife wass to be deemed to retain here Victorian domicile notwithstanding a change of domicile ont the part of her husband. But no persoms should be entitled to petition for divore who had reanted to the Colomy for that purpore onls.:2

Cf. Quick and (iarran, C'onsthtutum of Commemerallh, p, fill. (larh. Instralian Constitutiomul Law, 1p. 9s, !9. hedd that under s. Is of the constitution a divorce in ohe state is valid in every other, but this is met somblaw, for the contrary has now been held in the Caited states.

[^42]
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The seeretary of State approved the Bill in a dispateh of Febmaty 20 , istm. He pointed out that in this retse, as diatinet from the New somth IVales rase the Bill was patsod after a gemeral deretion at which the Bill hatl bern brought

 smilar Bill-hronglat into the larlamenteof New South Wiales and Sontl Australia, ambler the action of all the . Instralian Agenta-fencral. wholatd called ipmolitu and madre representationc 10 him of the will of the people of dust ralia. The thiad eondition mentinned in 'har ew south IVales dispateh Was fultilled bẹ the adoption of the principhe of domicile, and lae laid -tres on the firet that he molerstored from the Agentsfemeral that the addition of the words for two peats or mpwarts: was bot intemded to limit the ethere of the word domicile but merely torernire the farther condition that the domicile was bome fite domicile.



 viz. Hac adoption of divorece as re-ting on domicile, witl, however. the exerption of the deated wife, and giving divotere the such ranses as they deem deainhle withont mefernee to the takekard comelition of Eingli-h law on the topic. But (Shernsland, Sonth amd Weotern Alstratia, and Tasmania still follow the Engliva lan.

It is, howeror, smmewhat dombthl if the hammony of legistation is ang lomeremaintained. The New Sonth liales
 powibilites: any lamband may petition moder s. $1 \pm$ in the






 mathle to change his wifes domicile.

 abtain divorre on rertain grommdo simitar to those inent ion cal
 distinction might be levwern ally hashand domidiled and any hastand domiciled for threr vears．thomgh there is reaion w think，and the head－moters to the aretion－adept the view，that the term amye hanalat is meant to corar ally and exery eave But the reading of $-1 / 10$ is derivibe： for the distinction there is betweren any wife，and any wile Whose hoshand is domiciled in New Sonth Waleo，amd anys wife dommerited in the Colomy at the institution of the－nit for three perars．atwas provided that she did met rewnt thither to obtain a divaree．It is aloo provided that mow wite Who was domiciled in the colony when the deacetion eomb－ menced shall be deemed to have bos her domiceile ley reanal of her hashand having ohtained a foremg domieile since he deverted her．In the case of New Zadand divorece jurisdietion is given in case of domicile for two vears，with the nismal a aving of a married woman whone domiceile is changed he her husband：acetion afterderertion．hot in addition ans wife may．
 and it is again donbtfal whether the torm is to mean ame． wife domiciled，or any wife whate ver．Imother provisom in that Act maty be mentioned as having in effee introdneed divore be consent into New Zealand；the law．as amended in 1808 ，allowed the fature to wer an order for thr reatitn－ tion of conjugal rights to serve as the hasi of a divoree for desertion；accordingly：tor collusion two parties camk hring abont the granting of a shit for restitution，and they then coukd proceed to petition on the grounds of desertion： this led in 1907 to the pasing of an amending Act（No． $7 \times$ ）to remove the difficult．：．Which was tedt to be very unde－simble． In Papua an Ordinance of $19 n 0$ regulates divorree ；it follows the lines of the Imperial Act of 1855，and it would no doubt
 ！es seq．The same abse is possible under New sumth Wiales ．let Nis．It of 1899 ，s． 11 ．
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be neld by the (ommonweath High Connt to be applieable only to domicited permons. 1
The positiont adopted liy the Conrts in the U'uited Kingdom now appeas: to bedefinitely hased on the view that domicile is cesemtial as a basis of theorece jutudiation. The case of the compulbory and artificial change of thmicile thromgh desertion has been comsikered. and it semens that judicial oppinion is defint cty against admitting even this exeption
 was apparemly in favour of the cearrine of juriatietion in
 of the Probate. Admiratty, and Disorere Division, expreswed the opinion in favour of the exerciaco of juriadiation in surd eases. But since that date julicial opinion, with some hesitation, seems to have gone the other way.

On the other hand, it is eertain that not omly loy haw. as laid down in the Aets refereed to, hame colonial Parliaments chamed a right to grant divorer in ertain cases withont domicike, but what they have datmed in the case of a deserted wife is attmally what had beerl asserted in several casees to ln law independently of any legal enactment.
It was so deeided in the case of Ryley v. Riydey ${ }^{3}$ in Now Zealant, and in the Victoria cane of Ilermie v. Itormi, ${ }^{6}$ it washeld that the Court of Victoria had exadiction to disonolve a marriage celebrated in Vietoria b domicited and a foreigner who $f$ cen a women there - noi abandoned his a resident and domiciled in hisown country at the commencement of the suit. Apparenily it: Riny v. Ripper the Weat

[^43]
 timetly alopted thedentrine of Itorev. Itak. mud the A.ah Bill to some extent procereded ont that viow, which wad alst latid


Thre perition in ('amala is rendered emions by the fate that the disuree is granted by det of Parlialment. Dut it is reme that the fact that the aret is a legi-hatise olle womble mot


In lstio the Bill uf the Linted l'resinneso of C'allaila fore the
 (1) divonere a militaly olfiere only temporarily resident in Camaln, who had marriol theres and it was printed out hes the Seerevary of State that the Law Olforess advied that steh a divoree womld not be hedet valid ontside (anata. It is important to mote that the rerent pratetieres in Cimada is to insert in the preamble of the Divorer Bills a satement that the praties were domieded there at the time of the divoree ; it would be interesting to sor how far all assertion by the Parlament of the Dominion, Dased on an examimation by the Senate Committee. will be lede in Vinglish Connts to precluci? the possibility of raising the question whether in point of fact the parties were su domiciled. It should be said that this is a llew departure, atul that from some of the older Acts, for example e. 133 of $0: 2 \&$ bis Vict, it wonlel appear at least pos-ible that domicile was mot strietly regarded in the case of a deserted wife. Indeed. the Aet 1810 Ed . VII. e. 100 shows deamy in the preamble thit the case is come of as wifo whose hashand hats changed his domiceile.

It may be added that the Englivh doctrine has freguently. berol expresoly adopted in the self-goveming Coblonies, as for example in the case of the ('ape of Goorl Hope, in this




Canadis Ilonse uf Commone It butes, Issi, p. 102.2.
8L. N., ti2. - Parl. Pap., II. C'. 52:9, 1864, p. 2s.
$\therefore$ - $\because$ g in the Vivoren Acta of $190 \%$ and 1910 .


## 











 "ith the law applied in matrimuntial permerthing
 probar pomate the emotern practione.


 flimorer (lialid urlion) Bill t!00.:4
 pessing for the maintenather of ratriations on divorere reven thomph tased ond dombicile, for no Imperial interestsean be sald nltimately to be jumblad. On the other hamd it is as "lall that in the interot- of the persons concerned the granting of divores whirh wouk of of donbtfal validity ont vile the plater in which they are granter is ntterle objeetionable, and therefore Dombinion Parliaments a are cridently. anxions to aboid the granting of divorees in such raser.

It may be pointed ont that all awkwat ponition could casily ande in Eagland if a manobtaned a divore in a Cohony withont being domiciled thereon, for a second marriage womk, mater Englioh law, expure him to the prolly of bigamy if ever



 1. R. C'. 37.

${ }^{3}$ No. ir. of 1860, s. 2.



 are alou dustratian lor tha mont pathe. In sumbla dust raha
















 alse lopernit of at mariage with ally folmale relaleal to him
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a dereased husthand'x brother by a New Zealand Act of 1900
 it is enacted that all laws prohibiting marriage bet ween a man and a danghter of hix deceased wife's sister. where no law relating to consanguinity is violated, are herehy repealed both ato past and future marriages: this is ahoo :o in south Australia. At oure time it was doubted if in New Somth Wale. there were any rules as to prohibited degreces, as it wats heh that the English law (es Hent VIII, ©. 7) had not been introduced into the state. But this is now decided otherwise. ${ }^{2}$
The marriage with deceased wive sisters were the calle. of a good deal of difficulty : their effeet in Eugland was that, though they were recognized in the cate of persons domieited in a Colmer as walid for all other purposes, they did not conter any right to land, or of coures to a title, in the ease of an intextaey; the case actually happened, though matmrally it was not a common one : ${ }^{3}$ but beyond that there was the feeling that the marriage was not quite proper inatmu h as the marriage in Eingland would not have been valid, and Einglish people who went to the Colonies and cont racted such marriages white not domiciled there fomed their marrages ahsolutely invalid in England.' Moreover, the history of these marriages showed that their invalidity was partly artificial, being, as a matter of "tet, due to an Aet of 1835 " which cansed them to be aboblutely instead of merely voidable as before by action hrought in the lifetime of both parties. In 18:0; the Agents-(eneral petitioned the Govermment, in 1 s97 the Premiera at the fonferene brought the matter ul. and in 1904 the (iovermment of the Commonwealth madde an appeal, while in 1s:g and 1900 at bill to remedy the situatima pasel as second reading in the Lords. and on July 133. 190.5. Lord dame of Ilereford prowed for ation, but in wain. The result was the pas-ing of an Aet in lawe to recognize for




${ }^{3}$ (\%. Hammich. Lote of Mimritye. 11. 2.93.

all purposes the validity in bingland of sueh marriages, and then the next step was to validate them for Lingland by and Aret of 1907 , a chall and interesting ease of the reaction of a statute pased for the benelit at persons in the Colonies for the bemofit of persons in the Linted kingdom, howewer ludierous: the benedit may seem to he. ${ }^{1}$

It may be moted that the status of oftopring of the other marriages permitted contrary to the Einglish law by Cobonial Aets in this country remains doubtful; the question is, of course, solely one of private international haw as interpreted by the linglish Connts, and their attitude seems not yet absolutely fixerl.z It may be alderd that at new difficulty has been added somewhat gratuitumsly lye passing of Aets in several of the Aust matian states, including Western Anstraliat ${ }^{3}$ and Tasmania. ${ }^{1}$ for legitimation after subsergent matrimony, which onit the important provision that the legitimation shand depend on the parents having been legally able to intemarry at the time when the actual marriage took phace, as required in the seottish law. This will have the result of throwing loubt on: the status of such offspring, and it seems totally impossible to defend the dets.




 English law, and its effect therelome may be diomegrede The dithoult!





 ot the general interon in atoding legal dilheulticos: ser No. Ht of lates.







## ('H.A1'TER X

## MLITARY ANI NAVAL DEFENOE

## s 1. Mlitary Defexce

It followe ine intably from the grant of respon-ible government that the Imperial Govermment ecased to be re-ponsible for the military defence against internal distinrbances of the Colonies to which reeponsible goverment was aceorded. ${ }^{1}$ It was elear that the Imperial Government conld not consent to permit the Imperiai troops to be directed by a government over whose action they had only sum indireet control, as could be exereised hy the (iovernor, white on the other hand, the presence of troops in the colony rendered it umecessaly for the Colonial Government to observe that moderation in action which was essential for the preservation of the internal peace of the Colony. Morener the expense was very heavy; in 1858 the Comial military expentiture of the huperial Goverment was nealy $£ 4,000,000$, towards which the Colonies gave but $£ 380.00 \mathrm{~m}$. A departmental committee in 1859 (Sir T. Elliott of the Colonial Office, Mr. Hamithon of the Treasmry, and Mr. Godey of the War Offiee) reported strongly against the exiting system, but the Imperial Government had no mind to with hraw troop: spantely so as to embarrass the rexponsible govemments, and although two committeer of the Honse of Common: examined into the question in the sixties, it was not mentil March 4, 1862, that the Honse of Commons, , on the motion of Mr. A. Mills, resolved that, while it was recognized that all palts of the Empire must have Lmperiai assistance againsi danger resulting from Imperial poliey, as far as was possible

[^44]the responsibly governed colonico shond bear the expenses of their own internal defences, and ought to assi-t in their own extermal defence. It was mot then insisted, as might have been expected, that they shonld not only bear the expenses hat also make arrangements by the raising of forees lecally to maintain internal peace and good order. But omb followed natmally from the other. In latis the Gowermons of the Anstralasian colonies were informed lye the limperial Gowermment ${ }^{1}$ that it was not. intemded longer to maintain at Imperial expense the garrimons in these (ohonies, and that if in the finture these garrisoms were kept there it would be necessary that the Governments should pay for them at rates specified in the secretary of Staters dispateh." Ther result of this procedure wis not long delibyod. and the Imperial gatrisons were rapidly witholrawn from the Anatralasian Colonies and Newfomilland, the $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{i}}$-t of the forees leaving in 1869 and 1870. The barrateks, fortitieations, and land and arms and munitions in actual mse were handed over free of cost, subject ouly foromise of reimbursement if were in the fature neressary to and Imperial foress (1) those Colonies. There was a shon interval before any regular forces were organized, bat a report in $1 \times 86$ by Sir IV. Jervois and Lientenant-Colonel Seratelley on the froxtifications of Australia led to action, and gradually forers both regnlar and militia were created in all the Anstralasian Colonies, though Newfomdland still renains without such forees. In 1877 the possibility of wat with Rassia had some effeet on the increase of the number of the troons; in 18ss-t militia as opposed to volunted forces appeared; in 1ssen Major-(eneral Edwards reported on the defences of Australia. with the result that Sir H. Parkes decided to push forward federation as essential, and the need of detence was one of the reasons whieh comsed the Anetralian Colonies to




 Official Year Buok, i1. 1070-80; 1v. 1074-7.
agree upon federation. The immerliate result of fefleration was not simply the improvement of the forees in question, but eventmally greater efficiency was evolved and muder the influence of a visit from Lort Kitchener in 1906 it was determined to aderst, by an Aet of 1910 , No. 37 . amending an Aet of 1909 (No. 15), a sereme which will provide eom-
 and 2.: exemption being allewed ontr on phesical gremms, thongh further exemptions are allower foom and nal service. There will alse be a small permanent foree and a large par-

 the male perpulation in time of war. The history of eventand the state of affairs in Xiow Zaraland in substantially the



The same proces of the whthlawat of the lmperial trons was applied to the Dominion of ('anada, but it was considered neressary in the Imperial interes to maintain small gatrisenat Dabifas and Engmimalt, half of the enot of the latter leing Wefreyed by camada, in view of the impertane of the naval exablishment at these perts. for the arervere of the Roval Naty. These garrisons were finally withdrawn owing to the patriotic offer of Canada dming the Boer Wiar, when they madertook to maintain the garrismbs at the ere places at their own expense.2 The change in naval polier which followed the Boer War er dered the maintenance of the ee porte of much less importance th the Imperial (iovermment, and arrangements were finally made in 1 !n 0 with the (amadian
 properter at these perte to the control of the Dominion (iovermment, on the makerameting that the mecesarary facilities for the docking and coating of Hi , Majgetyes vesed. of wat womld be given, and that the naval deck yarde womld

The offer wa-made in lsot and finally acepted in lam: : we P'orl.


be mantained in a state of repair. The neereatry power to


 I rhange in the poxition of athairs is erent ela aly hy the
 presion of the Red liser resolt, aml that the home artoms
 militia forere of ('anada. ('anada, like ther . What maliasian Dominions. hav a small permanent forere amd al con-iderablab
 sorvice in time of war is compulary on the male pepmation.

Sewfommand has now no military forces. nor (-vell militia, but it has some voluthere cater oreanizations.

In the cane of the dut ralanian (olonico ind of (imala there has been litale frietion betwern the colomy and the Impremill fiovernment on military questims. Thereroperation of the militia and the Imperial foreere in ('inada in lsat was rombplete and satisfactory. (On the other haml. some dilhienlty. arowe in New Zealand in the serions distmbanes in the pear-1sios-! : the disturbances were dealt with both bey the local and Imperial forees, and the Ministry asceted ite clamen mot only to direce the opreations, but abo to control the fate of the prisoners of war captured hy the Imprerial troops during the course of the operations.

In this ease the situation was greatly complicated by the fact that Nir (ieorge Grey the lanst Governor who exereised a striking persomal influence over publice affairs
 he had done dming his firs (iowemorship) in New \%ed ad and doring his (iovernor-hip in Somth . Ifriea, an indermelent poliere and thas he was bronght into contle not anly. as in Gouth . Ifriea, with the lmperial fovernment, but aloo with his ministers. His Minintry, agam. Were hampered by the strong freling which evilently existed amome many pephle in New Zealand, that it was mederimble to adopt an attithle.

[^45]
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of indepentenere with regard to questions of native poliey. on the gromad that the eountry was not yet in a position to alforl to pay for military oprations.

The ( iowemors, shortly after his arrival in the (olomy and hi: taking ip ofliere, decisherl that with reganel to native affair: he wonld revere the prolie! whieh hat beron letormined npen in Is.
 of his ministers: 1 but there ean be litale domht that he intemded to guide his ministers rather than be guided by then. On the other hand, the Imperial Government were anxious to accept the arrangements by which they were relieved from the responsibility of conducting native affairs. a responsibility which. as the (iovernor pointed ont. Was madesiralike. as the (iovernor had mo ade gnate authonity to (anry it intoreffert. being dentitnte alike of sufferent exerontion oflicer and of any substantial peconian resomrees ${ }^{2}$ over which he could excrese control independent of his ministers. It the sime time the Imperial Gowerment held that, if the control of native affais were to be exereved hy the Colonial fowemment-as had been the desire of the Colonial Gewern-ment-it most modertake the responsibilities entailed by such policy buth peremianily and in point of eontrol, and they wished, therefare to withdraw as soon as possible from New Zealand the Imperial troops which to the momber of over five regiments: were being maintained there in the main at the cost of the Imperial Gowermment. for the Golonial Government contributed only a nominal sum, fis a head, towards the eost of the forees, and were exeused the netual payment of that sum on the inderstanding that they would spend the money thus saved on the native administration. ${ }^{3}$

The nombers of the natives were so small, and of those in arms-never over 2,000 , it is helieved-so utterly incom-


 utterly inadeciuate and lul to, Le supplemented by the Parlament.


 frlt monecessary to mantain, With great inconveniconere and injury to the puble arrviere large botios of eontly 1 roops ill litted for encrilla warfare in a dilfientt conntry, and thewe areme little dombt that in this regarel the de aipe of the lmperial


 the mode amel lime of withelrawal of the forero. Ditfientions arose from the face that after acereptine the rexmenthitity for native affairs, Mr. Fox * Ministry was defeated on Iuly 2x. 18tio, by the casting vote of the spaker on a proposed resolution in favone of placing lhe ordinary condmet of native affairs under the administration of the re-pmasible
 the nltimate responsibility of the (iovernor. But the !mperial
 180:3, definitely deceled to relimguish theid aontrol over the administration of native affaim, and the (iemeral . Asembly. acepted responsibility lose rexolation in November 1sti3. ${ }^{1}$

Difficoltioes then aroere as to the degree of control to be "xereised over the Imperial troops. on the one hand tes the Governor and on the other hand by the colomial Slinial ry. The (olonial Ministry asserted its clatim that it should come rol merations. and in partionlar that it sould hame dare right to decitle what steps Shomed be taken in atcomelance with an Iet passed in 18633 , empowering the (iovernor to contiveate the lands of insurgent natives. The (iovemor was donbtinl about confisention, and the Imperial (iovernment were molh afraid lest wholesale contiseation shomble lead to the extension of the war, for the earreing on of which they were being made responsible. The Whitaker-lios Ministry, which had been formed in oetober lstias, resigned in lsti4. dhring the Parliamentary recess, as a consequener of disagreement with

[^46]
## 

the (Governor, who on his own repomsibility otfered certain term- to natives whon shomblemrember.' They had eome into violent ronlliet with the (iovernor as to the respertive right: of the lmperial (iowerment and the Colonial Governfinent as to the treatment of prisencers of war. These prisoners

 theid detantion : moreover. her thonsht that they shomble bre bemsht to trial with all reatomahle eederity, while the Government withheld action. The sereetary of state altimately instructed the Cowernor explicitly that he was int liberty in this matter, as the war was being carried on by laperial troops. to act on his own reponsihility, amb to divpose of the priamers as he thought fit. 'The (iovermment wheeted to this view and maintained that ther were entitled todispore of the prisoners. This elicited from the Sereretary of State all cepresom of his views to the eflect that the Govemment were rally aking that they should be supplied with tronps and a commander hy the limperial (iovermment. While the lmperial ( dovernment was divented of all eontrol over the operations of these fores, for which they praid, and were thins reduced to the position of being tributary to the Eolonial (iovermment.?

The position was rendered more and more diffieult by disputes between the (iovernor and the general eommanding with regard to the conduet of hostilities. and in consequence of the absohnte inability of the (iovernor and the officer commanding to agree an to the policy to be phesened. and atoo of the (ioweraco insisting on retaining troops w? wh the Imperial (anermment had desied shond be edurned to Iavtalia, the Lemperial (iovermment decided to take the control of all the frops sate ome regiment out of the hathde of the ( owernors instructing him that the other trocts should be treated as being merely in the position of troop which had called at Now Zoaland ent: '...

[^47]The (invermer prote oted energetically againat this deminion. and went so far as to asoet that he the (bmatitution and by his commiswion as fovernor and fommander-in-(hisef. the dovernor most posios fall military control wer all the

 by a mere derision of the lmperial ( © wemment.'
 a mistake to assome that all alteration was madk bey the
 with regard to the control of Jmperial troops in al 'olons. With regated to the one regiment which was still to be left. and which w:as left math lstitl. the (Ewsemore -till retaned the same control is he eomstitutionally hat. He was not

 to the military opreat ions and down to the emd of hiv lemure
 wher haml, the haperial fovermment were obvemsty ent hed to remowe from the folmey troops which they diel not intemet -homal tee emploped therein. and the remoral of such tropp. from the foremors cont mol combl mot be regated as al hreach of constitutional prate ice or an interference with the powers of self-govermment of the C'olonies.

The Ministry. which had tirst beell anxions to adopt a self-
 - neh a poliey, rhangel its attiturle in lists. when remain mianmers who had heron rontimed on the Chatham l-katk asaped from their embincment and landed in New Zatambl.
 but they still derlined to acerept repomsibilite for the parment of the tronps. and the lmperial fioverment were be longer prepared to alegniesere in the retertion of forere for Which no palomemt was mate. I gronome protests be the
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(iovernor; who had returmed to landen atter him repirement from the liovernorship of the Colonle, and liom wheres. hand no cellecet, and the troope were timally wibhdrawn in isco!.!
The only conclusion which ean lairly br drawn from the -iremmstances is that the une of Improvial tromploy at ofmint dovermment con harlly ever be -horesefal.

Inceidentally it wat properat beve the War whion that thr ollicer eommanding the Imperi, trompe fomld bre wiven
 hostilities. 'Ta this propenal axerption was poomply taken by the dolonial Ollicer, which taill hremo ont the facel that it
 to deal with quesioms of itedf, if the Imperial (iowernment chamed direction of the operations, and that the only clatim Which coukt powibly be matw was that the Imperial ollierer, while actually engaged in operations which were being conducted jointly bev Imperial and l'olonial trompe, -homlet take command of the joint forece.:

Cirenmstances in the eane of sonth . Ifricat have been decidedly different. The Somth Dfrieanc (olonies hatrealways formed a portion, and one bot in recent vears, in extent ol
 in sonth . Ifriea. Fien at the present time it is cosemtial to maintain a garrison in South . Ifriea for the safety of the British possesesoms and Protectorates, though it was the desire of the hmperial (iovernment in 1 soan 101872 , when they ureed npent the diusemment of the Cape to aceept responsible government, to withdram gradually from the C'ape all the lomperial liorees stationed therein with the exception of a regiment for the protection of the maval station at Nimonis Bays. [his appiration was hever, howcere, earried ont, for the vears after resomsible government were not merely fear ol growing ditlienlty with the native population. culminating in the eflonts of the (ape to control

[^48]
 Vinesecnth Century, August $1579,1 \mu \mathrm{~L} .271 \mathrm{seg}$.

## CHAI' VI IHITTARV IND NAVAI, DFFENC' 12.97

the (oblong of Busutohand, Which hand heren permanemtly


 was dispored of. the ammestion of the liner liopublie of the


 with the setthement of the combley the hapertial fores were

 -talled that the lamperial (ioneromment wonld only maintain lomprerial foreos therein for a preriod of tive peats after the
 that time a new haperial diftienl!y had ariven in the shape of the incomsion of the forees under the. dantesoll into the
 had heroll ralled-incer the seeoml treaty of last. Diter that adent the redations be ween the laperial conermment and the (iowednment of the Republic beeame inereasinegly stained, and ultimately the war broke out in 1 sibl. . Itter the conclasion of the war in 1 !e(12 the garri-on of Nonth . Ifricathat becta considerably reduced, but it still rematims a comsiderable one, and south . Dfrica will not probably be able to matertake its own defence mitil some time has ehaped after maton. Mr. Molteno ${ }^{2}$ in the debates on the sonth Dirie: Bill urged that the troops should be withdrann, re-echoing his father's biew in the case of the Cape-but the reponsible gevermanem appeas not to be eager tor arange for this. The repponsibility for the intermal order of the Bechamaland Protectorate. liasiltoland. and swaziland will still rest with the limperial Covermment, who are aloo mitmately responsible for the internal order of the whole of Rhoeleria, thongh the eontrol of the police, taken allay after the raid, Was restored in I!1II to the Charlered Companys administration.

P'arl. P'op., ('. 645\%, f. 23. Of comso against external attact hy the anth Jfican licpublic a promise of aid without question was given; see I'arl. I'ap. C'il. It.

## 

 Wht friction the relations of the lomperial und the (olenial




 remflat of the redations of the 1 'rown with the hative tribe





 There were at the time of the dixpente in 1857 f wo revolts raging, and Sir Batlo Freve was extremely ansions that the 'ononial (iovermment homblet attempt to deal with there revolts, which uppeared to him very serious, hy their own resomees only, but should sembe the aswi-tance of the Imperial troops in the (oblone: Ont the where hathel, the Dinistry meged with some vediemerner that the prosence of the Imperial troops was eont rary to the wishes and feedings of the colong: and that they theratened the independenere of the ( odones, and they advied that they shonld be contirely "ithdrawn. Finthor, the Diniotey proceeded to continme.
 mander-in-Chief shomblot interfore in any wal with the Cotonial foreses, amel they aprointed one of the Ministry to take complete charge of wanlike operations. imependenty of the control of the Govemor and independently of the lamperial oflicer commanding the fores in the ('ipere of (oorl Hope. They ahsoproceeded, withont consulting the (iovernor: to make appointment- to the military forees in his hame. ahbough lie had not anthorized stli appointments, and

[^49]













 ill view of the imma- .1. who was anting in (hotere of he whe tore ul his own

 mable to contime the (iovermmeman any tonger in otlice. Jha
 deeision of the Governor. and determined to continme if ('ommissioner of 'rown Lamek R Responsible Dietator at fommander-in-(lyiof in military alfats. If miniaters


 fiosernor, the Commander of the forees, and the troops. as suggested ty miniaters.

Fortunately for the Ginvernor, his strong action in remorligg the miniaters from oflice was contirely smpported by the "ratt, which ensued. Mr. (afterwaris Nir) (i. Sprisg mulerbosk to form a (bovermment, and did an will strecers. On the meeting of Piarliament. Mr. Merriman emeleavomed to whtan from the House of S.-emitly a rote practically censuring the (iuvernor for the di-missal of the ministers. bint in the tirst place the spealier of the Howse prevented the
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 motion being put in sheh a form as to retlece upon the (bovernor instead of attacking the ministers, and secondly the motion wats ansuceessful, while the (iovernment proreced to aseept the Governors advice and plased the forees under the supreme control of the general officer eonmmanding in Sonth Afriea. The secortary of state also approved his ation in a dispateh ol Marrh 21,1878 . This case was also of importance because of a question that arose as to the proclamation of matial lan. It appeared from a dispateh of Jhme !. 187s, that he had agreed to a declaration of martial law on the adriee of ministers, so as to provide that Colonial judielal offeres shonk preside at the trials of matial law and try offences, in place of dealing with captured persons by drim-head court martial. In a reply on February 16, 187s, the Secretary of siate expressed regeet that it shonld have been neeessary to resort to martial law, and his hope that it wonld be found possible to amend the Colonial law no as to avoid the remrrence ol similar proceedings.I favomable view of Sir B. Frere's proceedings is taken Ly Tode', but, on the other hand, Mr. I'. A. Molteno, in his life of his fathere, has represented the situation in a mammer very mifinomable to Sir Bartle Frere. It was, in his opinion, the aim of Nir J. Molteno to cneourage the (oomy to atopt a self-reliant attitucle and to earry ont operations affeeting the Colony by means of the local forese only. Sor ean there be much doubt that it would be impossible to defend many of the viows expresed by sia battle Freve. He conld elaim by taw and by constitntional prate ice no pow er whatever over the local forces exrept what was given to him hy haw, and the fact that the existing . Iets passed belore the grant of responsible govemment gave powers of control to the (iovernor



 (: 2.40. p. 10.3. Sif B. Frere was at least very headstrong, and quite ghorant of oonstitutional law. It is fair, howner to saty that sir 11 . Maming leld that it Now south Wiales. Aol of tatio conferred at persmal
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Wan quite irrelevant. Viter the grant of rexponsible government these pewers hke evory other powere rednied to be exereised on the primeiples of ministerial responsibility. The (fovernor had therefore no inherent right to place the local forees under the control of the lmperial foreres, and no execption can be takento the eomstithtional pesit ion orempied
 The aternsation that commissions were issucel in ther foremore name is met by the statement that the matters done were matters of rontime which wore not mormatly shbmitted at all to the Gevernor. The question ont that peoint really raise the prohlem of what matters are mathere of rontine and what matters are too considerable to be treated in this wate, and in any ease ditferent opinions mily legitimately be helf.

On the other hamb, it must fainly be sald for Nir Bartle Frere that his position was a difficull one, for as lligh ('ome missioner he hat a gencrabl reponsibility for relations with native tribes in Sonth Ifrieat, whieh he rould not share with his ministers however gladly he might wehome their adsiee, and however willingly he might normally anerept it.

His opinions were therefore entitled to serious consideration by his Ministry, and the fate that the eometry uphede Sir (iordon sprigg mast be placed to his revelit in considering the question of the rights and the wronge in the matter. But it must at once be salid that sir Barthe Frere, both in this and in other matters, was cheaty tow muth inclined to think that, as Governor, he was emtithed to make free nse of the Imperial troops independently of the wishes of his ministers; on this point he was repeatedly fold by the Imperial Govermment that the lmperial foreres were in the ('ape merely for the purposes of defonding an Imperial trade route, and that it was not intemed that the (apee shonth be defended either from internal risings or from the attachs of extermal tribew by the lmperial foreces.

In the rase of the war in South Nrica from tson to 100 E the Colonial forees assisted readily the Improial troons, and both in Nitat and in the rape of lionel Hope the local troopes were placed fully muler the eontrol of the Imperial
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('ommander-in-('licf. It was neeessary on that oceasion also to administor martial law througlout the comery; and the stepe taken were validated by Acts of the Natal and
 and the Orange River Colony.

The military fores of the Dominions are in every case raised and provided for bẹ the local . lets passed in virtue of the general legislative powers of the Parliaments in question. In the case of cimarla, the Dominion alone has, of consre, power to deal with military defences. In the case of the ('onmonwealth, the Commonwealth hess, by s. 51 (vi) of the Constitution, power to legisate for the neval and military defences of the (ommonwealth and of the several states, and of the eont rol of the forces to execute and maintain the laws of the (ommonwealth, and, by ss. $5: 2$ and 69 , sole power to legislate for the defenee departments. This power is not an exelusive power, but by s. IIt of the Commonwealth (bonstitution a state $i=$ not able without the consent of the Parlament of the (ommonwealth to raise or maintain any naval or military forces. while hy s. $11!$ the (ommonwealth shall protect every state agalinst invasion, and, on the applieation of the exmentive gowermment of the state, ageinst domestic violenee. Aecordingly in 1900, when new letter: patent were issurd for the Iustralian states, it was expressly provided that the Gowernor-(ieneral alone should be termed ('ommander-in-Chief. and that the Governors, who had hitherto been in addition to fiovernors also ('ommanders-in-('licef in their statess, shonld cease to hold that position. as nomally there would no longer be in the states armed fores under the control of the state (iowemors.

In all the Dominions the (ionernor or Gowernor-(ieneral








is also Commander-in-(hicef. The term is liable to some misapprehension, athel hal - no dontit led to some eonfusions. ${ }^{3}$ inasmueh as the (avermor has in eretalin cate beed hedel for have powere with regatel to the local forees which were not merely the ondinary powe of the (ewserner in (ommeil. In
 important powers under the . Ifets relatias to the foreres bit these power dobut include, and are not intembed twinclude. the remmanel of the finces. execept in the sense that the (iovernor is titalar commander-in- hice a- the reprexemtatioe

 New south Wiales, tomal himself in an anhatiansing position in consequence of the fate that herna-rapuired by . Wet No.
 removal of offerers of the loeal finces. alled her wase alvierd
 him whout ministerial alviere. 'lae realt was that her was brought into coili-ion with the Lagi-fative Larmbly: Which disapproved hia ale tion in the calse of a member of theor forces called Rowi. and the liowermon sensilly peointed ont that it was molevirable in such a matter to have any thine in the hands of the Governor personally. In the same wat the position in South Jfrica was complicated moneressamply by the fact that the fiovernor was given he die local dotsarious powers as to the forees, wheh apparently thew upen him at personal repon-ibihity. Is (ommander-in-Cheof, of course, the Governor has no powry on contry wer the Imperial forces within the colons. Hi* legal ponition with

 * Clearly in the ease of sic B. Fome, and ef. the New somth IV ales case

 Asued to aflieets hi Eughan gives them ally power of command wom Cotomial fores ; the only priner to commant such fures mut come from




## 

regard to the Imperial forees, and still more of conrse the legal position of his ministers, is a simple one. As laid down in No. 10 of the C'olonial Regmlations. it is the general obligation of all Hix Majestys civil and military ofticers 10) offer muthal ansiatanee werb other in eases affecting the Kinges servies; and by the King: regulations for the naty, the Commander-in-('hief of a station. or the andior offierer present at a port, is instructed to pay due regard to arch requisitions as he may receive from the (iovernor having for their ohject the protection of His. Majentys posessioms. the benefit of the trade of his subjeets, or the gencral geond of his serviee. The Coblonial Regulations atwo provide
11. In urgent cases. when the requisitions may contlict with the instrue ions from the superior mas ah anthority moder which he is acting, and when reference by telegraph or otherwise to such superior anthority is impractieable, a naval offieer in instrusted to consider the relative importance and urgency of the regnired serviec as compared with his inst ruetions, whe haer general or opeerial: and he is to decide as in hi- juldement maty aem best for His. Majestys service. In so doing be $i$ instracted to bear in mind the grate respon-
 anch as : 1 fully warrant the pertponement of the inst wetions from his naval -uperior to the mare prewing requisition from the Genemor.

1:2 In "ase where hixh political comsiderations demand the deri-ion of Hi- Majoty: © Comemment in reopert of the action to be taken. the Gexternos should commmicte his Cpinion that the preselu\% of ome of Hi- Majestys ships is
 in the commataling officer of Hi- Majentys ship. mulese the



 I - we no doubt prompe by the grewth of a trong power in

 moporate ot the 'ommmancalth - we otue ed in the Defener
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 needed for defencer half for the gamionning of fort itiod places and other important rontres, and half for offom-ive atems against an invaler. There are several changer in the det from the seheme adepted by the (iovermment in l!om: hat the important one is the extension of taming to twent י-live vears, a demand met realily he the Parlioment. Lat it is to be moted that no persom- Bow over ol berome liable matur the let. The forer- will be divided into junion ealdet- from 12 1014 years of age, who are to be traned fore 120 homrs in
 be trained for four whole-day drille, fiwetse halt-day drilland 1 wront-four night drills, and the vitizen forers from is 10.5 peare of age. In the citizen fores the traning will be compuhary, sixtern whoterlay hills of their copluatent being requirerl. and eight of theor dily drill moth he pesced
 the sibled atros like atifley amd romineres. Provinon is
 the offieres to train the foree and erallatem of which onty. -hall be apponinted eflicern ot the permanent forres. Fhe New
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 question could not. or would not, accept his constitutional position as a Cohnial officer appointed hy and strbordinate Io the Ministry and the dismisal of Jord Dus:abamal by the (ialadian (iovernment in laot reveated the fact that that offere in his desime for coflecionce had practically attareked the Demminion devernment. ${ }^{1}$ The phan was then adopted Ly Act 4 Edw. VII. ©. $2: 3$ of abolishing the commandernchicef ame instituting a Militia Commeil with an Insperetorlecoeral, who might be a military offieer of the l'nited Kingtom or of ('madal and while this post was at first filled he Sir Perey Lake, it was then, on his retirement in 1910 alter all mashally prolonged service. given to a lamadian affecer. Brigadiom-deneral (otter, while his place was filled hy all officer of the britivh army. In the aise of the ('ommoni"ealth semothing of the same sort happened: the attempt Io maintain a post of gencral offiere commanding broke frietion had conded with the redirement of fencral Hatton.
 and a Military Board. I (oumeil was alvo created with one member a Bhitish offiere hy Aed No. 11 of lame by the New
 transfered to the (immmandant. Nuch more important. prohably hav been the wisit of Lord Kitrhener abowe mentomed to both New Zealamel and to Instrathat and his atwiee, which led to the legislation of 1910 in rither Dominion, ${ }^{2}$ and the vivit of Nir John French to (anada in 1910 in order to insepet the whole of the foreces of the Dominion. ${ }^{3}$ lint ('amadal i- in at very lifliment porition from








 effective. He held thet the volumtere thisim was still !egitinhate. Intht that it

## chap. x] Military and Naval defence

Australasia : the Momoe doetrine and friendly relation- with the Chited states diminish risks of war. allol the FremehCamadians dreal militarism: compuleory traning is theres fore not at present eonecivable, thomeh, in therney, all males
 masese is possible. It is alooprovieterl that the ('ommamber-in-
 is a part of his functions the duty of visitime and advisines


Beseles this shombl be mentionerl the wheme fon a army statif called the lmperial dencral staff. comsisting of Imperial and Domimon oftieress. There is to allempl to control the Dominions but it is hoperl that the whole statf will in harmony work together at erollereting intelligence. creating plans of campaign, and mastering all the thomsamd maters whieh enstitute the intelleretual preparation for wat. It is the purpose to constitme branche of the Imperial Cencral stati in cach Dominion : the brameh shatl correspond direct with the Imperial fieneral stati at the Wiar Oflice. and oo be in close tonch with it, and an offieer from cach Domimion is to be aftacherl to the Imperial (ieneral stanf in the War Oftice. The (emeral stall in earh Dominion shall be antomomous and in mo whe madre the enntrol of the Imperial
 Statf is to seroure the adsantages of coseoperatimg. Oflieres of the Dominions, it is hoperl. will ahou bre altarherlat limes to the Wiar Oflice as part of the haperial (iemeral statif. and -imilarly the (aeneral staff in the Womision- -hould eomsint


 well acoguainted with the eombliton- of Imperial military
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of '(1)-0peration.' Nueh staff branches have been organized in C'anada, Sustralia, and New Vealand, and are in direct communication with the Imperial femeral Statf.

Sdeice in all matters of the military or naval preparations of the Dominions can be ohtained from the Dereens Defencer Committee or the more august (ommittee of Imperial Defence. The former. now affiliated to the latter, is muth the older holly, and it performs the important daty of advising on all matters of detail smbmitted to it, and of preparing questions for the consideration of the Committee of Inperial Defence. That boele' whinh owes its constitution to the interest taken he Mr. Balfonr in Imperial defence. is remarkable in being presided weo by the Prime Ministere and its comstimtion is dastie, and allows of the presence of members of the Dominion (iovernments: When questions affecting the Dominions ate concerned, and on these oreasions the Secerary of state for the (olonie is present or is represented. In thiv fommittere. combined with oecasional (onforencersuch as that of loone. Womld serem for the preselt at leant to lie the monde of meroring a eertalia amoment of contimuty in the defonere police of the Empire.

In gemeral the local army . Iets are hased on the Imperial model. but differ eonsiderably as to pmishments. which are nomally less sovere. In time of war. however, the fall rigour of the Imperial Iets prevails. (lotside the linite of the Dombinon the troops remain subject to (oblonial legislation, if any: if urt, they fall moler, here Army Act, in accordance with the expers terms of s. 177 of that det. But fothis rube there is the exerption that ment and for trabine wother fores now he loperial and local legivation fall mater the control of the lomiaion of the linited King dom. aneording to where they are serving at the time. Horeover. legiskation hav now been adopted bẹ. Autmalia and Niw Kadand in 1 !eve under


 Pop. (d. ©
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Which when acrving in other parta with Inperial troop- the Imperial Art is 10 apply, and the Arm! Arl in gemerally applicable unters. 71 of Rereised Slalmes. L!onf, c. H1, to the Comadian forers, while in time of atetive servier in ('matal or the Commonweath with Imperial troop- the (iovermorGeneral on behalf of His Majenty mas plate the troops muter the erommand of a senior ofieere of the regular army if deemed desirable. Hat in me case are the bominion forees homed to serve bevond the limita of the Deminion withont their consent, and all the troopse comployed at Suation in 185.5 and in the sonth. Ifriean Wiar were whantarily enlisted. In every case if the citizen foreses are ealled ont. I'allament. if not sitting, man:t be allmmented.

For the govermment of surh forers on the vogige to amed from Sonth Ifriea and white in the liohonies there was molne

 and the Gowerner of Now Zandand. giving power to comverne and conlitur gemeral courts martial held within the Dominion for offences agalimet the Armis art. 'This. it was explained, applied to otfenere commited by persons entisted in the
 persons raised mader a losal . Iet hat serving umber the Army Act. Moreover. a Cowemor combla isolle al warrant to the sentior oflicer in charge of troper combarlied in the Dominion if subject to the Armey Act, allowing hinn to convene and contirm distried contr- Matiliat, which watant womld cease to hat effert when the trabs lamedel at their
 at the prot of combartation wombly ate a warant to the officer for the purpore of the joumery.

## S: N.

The defence of the Jominions from extomal atterek has never vet been latid of them by the lmperial dowermment. The result is that in maval matere eomphatively little
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 progress las been made in pitting the Colonies in a condition than reveme vesels for her lishert reviec.' Newfomulland
 Veseds of its "wn, Hor has New Vembund. In dustratia, however, varions circtumbanes led to greater aforts being mate for maval protertion. The way in thin matter was Fed bs the Colons of Viotoria: The hemetgarters of the Imperial naval borese on the Jastalian station was New Sumth Wales. mul Vietoria felt open to attanek as there was practically bo permanent stationing of Royal Nayy vessels in Vietorian waters. The Healk were not fortified, mad the large expanse of Port lhilip ated Hobsomis Bay open to foreign ermsiens called for a maval wovere for its defence. In the sixties, therefore the legeming- of a naval service were comeded, and in 1 ssis the foree altained its greatent efficiences, there being then in the powe ion of the mave "wooden frigate, ome irondad, two grmboats, and three
 added: but the foree was comsiderably redheed in 18! 13 , and at the time of fedemation the expenelitare wese reduced to
 sub-tallial haval forere; a Haval brigade War miorol to -rive as a reinforement lon the haty in case of med, and " light corvette. the Woblerine. was malle ofer to the Now
 "quasi-civil body, and. thomgh in Issig two torpedo-boatwere built. no finther addition was mate to the stroneth.
 bering erommissioned for the defence of hats and river

 mi-iont, and a naval brigatle was organized an in the calse of







## Char. x] MLITARY AND NAVAL DEFENCK:

New South Wales. In 1893 the gumbonts were put out of commiswon, lont in twa the service was again expanded. sonth . Instralia, alos in 18st, commeneed haval defence. They sermed the Protector, a heavily armed homgh small aniser, specially designed for service ill tertiturial waters, which was permimently commiosioned with a three-fifthes
 the (iovernament. It the time al her atrival in the ('olonys
 was plateol in commmission in reserve, mod the permanemt erew and ollierer- execoting the (ommander-in-(lief, engineer, and instructional staff, were retrenched. 'Josinanial hatd tho witrial lomere exerept a seromed-edass tompedo boat, which
 Instriblia liad now havial foree nt all.

 wo dombt a- to the legishative powers of the robonies to

 to legivate with regilel ta matters wemming beyond the te ritorial limit, and, monerver, it wiss whoiously impontant



 relating to mis:all defenoe, whiols would permit the limperial







 The important powions of this . Iet were ac follow : -
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time to time to make provisi, n for effecting at the expense of the ('olony all or any of the purposes following :
(1) For providing, maintaining, and using a vessel or vessels of war, subject to such eonditions and for sueh purposes as Her Dajesty in Council from time to time approves : ${ }^{1}$
(2) For rasising and maintaining seamen and others entered on the terms of being bound to serve as ordered in any sueh vesicel:
(3) For raising and maintaining a body of volunteer: entered on the terms of being bomed to gene lad serviee in the Royal Nary in emergency, and, if in any case the proper legislative authority so directs, on the furt her terms of being bound to serve as ordered in any such vessel as aforesaid :
(4) For appointing commissioned, warrant, and other officers to train and command or serve as officers with any such men ashore or afloat, on such terms and subject to such regulations as Her Majesty in Council from time to time approves:
(5) For obtaining from the Almiralt! the services of commissioned, warrant, and sthor officers and of men of the Royal Nayy for the last-mentioned purposes:
(i) For enforeing good order and discipline among the men and officers aforesaid while ashore or afloat within the limits of the colony:
(7) For making the new and otfieers aforesaid, while ashore or atloat within the limits of the (olony or elsewhere. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ subjeet to all enactments and regulations for the time being in foree for the diseipline of the Royal Nayr.
4. Volmenters raised as aforesald in any Colony shall form part of the Royal Naval (Volumeer) Reserve, in addition to the volunteers who may be raised under the let of $18: 59$ (Naral Forces Act. 190;3). but, exerpt as in this Act expresshprovided, shall be subject exclusively to the provisions made. as aforesaid by the proper legislative authority of the (olony.
5. It shall he lanful for Her Majenty in Council from time to time as oceasion requires, and on such conditions as seem fit, to authorize the Ahmialty to isole to any oifieer of the Royal Nay volunt eering for the purpose a pecial commission for service in aceordance with the provisions of this Aet.
6. It shall be lawful for Her Majesty in Comeil from time to time as oceasion requires, and on such conditions as seem fit, to authorize the Idmiralty to accept any offer for the
${ }^{1}$ This power was one more extensive than could be exereised by: Colonial Legislature of its own power. Luss 4 and 7 the words in italic. are alternatives given ly 9 Edw: VII. ©. I!
time being made on to be made bey the fiovernment of a ('olony, to place at Mor Majesty $\boldsymbol{v}^{\circ}$ disposal any vered of wall provided by that fovermment. and the men and officers from time to time serving therein : and 1 hile anty vesed aceepted by the Admiralty under such authority is it the disposial of Her Majesty, such vessel shall be decomed to all intent-: vessel of wan of the Royal Nasy, and the men and officers from ti:ae to time serving in sueh vesed -hath be deemad to stl intents men and ofticers of the Roval Navy, and shall aeeordingly be subject to all chartments and regulations for the time being in foree for the diseipline of the Royal Nave.
7. It shall be lawful for Her Majesty in ('ouncil from tinte to time as ocea ion requires, and on such conditions as seem fit, to authorize the Admiralty to aecept any offer for the time being made or to be made by the Government of a Colony, to place at Her Majesty's disposal for gemeral service in the Royal Navy, the whole or any part of the body of volunteers, with all or any of the offieers raised and appointed by that Government in aecordance with the provisions of this tet; and when any such offer is aeeepted, suele of the provisions of the Aet of 185:! (Nraval Forces Act, 190:3), as relate to men of the Royal Naval (Volumfer) Reserve rased in the United Kinglom when in actual serviee shall extend and apply to the volunteres whose services are so aecepted.t
8. The Admiralty may. if they think fit. from time to time by warrant authorize any officer of Her Majesty's Navy of the rank of eaptain, of of a higher rank, to cxercise in the name and on behalf of the Admiralty, in relation to any Colony, for such time and subject to such limitations. if any as the Admiralty think fit, any power exereisable by the Admiralty under this Act.
9. Nothing done under this Aet by Order in Council, or by the Admiralty, or otherwise, shall impose any change on the revenues of the United Kingdom without express provision made by Parliament for meeting the same.
10. Nothing in this Act shall take away or abridge any power vested in or exewisable by the Legiviature or foverinment of any Colony.

The result of this Aet is ret out in a diepatell from the Secretary of State to the Governor of Queensland of November 17, 1884,2 dealing with an offer made by the
${ }^{1} \mathrm{By} s .2$ of 9 Edw. VII. c. 19 the colonial Legislatures can protide fir men being entered as bound to serve in the Ruyal Navy on emergency:
${ }^{2}$ Parl. Pap, H. C. 125, 1884-5.

Colonial (iovermment of a ship for service with the Imperial navy.
3. Earty in this year when the gmboats built for the (bevemment of Victoria were ready toleave linghand, applicaticra was made by the Agent-dionemb for an Order in Council to place these vessels under the provisions of s. 6 of the Colonial Vinal Defence Aer, 186in, and thus conable them to acepuire the status of vessels of war of the Royal Navy during the voyage to Melbourne.
4. The Law Offieer:s of the Crown were consulted whether it was competent to Her Majesty to issine an Order in Comacil under s. 6 of the Act without issuing one under $\therefore 3$, and they advised in reply that s. 6 authorizes the Crown to accept for Imperial purposes vesseis legally existing as Colonial armed vessels: and that it is, therefore, clear that sueh vessels must first obtain their status under s. 3 before s. $;$ can be applied to them.
5. The Victorian Act, No. 389, styled the Discipline Act. 1870, and No. 417, to which Her Majesty approval in Council had been obtamed at the time of their enactment, provide that ressels placed in Commission ly the (iovernor shall be under the enactments and regulations in foree for the discipline of the Royal Navy. It was, therefore, possible for me wo instruct the Governor to issue Commissions unter those Acts, and mpon my learning that this had been done. Orders in Comeil under $\therefore, 3$ and $s, 6$ were issued. ${ }^{1}$ In the absence of any similar Acts in Qucenshand, it was not possible to entertain the offer conveyed in your telegram of the 25th ultimo ; it will, however, be a satisfaction to Her Majesty's Govermment, if, upon receipt of your di-pateh and of the Aet of the Legislature it shall be found possible to meet the wishes of your ministers.
6. Before the Orders in Comeil of March 4 were issmed, the Agent-General for Victoria offered to place the vessels at the disposal of Her Majesty for service in the Red Sea, so as to share in the active operations then in progress. The Law Officers were, thereupon, asked to advise as to the position which would be occupied by the officers and men in the event of this offer being accepted; and whether. having regard to the tems of their agreement, such acceptance would render the erews liable to active service against the enemy as men of the Royal Navy without their assent

[^52]previomsly ohtaind. Upon the latter question, the Law Officers were clearly of opinion that the crews wonld not be so liable ; and they thought that under the termes of thrio engagement the crews were only lomnd to navigate the ship on the same conditions and subject to the same diveipline as merehant seamen. And, further, as the veseds had mot as yet been within the limits of the Colony, and wron mot then manned by crews entered for the service of the ©olony, they were of opinion that very serioms diffienlties might arise trom their employment in any warlike operation. It may be desirable that your fovernment shonld take this advier into consideration when engaging officers or men for sorvice in any armed vessel belonging to the Colony.
7. Colonial armed ressels whose services are aceepted inder s. 6 of the Colonial Nizal Defence Act are to be deemed to all intents vessels of war of the Royal Navy. But in the event of a Colonial vessel of war making a long pasaage, such as a voyage from England to Anstralia, in the eonrse of which whe would pass through several stations, meeting ships of war commanded by officers of various ranks, it is evident that many difficulties would arise which would render it very inconvenient, and probably impossible as the law now stands, to consider her as to all intents a vessel of war of the Royal Navy. She would be unprovided with the Navy signals, books, or regulations ; the relative rank of the officerin command is not provided for, and althongh the shipcompany would be under the Naval Discipline Act, the captain would not sit on courts martial. It was. consequently, thought advisable that the Vietorian vessels, which had already left England betore March 4, should continue their voyage under the blue ensign and pendant for which Admiralty warrants had been granted to them.
8. By s. 80 of the Queen's Regulations for the Navy it is provided that Colonial ships of war maintamed by a Colony under the Colomial Naval Defence Act, 1865, shall wear the blue ensign with the seal or badge of the Colony in the fytheres $?$ a blue pendant. The Lords of the Admiralty would .. .ays be ready to grant the necessary warrant for any such vessel, sueh warrant being the proper evidence of her right to bear these colours. The pendant is the symbol of a ship of war, and foreign powers have been informed that vessels bearing these colours are entitled to all the privileges. of vessels of war.
9. You will observe that in what I have said sea-going vessels only are in question, some portion of whose datie-

Wond be dierharged herond the limits of colonial waters: and f thomght it alviatike for invite the Admitalty to make

 to be perfomed ent irely withan the waters of a Colons. An ophinion was recerved that (ohomies possessing repomsible fovernment are at liberty independently of int Act of the Imperial Parliament to provide and equip armed ressels for harbom defonce alld police and other like purposes within, and their nse being limited to, the watere of sulth (oolonies respertively ; and the lards Commissioners of the Admiraty have informed me that ther would be prepared to sametion the nse of the bhe emsign (with the hadge of the (olony thereon) and the bhe pendant lye vessels armed and fitted for harbone defence, poliee or other like purposes within the tervitorial waters of the Colonv, prorided that such vessels are commanded hy officers holding Commissions from the fiovernor or Covermment of the Colong.
11. I have thought that the above information may be of server to pour ministers, and 1 shall be shad if you will eommmineate this dispatel to them.

Later Orlers in Council of December 3n, 188t, and January 24,1885 . Were issmed to il . "ove the mantenance of the Protector by the South Anstralian Government under Aet. No. 307. 1s8t, and of the Ga!!umblh hy the Queensland Government under Aet No. 27 of 1834 . Eut in the main the further ressels equipped by the Colonies were equipped under the generat legislative power of tho colonies for local defence. In 1900 under ss. 6 and 7 of the Act of 1865 a gunboat, its crew. and volunteces, were aceepted for service in China.

In 1887 some further steps were taken to secure the defence of Australia. ${ }^{1}$ It was then agreed at the (olonial Confereater of that year, that it wonld be rigl $t$ and proper for the Colonial Governments to make a contribution towards the cost of the maintenance on the Australian Station of an important foree, in addition to what forees would normally. be stationed there in the interests of Imperial defence. It was agreed that an auxiliary squadron shonld be created to consist of five fast ( 7,500 horse-power) third-elase eruiser
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 t, soo horse-power. alll. it - aperal tharetion was the protertion of the thating trade in An-tablasian waters. Fhere crmiongs and one gunboat were to be kepe promanent ly eommiosioned,
 commision whenover oceasion might arive. The vessels were to remain within the limits of the In- trabasian station, which was defined in the agrement. and were to be employed in time of peace or war within surh limits, in the same way as the soweregn's ships of war ate employed. and beyond those limits only with the comsent of the colonial finwernments. The prime cost of the verisels was to be defratere from Imperial fimbls, but the Colonial liovernment- paid interest on the prime (onet at 5 per rent. יp to at maximmm of esis, 000 a fear, and were to contribute not more than E01, 1 mo a The agreement was confirmed hy Acte of the Colonial Parliaments and of the Imperial Pathament: it wats to lat for ten vears, and thereafter to continne metil determined on two years' notice. The agreement was further extenderl after the Cotonial Conference of $1902,{ }^{1}$ and was then ratified by Act No. 8 of 1903 in the (ommonwealth of Allstratia, 10 which in 1900 the eontrol of naval forees pased on federiation, and in New Zeatand by Act No. Ju, l!nns. The new agreement provided, after modifieation by a later arrangement, for one first-class amed cruser, three second-chas runsers, and five third-clas cmisers, and a Royal Naval Reserve of 25 offieces and 701 samen and stokers. One ship was to be kept in verorer three to li. partly mamed for drill purpose for traming the royal naval reserve, and the remainder to be kept in commission and fully atomed. Iustralasians were, as far as possible, to man the three drillhipes and one other vesisel, but they were to be officered by Royal Naval and Royal Naval Resorve offorerv. Ince-hatf of the annmal cost of mantename was to be borne by the Dominions, but not more than 500,1000 was to be paid hy Austrahia and than $£ 40,000$ by New Zeatand, sums
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which hase batlen very comsiderably short of hatf of the expenditure.

There was dithenty in panaing the Commonneath legitat-
 developed iteeff in Anstralia in lawour of the aswmption of full remponsibility for the derenee of dumbatian ports. and dockyards, and the protection of coanting trate. The lomperial tefence committere expressed the opinion that the British theet guaranted Anstalia against invinion in furce. and also against attack by a comsiderable squadron of armoured vessels, hough athmitting that the exigencies of sar might require the withdrawal of the duse ratiam huperial squadron, and that Aut malia eould not he gnananteed against attack by armomed commereial raider, up to four in number, but such damage as they could intlict would not be of more than secomdary importance. It was considered, however, by the naval advisers of the Commonwealth, that white the damage so intlicted might be of secondary importanee, it might nevertheless be of moment to dustrali:a, and Mr. Deakin's (iovernment decided to commenee building an Anstralian navy. Disenssions arose with the Imperial Govermment as to the important question of the control of the nary in time of peace and in time of war. The Australian Government desired to retain the constitutional power of placing the navy under the control of the Adminalty in time of war, while in time of peace they were dexirous that the nawy shonld remain completely under their own eont rol.: The position presented obvious difliculties, inasmuch ar there was, to begin with, a doubt as to the limits of the power of the Commonwalth Partiament to legistate effectively for the government of the naval fores whike beyond the territorial waters of the Commonweallh." It was true that
${ }^{1}$ It was questioned by Mr. Higens whether such expenditute wat within the legal puners of the Commonne alth: sere Parliamontary Debah


 $44.81,82 ; 1907-8$, Nos. $6,143.144 ; 1408$, Nus. 6; 37.

Cf. the dietlan of Martin C.J. (N.S. W.) in The brisbume (yseler Vishe Cu. N. Eimersom, Knus. su. at p. sti.


 solely within the limita of troriturial withers. Moreover, it


 tion as might be inhoront in all Cohonial hemi-hation. Moreover, the (ommonwealth Cometithtion Act, A. it, expmealy anthorized the applieation of the latws of the (ommonwealth to all veseck, the Qumeris -hiph of war reserpted, whose tirst prott of deatanee and pert of devtination were in the Commonwrath. That seetion hald anthoritatively hern interperede by the High (iome of the Commenwealth for apply to ciascon such bogage to whatever pate of the world they extcomed, and in partiontar it they extended to the Western Patifie, findia, or similar regions, and therefore apparcatly the lans of the Commonwealel woutd be in foree on Commonweath (iowemment vesoels. There was, however, an obvious diffirnlty in the exerption of the Queno -hips of war, lut it was clearly doubt ful whethee this rould he. convidered as intended to apply to ataval forces raised by the Commonwealth Govemment. Moreover, it was elear that thew hew always been a distinction between the two sets of 1: :
 1. Commonwealth law; the State Idets ecased to be a. . ste ; the state Govemment. hite put only a part of their forces un. ler the operation of the Cobonime Natal Irfenere Ade and the agreement of 1857 expremsly recognized the cominned antonomons existence of the local Heets. It 1. trme that, aceording to the indications of the steflutery Rules and Orders in force an December 31. 1906, the Orders in Conncil of March 4, 1884, and Jume : 4 , 1885, muler the let of 1865 anthorizing the eommissicning of three vessels of war of Victoria and anthorizing the commissioning of
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rertain borlv ot Quermatarl, are still in foree logrether "ith Gorlers in fommeil at the same duter muler s. is
 of the servier of the Viatorian shipe ame a (Buremsand


 But it is Were donhttal whelher since the Ifforme Aet ot




 validity. Batt. howeror that maye tore it clear that all the
 refored to are beyond doubt on question molely within the

 in the Navigation dill of the (ommomeralth of Anstralia
 How of the Commomwealth. It may well be that in lats. Whether unter the fenceral pewer ins. is (wi) of the (omstitution. or meler :- is of the Comstitution Aet, the Federal
 boarl its own resel wherever in the world ther may be. It would not of comme, hate pewer to entore these regulations on its maval forees white on land ontsifle the (ommonwealth; if it were necersary to ohtatn that power an |mperial Act wombler reguired. But althongh the Commonwealth might hase power on to kesilate, it wonld be obvions that if men were to be interehanged. as was contemphated hy Mr. Deakin. "ith the Imperial Gowemment, it would be necersary for

- Thiswas in cemerexima with the war in Chma. These orders are re tha - fent as they were enly for a brief perioul: hut the (Order of beeember ;ot. Isst, which is atill possibly vahd is omitted.
 pait (thongh probaly they did onee include the more uefut part) of the

 Diarnate nut inctuded.






 the matintenaller of the mity ui the Fimpire tu haw it Ne.0.




 bility. if. for no whore wian thato the famiable pemer of



 Imperial Naty rould in some manner be en-med. So timal

 tion of a quasi-indepomelent Anstalian Nave, baving for further diserssion the arrangement - to eevore miformity in training and command int the two forecos, and the full cont mol of the lmperial peower int international matters loth in war and in peacr.

During the Colopial (ionference of lan) , Mr. Deakin disrassed with Lord'liwr woth and the heade of the delmitalty the guestion of duatatian maval defence. On Oetobere lis, 1907, he addresiod to the (iowornore femeral a diopatein explaming the views of the (ommonn calth Cencernment in
 that, instead uf a contribution of money. Hor shame of the duty of the naval defe or undertaken ly Ansmalia -hombla bake the form of a contributson of duat mian - catame
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 forsovier in the haty on the station, at all extimatord ast








'The Ahmiralt: : in replye peinted ont that at the Polmial Conferener no propmoal had treon made for the permanent refention of ernicers in . Instralian waters, and that while allxions to meret the wishes of Mr. Weakin, they were mot prepared to depart from the dreivion taken mp at the (bom-
 the agreement with Anstralin and New Vealand. yet if the Commonwealth (bwemment desired to cancel the agreement and to snlstitnte wher armeremonts, they were willing to advise and assist in earreing ont a sheme for lowal defeneres. always provided that shel a scherese did mot involve a definite whligation to mantath Britivh ve als permancontly in Anstralian waters. They also regarded it as essential that complete comt rob hy the Commander-in- 'linef oror the foeal forees in time of wan mast he sermed to the Imprevial finvermment.

After finther correspondence, Mr. Deakin requested that the Admiralty shomld thave up a reheme to provide for the ntilization of Anstralian * anen in local defences. and lon
 of war. This seheme was forwarded to Anstralia in Angu-t. 1908. It was hased on the primeple that the ('mmonmealth favermment should provide and mantain nine sobmanime and six destogers in Anstralian waters: that this flotilla shomed tre manned by otficers and men of the Roval Nave. is
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 maderotond that in time of wen the there womld be placed by the ('ommane wealth devermment mader the remten of the (6)mmander-in- (hiofor
'The ( overoment of New Kealand in Iseme derided in increase the subsidy to the sfludion on the present hasis to elow, 000 a fear from October 1, 1900s, and this propmal Was approved he the Dominion Parliament (. Act No. E-S Reeognizing how important it was for the protectom of the Empine that the mavy slomid be at the aboblate dixpere if the Adminalty, the bominion (iovermment did not deoit os suggest any conditions as to the beation of the shije. at they were confident that the trinest interents of the pra he af New Zealand would be best served hy havire r per. rial bary maler the comstant control of the Admir, -

A totaliy new position as to naval dofence was developed by the proceedings in the faperial Parlament in 1909. when great concern was expreacel even hy mini-tow is to the tivalry of the foreign flects. The remilt was the epomtimeons offer of a' Hreadnonght, orr. if neressary. two lo the Imperial Navy by the Government and Padiament of New Zoaland ${ }^{3}$ and this was followed hy an important telegram from the two (iovermments of New Sonth Wiales and Vietoria, oftering to provide one if the commonwealth Parliament

[^56]-honld fial 10 ant. ${ }^{1}$ The ('ommonwealth (iovermment then sent in a set of properals for the areation of a theet mit to operate in Anst ralian witers and to be moler the general ront mol of the (ommonwealth (iovermment. but they offered antomatie rontrol in time of wall throngh the operation of araled orders. 'The offor wis marde in the foHowing telegram, dated April $15.1!10$ -

Primar linistre of the ('ommonwath has asked me to
 ( avermment. the following memorandim on the question of Naval befoner:-

Whereas all the Dominions of the British Eimpire onght to share in the most efferetive way in the bmelen of mantaining the pertanent naval supremate of the Empire :

And wheras this (Eowermment is of opinion that. so far as Alastralia is eoneroned, this objeed would be best attainerl hy anconagement of masal development in this conntry so that people of Commomwealth will become a people eftiecent at sea and thereby better able to aso Ust United Kingem with men as well as shipe to ate in conered with theother seat foree of the Empire :

The views of the present (iovermment, as a basis of eor operation and motual moderstanding, are herewith sub-mittel:-
(1) The Nival Agreement Act to continue for the term provided for :
 buevede, equip. and maintain the defenees of naval bave for the use of the stape of the Royal Nass:
(3) In order fop pare Aust radia in a position to undertake the reponsibility of loeal naval defence, the Commonwealth (Govemment to extabli-h a Naval Foree :
(t) 'Ihe ('ommonwealth (iovermment to provide shipe constituting the torpedo thotilla and maintain them in a state of efficiency, wages, pay. powision, and mantenance of ofticers and men:
(b) The pheme of action of the Nival Fonee of the ('ommonwealth to be primarily abont the enast of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ommonwealth and its tervitories;
(6) The alministrative eontrol of the Naval Force of the

[^57]（bommonwealth to rest with the commonweilth fiovern－ ment．The oftioer commanding to take hi orders from the Commonweath dowernment dired，proper segnence of command by oftiens appointed by the commonwealth being maimained．＇The forees to be inder maval diveiplime ad ministered in same way as in the Royal Nay ：
（7）Whist emplowerl abomt the coast al iommonweatht or its territorics，whether within territorial limitson not，the vessels forming the Nival foree of the（ommonweath to be mater the sole control of（ommonwealth．Shomlal the vesorbs go to other planes，the said vesish to eome meller the eom－ mand of the naval offierer representing the British dovern－ ment，if such officer be senior in rank to the（＇onmonwealth offiecer．Provided that，if it he neeresiary to send there reseck or aty of them on training arnises ontsink the waters referred to，arrangements shall be made with the Lomeds Gommissioners of the Almialty throngh Naval Commander－ in－（＇lief on the Anstralian Station：
（8）ln time of war or emergeney or mpon a derlarationt We the sentor Naval Officer represonting British Geverment． that a condition of emergeney exists．all the vessels of the Naval Foree of the Commonwealth shall be placed by the （ommonwealth Government moter the orders of Loma Commmisioners of the Admizalty．The method by which the verseds shall eome mader the ordere of the Somior Niavial Officer womkt be by farnishing each（＇ommander of an Anstralian vessel with seaked orders and instrmetions to the effect that mpon the declaration to him by the Senior Naval Officer representing Britiah（iovernment that a state of wir or emergency exists，such sealed orders shall thereupon be opened and，in puriance of their provisions．he shall there－ mpon immediately phace himself moler the orders of the Senior Naval Officer representing British Govermment；
（9）It i－．howerer，to be muderstood that if the services of any of the Coast Defence vessels be desired in seas remote from Anstralia，the approval of the Commonwealth Gove？n－ ment shall first be obtamed to their removai ：
（I0）To ensure the highest efficiency，the Londs（iom－ missioners of the Admiralty to be asked to agree to the Saval Commander－in－Chiefon the Anstralian Siation making at request of the Commonwealth Government，periodieal inspection of the vessels of the Naval Forero of the（＇ommon－ wealth，Naval School of Instrmetion，and Naval Eistablish－ ment ；
（11）Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty to be asked

## 

alow to : phenove of the serviere on the thotilla of smely atheers of the Rayal Nilly as may be mothally agreed to for serviere

 and other seloools in the C'nited Kingdom:
(1!) Damk (ommissiomole of the Ahmiralty to be asked




(1:3) Vor - perial farcilitios to be wiven, heramgement with the Naval ('ommander-in- 'hiof ont the Sastralian Station, for the vessels of the thotilla being exereived in eomjundion with the ships of the Roval Nary on the Anstralian Station, smbjeret to the command of sarih rombined exereves being held hy the Naval Commander-in- Chef of the Reyal Naty on the Anstralian Ntation.

In conchading lis memorandmen, Prime Minister assures me that ('ommonwealth (Govermment would highly appreciate. the reecipt, at earliest possible moment, of the veres of Hi


This was followed by all invitation from the secerany of State to the (fovernor-(ienemal and Governots of the Dominions, sellt in al lekergall of April 30. 19001 ${ }^{1}$ :-

The Prime Dinistre of the United Kingdom, as President of the Imperial Conference. has desired me to ask you in comver the following message to the Prime Minister of [the Commonwealth of Australia][the Dominion of New Zealand! [Cape Colony| | Newfomblland].

It will, he domht. We within your knowledge that on March $\because 9$ the ('imadiant Honse of (ommons passed a Resolution to the following efferet:-

Revolution begimes: 'Ilhat this Honse folly recognizes the duty of the people of Cimada as they increase in numbers and Wealth to assmme in hager measime the responsibilities of National Defence.

- The Honse is of opinion that, under the pre:snt comstitntional relations between the Mother Commer and the selfgoverning Dominions. the payment of regnlat and periondial contributions to the Imperial Treasmy for naval and militaty purposes "omold not, oo far as ('anada is concernod, be the most satisfactory solation of the question of defence.
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The Homer will corctially appore of ally meressary expenditure dexigned to promote the eperedy ingemization
 close refation to. the lmperial Naty ahome the limese sugerested by the Admiralty at the hast Imperial 'omferenere, and in fill svmpathy with the view that the Naval shpremary of Britain is exsential to the seemity of rommerere. the safely of the Empires, and the peare of the world. The Home. expreses its firm comvidiom that whener the need arixes the ('anadian people will be fomul ready and willing to make ally sarerifere that is required to give to the Imperial antheritios the mest heyal and hearty ere-operation in erery mosement fon the maintenanere of the integrity and hemene of the Empire. Resolution cmes.

1 maderatand that the Domimion (avermment propmes that its Defence Ministere shombld come here at ant carly date to confer with the Imperial Naval and Military Anthorition upon teehnical mattere arising upon that Resobation.

- Hix. Majestys Gevermment have also before them reenent patriotie propiovals made he Anstralia and New Yeahtand. preposals mest highly appreciated by the Mother comentry. and demanding very condial and carrall comvideration boith as to principle and intail.

I desire, therefore. to commend to you the following important suggestion, namely, that a Conferenee of represengtatives of the self-governing Dominions comvened muder the terms of Resolution 1 of the ('onference of 1907, which provides for such subsidiary emferences, shomld be hede in lomdon early in Jnly next. The object of the Comference would be to discuss the gencral question of Naval and Military Defener of the Empire with opecial referenee to the c'anadian Resolntion, and to the proposals from New Zealand and Anstralia to which I have referred.
'I assume that as the ronnultation womld be pencrally. upon technical or quavi-technical naval and military matter: the other govermments of the self-governing Dominion: would elect to be represented as in the rase of camada hy their Ministers of Defence, or failing then bey some where member oe the (awermment ansiated by expert adrice but it is entirely for the Government of $j$ the (ommonwealth 7 [New Zealaind [ [Cape Colony] [ Newfomendiand| to dereide the precise form of its representation.
"The Comference wonkd, of comse, be of a pmerly con-ultaltive character, it would be held in private, and its deliberations would be asisted by the presence of monbere of the

## 

('ommiture of [mperial Defonere or of wher expert altisers
 message to the other members of the lanperial fonference.

1 am stronglyofopinion that an early eontident iat exehange



 "ill sere the ir wisy to adopt the propes:at.

 cherdions are orer.

「To C'ape only: I rexognize that at the proxent time the
 Afican Cosermments which are eontomphating the probat bility of andy union may not be in a position to take an aletive patt in such at Conference, but the abobere of any reperemtatives of the Sonth African Dominions fom it a deliberationwould be a serions detriment to the completences of the romferonce.
 Before it catio : ogether a coalition in Anstratia hat changerd the eomponition and the poliey of the fommonweath Geverimment and had hed to the decision to offer asistaner in the form of a ' Dreadmonght '.

A statement was made in the Hense of Cb. mons bey the Prime Dinister, the Right Honourable H. H. Aspuith. M.I' oll Anghst 2 (in, in these torms:- -

The conterence. Which has just comehoded its laboms. Was eomvened under the fermis of Resohtion I of the Conterence of 190). In the invitation sent by His Majesty( $o v e r m m e n t$ at the and of Aprit to the (iovernments of the Dominions, it was stated that the objeet of the confereme would be to disenss the general question of Naval allat Mibitary Defence of the Eimpire with special reference to recont proposals from New Zabland and Aastralia, and to the Resohntion pasaed on Mareh o! by the House of Commonof the Dominion of C'mada. It was further stated that the Conference would be of a purely consultative ehatatere and that it would be hed in priate. It follows that all
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resolutionseome to and proposals approved by the (omference which has now been held mmot be tation, or far an therdelegates of the Dominions ante concernel. to be all ieferemlem, antl of no binding forere moses and until sulmiltad to their varions ['arliaments.

I homble add. in spereial referencer th the delegates from Sonth Afrioa, that ther did mot forl themadere in a peritions. in regard to cither navial or military defence, to smbmit or to
 was an areomplished fact. With this proface I will briefty -mmantrae the matin conclasions of the comference in regard. tirst to Militans. and next to Naval. Defonere.

After the main Conference at the Foreign Otfiee a Militaty Conference lowk plarer at the Wiar Offirer. and reonlted in an agrement on the fimelamental prindiples set out in Papers which had been orepared hy the (iencral staff for comsideration by the Delegates. The whatiance of these Pancers (which will be included among the Paperes to be pmblished) was a recommendation that, without impaining the romplete control of the (iovernment of each Dominion ower the military forees raised within it. these foreres shomlat be standardized, the formation of mits, the arrangements for transport, the patterns of weapons, \& © . , being as far asposibla assimilated to those whinh have recently been worked ont for the British Army. Thas. while the Dominion trops: woukl in each case be raised for the defence of the Dominion concerned, it womld be made radily patcticable in case of need for that Dominion to mobilize and me them for the defence of the Empine as a whole.

The Military Conference then entrinated to a Sibhefonference, consisting of military experts at head-quarters and from the various Dominions and presided over by sir $\mathrm{IV}^{\text {a }}$ Nieholsom, acting for the tirs time in the capacity of (hiet of the lmperial General Staff. the dhty of working ont the detailed applimation of these principles.

I may point out here that the creation early this year of an Imperial (iemeral Staff. thus bronght into active working, is a result of the diselnsions and resolntions of the Conference of 190\%. Complete agreement was raded by the members of the Sub-conference, and their eomehnions were finally approved by the main Conference and he the ('ommittee of Imperial Defence. which sat for the purpose under the presidency of the Prime Minister. The reanlt is a plan for so organizing the forces of the ('rown wherever they are that, while preserving the eomplete autonomy of cath

## 





Naval ilefore was disemsed at meretings al the ('onferome


 rontiorencers.
 Dominion torrommonts in co-oproating in !mperial Niasil








 tution hetwern the Britiolt athl the Dominion Serviees; and with the same ohjoct, the stamlatel of vessels amd ammatent-- lanlil be miform.

A remondelling of the spuatrons maintamed in Fiar biant on Water- "as rom-ielered on the hasis of establinhing a Pacitio

 latere almonred rimiser of the nell Imbomitable type, threr









S'gatat buseting lowh phace at the i hamalty with the

 - manderation by their repertive (iowermments.


 the Dastralian minit of the Lateitie flect.






As regarde ('imada, it was comsudereal that here domble acaluami remdered the frovizion of at the mit of the sillore
 (i) the athonat of meney that might be available. that
 Class and destroyers of in inupowed Riare clas- :1 patt to
 P'aldifir.


 of the arrangement propuand with the . Das a alian reprementa-


['aperse containing all the matrorial doromants will be laid before Parliament in dhe eotmere, amb, it is hoped, bedore the condelasion of the Siemion.

In aneordinece with these reolutions (imadat has phrchased two ernisces foom the lmperial dovermment, and hat!assed all . let in 1010 (e. 4:3) to regulate its mavial forere. It Was to buikd in nine gears four cruisers and six dentroyers. Anstralia hats acquired two destrovers, and has phaced order:for two ernisers in Fingland, and another dontoover and ac eruiser will be eonstrueted forthwith, boing pilt together in Anatralia. The others will be built beally. I changer of
 that the luan eontemplated in Aet No. 14 of 1909 has beent abandoned (ACe No. 6 of 19101 ). New Zatand has madertaken by Aet No. 9 of 1909 to deliaty the eost of the erminer
 in Chmat, and the orders for the tiret-chans ransers for Ni.w Kealand and Anstralia were placed in 1910.

Idmiral Sir R. Henderson visited Iustralia in 1!10-11 and reported on March 1, 1911, on the position. His recommendations inclade the establishment of a unit which will first aid in the maintenance of the suprematy of the Briti-h nitvy, and in the seeond place help to proted. lust matian furt = and bases.

It should ultimately in 1933 consist of $x$ armoured and 11 protected eruisers, is detrosers. $1:$ submarines and themet



 The plat seems boll and wort hes of full eom-ideration, but the number of men refuired is a seriome comsidedation at at time when Australian properity renders it hard to attract men to a life whject even to the mond moditied naval diseiptine.
'The Corsmonwealth ' Aet. No. 3n, mupwers the (iovernorGencral in Commeil to appoint a Board of Administration for the maval forese to be called the Naval Boart, and to appoint and promote officers of the naval foreres, and to appoint and offieer to command the whole or any pertion of the masal foreces. The appointment or promotion of ant ofticer is not, however, to eveate a divil contract between the king or the Commonweath and the ofliere a provision neecesary in maintaining the right of the Crown or the Commonweath to dispense summarily with the serviees of any officer. Oflieers are not to be promoted exept provisionathy, mule... they pase the preseribed examinations within a preseribed time, which must not execed eighteen monthe after appointment. but the requirement of this section may be dispernsed with by the Governor-deneral in council in the case of preme who are offeers of the King's regular naval forece. Ippointments shall be during pleasure, but an otficer: commiswion shall not be cancelled exerpt for called and after he has been eatled upon to allewer in his own defence. Execpt in time of hatr, an offeer may resign his commistion on giving not kess than three monthe notier. The semiority of olficers shall be determined be regulations. Provision imade for appointment or promotion withont examimation for distinguished service, or for marked ability and gallanter on ationservice. Naval collegen and instructional establish-
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 edueation it the vatome bronches of haval vionere.

The navinl forer- whall be divided into $t$ wo bramehes called

 continuons naval serviere the lattre forers are divided inter



 in time of prate to contimons: naval arvice. and who arr not ordinarily paid for their services in time of peacr. Mem-
 the let io the Naval Rexpere Foreres, athl members of the Naval Volunterer Forcesalld the Naval Rewerve Forees meder the Defence Aet are transferred to the Naval Viombtere
 regards training, the naval forees shall be raieed and kept bevelmotary enlistment only for a prevol which hall not be less than two sars. Enlistment is permitted in aby part of the King : Dominions, sthject to the law in fonere in that part. If the termination of the period of service of a member of the naval forece falls in time of war he shall mot he entitled to be diselarged motil the emel of the war Exerpt in war. a seaman of the (iti\%en Naval forces may obtain his discharge before the expiration of the period for which he has anlisted subjeet to threr month; notice and payment of a -um not execeding te if a member of the Reserve lores. and of El if a member of the Nival Voluntere Reserve.
The permanent naval forees are liable to comtinuous naval service, and whall at all times be liable to be employed on any maval serviee, inehuling artive service. The (itizen Saval Forees are not liable in time of peace to continuons: haval service, and shatl only be liable for active service when alled out by proclamation, though they may voluntarily. inlist.

Members of the naval forces may be required to serve for training or any naval service either within or withont the $1: 7 \%$
limits af the (ommonwealth. Whe fisernotedeneral in
 plare any part of the naval forere on board anye ship of the
 in commexion with the naty. The Vermen Diserplime Art and the Kinges Regnlations and . Whimalte hatractions for the the time being in forer in redation to the Kinge natal fores shall, subjert to the . Ire and to ante morlitications and adaptations preseribed bey the regolations made meder the Aet. apply to the naval forees. Whenever the (bommon woalth naval forees are acting with the King's naval forees, the command shall. suhjeret loany Imperial det or Regnlation,
 of the (ommomweath maval forees may be plaeed mether the command of any offierer of the Kinge satval foreres.

Jrovision is madre that eadets liable for training mader the Defence Aet shall be trained as proseribed in the regnlations and shall he subjere to the . Iret and while undergoing training be decmed to be membere of the 'itizen Naval Forees.

In addition to the powers given in the Defence Act the Governor-Gencral in Commil may buikd ships and construet docks, shipgard- fommbios, \&e., for naval purposes, and e: woy porions in a civil capacity in eomexion therewith. The Governor-lionorai in Comed may aceept the transfer to the (bommonwoith naval forees of any vessel of the King's havai forece or of the naval forees of $n$ Jominion, or of amy officers or seamen of sueh forees. and may transfor to such forces vesols, officers, or seamen of the ('ommonwealth maval foreres for such period and subjecet to such eonditions as the forernor-General in (oumeit thinkdesimble. Subjeref the conditions of tramefer the officerand seamen os tramsforred shall fall under the regulations of the force to wheh they are transfered.
l'rovision shat be made for the widow and family, or fos the mon himself if any member of the naval forces is killerl on active serviee or on duty ar dies or heeomes incapacitated from eaming lis living from wombls or disease contracted on activeservire, and the pas tof an ambity or gratuity.

## 

 onl seronmet of age or infirmit: is contemplinterl.







## 



 tho (ios

 their forese at the di-posid of thr lanperial (iosernoment.


 the Royal Naty the navial scoviee of aty part therenf, ally -hips or vessels of the navil moviee, and the whirors athel seamen serving in such shiןs on vex-l- of ally ollicere of seamen bromging to the navial roviere When this is dons.
 adjommment or Promoration as will expire within ten days, a proclamation shall iswere for a mereting of Parliament within
 upon the day appointed hy -ncla proclamation, and shall continue to sit as if it had thos adjomrned or prorogucd mutil the same day. 'There is a similan provision in the dustraliato Defence Act. It is contemplated that the forces shall he governed by the Vrimel Discipline Ict, Istif, anml athys
 The Naval Volmeters were fo hecome member of the linyal Xixal




## 










During the ermare of the pasing of the . let there was

 a 10 whether ther wore net taking atrpe which womld hand directly to imotving the Dominion in forequ wats in which
 the $y$ shoult remain.' On the one sithe it was eontenmest that.
 of nentrality when (iveat Britain was at war. Wh the efther hamel it was argoned that meder the propowal of the fusternmellif C'mada wombl be, against its will and reames the wishere of the people. comperlesl to: hare in all the eontlies in which the lmperial fiovermenem might be emgaged. The position adepted by the Prime ilinister wave dear and simple." He held that it was impossible for the Dominion Govermment to be indifferent to the wars in which (ireat Britain might from time to time be engaged. If Cereat Britann werre at war every power wonld be at liberty to attack ('madia, and ('inada must be prepared to do its share in defending itself. On the other hand, the lreme Miniter insinted that it wanot imtented in any way to leave the dieposal of the forere of Canada intomatically to the Smperial (invermment.

In any case it womld be open to ramada to decile, as far as aggresine netion was concerned, what degree of cooperation it wonld afford against a forerign attack. It can




 1!110; IInuse of Commoms Debates. 1p. 5\% ey.

 ill the Arthaba-kal and Drumbund divi-ion ot (Sineture which followed shortly sald the Gewerment camblader defeated.






 the Dominion as involving the Dominion in meedter wars." On the of her hamd, the regnlar Cppasition, under Mr: Borden. mily criticizad the tiovermanem on the gromal that it was not prepared therenprate int all Briti-h ware and that it was deceded on the policy of a tamatian the in plate of
 of the lomevial Nase pending the erathon of an allion thent

 bemeral may tranfer to the King , natal forero, or to the natal forre of ang part of the King' - dominions : any voral



 laws and regnlations governing the natal tomes 10 which they may be tran-fermed. The dewernomediempal is alow :mpowerel to ancopt tranters of vornto of the Kings maval
 and samen of anth forces who will then fill mater the rule: atfecting the natral finces of the Commonw calth. The Naral
 intrantions for the time berine in tore -hall aplly to the
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prescribed by regulations under the Australian Act, and when vessels of the dustalian and Imperial theets are cooperating the command shall, smbject to any Lmperial Act or regulations. devolve on the senior offiecer and any part of the ('ommonwealt' natal forees may be placed under' the columand of ally oflicer of the King s naval forees.

In both case the legiskation pased eontemplates the . Wets hatiog extraterritorial effect, and it is incleed clear that without such effeet the provision of navies proper womld be meaningles. The regulations which were arfegnate for the Ginvomment forees which did not move beyond the limitof the Colons are quite out of place in commexion with latere resels sheh as those which are now possesored by ('anada and the Commonwealth. It is not clear whether the legistative power for Parliaments covers the whole sphere of operations, but the alefect, if any, com be remedied by limperial legistation. Nore important is the fact that the position of Dominions with natral forece raises at onere a fundamental gllestion with regarel to the defonere and repensibility for foreign police of the Empire. at gasemion which is not maned in equal dequer by the problem comenected with militare torees onler fin the firet platere there in muel greater chance of intermational meidonts ariang from the "perations of a forer which can go frecty over the world: and in ine ereond place, the exi-fenere of these navies is of more immediate inuportance in defence mattere to a country Which depencts on it- naval strength. It is imposible not to recognize that the participation of the Dominioms in maral defence mant ultimately result in their haring to some degree in the direction of the foreign peliey of the Empire.2

 preanterl. The bumbinion (inverment have tecided not lo acerpt thr promesel asistamer from the [mperial fiovermment, and have mepeated

 Nus. 6. 11 and 14 of $197(1)$.

## CHAP'TER XI

## H(N)NはR

## 51. Tittes of Howote

The: promation of honome iverentially ond for the persemal expeive of the ('rowne' It is rear that the value
 a mank of roçal fiacour, athe that pros-a-ion of an homour Whiels Was confored merdy by local aththonty Would be of
 remfereed lowally it womld only be valis within the loxal limits. and omtside these limits it $w$ onld hate only such balue as might be acoorled ta it by cometey in other rematrose On the other hamel, it is the mivilege of the ('rown to confer honoms: which are valuerl thronghout the Empire.

 homour could be so conferred. It hatelly reems posible to dent in the absemet that: an . Ie combl he pataed cmpowering a (ionernor to confor titles of hombut. hat that such an Are -hould be approved hy the ('rown may be regardel ac beinge at preent imposible and emmamy there is mo eake on recond of the pasing of the applosial by the ('rown of - well an rataetment.
 Was insisted upon hy Lord Eigin2 when Govermotedencral
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of the Linited Province of Cimadar. Ho then pointed out that the removal of the connexion whieh had formerly existed bef aen the . Dother country and the colones through the exerense of patronage and eommereial protection might be replaced in some measure by the judicious grant of titles and other marks of the roval farour, showing the continumere of a direet comexion between the (rown and the Colony. He then recommended strongly that the appointments in question should not be made on the advice of colonial ministers. thongh they could be mate on the adviee of the Governors and of Imperial minioters. That position still, on the whoke, may be sadid to remain good: that is to say. makis of the royal favenr are bestowed not on the responsible advere of miseisters. hut on the adviee of a minister of the (rown in the e nited kinglom, whose opinion, of course, is ohtained in part from the Governor and in part from the Dinistry of the colong. It is elear that if the honom's an to be of Imperial validity they must be granted by ant Imperial authority. It wouk be possible for His Dajesty if the honours were of local validity to confer one which should be valid in Cimada or in Australia on the adviee of a Cimadian or an Sustralian Ministry, but as the honour camot be confined in pace, the adviee must be that of an luperialminister whobears the reponsibilit of cath appoint ment and must inform himself as best he ean on the subjeed by what means he find a walable to him. Obviously the Imperial Uffieer in the Dominion or State. the Coverator(ieneral or fovernor, must be one sonne of information. and a rey important one. Obviously too. due weight must be given to the Ministry of the day. But it is clear that the weitht of the opinion of the Ministry will differ very considedbly in different cases. If the honour which it is proposed to confer is one for politieal serviers, their opinion


 miaisters and the Colonial Parlanemt: : appatenty he meant life prerage. A mation againet the gramt of honours was manereaful in the New south

mast be of mach more value than if it is one for matter: lying outside the politieal world, as, for instance, cminenere in art. in literat mere, in seienere in philanthropy, and so forth. In $187: 9$ Nir (ieorge (irey indignamtly attacked the Imperial ( iowernment for granting, without his knowledge or adrice, Kinighthoods to two mombers of the (opposition party of New Zabland. ${ }^{1}$ The members were no dombt worthy of the honour, but he contemed that it was unheardeof for the ('rown to confer honomr: on Opposition member withont the sanction, and in this ease without exen the know dedge of the Premier, the transaction having taken place diree ly between the fovemor and the reejpient-on" the !omours in duestion.

The seceretary of State replied der lining to aterept the arest nents mrged by sir (i. (irey: but it should be noted that in practiee since that time the prine iple of conferming homons on the Opposition or on public servant a does not appene tohave been adopted execpt on the adviee of the Ministry of the day.

In the matter of making certain appointments to the Legislative ( onncil of New Zealand by the It kinson Ministry before its retirement. Lord Onslow reported that the action. thongh strictly in hamony with the British custom. had not been fiavomably reecived in New Zealand. and that it would not be repeated. and this statement is certamly eorrect. ${ }^{2}$

But in notifying the eonferment of the high honour of Prive (ouncillor mpon Sir Charks Tupper the GovernorGencral on November 11. I!日咅. exprenty informed him that the honour hat been recommended hy Nir Wilfrid Lanmer. the Leader of the (iovernment.3
 In (imada the provincer fall directly under the eontrol of

 in-tance he was substatially right: such a procerding could mot bex werar. The Federation homours were sranted in the cane of rantat

 tatheour: Bigaty, ii. 6ol meq.
 iii. i3:. 11 .
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the Federal Government, and therefore honomrs for mon of distinction in the provinese mat be recommended be the Gowernor-deneral, whild in Anstralia the state Governmenthave aiways daimed that the honoms most be rexommended be the state feevernors, and that they should not be in ang


Gu the other hamd. it has been eontended that it is essential that the 'rown should have the adsiece of its princeple representative in the commomwealth, wat a be in a position to weigh the respertise dains of the varions camdidates put forward be state (iowemors, and stres. is haid on the fact that the recommondations of the state (iowermes are not an hat been thomght in the atateo. ontmitted in any way to the apposal of the commenvealth (iovernment. Bat it is nat mat for the state ( (owermment - of fee that the fowernor(eneral mun be influened by federal opinion in forme: : his jullgement of the buerite of individuats, of whom in mans. case in the remoter state he cam hawe mot the slightert fersomal knowfolge. and it is dear that diswatisfation in Anstralia in hy no mems yot a mattor of the pant. ${ }^{1}$ The federal Labour Min: te dectine to propene homemrs.

The homours which are comferred. are. ata mote. the Prive Combeilorships, which have been conferred on the Premiers preent at the conferences of 190.31907 and 1911 , and ocenamatly on other persons, as, for ceample. on the (hinef dustiee of Sombl Instalia, Sir Sammel Way, when he was made in 18.97 a member of the Prive fonneil and a member










 Mr. Meminan, Mr. F'isher, Nir E. Merris, Nir R, Cantwright, and Sir Fo, Moun of Nat.l. have atecepted it.
of the Jndicial Committere in order to strenghen it in deating with . Instralian appoals. The same dignity has been eonsferred upon the Chiof dustiee of the Iligh Conrt of the (ommonwealth. Sir Simmel (iriffith, who was mate a Privy Pommeillor in l!ol.


 reeciving the homome of Khighthond. or the K. ('. I. (i., as Idministrator of the (exermment.

In aldition to the leriey comedership, the highe: homour which can be conferred on :my Briti-h mbject. the mormal motes of rewarding servere to the Einpire in the Jominions, are those comferring membership) of ome of the claceres of
 in Ists. in comnexion with serviee fo the C'rown in Malta and
 ol the Sowereigh, who is chief of the Order, the (imath Mater, who is the Prinee of Wiales. and linights Cramd ('rowe not 10 execed low. of which momber :34 are asignable for foreign - eviees and are disposed of he the seceretary of state for



 the fowst dise dhe ('ompanionships. and the areat majority

 honed has been comsidered abfficiont to justify the emant of a Ki.l., M. (i., without requiring the grant of a ('...I. (i. The


 his great mervices to ('inntia.

In addition, the creation of Kinght- Batchelor in furt bure. Igents-ieneral and Jodges nommally reecive thi- homoms:

[^63]
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(hied Justices in all the larger places as ahmost a matter of course, and the K.C'M. (i. is hardly ever eonterred on a jutige maless he abo atministers the (iovermment from time to time, as in the case of the Clicef Justice of ('anatat, athough this role is mot absolntely withont exereption, as in the ease of Sir l'ope ('onger. ('. J. of Querelshat, hut he would mormatly have beren experted to athminister, and his eane is therefore not normal. 'The Kinighthoet ${ }^{1}$ alwo is an appropriate mode of reengizing the serviees of other than official personsHinor offeres in the (ivit servier are provided for by the Imperial service Order, in-tituted bỵ His lato Majents King Edward. This Orem can be given cither for long and meritorions service or for service of spectial distinetion, and it has been conferred on manye distinguished publice servants in the Dominions. Appointments to other (Oders of Kinighthoorl are rare in the ext remes, though ther are not unknown.

Winch as they are, they are in the main confined to the Order of the Bath, which has beem gianted in a good mans censes to military offieres for Colonial services, and in some cases to Colonial military officers. Dembership of the Royat Vietorian Order hats been eonfermed in certain cases, but only. topersons who lave come into personal contact with ropalla.:-

Governors also receise honotrs as a matter of courec. The state Covernors in Anstatia and the (fovernor of Newfonntland reecive the Ki.l.Xl.(i, as a rute and the (i.C'M. (i. is appropriate to the Gevernors-(ieneral of Canada,

[^64]Australia, and the L'aion, and the (iovemor of Niw Zabland.
 is also bestowerd on members of the (olonial ()ftiere. bitt the f.B. is frecgently grated to them aloo, and orea-ionally. the bermanent Lender-seretary has rereived a peetade ont retirement, a landialle practice.

Reoommendatioms for homome are made hy the Sereretaty of State for the (blomion in the cans of all remommentations for the membership of the Wrace of st. Wirhate and st.


## 

In iddition to honomre in the form of tithes. the $11-\mathrm{d}$ of the prefix 'Honomable' has mow heren detinitely regmbeded. It is adopted on instructions from the (buede by the lrive founcillors and by the senalte of famadia and by woblished practien it is bome by the members of the Lecgistative ('ouncil- ${ }^{1}$ and the Executive (ommets in all the self-governing Dominions. In those rases where member-hip of the
 offiee, namely in the Dominion of ('mada, the ('ommonweatth of Australia, Victoria, and Thsmatia, formerly in the race of the Cape and presumably in the Conong the tithe is borne for life. In other cases ex-members of the Execontione Council - may, if they have served for there rears, or if in the office of Premier for one year. be granted by eperial permission of the Crown the right to retain the title after having eeased to hold office. These titles. which were originally of local application only, were given validite thronghont Has Dajesty" "ominions her a notire published in the London Gazette of Junc 16 , 18:\%3, and by a circular dixpatth of November 14, 1896, which laid down that members of the Legislative Councils of Colonies under responsible government might be permitted after not less than ten vears
 use it.
${ }^{2}$ The ex-members have precedence as a rule next after members, evel if the title 'Honourable ' is not continuel to them.

## 

rervier to retain for hife the tithe af Ilomommble on retiere ment, if reommended for this distinction hy the forernor.'

 hear the tithe, but onty for their perion of oflice.

In the eane of julders on retiement it was deeded be the
 Ortober $31,1 s-s, 2$ to permit them on retain the titlo at - Honnumble within the ('shony with prereretencer next after the julges of the (omets from which they had eretied. Thin decision evoked from Nir George lireys mother viohont protest, and hre arged that it was improper thet the ('rown shouh comfer a distimetion to be botne within a Cohons anly. The Serertary of state derelined to admit this eontention. and it was not until lonl that the pratetier of recognizing the title throughout the Eimpire was adopherl. Moreoser, when the title 'Homatable was conferred on all the members of the first Parliament of the Commonw eath an a signal marls of the execptional character of the institntion of the ('ommone. wealth, it was expressle had down by the dispited of Mareh :3, 1!ot, that it hould be confincel within the limitof the Commomwealth itself, a derision which hiss calleced some dissatisfaction among thowe rentited tat the und locally. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ The President of the Comen and the sepeaker of the Asembly mas. retain it after thre gears service on the recommendation of the cioverm. mader a dispateh of Mareh 10, 1s: It. See for all this south . Australia /'ul. Pap.. 1910, No.st.p. 61. In the C'anadian Provinces thuse entitled to it
 p. 18.5.
 ('amadit stututes, 1899. p. xli.

- New Zealand P'url. P'ap., 1sis, 1. 1. |pl. 1.--1s.
- Hrid., 1!日10. .1. 2. p. 74.
 South Ifrica had extinguished many proviacial homons, -pectal fromision to retain the title 'Honourable 'was given to varinge persuns by the King on Jantary 1. Honours are now conlomed thice yearly, dannaty and Jume ?3. It is the estabinished practice in (anata for the duderes of the supremace Court to be styled his Lordship 'in , fticialducument-




 deem right and proper at religious ceromonios, and further for



 vided, and for the Nitiomal Snthem to be played. ${ }^{1}$
 lations with resarl to oflicial visits betweon raval whions and (iovernors and Lientemant-(ewernoms. The prineiphe is that the (fovernom shall always recerio the first visit from the senion ofticer in command, but a licutemant-dinvormor pays the tiret visit to at thig oflieer or Commodore, 小t clase, who is a Commander-in-Chiof. Sieceial mber are live down as to the payment of retum visits and other detals.
decording to the resulations approwed by the King, miforms: of the first chass are ansigned to the diovernorGenerall of Camada and Juntralid, the sis -tates of the Commonwealth and New Zabland, and to the (insemor-
 Newfomelland is onlse eutithel to almiform of the reeond dins, which is ako granted to Lientemant-(foremors and Cabinet Ministers of ('mada, the (ommonmaith of Jos-

 the Ministries in the states of the fommonmealth and in Newfoundland, to members of the Priey (ounceil of the Dominion of Camada, who are not Cabinet Dinisters, and
'Thisapplissalso to the Lientemant-finermers of the Provineres, ats was

 11. 101, 102.
 various miformathat been prepared and puhbished with megh appmad.


## 


 His Maje-t! whaned throngh the Sereretary of state on





?he silletion of ihe king is rernirel to wralr a mbiform



 tonute of ofliere.
 We:t their maval or militare miform dming their temme of
 dass, but with the sanction of the sometaly of state,
 of the Lomeliontemant on oreasions of reviens, insperetions of foreres, alld similate eremonias in the (olonices. A special atate undrese miform has beron invented for (ondonial :lace on cortain oreraviols.
'The weating on ofticial orrasions of merlals is only allewed in the case of medats confered bey the ropal anthority or bex a legal power in the Dominions. ${ }^{1}$ The aceeptance and wearing of modals from foreign potentates $i=$ regulated by ruls approved by the king. 'The inconvenience of the Imperial anthorities dealing with all cases of ratat of medals hats been simplited by the pardice of emponcring by vocal warant

[^65]


## \& 1. I'midenfarl:




 plearate thromgh the serovery of state.





 formally apmosed by the Crown ; if they have not been formally apposed they hate bern sametomed hy practione

 not in virtue of the athtomatice cexerine ot the presegative, lomt in virtme of the C'olemial Regulations:

I (ieneral liable of Prevertener is latit down in Cenlemierl Regulations No. 13s, but the gromeal table is varied roms stemathy in rateh of the Dominions.: It is prowided aloe in
 freceflence next after the (insernor of the Ciolons, and that fersons contitked to otherial preecelene in the Linted kinglonn or in forcign comatric- or in my particolar (olony arr bot

 wedenee of such pereons will be determined by the (ioverator.

[^66]11.793

## 

 the lonted kingdons precolener hy right of hitth or by






 Dominion it-elf, atud 1001 the the aticial proveremere cor terod by both in the Loited kingloms.

The precedener of bishops has been a matero of mon-idere able variations. ('j) till 1 sti a bishop of the Romant ('athotice Chareh was nut supposed to be aldresoced olliabially int the ('olonicos by the strle appopmate to his rank, hut on Novem-
 the tuppenal Pialianolnt recognazing the thehop ats contithed to proverence soxt after the bishop of the wetholos chureh,

 and others, but for a long time it was -till the mole that they towk rank after the bishops of the Eatablished ('hureh in Enghand.: This is now, hownere completoly otsolete, and arehbishops and bi-hopse take mani .. sally ts a chrtesy ateording to the date of consoreration : arehbishope in all cases taking rank above bishopas. 'The poation by which the English Choreh was given preformatial rank becamue impooxible aftor ls6:5, when the plan of crating bi-hop in




 l'url. I'ap., 1ヵ:I. No. 11i.

 Lastralia mot, but de facto they may recolve a courtesy precerlence. and thoia precerlence eren in the Crown Coloniey is a contery bate. Is a hatler of



























 millor the stathtory aththotity given hy the S.t 1 (ion. IN



 rxi-ting . Wet -ubjeet to being ahered by Hoc authority which
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 Cheof da-tior and the Joisale dudges still retain their exceptional pesitions.

In the rave of Newfomdland. the Chartar of da-tier ol






 Minister: Who is not a Privg Councillor, althongh in l!!nis a
 Which pared him immediately afler the Dehbinhop of York. 'The Nell Zatalad table wire altered in lbote to give the
 fable of $1!910$ given him a similat preredence.



 recerived the regal aronemt, which was refuned on the ground that preedence was a matter expecially for the king to regulate hy the prerogative and mot suitahle lor eon-ideration in an . let of findiament, and that it was mot right to deprive the existing hishops of their prevedence withont their cont-
 Whatge. it "as promised that wh no ateount would futme hishops ber granted preredence without the approval of the Coluniall (iovernment.:


'They were phaced before all Cothnial ulticers, just as in Comatat the:
 wrep phaced in the provi-inhat (ommenweath table.




 15:3, that the elergy whuld relinguish their precedenc.









 be the tommonmeitht. ${ }^{\text {t }}$
 ramk with their hushatme.




 (lamation of septomber !, l!mitrank above the innon of










 whicial preeredence bised on dithe of tombition its provinera)






 fortand E'rime Mininitio.

## 

are till hese so: the lowinere of the lhion which rathl hes


## S. F. Fintis










 -hipe. 'The bher ansign with the arme or hatere ol the 'olome


 Dominion (envermment: if lor armed. the pertant. The





 the 'ommomwralth of Justralial. amd New Zabalalle and

 hadger of the colong thereon in aldition to the reel exnigns.
 Merchamt Nhipping Iat, Is!et.
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 nol illarall.!





 i-alled in virthe o! the prowemative, flor only farg avilabla for ther llare of British shlojerte throughont thr world is the Union Jink, on the nse of which there is merefriotion:-

 institr of atry kind, amd that tho nar of thre royal


















## 

## 
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## 

dating and amembling the law, and when the Revisod Statutes
 the operation of the Imperial : Iets. It misy he adderl that it is not altogether easy to ser what practial athantage is thes gitimed. ${ }^{1}$ as the only difformore rembing is that the actention in this combly of a privomer while in tramsit from ( m anda to

 moder it Hew procoss. as : gatimst a furitive offonder at large in this combtry. whereas if the procereding- were taken all moder the Jmperial . Int the wartant moldre which he wis beine ronveyod from ('anada wonld be in these diremmstaners adequato anthority for his rearrest.
 Vict. c. 31) provide for the remowal of prisoners from ond
 Elleh removal mily be dermed desiralble. The liset let provides for permatont amangements betweon two Cobonics appowed by order in rommeil : the arond for transfors in individual cisser. In all there cises the approvil of the Seretary of State is needed ds well as the asoent of both (ohonies and the mattor has sometimes attained considerable political importance, as in the case of the deportation of the rhiet Diniznla from Zuhaland, and in the deportations from Natal after the revolt of loma-s: 2 the prisonere wre on the coming into foree of mion relaiad be the order of the new ( government. It would, of courre, have been open to the Niltal Covernment and Legisfatme to banish the men in question. hut it combl hot hy any rexreise of legindative power

[^70] with their mere removal from the (ohons, and the wowl offieres of the lmperial forermment had therefore for be invoked to legalize the tramsit oxer the aras.






 hegal power of remdition of criminals as has heron helf hẹ the High ('ourt of Instralia in a calse which rathe before its notice.! Iant ii of the Aet prover! is simpler promedner bex the backing of wartants withont the intervention of the donvernor, which is required in lien of the intervention of
 extraditions. I Bat iii of the let provide fore the exarian
 committerl on the bumbliary of the (ofone or on a jommey betneen two Coloniess, sulbeet to the mbe that no permen mot a British mbjeet shall le tricd for an offonce mot committed
 for the purpose of the Act may be pmintied iother in the place where it was fabricated of in the phace where it was given, and it provides that offereos monder there sections of the let shall be pmished on the prineiples laid down in the C'olomial Courti: Itriseliction . Ict. Isit, maler which the punishment to be atrarded is that most smilar fothe English
 the eonveyance of a prisomer in a British ship from one part of a british possession to anothere despite the faret that the ressel may be on the high seas dhuing the veluger a provision which recms to have escaped the notice of thesupreme $($ onet


 wealth legislation umber s. it (xxiv) of the Constitntion: sore Harrisum



## 

 stemmilis' 1 nimu.'








 (1) the heal furese, which are governel within the tedong


 forme exoll out-ite the tohmy mily when the hemel het isilent. It has mow, howerer. beron : matment that the mote is







 Cobmien and to ne them for naval mervere. The Aet of lasia give penter to apply the naval regulation- formen mering on these besseds when they have bern abepten for service. The let has meser been mimblised, for the hocal forces of the Anstraliant oblonico were only in part ever maised or put under its provisions, and a domestid the was maintained mater tied ordinary poner of the tobloniex to hegishate for peate, order. and gocel govemment. The Aet itaelf diedaims ang inter fereace with the semeral power of the colonies, and the Dominions have full power to legitate on 小efered incopern-
$=$ H\& tio Vier. r. is.
Sve Patt V. chap. x ; ! Edw. Vhi. e. :3, ns. s, !!.

+ P'arl. I'ap.. H. L. I2.i. 1sst it: 9 Edw. VII. e. 1!

denly of this Aet, thomgh the limits within which surh













 Courts to obtais: a deci-ions as to the lan previtilige in that



 - forth hy any Cou't in one pirt of the dominions of the







 filsour of the perser of making rule allearty vered in (oblonial Courl-gencoall!






24 - 25 Vict. c. 11.
 ?almand rutc.



## 














 fater (lamt V'l. (halp, ii).






 shitten within at ©oms and dies withont he mity be tried in the Cobory where the offence was committerl, thonght the uffence did not become pertected by the death of the vietion "ithin the (olonial limits. Moreover, the Mminaly jurindietion of Colonial ( ounts aml the power of the Legistature: to confer such juriseliction depemels on Imperial leqiviation to whels reference will be mate batere.
 matter imperially. partly becallse of the question of extria temitorial effect, partly becanse of the need of miformity. partly because naturalization is essontally an Lumprial concern. Some of its provisions have validity thronghont





## 

 thatt of leve hasband, allel provision is illalle of mairoval
















 for consular jmiadiction. It womlal then -remt torllow that he War -ubjoet to the taxal juriadietiont, but that int thon







 tiont that the derlaring of all prorom- combuiatly matmalizad

 Wery mative of Paphat is: a matural-bom Briti-losobject, and 1月1 arrage matmalized formon is mot at all on al leve with a Hative of l'aphas. Fiurther, the grant of britiols mationality

 not aceorded in the Colonice to nat uralizeci jer-on- without

## 




































 amem the Juperial Act. This centemplater a grant of lmperial nath-































 he lace Constitution. 'Iherefore all the Ingi-gation patored 11 as






 fillw. VIt, r. lio, v, (i.).

12:9:

## 

invalid, and on it wav contirmed ley no less than three . lets.

 South Witles, Querondiand and Wientern dustratia dets, and
 Set parsed by a Colonial or state Parliament ir asemented to by the dovernor and not diathowed, or reserved and asented to hy the r'rown. whether or not the proper forms
 Set of asal remened dombas as to the validity of the Camadian Sets of 1 stag and tath repereting the administation of the
 validiated the Orilles. Ade of 1 stis and extended the prower of the Dominion Partiament to detite the privilegen of the Houses. and ann .het of lissfidetined the pewers of the Dominion Parliament as to the representation in the Parlia-

 Aet of $18: 93$ chabled the appointment of a Depmer-ripeaker in the Homere of Common-:

In the care of the Commomweralth, Britioh North Amerime and the Linion of somth . Vrica. Imperial tegislation Wa(esemtial to prowide fer a federation or mion : otherwise all the powe of the kegistature wombl have been mavaling to ereate a foderation or union. The Imperial legislation Whichestablished the fomstitutionso the Austratian ('olenicWis due to the desire to extablish gevernments with limited powers to hegin with. in place of the representative governments wheh alone the crown could ereet, and one fegislation Was started it was imposible to wed rid of at aepp by other legistation. In Newfomedland a chear sweep was made before the letters patent of ls:3.2 wew iswed under the prerogition but an Act of $15+5$ detined eretain principles which regulate the government atill. In New Zablatd the catallishment of

[^71]
a representative legislature under ant Imperial statute was intevitable in view of carlior legindation in $18+1$ and 1 stat and other dets were needed in ls.it. Istio. athl Istis to make the path of the Parlament clear her removing abolete lettor on its aletion. ${ }^{1}$
 regnlate: this follows from the fate that the temitory for
 walle to get. It was long thought that a mere exereioe of the prerogative in every ease was sufliedent to tramslore temitory to a (olony, but at lat dombto on this head berame very strong; in some (ase the bommaties had received incorporation in an . Det of Parliament, and it was asked whether they eoukd be ehanged thereafter. Finally. the whole matter was determined by the colomend Bormeleri
 ant for the futmer, subjeret to the reare that the consent of

 to apply to the (ommonwealth as a whole, and not to the individual states. In the rase of the Chion of South . Wirital it apples to the Coion. It may be noted that from thene eatablishment to their extinetion the framsabal and the Oratuge River colony never fell unter the protedion of the Set, wheh could have been tred to alter very com-delemhly their boundaries deppite any adrere views whieh they might hawe had. The det was avaled of to tramerer teritory from the Tramsial to Nital after the Boer war, but not io add Papual to the Commommealth. That poseseoson is merely under the authority of the (ommomwealth under - log of the Constitution.

Other Imperial Jets owe their chatacter to the subjectmatter. Thus the Aet of 1 !of regationg the demise of the Crown is genceal in terms and apphed to Australia, as was seen on the oerasion of the death of the late King in 1!910, When the question wits discussed, ${ }^{2}$ and so is the det to add

[^72]

## 

new titles to the Crown. Igain, the Regene!g Art of 1910 is a cave of Imperial legination which eould not be varied for the Dominions: the C'ivil List Aet and the A.et to alter the declaration at aceession were instances of similar legislation ; the latter evoked an ardent address from the Lower House of the ('ommonwealth in favour of the change.'

In conclusion, the Colonial Laturs Jalidity Art. Is6\%, the Interpretution Act. 18ss. and the Parliament Art 1911, are necessarily a piece of Imperial legislation. ${ }^{2}$
of 190 I , is rendered more than a mere mullity by the additinn of a clanse relieving officers of taking the oath ower again, as to which there wats doult. The Privy Council in 1910 decided on a reference that oathes need not ayain be taken bye pudicial officers, de.. in Enerlam, and Lomth Australia hase accepted the view and whalson apmently. Victoria.
 39 Vict. c. 10.
 the Eimpire, but with a provisu for their suspension in cases where local legishation is pasisel. No Urders have beren issued, though such legislation
 Act, 19013-9, ss. 73, 82), and New Zacalind (Act No. 28 of 19009, s. 61). Sce


 of absence) ; 11 \& 1: Will. IH. c. 12: $4: 2$ (ico. 1II. ©. 8.5 (punishment of
 Vict. c. 20 (prohibition of issue of habets corphe into colony witl a Court able to issue the writ ex parte Anderwon, 30 L. J. Q. B. I29: : R. v. Crome. ex parte sekyone, [1910]: K. B. 576). It is instructive to compare the terms of e.g. 17 \& 18 Viet. c. sil, s. :s, which make certain certiticates of hirth, \&e., a vailable in all the Dominions, with e.g. 7 Edw. VII. c. 16 an tw the proof of colunial laws in England, or the oljection to legislation
 P'acific Cable Beart Atts (I Eilw. VII. c. 31 ; $\because$ Edw. VII. ©. 26) represent the carrying emit of a joint business.

## PART VI. TIIE JHDICIARY

## CHAE il I

## 

AT the time of the grant of reeponsible government. Whe judicial officers generally in the colonies enjosed a serme tomure; it wats possible under Burke's Aet ${ }^{1}$ for the (iowernor in Council of a Colony to amove the judge for such reasonn. as the Governor in Commeil might think tit: but -urh amoval was -ubjeet to an appeal to the Privy (ouncil in the ordinaty conree, and therefore it was as wed that the julae -honle not lowe office withont the approval of the Privy (ommeil. Horeover, the practier had irown iff of remoting judges on petition from the Homere of the Legislatmere: This removal, lowever, which was hamed on the amalogy of the English practiee, Was not eonsidered a matter of comere. A Colonial legishature might petition for the remosal of at julge. l,ut the judge woukt be remowed only if after full consideration it was consdered suitable hy the Imperial Government.

When responsible govermment was aldopted in Cimada, the only other precaution which was considered neecesary for the purpose of securing the position of the judges was the provision of their salaries in the (ivil List. Thus the Enion Art of $18+40$ for Camada contams a full prowision for the judges of Upper and Lower Camada, placing their sabaries in schedule A. It was left open for the Provineco of Cimada

[^73]lọ . Iet of the Lexishature to alter salarion of the (iovernor and of the judgen ' The temmer was tixed in ist:3 and ister.

Provison for the judges salaries was alon included in
 Bromswick. and Prinee Edward Sklands. on eondition of and in anticipation of reeciving the benctit of reponsible govermment. and Nova Seotial rexulated the temare of office.

A formal change, howevor, in practier took phace when the Anstralian Colonies came into existence. It was then comsidered desirable sperially to maler prowision for the aremity of the judges temure of offiere and wo it is provieled under the (onstitution Aet of Niew South Wialese as follow: :-

XXXIVII. The ('ommis.sons of the present Judges of the Suprene ('ourt of the satid Colond. and of all future Jutge thereof, ball be continue and remain in fall forer dhring their good hehavionr. notwithetanding the Demise of Har Hajesty (Whom may (iond long preverve) or of Her Hatirs and
 thereof in anrivise not withetanding.


 Colones.
 time hoing by. Aet of Panliament or otherwi-a, and also such -alario as diall or may be in fature granted hy Her Majoty,
 of dulders of the salid supureme (ourt. shall in all time comine be paid and parable to crery shels Judge and .Jadge for the
 ally of them reprefively shall contimur and remain in loree.

Similar provision- were adopted in the case of Queconsand
 mader the authonity of one louperial . Iet and confirmed by another, and re-enacted by the Queconsand Parliament in

[^74]
## 





 power was given mot as int that rase to Her Vajo-t!. Intt 1"



 Which the power is given by an homerial A.t to Har Waje-ty. it wonk serem that it might fairly he argued that a pencer of amotion which js given he Burke A Aet mot be com-illered as no longer being applicable. It is true that at power of amotion given to a Gevernor in Exemtive fommeil i a mot the same as a power of remosal on representation- from the two Houses of the Lecgislature. But it may failly be held that in granting a ('onstitution with the intention of it-being excreised moler revencible gewermment, a provi-ion fon removal in a certain manner. being that provided in the Constitution of the lonted kinghon, i- internded for be the ale prowision for sum removal. It mase therefore be herla
 now states. are eoncerned. On the other hathe it is cleas
 date the legisdation of the Imprerial l'allament and that the power to amove still exiot-in Sombla . Anstralia.
 the fact that the pewer of removal is granted to the foremon and not to the (rown. It mise therefore be arged with








 louncil to aly jeml.
even more strength than in the cane of New somth Wiater Quemeland, and Western Au-tralia; that it is intended that the power given by Burke $\%$. het should not be exerecised, and that it is in effect repeated, but, as will be seen below, this ween has been guestioned bey the Law Officers of the 'rown.

In the cance of Timmania no provision is made by the Constitution A.e. Is Viet. No. 17. bitt it is provided by the Let 20 Viet. No. 7 . that

Whereas the independence of juderes is emential to the impartial administration of justice and is one of the best seeuritien of the rights and libertien of Her Majentys subject.; and it woukd conduee to the better security of such independence if the power of suspension or amotion by the local Government were further himited, be it therefore emaeted by His Exedleney the Governor of Tamana by and with the adviee and eonemt of the Leginative Council and Home of Lwembly in Larliament anembled that it shall not be law ful for the iesernor either with or without the adviee of the Excentise Comeil to - In-pend. or for the (iovernor in Comed to ammere : my Judge of the supreme Comer mulese upon the Whtreso of beith Homso of the Parliament of Tamanial.
It is alear, howewre that this Aet eammet ponibly override Burke"s. Act which therefore although the heal het purportto amend it, remains in fores. On the other hand, the . Iet is no doubt effectual to diepore of what otherwise was possible, that is to saty, the - mispension by the (iovernor, with the adviee of his Executive (oment. of a julge. with a vew ti) his removal by the approval of the Seeretary of State. As that right rested solely upon the roval instructions to the Governor, it could be taken away by legistation when it was thought fit so to legislate, but the kegislation could not derogate from the proviaions of an Imperial Aet.
On the other hand. this legivation was premmably effective to alter the provisions of the Imperial Act. Geo. N. ...s. which provided for the appointment of judges by the king and for their remoral by the King from time to time an orca-
 gave power to regulate the constitntion of Court of Jutice. and it was therefore within the power of the Parliament tw

## 

 that after the eommencement of that . Aet the nomination and "ppointacont from time to time of the Julese of the Supreme font hev virtue of any power in that hehalf amblings -hall

 to the contrary motwithetambing.'

In the case of New \%ealand, the le extation with regatel to judges is set ont in the julgement of the lrive fommeil in
 basis the independener of the judiciary. 'Thi- case was decided by the Prixy ('ommeil in las: on appal from the (ourt of Appeal of New Zacaland. The question whel aroee there was whether the revondent had been anthoritatively appointed a louste Judge of the supreme ('ourt bey virtue
 ('ourt of New Zaaland hy a majority thatt the appointment. was valid, while the minomity hedh that as there was mo
 "f athe of the four jutge whan mate up the (ourt, and ar the
 for a tifth judge. there was no power on the piat of the (rown 10 appoint the rexpondent. . 1 a matter of firet the Howse
 and had refacel leane to loming in al Bill to validate his apponitanent. It was eontended for the repobident that the Goremor had power to apoint him, having regard to the prerogative and moter the legislation of New Kadand.

The julgement of the fomrt was againet the repondent. The respondent was apt inted to be a Commisionere meler the Valier Land ('omis Ack Amemblment |rl Iss! and partly in view of the importance of the prot and partly beeatso of the delay in the ordinary work of the ('onds. the (iovermment
 fimstation Acts. Fo, the cance of the passing of the Alet of intio, are



 Nu. 2!, siched 2.





 bren uned before as to the perner of appointime julges in
 latest of which wis lity, sime the refigh of dames I Ju additions had bern mate withoul expreas parliammentars

 the (rown conld appoint additional juderes for the pallume of salary to Whom larlianment hat givern to sanction. For
 be presumed to be intemed to reecire sablrice. It was
 pronted salaries for a 1 hicif dustier amd a Puistre dudere. forbade the salare of ante judge to be diminished during his

 shatre who would hate fo combe to larliantent each year for remumeration for his serveres. It might well be that the provision impliedte tectaned that erery juldge thereaftere "ppointed shomld have a salary provided by law to whid he would be contitled dmbing his comtimathere of office. In 18.5 a temporaly appointument of a l'uisme dudge was made. though there was no vacancy ; ase areult two Act- were passed in lsis. one to regulate the appointment athed temure
 the (rown be the Comstimtion . let for avil and judicial services. The serond wertion of the first . Ter provided that
 - hetiec athe of such ofher judges as His Execollence, in the name and on behalf of Her Wajow! shall from time to time appoint: It was ako provided that the emmmissions of juckes shall be athe comtinme in foree during their georl behaviour motwithatanding the Jemixe of Her Maje:t!: :mas


 hiv diecretion. in the nallore allel on hehalf of Hov Majouty





 If was mas important to mallatain the independenere of the

 i. on the whole great. It telmb on aremer an impartial



 one of the leamed jurders. Who held the appointanent now in grestion to be valid. Ile said:






 imderel properly exereise the dition of hi- oftiere. Onte of there dhtis. for instamere is the trial of petition- agathet the refirn of membere lo larliament. Ilow comlal a julge in


 of removal by atheres. can be a sutficient poretection.

Of eomere if it were elearle the jntention of the leginature efferet mat he givern to it. hat it was legitimate to eon-true
 "pen ally patticolar provision. Nows. of of the - Iet provided

[^75]Hhat a salaty equal at least in amount to that which at the
 bey lat shall be paid to such julke an long as his patemt of commixaion shatl comtimne alld remain in forere. 'This Waa clear intimatron of the iutention of the legishature that no judge shomble be apponted unless there was a fised salary pay:able to him by law as a judge. Besides, 7 anthorized the feosernar in (ommeil. during the illness or absentere of any judge or for whor temporary purpores. fo appoint a judge to hold olfier during pleasure, and sach judge shall be paid such salary mot exceeding the :momet payble by law to a P'uisur Judge of the said Court. This cleatly implied that a Puistle Judge shall have a definite salarg: Domeover, the Superammation $\therefore$ ts implied that every dulge of the Supreme Court shall be contitled to an ammal salary at the time of his resignation.

Though the . Iri of 1 sijs hat beren supereoded by the . Wet No. 20 of 1882 . the terms of the farmer det were relevant is showing the sellse of the terms of the bater . let. In phee of
 -alary af a judere shall mat be diminished during the contthatatere of his rommisaint. The reasom for the chathge of language was not clear. but it didnot apear lo be intended to reffect the limitation of the power af julges. There were nore ceptions in practice since Is.is. Hough there might hate beren some slight invegulaty with regard to e tain appointments. They therefore gave julgemient agails: did not requibe him to paty the costs - either ('ourt. ${ }^{1}$



 fulges by virtue of the propgative. probibly a sumbl view : contant
 it Lemtenaut-(iovernor of Ontario con!d issure a comminsion to hold . Court of Asizer: hit this power reeme posisibly a prewime cial prerngation aw $t$ :onstithtion of Conts of Jastice is a provincial matter mader the Brition" Areth Amerian Act. White the appointment on Julge of the Suprior Comert is a-igned exproly to the dobemor- (eemeral thy the det.

 relater to the temure of the supreme tomet dulsere ami the it



 live I'uisme dudges appointed by the fiosernom in tombeil be lethers patent under the (ireat seall. It is powided by

 of the semate and lhomar of 1 '口mmons.

In the rase of Judger of tomntre (onnta it is provided let
 - Hbject to the provisions of thre . Iot, hold attice during gemel behaviour and re-sdence in the eomety or eonnt ies over which his Court is cotablished. But he Blay be remosed from offee by the Governor in lomacil for mishehavions or for incapacity or imability to perform his datios propery on account of old age, ill health, wey other callore if the diremmatances respeeting the mi-hehavionr. incapateity, of inability are tirst ingtimed into, and if the julge is given teasomable motiee of the time amd place appointed for the inguiey, and is atfordel an opportunity loy himself of his rommed of being heard thereat, and of iromerexamining the wioneses amb adhucing evidence on hi- whal belalf. If he
 tomncil proviling for his removial, and all report- cevilence. ind correspondence relating thereto, shall be laid before Parliament within the tirst fiftern dinge of the next sexsion. The Governor-deneral in Comncil misy for the purpose of inquiring into the circmmanaces resperting the misbehaviour. inability, or incapacity of a Julge, is.ane a commin-an t to onf
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 11：Burkis．．In hav no application．



If wowld aremt that there is mothinge in




 lameke：Int．

In the ciare of the（＇ape of fened Hope no provision wa－ mede for the acemity of the julges on the grant of re－ponsible． grovermment．The power of removal of the jelges Wit－ leated in the（rown by the tharter of Jastiees and the
 not eonsidered cosential to make fomatal provision to sitner－ sede the procedore there indieated．s Smovel by the （Governor woukd have heren possible umber Burkes．．








 m－time of the dsembly．He was a member of the（ommeil．












 laid down with regarl tultre jullere as. follow-





 of prowed mi-brelalviour or incapactits.
3. Shall rexive atch remmorration a- Parliament Ha! lis. but the remmmeration -hall not be dimini-hue! during their rantimbanere in ollice.




It will he moted that prowed minhelatione or inc:apalcity is latid down ats the groumd of fomovalat hat it is eleat that it would still hatre reated on the P'abliament for decide what proof it would ask of such imeapacity or miabehatiour.

[^77]Secordingly the dirertion amometed to mo more than that the Parliament should saltisfy itself before passing addresoce that the incapareity or mishehavione charly existed.

This model wes followed in the faming of the Comstitution of the 'Pramsaal and the Orange River Colong. It is pro-


 that Jnderes of the Supreme Court (1) shall be appointed bex the Eovernor in (ouncil: ( $\because$ ) -hall not beremowed exerpt by the (eovernon in fonncil on an addere from the Legi-lative Council and Legislative Awembly prating for such momoval on the ground of proved misbehaviour or incapacity ; (3) shall receive such remmeration as shall from time to time be preseribed by law, but the remmeration of a judge shall not be diminished during his term of office. The remmerastion of the present judges shall not be diminished, and their commissions shall continue as heretofore.

In the cance of the ('ommenwealth, however, as the statute laying down the new power was an Imperial one, it would have had effect to override the provisions of Burke"s Aet. while its provisions would have still applied to the ease of the judges of the two South African Colonies.

In the case of the Cnion of South Africa it is provided by s. 101 of the (onstitution . Iet that the ('hiof Justice of South Ifrien and other Judges of the suppreme (ourt of South Ifrica shall not be removed from office except by the Governor-General in Council on an address from both Houses of Parliament in the same seswon, prating for surh removal on the ground of misbehaviour or incapacity N. 100 provides that the Chicf dustice of Nouth Ifrien. the ordinary Judges of Ippeal, and all other Judges of the Supreme ('ourt of south Africin to be appointed under the. establishment of the Cinion, shall be appointed by the Governor-General in Council, and shatl receive such remuneration as Parliament shall preseribe, and their remumeration shall not be diminished during their continuanere in office. The terms of this Aet clearly exclude the operation of Burke s. Act.

## CHAP. 1] THE: TENCRE OF JUDICLML OFFICLS 13H

As the provision- of Burkes Aet are not yet entarely obsolete. in theory at least, it may be well to state brielly
 from the preamble and the eireumstances in which it wat entacted. to put an end to the pratetice of otlierev who hard received appoint ments by patent in the 'ohnies performing their duties by depmey and stabing in England. It was intended that they mould ate in their offices unless granted leave by the Governor in council. But their offices were to remain like other patent offices, quasi-freehold. from which they could not be removed execpt on the ground of misconduet, and perer of amotion with a right of appeal to the Prive Council was given by that Aet to the (foremors in Council.

It is not certain whether the intention of the . Int was to apply only to offices granted by patents issued meder the (ireat Seal of the Liated Kingdom, or whet her it was intended to apply also to offieers appointed unter the freat Seal of the several ( olonies.' The Act wats not limited in its operation to judicial officers, and as a matter of fact, both modes of "ppointment were knewn at the time when it was passed, and it may be that it was within the intenti $n$, or if not within the intention within the wordinge, of the . Iet an personed to inchude all offices hele by patent. Whether that patent might be one passed mater the Gireat seal in this country or passed under the (ireat seal of the Colong: It has. however, been held by the dieticial (ommitter of the Prisy ( omeil, in a case which wat actually before them for deedeion. that the power of the dovernor int ${ }^{\text {ooumeil }}$ to amose an whicer was not affeeted by right to appeal under Burke's. Det if the offieer herde at pleasure, ${ }^{-2}$ and it would appear cleanly

The pime is not laken in ally come and in mot notaced by the l'mes



 :5, and Boothbys patem was is sumb . Iustralian one.
 1,mminioner of C'rown Lands, not a julue.

12843
to follow from that decision that the right of amotion is absolute in the case of all officers, whether holding by patent or not, malese they hold during sood behaviour. On that ansmmption the only officers to which the let still applies are suth officers as hold during good behaviour, and are appointed by patent : that is. in the self-governing ('olonics praetieally only the judges and a few other officers. ${ }^{1}$ But it may saffly be assumed that an amotion will not be resorted to again in a velf-governing ('olony. The constitutional mode of procedure is clearty that laid down in so many Constitutional Acts, an address either separately or jointly from the Houses of the Colonial Legislature on the model of the procedure in the C'nited Kinglom it self.
There is, however, a distinction between those cases in which the power to remove is vented in the Governor and those in which it is rested in the (rown. It has definitely been deeided by the haw Offiecer: that if the power in rested in the ('rown, the Crown will not exereise that power without inquiry: it will use its power to refer the ease to the Privy (ouncil under the Aet $3 \&+$ Will. N. e e $41, \ldots 4$. and the Privy (ouncil will consider whether a case has been made out on which the Seeretary of State should be advised to act. There is no legal neecesity to refer to the Privy Council, but naturally the Secretary of state in considering so grave a matter would prefer to refer to a body skilled in Colonial law, and by their weight and knowledge possessing an authority which cannot be possessed by any Secretary of state.
On the other hamel, though there has been no case of recent years, and it may be expeeted that cases are not very likely to arise, it would obviou-ly be a strong matter to refinse to aceept the petition from two Honses of a Doninion Legiski-

[^78]lure for the removial of a judge and therefore as the matter is metmately of local importance, it serms better that in this "ase the ('onstitutions should providre, as in mome eaves they do. that the power of remowal is vested in the dovernorGemeral or fiovernor on adtrese from the Houses of the Legislature. The (iovernor in that ciser woukl undonhtedly art in his usual mamer, which is to fothew the adriee of his ministers. unkess some rery clear haperial interest were involved, such an Imperial interest not of course being the interest of the lmperial Government in the maintename of ally particular judicial arrangements in the colonies, but the ehance that the action would injurionsly affeet the Emplire as a whole.
(olonial instances of removial are as late as at home. In the Dominion of Canala three cases have been diseltsed in whieh the removal of provineial judges hats been ennsitered. In two cases, those of Quebere julges. Lafontaine ${ }^{1}$ and Lomalnger, a Committer of the Homse of Commons Was appointed. but its report showed that no adequate ease existed for further proceedings: in the ease of Wood C..J. of Manitoba, ${ }^{3}$ a committee, though asked for. Wats not granted.

The whole question of the position of the c'rown in those ases in which the power of remosal of juelges is rested in the crown on the addresses of the Houses of Piarliament and loot in the (iovernor. was convidered hy the law officers of the ( rown in the rase of Jutge Boothby of sombla Instralia. "hose remosal Wats asked for liy the two Homsers of the Colon! on the ground of the confusion into whieh hi- cextrandinary siews had thown the (obonial administ ration and the eommor of justiec. On that oecasion. Thongh Mr. Boothby was aked to appear and didappear before a committereppointed by the Lower House to explain his viens. the two Honses merely sent up addresses, the ond from the Lpper Honse

[^79]asocrting that his removal was absolutely necessary, the other from the lower Honse declaring that throngh his action pmblis: confecmer in his administration of the law of the prowince was destroved. The Law officers were referred to for advice as to whet her the Queen conld dismiss. Mr. Boothby on the strength of the addresses sent home, and whether she had a diseretion in the matter : aho whether, if removal were decided upon, it shonld be on the gromeds that the legishature mmst be assumed to have acted with reason, or on the groundsdisclosed in the evidence taken before the eommittees of the Houses and their report : it was aho anked if any appeal wouk lie from a dismissal, and if the faet that there had been two addresses instead of one, as called for in the exact wording of the Act. woukl make any difference. The law officers advised that there was no objeetion to separate addresser or to the absence of speritic chatges in the addresses, provided that the (lueer was satisfied that ground existed for dismissal-the C'rown had nlways a diseretion to remove or not in consequence of such an address ; but removal would be quite justified if. owing to a judge's perversity or habithal disregard of juclieial propriety, the administration of justice were practically obstructed: no appeal to the Privy Comeil wonk lie, and in this case they did not recommend dismissal beenuse the diftienties which had arisen were to some extent real, in view of the Governor assentine: to Acts which should have been reserved, and in addition. strietly speaking, the Honses when they passed the addresse were not lawfully constituted, owing to the invalidity of the Electoral Aet, No. 10 of . 856 , under which they were elected although that defect was cured by an enactment ex pool facto validating all the aets of the Legislature. ${ }^{1}$

In the ease of the Crowa Colonies one mode of removal, which was approved in 1870 by the Judieial Committee ot the Privy Couneil," and has beel very eonvenient, was that
'Sice Parl. P'ap., August 18602: : athove, Part III, chap. iii. In 18tifi another attempt to remove him by address faled, the Privy Counch ayreeing with the Law Ufficers, and he was therefore in 1867 anoved ly 1 he

${ }^{2}$ Parl. P'ap., C. 139.
the (Envernor with the alviere of the Exwentive 'ouncil

 if confirmed by the Queen, who would whtain nomally the advice of the duliejal Committere. There porrers extended to all judges hohding duming pleasurre; the sime method was considerod applieable by Nir Fivederick Roncra, in the absence of any provi-ion (exelading it- "perationc. to preteres


 - Sudicial ('ommittee was in a position to deal with the mattor apprehensively and timally when it rame before it. Whereas if representation- were matre bey fobmial leminlatures the
 and unsati-factory -tate. as the juder whore rondetet Wats impugned had seldom suthicient opportmity fornswr and properly the ehalges mate against hime

The grestion of the apdieabilit! of thi- procedure to a judge in the self-governing Colonies was com-idered in Istat and $1860^{5}$ in the ease of Vietoria. sir Redmond batry ('..I. at the beginning of Istit informed the (iovernor that he intended to take leave of abselnee. 'The Governor refermel the matter to Mr. Iliginhothim. who was then . Ittorney(ieneral, and he adrised that judges had not the right to take leave withont permision." nor to report it direet to the Governors. Finally the Exeentive (ommeil directed that the Ittorney-General shonld be addresered by the judge as the respossible minister at the head of the department to which the Supreme ('ourt was attached.

Then a further sourre of difficilty arose: by a lacal det.
${ }^{1}$ ('f. Morris, $1 /$ muir of cionge Miginlu, dinm. IpI. 11:2 (i.
 The Sets regulatiag leake and rerpiring the ament of the (iovermer in


 which was sulostituted liy that Aet tor the provisione of $2: 2$ (ied. III. ©. is.

1: Vide. No. 11, - - . there was a clanse emperwering the Comernor in 'ommeil to sheperid juderes. The julges mainbine that the dallse was not in forere abd. when the Ittorncr-ieneral in consolidating the statutes regarding the supreme ('ourt inserted it. the (hief Jatges elaimed that he ought not to do so. When the Bill eame before Padiament the Legistation Iswombly passed the danse and the (ouncil amented it. The Assembly refused to acept the amendment. and when the Bill retmened to the (ouncil it was thrown sutt. Finally. the fome judges asked that the point should be refered to the dudieial (ommittere. The petition was forwarded to England at the end of September Istion. Tha. Judicial (ommittere was unwilling to promounce all opinion on abstract questions of law. But the Seeretare of Sitate for the (olonies obtaned all opinion from the Latw Oftieere of the ('rown, then Sir Rommell Pahmer and Nir R. P'. ('ollier. which was in aceordanere with the views of the Latw offeres of Victoria, and not with that of the juelges.

The opinion was to the effee that the (iovernor in ('omencil conld still amowe juiges uncler Bnokes . Iet. and they thought that on the whole they combl still suspend judgeunder the local Aet of 1852, the power of suspension for the eauses therein mentioned being not ineonsistent with the tenure of the office during good behavions. The result was that the judges eomsented to correspond with the minister. But it mast be admitted that donbt will be felt as to whether the opinion of the Law (Offieers is mally correct.
That Burkes Aet whould still be in foree when another statute gives a different pows to the Governor seems al least improbable, and that the lecal det should have continued to be operative after the prasing of the (onstitution Act womld seem alse to loe a rather strained interpretation.'

[^80]Por ches it exem likely that apart from the exi-tence of the
 and it must be almitued blat it wombl be ber domblat whether it could pesible be hedel that the legal right exi-ted though at discussion of a right which is mot likely ever for he exercised cannot altogether be satisfactory.

In the exererise of his finnetions a colonial jurlieial officer is exempt from sut on the same principles as alply in an Luperial judge. ${ }^{1}$
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 amb whel has therein orgimal mblmited avil juriodietion thall be a lomet of . Wharalty ame for the purposes of this Thmiralty juristiction exereve all the powere which are passesed in its omdinary juriadietion. The jurisediction of
 the Shmiralty jurialiclion of the High Court in Englanel. and the Colonial rourt of dmiralty may exereise sumbeh firrisdietion int like mamber and to as full an extent as the
 that romet to international law allal the comity of nations. Any references to Viere Idmiralty romrts in lmperial of (olonial enactments are to apply for folonial romets of
 the jus : dietion moler the Jinel Prize Ah\% Istit. and under the Slate Trede Art. 1sizs, whidh is confermed exclusively on the High Court of Admiralty or the High Court of dnstice. as distinct from the Vice- Idmialty ( ourts, wall not be

[^81] juri-dietion shall be exmerised without sperial mothority.
 Further, the (ourt his no jurialiction to try nuter the . Iet


 hẹ ()reler in cinmacil.


 may limit its juriadiction territorially or otherwise. and may
 Idmiralty juriselietion as it thinke tit. provided always lhal any such law hall anfor jum-lieqion which i- not bey the

 affic: ting the proxedhere in a lobnial lourt of daniralty in respect of the jurisdietion conferved hy the let. must either be reserved or contain a smpenting clatues, untese previously appreved by the ('rown' through a Secretary of State. The appeal from a judgroment of ally ('ourt in a British pessession in the exercise of the juristiction conferment be the Ict after a dreixion of heral appeal. hese to the Queen in Cancil, and the right of appeal can be gratuted in any (aises. and Orders in C'ouncil by the Queen or the Judicial Committere with regard to appeals shall be valid throughout all Hor lajesty's dominions. Rules of court regulating the proredure can be made by the same authority that makes rules for the ocdinary procedure of the Court, but such rules mu-t not relate to the slave trade and can only come into opera:ion if approved by the king in comeil. but when so pproved shatl have the satme foree as if they were enated



 "u in the sweth Afrion Act, t!let, s. Ithe.
 ant homity torary har mbe there hind down in mattera detail
 ill ('oumeil.

Power is retainal tor the frown her comminoinn uncker the Comet seal to empewer the Whmialty to mablish in as
 - Almiralty if an empumaral may ippoint the judgre and

 posexions. and whike the power in an vonted the powers of the other (iont- -latl be -lopedeled. But the power is
 tative leginatme (o) questions af juriatietion in prize, the mase, the shave tmale. matters dealt with in the forrig!

 to treatices or collvelltions with finceign rometrics and to

 be abolished hy the Whmialty if the Queroll by commission so directs. 'The (invernor. howeror, of a British possessiont is still ex officio Vice- Andmal if no other person is appointerl.:
s. s of the Aet provides as follows with regard to droits of Aelmiralty alle of the 'rown :-
(I) Snhjeet to the provisions of this section nothing in lhis. Ict shall alter the application of ant droits of . Ddmiralty (If droits of or forfeitures to the (rown in a British posesesion:













 ally ather . Wet. lar motitich. aromoterl lan. and dealt wits in


 juriadietions, hall whey -uch dieretions in reapert of the -atid
 the 'Tora-mys
(2) It shatl be lawfinl lon Her Vajonty the (Sllom in


 ill a British posorosion -hall form part of the mermiom of hat


(3) If and :o hong as ally of =nch droit- on forfoitaro b virtore of this or ally wher . Act form part of the revermes of the and prosesion, the salme shall. shlojert to the forovionsof ans law for the time being appleathe thereto. he notitiol. aferonnted for. and dealt with in manner indieated hy the
 have allỵ pernor in retation thater.

The Act was at onre alopted in all the Dominions ' with the exception of New somth Wales and Vieloria. Whirls preforred in $18: 90$ to retain the old Vicere. Idmitalty (burts ratablished therem. Xew somth $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}$ les and Viclonia followed anit in l!oll moler an Order in (ommoil of May t. There whis no rear alvalltage in the retcotion of thewe
 of S Sminalty are amply sulficient fon all pllipoce and
 catcel than that clecwhere in forre. lat the riser of the Gommomweath the Mmimity juristietom of the State 'oults is still reated in them. for the tommonmealth Hish




 $\therefore$ A. PI. G4*.










 only juriseliction in . Whairally rimas arioing in ('antadian
 is alan dombt as to the thatially jmiadietion on the ermat




 that the droits in question hatw alrealy heron suremdered

 lhe Queensland Letters Patent of .hme 6, Is.5!, amd those letters patent eontain the same prowisions as in the case ot New South Wales. In the ease of Tasmania (18 V'iet. No. 17)
 more dombtful, tor though those . Iets have bern valitated er post facto by lmperial Acts, the validation seems rathere to have been a validation of their emactument as ('olonial Iets and not the giving of limperial validity totheir provisions in suctl manner as to affect the provisions of other Imperial Acts. In the casce of Western . Instralia apparently s. it

[^82]of the Comstution dit. Ixs! which was antirnad by the

 is is dombthat whether there is ant hepistation sullicient 1





 the (ommonmealth Xiakigtom litl alike pmopert to wot in the Domintorns and the ( prowereds of all droit-










 Vialiditu:.

 upon Colonial Court - ly as. (isti and bist of the Meichant
小-follow-:-
(isti. (1) Whate any per-ont. being a Briti-h shbject, is charged with having committed ally othome on board any British ship on the high madn we in alle foreign port or harbour or on board ass foreign ship to which he dorbot helonge on, not being a Briti-h sulboet, is charged

[^83]with having committed any offence on board any British ship on the high sats, allel that proson is fomme within the
 would! have had cognizance of the offernee if it had brent committed on hoard a British ship within the limits of its ordinary jurisdiction. that Comrt shall have jurisdietion to tre the offernee as if it had bern so committerl.
(2) Nothing in this section shall afteect the . idmionll!! Offences ( ${ }^{\prime}$ olomiol) . Ict. Ixt!. ${ }^{1}$
687. All offences against property or persoll committeri in or at any place cither athore or athoat ont of Her Majeate dominions be any master, veamam, or apprentice who at the time when the atfence is committed is. or within there monthes previonsly has bern, employed in any British ship, shall he deemed to be offences of the same atallate respetively, and he lable to the same pmoshments resperetively, and be inguired of. heard, tried, determined. and adjudged in the same batmer and be the same (onlts and in the same phaces as if those offences bad been eommitted within the jurisdietion of the Demiralty of Einghand: and the eosts amb expensed of the prosecention of any such offence may be direeted to be ladel as in the case of conts and expenses of proverontions for offences eommitted withia the juriselietion of the . Idmiralty of England.

Morcover, it is provided in s. 7 -8: of that . Wet that The legisfature of any British possession may authorize ary Court to make inguities as to shipwreek or other
 or misconduet on the part of a !haster. mate. of congincer of ships in the following canses, viz. :
(a) Where a shipwreck or eastally oceurs to a Briti-h -hip Oll or near the emats of the British possession or to al british ship in the course of a voyage to a port within the British possessiont:
(b) Where a shipureck of eastalty ocemre in any part of the world to a British ship registered in the British posisession :
(c) Where some of the crew of a British ship which has

[^84]been wrecked or to which a rashalle has uremped, atd who are competent witnesses fothe finets.are fomed in the Briti-h possension
(d) Where the incompertener or misconthet has weremed on board a Briti-h ship oll or hear the eobats of the British poscessions. or on batal a British ship, in the eromere of a foyage to a port within the Briti-h persserioion:
(e) Where the incompetency or miseondmet has mextred on board a British ship regitioped in the Briti-h possersion:
(f) When the matere mate. we engineere of a Britioh ship who is changed with incompetelley or miseomblat on board that british hip is fomme in the liritich promesion.

 repeed of which the eretiticate of a master. mate. ow engmeer

 in the l'nited kingedom. The (o) oniall fourt shall haw the same powers of cancellings and shapentling eoptiticates an a. 'omrt in the l bited Kingedme amel the Boarel of 'lrade may
 :an : ppeal lies to the High romet in lingland as mentioned abcハe.

 other offence eommitled ont the - © on in ally place within Whmiralty jurialietion, if the acerned is within the colony. in the same wale as if the wffene weme eommittol within the meridian limita of the severall rombies and the local juriadietion of the fomto. 'The penalty maler a law ot ssit is to be the local pernalty far an offence commited in



[^85]a foreign country, if they are on navigable nivers, ${ }^{1}$ and even in respect of foreigners whether on the high seas ${ }^{2}$ or on a natigable river.s The jurisdiction did not by common law extend over a forrigner in a foreign ship in territorial waters, aceording to the famons decision in Reg. •. Keyn.' but this limitation was abolished by the Territorial 11 aterw ourisdiction Act, 18 sis ( $41 \& 42$ Vict. e. 73 ), which allows the effences to be punished as an offence within the jurisdiction of the Admiral, but the eonsent of the Govemon of a Colony is necessary for a proweretion. It is cloubtful if the provisions ot this Act are essential for the Colonies, as the det is in part declaratory. and in anse case the judgement of the Central (riminal Court is not binding on Colonial Courts."

Further jurisdiction on C'olonial Courts is conferred by the Army Act, 1881 ( $s$. 154 and lis), the Coinage Uffences (Colonial) Act, 1851, the C'oinage Act, 1870, the Official Secrets Act, 1911, the Pacific Islameris Protection Acts. 187: and 187.. the Foreign Enlietment Act, 1870, the Aets respecting treason
 dition Acte, 1870 and 1873 , which empower the Legislature: of the Dominion to create Courts for the hearing of such cases from which fourts appeals lie in the usual mamer, the Fugitive Offender. Act. Issi, the stme Therle . Irks, the . Ict to enforee the Behring Sea wwad. Is:4, and other Imperial Iets. ${ }^{6}$

[^86]
## （H．1P＇TER IH

## 

## 

TuE premsative at the（rown to hear apmat from the

 －it of wheh cenpreste contronplates the right of the（＇rown to gratht he the promation－perial hate of appeal．ant it is


 frou any（ourt in the Dominions whatere whether or not the（＇ourt is a＇ourt of Erom．This Ac：wi－parad．as the preamble states，becallse doubt had been manef do to whether an appeal could be brought tron any（ourt but a（＇ourt of Appeal in certain cases in which it had been lad down that appeals should only lif from the court of biroo－＇The let it question，thongh mainty pased for the purpose of permit－ ting appeals from every and any Court，has had incidentally the effect of providing by tatute for the right 10 admit appeals from every（ourt in the Dominion－
The result of this statute ha－bees to prevernt the risht










 ノ゙：ツン
to hear appeahe being harred in illy ease whatever unlese it is barred be all lomperial . Iet.'

The use of the pewer of 'olonial Lexgisfatures to afferet this prequatise has been the soure of some eomfusions. In the "ase of C'millier $v$ lylwin: it was hedt that the right of



 preverative. 'The cate eame up agille in re lomis Mrarois.
 was affirmed in these eases is simply that the only meatts of taking away the preverative is by expres worls. It is clear thatt prion to the piasing of the det of $18+4$, the prepogative in - 1 Ïar a it was dot -tatutory coukd have been barred by
 - blarmerl, but it is equally elear that, since the parsing of that



I- a matter of fact. flere is on record one (batadian Aet of liss which phapert - to rextinguish all right of appeal in "rimmal rates." That stathte hats been seroral times quoted
 perfecefly dear. in vinw of the lmperial . Wet of lsta. that the

[^87]


1; IIm. V', En!











attempt to har the prevogation has mot been efferefal, and that the prerogative could -till be expremed. It is. however.







 -pecial leatse of appeal may be granted. hat Bills under the

 Goder this power it would be jumperible watoli-h the peowe - for limitation is not abolition hat it cound be patatieally reduced to almost nil:-
 is exereved in two ways ; on the one hathl at coele of man is
 appeats which athtomattically take plater if the conditions laid down are faltilled. ${ }^{3}$ while in addition it is open to ath
 beave to appeal from the decionon of athe limat whatlerat 'The rule in the timet case momally apply onle to the tital

 (1) this in the case of Quebere and New healand. where appratlie hoth from the Coust of inpeal ash the supreme ('ourt. In the ease of south Au-tratia appeats ats of right lay only trom the Supreme (ourt, as there was in ihat Cotone a (oult at Ippeal comsinting of the ( owsemore, with what wa- practicall! the Exeentioe founcil, but which new is hatdly crer uad.

[^88]The regulations in guention were normally laid down by Order in commell. but sometimes, as aren now in the ease of Ontario and Quebee, by local Aets. while in the ease of Now somth Wates the rutes were origitally eontained in the Chater of dutiere of 1 eses, in the cave of Tasmata ith the Chatere of dutier of $1 \times 33$, and in the rase ai the 'atpe in the Ghater of Jutice of lay. t. Kis. Similaty the provi-uns in Newfomalland reated on the Chartere of
 Powine of Prince Edwad Whand there were no rukes at atl in foree, and all apperals had to be hromght hy suecial heave. There were many differences in the provisime of thase mose, though on the whole they agreed in substance. They provided for appeats an of right in important casce-
 prearibed limite of time. payment of conts, de.
Appal-hy secial lenve were repuired in all criminal case and in thene civil cases which diel not fall within the rule: laid down for appeats as of rizh. The principles which regulat." the granting of leave to appeal in such case are that some important guestion of latw should be involved, or that some important right should be in question. Appeals as of special leave are never granted for points of form. and in the case of the more important colonies appeals are not granted except when there is astrong case for asoming That further investigation is nece-sary: Even so apmeals hy -pecial leave frequently result in the contimation of the uriginal judgement.

In the ease of the Dominion of C'mada and the Commonwealth of Australin. appeals lie diree to the Privy Comencil from the tater and prowinces. Appath aho lie mader the Dominion suprem: Courl Arl, and the Commenweath . I whiciary Act, from those Courts to the Supreme Court of C'anada and the IIigh Court of Anstralia. The defeated party in ans - mit has therefore the option of carrying his appeal th the Prive Comatil or to the supreme Conet or the Iligh Comet and the Prive (ouncil hawe naturatly adoptet the ruke that they with not nurmally grant speetal leane to appeat--for no
appeal lies as of right from the Supreme Court of Camati, although legally shell appeal combld be allowed muler the let of 1 sit ${ }^{\prime}$-permitting a defeated party who has choreth to an to the supreme ('onre tirst to apeal th the Pros? Gomeril. On the other hamt, a pater who has beren takern (6) the supmere tome and defeated there will mom radily be allowed an appeal to the Privy Cimmeril. But in the ara of the ('ommonwealth and C'inaldialiker it har ber hlaid down he the Prive Comet that apmat - will only be allower where

 comsidemble amment or wher the siac is otherwise of some
 will the Priey Comeil allow appeats where the judgement appeats to be platuly right, or at hast noe to be attembed "ith serions doubt. or for ant abtact que-tion. ${ }^{3}$



The tifthe resolationa artived at be the Cohnial Comteremer oll the sulbeet of gudictal appeai- wa- to the eftiert -
(1) That it is expelient that the praction and prenedure af the Judicial Committer of the Prisy Come he hould be detinteley laid down in the form of a cothe of raker amd regulations.
 had to the neremity for the rembeal of antarnomion- and

 "hich would minimize dhlits:

[^89](3) That with a viell to the extemsion of miform rights
 (Hylare in Commeil. Inatrmetion- to (iowemoms. (harters of An-tice. Ordinances. and l'roctantations "pent the subjeret of the appeltate jurialietion of the somereign shomed be taken intocomsideration for the phrmose of detromining the Ansmability of erpmalizing the eomblitions whieh give right of

(t) 'That math merertaints. ©xperne and delay wonld be atoided if sumbertion of His Majosty: promgative to wrant aperial leate to appeal in conse where there exists to
 reatrictions hy the Cotomial (omrta.

In accordance with this reoshtion a revised dratt of motes regarding appeats was thann up he the dmeticial Commitlere of the Prive (ommeil, and was fowarded to the
 lor their eonsideration. The rules represented a coditieation of the rules which then were in forer. with simplificationon all posible points. The most important alteration wia that it was soggested that evory supreme (omert should be entithed to grant leare to appeal at its discretion from ant julgement. Whether timal or interlocutory if in the opinion of the Comet the pue-sion involved in the appeal was one Which. be reason of its grater sememb on pmble importamer or otherwise. Omght to be sobmitterl to His. Majosty in ('ommeil

 ro-rovial with the right of appeal which will. as formerty. exiat in the ease of linal juctrement of the (bant where the



 which formoty exist of obtaming precial leave to appeal from the lrive Council, inwolving ats atale a danble reater tw the Prive Council with its altomiant inevitather delay and experse. The ruke will allat permit of the granting of leate
 if law in which it is derired to whtain the deri-ime of the




 whally mo- mule-imbla





 maik to alow due diligenere in taking the neressaty - who fine the purpore of preximing the diepath of the reent to
 dare metiere of his intendel applications applate the fome for at erritiente that the appeal has mot berot ethertally

 the diate of sullo ectiticate to stand di-miowed for monprosention without exprosorder of His Majenty in Commer seremal of the Dominion or state Chwomment had peomend out that the matter dealt with ber the latter ruld wa- the
 is aloo made that where. at alles time betwern the order





 -ntstitnted in platere of. we in aldition to. Whe praty who hat





 in Commil
 the (omet "ppealed from i- the leot quatifed tu deal with ant furetion that may arior in commexion with the ajpeal



 athel the di-priteh of the reatel. Ihen, ins some cance, it hate


 memt- it was puinted ont that the 1 uldes aftere alitptition to
 J'arlament-o or might be isoled in the lomm of an Order in
 Would probably be the mow convoniont, is permitting alterations to bre made in the rules at the reapest of the Dominion (iowermments without the delay and trotible of frocuring an menting det of the local Parliament, but it Wits suggroted that. Whatever mote of procedure were adopted, a draft of the propoasd legislation should be forwarded to the Jndicial fommitere of the Privy (inmeil, for :my ubecrations they might desire to offer on the -ubject. ${ }^{1}$ The procedare bẹ order in 1 'onncil war mamimon-ly adopted.

Urders in C'oumeit on the line nf the new rule: lave been

 Sootia, Printe lidnard I-land. Manitoha, Briti-h l'ohmbia
 New South Wiales: Tormania, Victoria, and W゚eotern Justralia.










 the limen of sonth .firial, which is in the same purition umbers. How of the soull :Ifrier :Iet, H!日!
In one class of cate the l'risy Comatil will nut exercian purioliation at all, mandy, chection petitions, bexalme there are matters refered to Comts in quite a operial mandy. and mot ordinary julictial matters. This was decided in



## 


 -tando in a peraliar protion, ior the dudicial Committer

 a sumeme Court harting all apmeal thence to the lrive Council war abandoned on ant imtimation that the law wond certainly met receive the royal ansent.' In Xen Zealand sir R, stout has protested encergetically agains certain

 Comrt in guestions. La Su-t malia, Sowerer, the limitation of














## MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)




It was origilally propoed that the High ('ourt to the retablished for the ('ommonwealth should be the timal ( ont of . Tppeal for the Commonwealth.' 'The . Welathe -exion salw the appeal in every ease remowed. atwe that all appeal might be allowed fom the High (omet only if the putble interests of the Commommealth or at state or ang other part of the Quectis dominions were eoncerned. In the bill an brought home by the chelegates this was moditied. and it was proposed to exchate an appeal from athe (omet forlepal or state, in any mattor involving the interpretation of the Constitution. or the (omstitntion of a state. unkess the puthe interests of some part of Her Majeetrs dominions. other than the Commonwealth or a state were atfected. Vixeeption was taken to this proposial thy the Imperial ( dovermment. which desired to see the full appeal retained, or at least some lese vague limitation imposed. and the objections of that Govermment were reinforeed ber reference made to the Cobonial Chiof Justices be telegram, which solicited the expression of vews in firour of the extension of the appeal alld the preservation of an appeal in every case from the State ('onts. for which Quernilind and New Zabland presod.

Fimally both sides eompromised. and the section as passed Was expressed as follow:

Sorppeal wall he permitted to the Quecolin (ommeil from a dexision of the Iligh font upon any guestion. hownorver arising. as to the limit- infer we of the constitutional powerof the ('ommomwealth and those of ally state or states, or is to the limits inter we of the constitutional powers of ans. two or more states. unkes the Iligh Court whill eertity that the question is one which ought to be determined by Iler Majesty in Comncil.

The High fourt may oo certify if satistied that for ally
('t. C'mım,





 question without further leare

Execpt as provided in thi-sertion. this (omstitmion -hall not impair ang right which the (Wherem maly be pleatad to exerese he vitue of her roval prerogative to grant seredal leave of appeal from the High (ond le Her Majeaty in

 containing any such limitation shall be rexered by the

 of the bill it was pointed out be Lad Rasell of Killowen that While there was wo appeal form the Jigh Court exept by its own lear in the seredial dises of rase mentimed. there still existed ath appeal from the dereion of the state Comrts direct to the Iudicial ('ommittere amd that it comllic of athority was therehy invited, since it might be hedd that the decision of the High (omrt. in a ratter in which it conld prevent an appeal to the l'riwg (ommeil. shond be regateded
 brought from a Nitate (omet. Mr. Hallante also. in the Homse of Commons, agreed that there was a possibility of a contlict of authority. Int Lord James of Hereford ${ }^{3}$ expmessed -trongly the vew that the dexision of the Prive (ommed would prevail. as it was the dereison of Her Majesty heredf at the fombtain of justice. arhministering justier themghomt her Empire at home and abroad.

 and bey Sir Robert Fomlis.:

The (ommomwealth Jurlicime! Ary. lan:3. by which the High Court was comstituted. provided hy - . 3:9 ( -2 ) that the
 exept as provided ins. 3s. and subjert tor conditions that every decision of the supmeme (ourt coi atate in the exereise

\footnotetext{


| Thid.. J. Min. | ${ }^{3}$ Ilimh. 1. Ilas. | Ihul. P. \| 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| land.. pr 11:3. | " Hiol., f. 11-. | lhirl.. \|I. -il |

of its ferleral jurisctiction should be final and conclusive except in so far as all appeal might be brought to the High Court.

The eonfliet between the Privy Conncil and the High Gourt which had becon anticipated wan not long delayed. In the income-tax caser Locrkin v. I'eble and Layne v. Webl, 1 the High court deeided that the satary of a federal officer Was not liable to state income-tax, overruling a decision to the contrary of the Supreme Court of Victoria. The High Court ako cteclined to give the necessary certiticate to enable the matter to be carried to the Privy Commeil, though the Premiers of the Austratian states were anxious that the matter should be taken there, and OComnor J. had no hesitation in saying that, if it were found that by the current of authority in England it was likely that, should a case go to the Privy Conncil, some fundamental principle involved might be decided in a manner contrary to the trne intent of the Constitution as the Court believed it to be, it would be their duty not to allow the case to go to the Privy Council, and thus to save this Constitution from a risk of what they would emsider a misinterpretation of its fundamental principles.

On the other hand, in the case of Hebb v. Outlime the Privy Council held that a State Parliament could tax the salary of a federal officer. The case had come before the Supreme Court of Vietoria: ${ }^{\text {s }}$ which had followed Deakin $v$. liebb, but which granted leave to appeal to the Privy Council under the Order in ('onnei! of Jnne 9, 1860, despite the provisions of ss. 38 and 39 of the Judiciary Act, 1903
In reversing the judgement of the Supreme Court the Privy Council dealt with the objection which had been made as to the hearing of the appeal at all by the Privy Council. They accepted the view taken by Hodges $J .{ }^{1}$ when the sami

[^90]objeetion was raised in the Supreme 'ourt of Victoria that there was no provision in the ('ommonwealth let taking away the right of the Supreme C'ourt to grant leave to appeal to the Drivy Council, and they endorsed his view that, if the Federal Leginlature had pasocd an Aet providing that there should be no right of apreal from is State Court in the matter in question, the Jet would have been ultra rires, and that it was equally altre rives to aceomplish the same reant indirectly.

Put more directly, the issue between the High Court and the Privy Council was, whether in the exercise of a new federal jurisdiction (for although the jurindietion in a great part might have been exercised, and was before the . Det of 1903 exercised, as state juristietion, it was mate entirely fecteral by the Act of 1903) appeals were regulated by an Order in Council ${ }^{1}$ which applied generally to all matters in the State Court, but which was prepared when there was no question of ferleral juris diction at all.

The High Court of the Commonwealth, in the case of Baxter v. The Commissioners of T'axation, New South Wrales;, ${ }^{2}$ declined to follow the decision in Webb v. Outtrim. The majority of the Court decided that the High Court was hy the C'nnstitution the ultimate arbiter upon all questions as to the limits inter se of the constitutional powers of the Commonwealth and a state, unless it was of opinion that the question in any particular instance was one upon which it should follow the guidance of the Privy Couneil. But though they reconsidered the matter in view of the Privy Council's decision, they were umable to accept the view of that Court. They rested their decision on the ground that as the Constit a made the High Court supreme in questions of the constitutional rights of the states of the commonwealth, unless it chose to allow an appeal, the Privy Council should have considered itself bound, when a ease

[^91]


 Hight onnt might provent the litigant from anemeling theplat




 Commeil onty bererial heave and mot an of right matere the


 The？also refined permiseson to appeal from their decisom

 umless some exerptional callse 1 il－－hown．

The attempt to ohtain sperial teate from the Privy（＇ommeit to appeal trom this decision in the eare of The（ommersememers


The gromed for the relleal to com－idior this ciase was，in the main．that an Aet．No． 7 of 1 ！日解，of the（ommonweath hat been gassed expresty anthorizing the state latiament （1）tax the salaries of Commonweath olficers and that therefore the dispute could not reasomably arise again．It Was：chear that the（ommonweath let could hardly have been vatid，had the dereison of the High court been comed that it was a fundamental principle of the（onstitution that sheh taxation should not be allowed．3 but on the view of the Jodicial Committee the Aet was menty a mullity，and in any case it was elear that the grestion thel not require decision．

But not only was the immediate cause of dispute remored by the action of the Commonwealth Partiament，but steps

[^92]


 of the . let was the recolle whieh provided that
in any matters whom tham trial of intionahle oflomer



 tion of the Itigh (imm hall be exelovice of the juri-diction
 Fout of at atate shall hot hase jurisiletion to entertath or



 there arines any prostion an lot the limit- inter ore of the constitmional powers of the (ommomeralth ame those of any state or - tates.at als tothe limit- imfer se of the cemstitutional powers of ante two or more - ates, it shall be the eluty of the ('ond to proeed no further on the (einse, and the allese shatl be be virtue of this. Iet, ame withot ant Wrder of the High conit, remosed to the ligh (iont

The let is made moder s. it (2) af the (imstimtion. whirh empowere the Parliament to detine the extemt to "hach the jumialietion of any ferleral court shall be explasive of that which belongs to of is reated in the (ourts of the -tates. It would have been impor-ihles in tiew of the deri-ion
 wither that an appeal hy -pecial leatere or an appeal withont -pecial leave. should not bie from the decision of a supreme finnt. since by the judqeonemt of the Priser (ouncil that prosision would be all interferente with the (onstitution of the state, and therefore be rephgant to the Commommerlo of Ahatrolier Constitution Actand to the Aets (9) Geo. I'. r. nis. - 15. and 7 \& 8 liot. e. $6: 1$ ) which define the juri-dieiden ot

[^93]
 question in whirh the right of the (ommonwealth allat of





 Which brousht both the High (ourt ant the Prive fomeril into sume deceree of contempt. But to be in keeping with the -pirit of the constitution, whieh was intembel to reserve to the lligh (oumt -uth constitutional eeses.

It is, howeres. the that a certain amomet of confusion i--till pessible. In the first plate. the Prive ('ouncil is wor eompelled to requite that every ease shall ero to asmpreme ('ourt before an appeal ean be allowed. and it is still open to the Privy Commel to give sperial leave for apreal from any ('ourt in astate inferior to the Supreme romet in the exerese of federal juriselietion. Ther risk of this buing done is, however, so small that it was doliberately pased orer in the new ferler ? Aet.' Secondly, it is still open to the Privy ('ouncil to grant special leare of appal evern from the High Coum with regarel to the question whether the matter at is ue is really one involving the question of the limits inter we of the powers of the (ommonweilth ant of a state mof the tates. That this should be no is oheionsly neeessilly, as the High Court eamot cham by law toderide when such a question thearise, and it has bern so deeided in the case of the AllorneyGeneral for Neu south Halr.s v. Collector of C'ustom...."

In 1909 ( Ontario propesed to limit apreak to the supreme Court and the Privy ('omesil alike. In the latter ease all
 leave to be restrieted to constitutional cases. rase involving

[^94] casco of apecial impertaber as alfordin: ime libros of the







 Act of lstt' the right to appeat,: Whtamio indeed has of late had no ciance to eomplain of the lrivy (oumsil.

 constituted: "onsiata of the Land lereselent. the Lord High Chateelor, all Prive (ombcilloss who hold or have hede any of the oftices of Land of Tppeal in Ordinate, Latd Chict Jnstice of lingland. Master of Har Rollo. Lard duatien of the (omert of Appeal. dudere of ans of the late Courts of Queen's Bench, ('ommon Pleas, Exchequer, Probate, of
 dents of the (ommeil, and Lome Chamertors, logether with any two others, being lrivy (ouncillors. whom the Crown may think fit to appoint fron time lo time, a provision made - ' Iord Haddant of ( loan and that distinguished lindi. sied Amere . Mi, now sil, and such members af 1 : $\therefore \quad \therefore$ Privy Commeil as for the time beine hold or hawe ally of the oftices deseribed in the Appellute Inrisdiction Acts. 1 siti and 1ssi, as High dhedicial Oltices. There Acts include am! judge of the superior (ounts in (ireat britain and Ireland, and a Lord of Appeal in Ordinary.

 Prive Coancil. dhal., p. 17n, 170.

 eched. : $39 \& 40$ Vict. 1 . 59, s. 6 and $14 ; 44 \& 45$ Vict. e. $3 ; 50 \& 51$ lict. c. $\overline{0} \%$

Any other Prisy (ommeillers may aho be emmmoned by the ('rown. ${ }^{1}$ In A.t af list emponem the ('rown to appoint four paid member, who had cither bero dulgee of a superior Comet at Westminater or Chief Justion of the Migh courts

 As amended in this repere heg an het of 190 s, provision is made that if a peram who in or has been Chiel hatiece or dandge of the Supreme Court of the Dominion of Canada, or of a Superion fourt in any of the brovinces of Camada, or in New sontls Wiales, lietoria, (Quecmand. south Australia, Wentern duntralia, Tasmania, New Zealand, the Cape of Cood Hope, Natal, the Tramsaal, the Orange River Colony, or Newfoundtand, is it member of the Privy Council, he shatl be a member of the dudicial Committee of the Privy Counch, but not more than tive suct. members may exist at any one time. By the Act of 190s' provision is also made for a judge or ex-judge of a Comrt in "re Dominion from which all appeal is being heard of of a l'ourt to which appeal lies from that Corrt, witting an an assessor to the dudicial Committec, but he act-merrly an an ansessor in such cases.

Cuder the det of isth sir Henry strong, then Chief Justice of Canada, Sir Henry de Villiers, Chicf Instice of the Cape of Good Hope, and Sir Samel Whay, Chief Justiec of Sonth Australia, were sworn members of the Privy Council on the occasion of Ques पietoria's diamond jubilee in 1895 and became autonatically nuder the let members of the Judicial Committee. Sir Samuel Way has not been in England since that date, and sir Henry Strong died in 190:, but Sir H. de Villiers attended in 18:77. 1900, 1901, 1905, and 1908." The number of tive was made up by Sir Hemri Taschereau (Chief Justice of Canada from 1902 to 1906) and

[^95]Sir Sammel (Eriftith. (hiof Justion of the High lomrt of








 viz. Lard Haldalle (formorly Lond damme of Heroford), athl
 prointed under the express peswers givern in 21 ol the . Iet of
 the . let of 1 ss 7 as atl ex-julge in the Fiant ladies, allil who
 mader the . let of 180.5 who may at intervats be able: 0 attemt.

The functions of the Julicial Committee are mot contined
 appeats from hadia, the (hammel Islands, the lsle of Man, and the Consular Courts; the Judicial Committer umder Jets of 1810 , 18 at and 1892 hoars appeals in eedesiastical cases, and is also an dppeal fourt in marime and prize eises, from schemes framed under the Eindored schools Acts, Isti!) and 1s7:3, and in miecellaneous other questions, as, for example, cesses muller the U'mion of Benefires Act, 1stio, applications for eompulsory lieences under the Copyright Aet, iste, applieations maler the I'atents Acts of 1883 and 1902,: aprats under the C'ole ' Condrts of Admiralty Act of $18!00$, and appeats with reg to the appointing of legislative vouncillors in New Keatand,'s New south Wildes ' and Queenslamel." Moreover, from time to time matters are referred to the Judicial Committee unders. 4 of the . Iet of 1833.
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 dittoring herein from the Homse ot Latila. cmbery their eome



 formerly an ammal orter was made. In ome on Iwo cince questions atre reformal to a mixer exmmattere centaining members of the daliecial C'ommittore amd other Prive (omme



 not members al the dallicial Commettere lishally sit.

In $1901,{ }^{1}$ al a 'omferencer, certain shggestions were mate


 be vented the apperlate juriadietion of the llonse of Latel-

 the lisiter of the Rolls, He members of the llomse of Latel-
 member theol existing of the . Jndicial committere and onfe person apmonted: by the lard fhancellor from ratel of







${ }^{2}$ Appontmonts for tilteen gears were recommended at suitable sabario. Thers than judges were also to be edigible.







 not in fivong of ally wh-tilltial rhallge.






 importance of that care athl he mexel that the Homber al


 appats from the dudicial lomsmittere of the Privy ('momeil
 the limpire to goto the dmelicial ('mmmittere if there de-ired.
 mittere abd the High (bome of . Dustalias. which hat arimen




 Aameson. "日l behall al the l'ape lishon. prefermerl the Andicial fommmitter: it is vignilicant that the reason whith hegote was the presence in that hoty of wie II. W. Villiore,
 remet, it womld not be powible to proside for representation "f the Cohonies. Dr. Deaking printed unt to him that this,
assumption was needless, and he then withdrew his objection to one Final Comrt of Appeal. Cieneral Botha devoted his comtribution to the discelssion to the question of a Fimal Court of Appeal in South Afriea, and not to the constitution of the Conrt of Ippeal in this comery. Sir Wilfrid Lamicer said that the Appeal to the Juriecial committee had as a gemeral mule givengreat satisfaction, but he desired that the constitution should be remodelled, and he admitted that there was a contlict of opinion in Camadia as to the value of an limperial Court of 1 ppeal at all. It in noteworthy that he was inclined to suggest that appeals by special leave were out of date and should be abolished. Sir Joseph Wiard stated that Now Zealand was in favour of an ultimate Court of Appeal-whether the Judicial ('ommittee or an Imperial Court substituted for it. He indieated, however, that in his opin'on the Judicial Committer was insufficiently informed with regard to the law of Now Zealand ; it was true that counsel ealled attention to the New Zealand side of the baw, but when the argument was over the Committee might apply some rule of English law whieh had been revoked in Now Zaland or omit to apply some rule of New Zaland law which did not exist in England, and to which at the moment their attention had not been eperially called. He suggested that in the case of every appeal from the folony a Judge of the Supreme Court should sit, not to take part in the arguments or decision, but to supply full information as to the Colonial law. The Lord Chancellor explained in reply the existing constitution of the Judieial Committer as effeeted by the Aet of isat. He explained the relations of the House of Lords and the Jndicial Committee, and he pointed ont that in the ease of Weble x . Outtrim ${ }^{1}$ the four judges whe sat were men of the gratest distinetion. inehding Lord Hakbury and Lord Macmaghten. He indieated that to transer the appeals to the Lords would be to deprive the eases of the advantage of being heard by distinguished colonial judges who now sat on the Jndicial conianittee. He also pointed out that if Australia or any other part of

[^97]the Empire decided that the Privy (ommeil she: Ifl be eonstituted in a special manner for the hearing of appeal eases, there Would be no ohjeetion to that being dome. With regard to the proposal of the fusion of the House of Lords and the Privy Commeil, ?/ pointerl out that it had newer been finty discussed in England. and that it would be premature in aceept the principle.

As a result of the conferenee stepe were taken to pass the Aet of 1908 which, in addition to amending the Are of is! so as to inchude among the judges cligible for nombership of the Juctiecial Committere judges of the High fourt of the Commonwealth of Australia. of the Tramsial and orange River Colony, and of Newfomdland, mate provision for Colonial judges sitting as asessors in aceordaner with the suggestion pot forwarl by Sir Joseph Ward and aceepted by. the Lord Chancellor:

On the other hand, the Government of New Zealand moved at the lomperial conferene of 1911 the following resolution :1

Imperial Court of Appeal. "That now it has become revictent, in consideration of the growth of population, the diversity of laws enaeted, and the differing publice policies affecting legal interpretation in His Dajesty Dominions. that no Imperial Come of Ippeal can be satisfactory which does not inclade judicial representatives of these Dominions.'

The following is the text of the resolution proposed by the Covermment of the Commonwealth of Australia :-

Imperial Appeal ('ourl. 'That it is desirable that the judicial functions in regard to the Dominions now excreised by the Julicial Committee of the Privy (ommeil shomel be rested in an Imperial Appeal (onnt which should also be the final Court of Ippeal for Cireat hitain and Ireland.

As regards the latter proposal it is doubtful if this country is prepared to see Britioh appeals derided by a comt on which Colonial members would sit, and unless it is :o

[^98]prepared. action in the sense desired hy the ('ommonwealth seems impossible.

The proposal of Sir.Joseph Wiard is to some extent different. and it i- perhaps posible more fully to meet his position.

There are various considerations to which weight attaches with regard to the guretion. In the tirst place there antises the question how far Imperial poliey repuires or renders desirable the retention of the right of appeal so far as the ('ourts, of the self-governing Dominions: are coneerned. It is chear that little is gained with regard to securing miformity: of haw, for the Dominions constantly legishate in derogation of the princeples of the common law. in which alone a miformity ean be obtained. and the judgements of the Privy Comeil are often not aeceptable to the Dominions; for instance, the decision of the Priey 'omeil with regard to the liabilities of information agenedes was not satisfactory to New South Wales, and a Bill was introdneed by the Government into the Parliament which would have altered the law as deelared by the judgement of the Prixy Council; as a matter of fact, in passing through the Parliament, the proposed law was modified, but the aetion of the Government is characteristie of the manner in which from time to time the Privy ('ouncil's deeisions are viewed.:

The Judicial committee does, however. afford a certain security in the minds of investors in Colonial securities. Moreover, the Jndiefal (ommittere have been and are of importance in maintainng uniformity of law as to the prerogatives of the (rown and in asserting the overriding foree of Imperial Aets. But the real value of a Supreme Court of Appeal from all the colonies is sentimental. and if on the one hand the appeal of the Privy Council has been at times a souree of irritation, on the other hand there seem. still to be no widesperad desire or feeling in the Dominions that the appeal should be abolished. . Whough power exists in the Commonwealth Constitution for the Parliament to

[^99]restrict the appeal in adelition to the restrietions imposed bex s. 74 of the ronstitution itsilf, no stely bill hats been intwo-


 effeetive be the fomation of the laione - till preferved that the right to grant eperial leave to appeal from that (oumt should remain intace.

That the presence of a Colonial jurlge of jutlere on ilue
 matter for legitimate doubt. but it is probable that it would be felt in the Dominions to adel weight to the deevisiome of the Prive (ouncil. howerer little justified that ferling might be by the actual facts. There arems. therefore. to be some rase for eonsidering whether the (olonial reperexthtation on the Judicial committere could not be mate real instead of. as at present. in the main mominal. It mest be assumed, of course. that if the representation were mate real the Colonial judges eould sit in all eases of apperals and not merely in cases of appeals from the colonies. There would. it is assumed. be no objeetion to this. as if a juture were of sutficient standing to be eomsidereel a suitable person to deal with appeals from the (obonies he would be a suitable person to hear the appeals in mise ellameons matters which now lie to the Jutieial 'ommitter.

Tow render effeetive the representation of the folonies salaries must be provided, and the first question whieh arises is whether Parliament could be asked to pay salaries 10 Colonial jutges or whether the (olonies should be asked to paty these saltures. It is certain that there are no doubt strong objections to akimg Parliament to pay. 'Fher foblal appeals exist ultimately for the benctit of the Colomies. and therefore it eatn failly be asomed that the 'idonter would pay for the judges.

The manber of judges to be added womld presumably inclute a judge familiar with the Roman Dutch Law and

[^100]a judge familiar with the English law as applied to Canada. a judge familiar with the same kaw as appled to . Iustralia, a juclge familiar with the same law as appled in New Zoaland. and prohaps in julse familiar with lioneh law. It would probably be imposibte to assume that a jurlge famitiar with English law would be satinfactory for (anadia. Instralia, and Xew Zoaland. for the syistems of law dercloped in these three eombtrics. While resting on the basis of English law, have developed many important local peenliarities.

In any case the actual selection of a jurge would no doubt have to rest with the Colonises and. if the number were limiterl. with the solf-governing Dominions in rotation. 'The limperiak eontrol would be exerefeed through the face that the choiee of the ('olonies would be restricted to lrive ('ouncillors and the grant of the dignity of a l'riey (ouncolor lies with the Imprerial Government.

In any ease it womblapear to be desirable to modify the Art of 1895 as amemed in 1908 s. so as to provide that every person who falls within the qualifeations of these Ares should be fatomatically a member of the ludicial (ommittee, thas removing the restrietion at present of the number to five. There secms no sound reason for restricting the number, and it seems umbikely that any powible divadrantage eould result. as no Colonial Chicf Justice is ereated a l'riv! Councillor mons he is of substantial merit ad standing.

## § 4. Cases of Spectal Refermence

In addition to eases which are brought to the Judicial Committee on appeal, it is provided bys. $t$ of the Aet $3 \& t$ Will. IV. . . 41. that His Majosty may refer to the Judicial Committer any smeh matters whatsocerer other than appeal as His Majesty shall think fit. and the Committee shall thereupon hear or consider the same. and shall adrise Itis Majesty thereon, as in the case of regular appeats. Such references have in the main been in the case of suspension of judges by the Governor in Council or in cases of request for removal by the Legislature. This was the ease, for example, when the representatives of the Island of Grenada petitioned for
the removal of .Judge Sander-on. ${ }^{1}$ and there are other eases of this kind, including a sperial reforemer from the Bathama Islands with regard to Mr. Yeherton.: There hate been ako, however, other important matters whith have bert dealt with in this mamere. For example the que-tion of the boundary betwern Janitoba and ontario was the wefred to the l'rivy (ommeil, aml a decison wiven which wasalerepted by the two prowinces, and which was afterwate ambodied in an Imperial . Ict. ${ }^{3}$ Igain, the question of the position of the Bishop of Natal with regalal to the Bishop of ('iphe 'Town was considered on a similar reference. athongh in that rase the Jurlicial fommitter were elker that the matter contht be treated as a trial of an appeal from the (ourt in the ('olonies.' In that case a question was misert as to whether an appeal wis eompetent, inasimuch as it was asserted that the action of the Bishop of ('ape Jown in purperting to deprive the Bishop of Natal of his stathe was altogether ullia bires. and therefore as a mere mullity. could mot be appeated from. And again in |ssti, at the reques of the two Honses of the larliament of Quemstand. a referenee
 of the rights of the two Homses resperetirely with regard to Money Biths. and the deresion whieh was given has not been questioned hy either Homes. On the other hand. in 1872 a request for a decision of the retations of the 1 wo Houses in New Zealand on the same shbiged was reforerd to the law otherer- of the ('rown at the request of the two Howses: but it was preferred hy the two Homees in Queeons-

[^101]lumd that the matere shouht be kaid before the .Indicial C'ommitte.

In 1sta it was proposed that the question of the colucation Ingisfation of Now Bronswiek, which was alloged to infrimge. the terms of the British Sierth Ammeren Act hy limiting the rights granted to Roman ('athoties under the terme of 1 ' 1
 of their opinion, but the Lord President of the ('ouncil printed out that the matter wat not a suitable one for such referenee and the advier of the Prive counch on a matter which might come before the mlater on in an appeal conh not properly be given. There wats atho in that ease no agrement by the Legislature of New Brunswick that the matter shoukd be so considered. ${ }^{1}$ In 1sis. when the Gevernment of Queber anked the secerctary of state for the Colonice to refer to the Judicial committee a legal question whether it was the right of the Governor or (iovernor in (council to remove a Leutemat-Governor in a ('anadian provinee, the Serevery of state likewise dectined to aterede to the request, on the broad general gromads that the matter was not one in which an agreement for weference had been made bet we en the two Governments, and an mo decision so given could he , inding it would not be posible to proeced as proposed.2

It is akso proposed to refer to the Judicial Committee of the Privy Conncil, in due course, the disigherments between the Governments of ('amidia and Newfomudhand with regard to the boundary of the two Colonies in Labrador. On the other hand, in the ease of the dispute as to the boundary between Vietozia and routh Iustratha it is not proposed to




 derfined to agree to the Seretary of state s de are to refer to the Pring



*'url. I'ap., C: 244, p. 1:1. Cf. also (: its!, נp. 13, 14.
refer direetly the the liey (ommeil. but the guextion has been fought out in the High fond of Sa-tralia, and bow an appeal will be brought from the tecivion to the Juthecial ('ommitter.'

In the case of the prohibitory liquor law - begivation of ('alladia the views of the supreme (ourt were refermed to the lrivy fomeil for advice, and ant opinion was reluetantly given." In all surh mses the Judicial ('ommittere i- mwilling to deal with hypothetical instances, even on appeal, fomm
 to deride conses er hypothesi. Nome the bes. the ('omert hat derided several most important points in this mamer, incheling the question of fishery powers: ${ }^{3}$ the position in corions. for the deevisoms of the sinperme (onet in these cises are extra-judicial,' thongh all appeal is allowed. No case hat
 is otherwise as regards Anstralia; the (ommonwealth A.t. No. 34 of $1!10$. contomphates full judicial weight being aceorded to the derisions of the (ourt.:"

[^102]
## ('II.IDNER 15

## THE PREROCDTONE OF MERCY

s I. 'The Phemonampe fr ru 1nis
'lise prerogative of merey is one of the most important prerogatives of the 'rowne, and is an essential part of the working of the exeentive government of a British Dominion. It is always delegated to the Governor by some instrument ; whether it would pasio without delegation is a moot point, and has been noted above ; it has been hedd by the Chief Justice of C'anada ${ }^{1}$ that the delegation is essential if it is to patso, and at any rate it is ahrays so delegated by Imperial instrmments; but on the other leand, it is so "ssential an dennent of government that it might be deened to be included in the duties delegated to a Ciowrmon by the instruction 10 perform the duties of a Ciovernor, which is the basis of all his executive aththority: ${ }^{2}$

There is no possible doubt that the power is one which could be bared by express words in it statute ; but it has never been sobarred, afthough it has often been smpplemented by rules under acts giving prisoners releases on condition of good eonduct and so forth; but thene rules in no way abridge the prerogative. In some catses, as for example in the Victorian Act No. Dlof regarding indeterminate sentences, there is an express salving of the prerogative ; in the Tasmanian Aet TEdw. VII. No. 17, there is no :swing, and the Bill was reserved, but atlowed as being cleany in no way a limitation of the pregogative; moreover, the 'lasmanian Aet regarding prisons of 1906 is again significant, for it gives the power of remitting sentences thereunder to the (iovernor as distinguished from the Governor in Council, thus preserving, even as regards the special authority of the Act, the discretion of



 Burton J. 1.
the ( bevernore for the ematment i- intemted to do that. In most other eases of sulh ematment- this new mote of pation
 hed that this doe- not subje et the (iovernor in Conneit to it mandann- to grant release er on if the eonditions ate fultilled. ${ }^{1}$ But that the prerogative could ont be barred, as the Comadian Chicf Justice was inelined to hohl. is a mismoler-tamding and a ronfusion of thought; it is inderel very unlikely that the ( 'rown would consent to the harring of the premgative, but it could eereiainl: be bared.:

Sh the days of frown colony gowerment the power of the Governor was restreted. as a ruke, hy limitations on his power
 being parts of the rosal reverur and therefore not tightly to be dealt with. This restriction was remowed emtirely on responsible govemment, when the (iowermment berame entitled to all the revente and when the med of referenoe home would have been abourd. The letters patent and instructions then in fore may be ilhustrated by the following examples of the instrmments in the colony of New south Wiahes:--:

Sad We do further authorize and empower you as you -hall sece ocreision, in Gur name and on Our behalt, when iny erime has beot committed within Our said folony, to grant apardon to any aceomplice, not being the actal perpethator of such erime, who shall give such information and evidence as shall tead to the apprelemsion and conviction of the principal offender ; and further to erant to any offender comvicted of any crime in any (ourt. or before any Judere, dustice, or Magistrate within Gur sadid ohong, a pardon, either

The (rown of coume conth till pathon, doppite the dele tation ly hthers patent, as was primtod out by the (..). in the Conachan pardoming
 wold it so pardun? The :an-ner serms. No; the attion of the ('rown is as part of the Cotomial Gox crmment, and is bound ly a tomal Act, though the Kinur lives out-ide the (idone: ('ontrast (as to appeals) Wheder, Con-




 or lorfeiture which mase berome due and papable tol's.
 1s7:
 rized and romponmert poll, as yon hall ver arrabion, int thir name and on (bur behalf to gramt to any offonder convictad


 athl enjoin you to rall upon the hudge presicling at the tria' of athy offernler whor may from time to time be condemmed to -nitor death by the sentener of ans (ourt within thur said Colone. to make to polt a witten Report of the case of suld oflomiler, and such Report of the satid dudge shall by yon be taken into romsideration at the lirat mereting thereater whiols may be eomseniontly held of (hor said Fixerotive G'mmedl, where the satid dudige may be -pereially summoned to attend. and yom ahall not pardon or repricer any such offormere as atoresated, maks it hall appear to you expedient so to do. "pon reeriving the advier of One Execotive (ommeil therein: but in all such rames you are to decide cither to extond or to withhold a pardon or reprieve, arcording to rowr own deliberate jugerment, whether the members of
 en'sing, merortheles. on the llimites of the said 'ommeil. a simute of your reasons at henghth, in case your should decide any such question in opposition to the judgement of the majority of the members thereof.

These instriments leave, as will bresen, vague the ease of
 The pratetier differed very much ; in most of the Snstratian states the matter was treated as a matter for ro: sideration in Executive Comeil, and dealt with aceordingly, the Governor reserving a more free hand than usinal in there matters. Then in New South Wiales the case was ditferent: the ministers lusel never to advise at afl, and the matter wat disposed of without necessarily athy referenee to minister: whatever. On October 4, $1869,{ }^{1}$ the Secretary of State told




 mixht be the rata in at lmathor of tre:-





 the Government. In tsit the matter was bromethto at men
 to partial control, and desired either mone or complete control. 'The minute Wa- forwarded to the Seecetary of State by the (iowermor. The following are extracts trom these papers:-

## Minute for hix Eircellemey the Giviernor

I have gieer mush eonsilesation to the expediene? of chateging the system of treatment in the ciaces of petitions presenterl for the aboblate of eomblitional pardon of rombirled offeriders, and have carefolly read the ermer-pmelemer on the subject, commencing with Lomd Behmores dispatioh of -luly It, 1869, and (losing with Lorl Kimberloy's di-pmoth of Febrmary 17, 187:3.

The minute of Mr. Roberthon, which give rise to this correspondence, does not apporar to me to deal with the real grestion whirh the dicpatehes of the seremary of state present for deremmation in the dokny. 'That foretiom. in ang view. is the extent to which the mini-ter is to have all
 it is mell more-it is whether the minister i- virtlally to
 -mbjeet of eombe to the refaral of the ('rown to aceept hiadvice, which reftual at any time shombl be held to bee as in all other ciases, tantamount to di-pensing with his servires. The seventh paragraph of the minnte atome tomelue the question of the ministers relation to the Crown, and it serms

[^103] i. $: 3: 2\}-14$.
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to preseribe a position for the ministor in which，on submit－ ting petitions th tho（invernor．he is to exprese an opinion oll rath ease，lo be＂vinwer as ambolying me more than
 concern in the metter．I ammot whatere to this principle of ministrerial comblat，if this be what was intended by Mr．Roblort－om．

There can he wo question，I believe，that from the hergiming of the prosent reign the Home sererdary in Finghat decides absohnlly in all mallows of this kind in the name of the （rowns and that the（rown doces mot in practied interfere． At no former time when the © rown tomk an active part in strely derisoms，eomht the（＇rown，in the nathere of things，be suhject to a sipgerion or an instrmeting anthority．＇The wide ditherenee befwern the position of the minister and his relations to the（＇rown and to Pinlimment in the Colony and in Enghand is at onec apparent on reathing the dispate hes from the seeretary of State．The Gowernor is invested with the prerogative of the Crown to grant pardons，and．by the letter of the instructions eonveryed to him by Lord kimberley＇s ＂irentar of November 1，1871，he＇is hound to examine personally each ease in whieh he is ealled mpon to 1 sercise the power entristrel to him＇．By the instrmetions pervon－ly eonveyed to the Governor of this Colony he Lord Granville， in reply to Lord Bohmore＇s dispatch of July 14，1869，ite is told that＇the responsibility of deciding upon such applica－ tions rests with the Governor＇ ，and，in referenee ohvionsly to ndvice that may he tendered，it is expressly added that the Governor＂hav modoubtedly a right to aet upon his own independent jmbroment＇：And，finally，affer the question has been re－opencd by Sie Alfred Sifephen，it is repeated by Lord Kimberley＇s dispateh of Febrmary 17，1873，that＇in granting pardons＇the（iovernor＇has strictly a right 11 －xemeine an indepentent judgement＇．

It seems to be clear that the＇purtion of the Gneen＇s promeative entmsed to the Governor of a Colons，unlike the premgative in bingland，is intended to be a reality in it． excroie It is mudeniable the case that the representation of the Crown in a Colony，mbla the Crown itself．is subiect in a smperior or instructing anthority．What． then，is the position of the minister，and what is intended to be the nature of the advier low may be ralled upon to give，and uacur what ciremmstances is that adviee to be given？

In no sense of responsibility，in this respect，has the
miniater in thia rihnoly hitherter hern in the sillor poxition








 milll!



 Kimberly, in his di-putch of liohmilly 17. 1sis. repeats lla words of Lomed (iandilke.

It camot be dombted that the matere here intended is wholly diatinct in its Hithore from the advio.e givan in the
 is miformly ucerpted, ase the limet comblition of the adviare continumg to hold oflece. In all hiv atet. the ministers responsibility to Parliament is simple, molivided, and dire But in pardoning eonvided offenders. the (inveromer, it homgh he is to "pay dhe reged to the advier of his ministers", is at the same time informed by the serovery of Stalte that he is bound to ceamine persomblly each case in which he is ratled $\quad$ pon it exerever the pewer antmated to him: and that with him rests the mesomsibility. The re.eptionial advere implind serms to be of the mathere of ophinions or suggentions, to which woplat maty be attarhal as . .ming from persons 'responsible to the (ohons toe the proprer administration of justiee and the prevention of erime . but Whinh in any case, or in exery case. may be partially on wholly disregarded.

It coes not appear to be clear that the diovermor is required by the Secretary of state to serek even this secondary dans of advice in all cases. It would rather serm that the instruetion does ion necessarily extend beyond eases in which pardons are proposed to be granted, in which casces the minister would simply have to conenr in a decision already formed, or be placed in the somewhat invidious position of whjecting to the extenion of mercy. This view would shut ont from the ministers limited power of advice the numerous cases in which much concern is frequently folt by protions
of the publie. where a mereiful eonsideration is praved for and is refuserl.

I entertain grave douhts whether any ehange at prosent from the sydem which has hitherto prevaled will be beneficial to the folony. In a rommmity somall as ours, the distinctions betwern dasses are very slight. 'The personis - ntristerl with antherity and the relatives and friende of prisoners mote elosely torether. 'The means of pelitioal
 in the exerevise of the prepogative of pardon womld not in my julderment. be more satisfactory to the pmblie. But if a change is to take phate atm the eaves of prisoners are to be eleceded on the adriee of ministers. I eith see no sufticient reasen for making a distinetion between this dass of busines and the ordinary businese of (iovermment. 'The minister ought to inguire into and cxamine eath case. and each rave onght to be deederd on his advice. Ther refneal of the Gowemor to aceept his aldice in any case of this kind ought to have the same significance and elfere as a similar refnsal in any other case. In no other way ean the minister be fitirly reponsible to Parliament for what is donc. Fither - the responsibility of deciding upon such applieations "must still 'rest with the Govemor? : as Lord Granville expreses it, or it must rest with the minister in the only way in whied if would be just to hold him responsible.
(Signed) Henry Parkes.
('olomial Serretarys: Office. Sylney. May 30. Jsit

In a publie dispatel by this mail 1 have forwarded to your Lordship a parlamentary paper, showing the decision which has been eome to in Excentive (ouncil ats to the mode of exeresing the prerogative of pardon in cases which are not provided for be the royal instruetions. but I think it right. at the same time. to state fully in this comfidential dispatrh all the diremmstances whide hate oreored here. and which have led to the eondedion which has at length been arrived at on this subject.

Whan I assumed the (iovernment of New Somth Wiales. in Jume 1872. my attention was almost immediately attracted to this question by tinding a monber of petitions for mitigation of sentences submitted for my decision. Without any opinion or adviee endored on them by the Colonal Secretary. through whose hands they reached me. I was the more sumprised at this becamo I was awate that such a course

Was unusual, even in a (rown (obony, where the Ciosemot is assisted in forming a jutgement by the opinien expressed ats to the merits of each case by the Cotonial secretary or other member of the Exerotive ber whom such cater mal be -ubmitted for decision. I pon inquiry I was informed that it had been the pratere here wer since the establishment of rexponsible govermment for the fowernor to dispose of all applications for mitigation or pardon. exerent in capital caspo. withont reference to ministers. I was ter that a eorrespomdence had been going on with the Home Government for nearly three pears on the subjere. but that. the instruetions recerved being thonght to be eontliedims, Sir . S. Stophen had, a few das: before my amival. writeon fully to lard kimberle deseribing precisely the pratere here and inguimgs whether it was thomeht desirable that a difierent emorer shomed be adopted. Ithough. therefore I entertained grave dombts meself as to the propricty of the pratefore. I thought it better: as it had berem ia fore for sisteren !ears, and was then muler wference to the Secertary of State, to make no ehange until areple was remered to Nir Vfred Stephens diepateh.

When Lad kimberley amswer reached me, in May $1 \times 7.3$. I at one forwarderl a copse of it to the Premier. for his consideration in commexion with the previons eorrespondence on the same subject. It appeared to me that this dispateh, reale in conjunction with the cirenhar dixpateh of November 1, 1sit. Was elearly condemmatory of the patetiee which had uf) to that tme been pmaned in New sonth Wales. Ender that arstem the (iovernor atome could be eonsidered responsthbe for the exereise of the prerogative of parton in other than eapital eanes. Whibl it Was rear that Lomel Kimberley eomsidered the responsibility for derivons, which were as intimately connected with the proper indministration of justier and the prevention of erimes, should rest with ministers. and not solely with the Govermor. as heretofore. It seemed (t) me from the eorespemelenee that the one thing whels lowd Kimberley hedd to be indiepenablale wan minioterial
 acknowledged it was a matter of litto comerguence by what form of consultation it wis atrived at.

I took the carliest opportmity, after the reeopt of Lard Kimberleys dispateh, of spealimer to Mr. D'ake's on the -mbjeet. I pointed ont that the question an long mader reference home hatel. at lemgth, $J$ thomght been eonclasively di-posed of, and I expremed my readiness to initiate asystem more in atecordance with home views and eomstitutionat
principles whenever he was prepared to take up the question. . . .

So the matter rested until about a month ago, when the atter in of Parliament was attracted to the proposed release of the bush-ranging prisoners. The dispatches as regards the exereise of the prerogative of pardon were then ealled for, and Mr. Parkes wrote his Minute of the 30 h ultimo, whieh will be fomed amongst the published papers.

Mr. Parkes' view as embodied in this paper was simply this: he preferred that the responsibility of deeiding upon applieations for mitigation of sentences should remain as heretofore, solely with the Governor ; but if a change were insisted on, and the cases of prisoners were to be decided on the advice of ministers, as required by the Secretary of State, he coukl nee no sufficient reason for making a distinction between this elass of business and the ordinary business of Government. In effeet, lis declined to accept any responsibility for ministers unless they had. not only in form but in substance, a voice in such decisions.

I at onee felt that it was imposible for me to aceept Mr. Parkes altemative of allowing matters to remain as they were. Such a settlement wonld have been opposed to the views of the Secretary of State, and it would have been instantly protested against by Parliament, as ineonsistent with the principles of responsible government. The disenssions which had already taken place in Parliament had Nhown beyond all question the necessity for some minister being responsible for the pardons granted, as well as for those which might be refused. Is instancing the neceoss: for ministerial responsibility, in even the latter class of cases: I cnclose a parliamentary paper which shows how charges of sectarian partiality and official corruption can be based on a refusal to entertain an application for mitigation. It will he obvious from a perusal of this paper how necessary it is that Her Majesty representative should be relieved from a position which exposes him to such inputations.

I rordingly felt no hesitation in eloning with Mr. Parkes whth alternative and deeding that for the future all appleations for mitigtion of sentences should be submisted to me through the intervention of a responsible minister. Whome opinion and advice, as regards each case, shond be specified in writing on the papers. This is simply the mode in which all the ordinary business of Govermment is eondueted, and I coukl ree no sufticient reason for making any distinction in these eases. If the appointment of judges and other prerogat-
tives of like kind had been left to the representatise of the ('rown, there might have been some grounds for retaining also in the same hands the exclusive excreise of the prerogative of pardon. But when everything else has been conecded to the responsible advisers, it seems too absurd to suppose that the question of letting out this or that criminal should be the one thing not entrusted to them. . . .

In the present constitutional stage it is obvions that as regards all purely local matters, ministes must be trusted - not at all, or all in all '.

It appears to me, too, that the plan determined on meets all the requirements specified in Lord granville's and Lord Kimberley's dispatchers on this subject. The papers in cyery ease will be laid before the Governor for his decision. fie will thus have an opportunity of comsitlering whether any Imperial interest or poliey is invoried, or whether his ... romal intervention is called for on any other gromeds. t. there should be no such necessity he would, of coume, as desired by Lord Kimberley, "pay due regard to the advice of his ministers who are responsible to the (olony for the proper administration of justiee and the prevention of erime.'

Mr. Parkes, 1 think, pushes his argument against the change too far when he implies that the refusal of the Governor to aceept the adviee of the minister in any ease of pardon would necessarily involve his resignation. Of course, theoretically, such a view is correct, but I need rearcely point out, that in the practical transaction of business ministers do not tender their resignations upon arary trivial difference of opinion between themselves and the (iovernor. ...

1 trust that your Lordship will approve of the plan which I hare adopted, with the eonsent of the fiovemment, and the contire concurrence of l'artiament, for waling with applications for the mitigation of sentences in cases which are not provided for by the royal instructions. I may add, that 1 have learned since the matter was disposed of here, that the new system is, in effect, similar to the practien in foree in the neighbouring Colonics. In New Kealand the practice, I am informed, is preciocly smilar to that now "atablished in New South Wiales; whilet in Queensiand, Gouth Justralia, and Tasmania, reenmmendations for mitigations of sentences are brought before the Executive Council by a minister, which, of course, phates the rexponsibility for the decision arrived at directly upon the Government. As regards Victoria 1 have not as yet received a reply to an inquiry which I have addressed to Sir George

Bowen on the subjeert, hat I have been given to understand that the practiee there is somewhat smilar. ${ }^{1}$

The reply of the Seeretary of State of Oetober 7. 1s7t,2 was in part an approval of the proposial, but it still maintained the personal position of the ( fovernor in riminal cases, and even in minor cases, thougla not quite so strongly in them. There: was evidently some serions discrepaney in the views of the Governor and the Seeretary of State. thongh the latter minimizes the discrepanc! in his dispateh:

I have to acknowidge the reeript of your dispateh of -hune 29, in whieh you thelose a printed paper laid before the Larliament of New Nouth Wales, at the bottom of p. 7 of whel paper is a Minute, emborlying the deeision arrived at hy the Executive Comed on the subject of the prerogative of pirdon.
$\therefore$ The decision of the Exerutive Comed as eontamed in this Minute, being in aceordanee with what I believe to be the gencral practice in other Colonies. and also with t views of Her Majestris Govermment, as expressed in h! $\because$ predecesor's dispatel of February 17, 1573, appears in require no eomment from me, except that 1 understand the Diuute of course not to contemplate any departure from the rules lated down in A .14 of the royal instructions as to capital cases ; and a great art of your minute immediately preceding it also expreses correetly the principles established for dealing with those other censes in which it is proposed that the prerogative of pardon should be exereised. But I doubt whether you correctly apprehend the meaning of my predecessors dispateh when you serak of his suggesting an "informal consultation" between the (Governor and the proper minister. Lord Kimberleg, as it reems to me, suggented that, except in coppital cases, such consultation med not be in the Executive (omevil. but I antertain no donbt that he eonsidered. as I do. that at must be of an essemtially formal ehameter, and it is very proper that the minister"s advice shouk be given in writing. As Mr. Patkes correctly

[^104]observes, the minister in a ('olony eannot be looked upon as occupying the sathe position in rexand of the (Queenis prerogat tive of patelon ats the llome seeretary in this eonntry. The (iovernor, like the Home seeretary, is personally selected by the Sovereign as the depositary of this premgative, which is not aliemated from the Crown by any ernemal delegation, but only confided a- a matter of high trust to those individmals whom the Crown commision- for the purpoos. . Wethally, therefore, as well as formally, the Governor will comtimme to be, as he has hitherto been in New south Wiales and in othere Colomes, the person ultimately resomsible for the exereve of the prerogative but this is quite comsistent with the further duty expressly impored upon him, of consulting his ministers, or minister, before he acts.
3. While, therefore, the rule of procedme now adopted is correct, it seems necessary to point out that in the last three paragraphis of your minute, you go somewhat too far in laying down that the excreine of the premgative of pardon, even in minor catese is a branch of local atministration", in regard of which the responsibility formatly attached to the Governor can practically be tramstemed to his advisers.
4. Not only is it neresiary, as has abready been observed, that the power given specially by the sovereign shoukt be exereised only be the person to whom it is given, but the duty of a Governor to the Jmperial Govermment remeres it neecessary that he shouldhimself decide whether, in any easebrought betore him, the exereise of the prerogative involves questions affecting the interests of persons or places beyond the Colons, or in any other respeet not purely ('olonial.
j). In the case of Gitrdiner, from which, althongh it is not directly referred to in your diopiteh now under notice, the present question has of course arisen, a point came up for consideration. Which wat obviously in mo semse one tor the final decision of the miniaters of New South Wales or of mas one Colony, however large and important. It was proposed and decided to pardon the crinimal on condition of his leaving the Colony, and remaining aboent from it, moler the det 11 Viet. e. 34 , the provisions of whels, in repere of the power
 (hewhere atated, ought to be practioally obsolete. The effect upon neighbomring (obonits, the Fimpire gencrally, or foregh countries, of letting loone a highle emminal or dangerous felon to rewide in any part of the world exrept only that prineipally er acerned to take charge of him, Wa- a step which might clearly and not mmensonably give rise to
complaints from without the Colony ; nor eould the reeommendation of a Comonial Ministry lif furone of such a course be of itself a sulticerent justifieation of it.
6. I am ghat to understand that the New Sonth Wales Government is willing to take steps for repealing the fourth section of 11 Viet. e. 3. $4^{1}$

In $\frac{1}{8} 87$ an absurd dispute arose in Tasmania between the (iovemment and the judges with regard to the ease of a pardon granted by the Governor on the adviee of minister: (1) Louisa Hunt.' The Government's action in advising this pardon was disapproved of by both Hensers of Parliament, and the judges were amoyed beeause they thought that the Ministry and the Governor assumed to act as a Court of Appeal from the Supreme Court. The matter was referred to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, who in a dispateh of Oetober 29, 187\%, haid it down very clearly that in no manner was the exercise of the prerogative a matter of appeal from the deeision of a Court. The Governer did not technieally reverse a sentence nor pronounce it wrong. While not questioning either the verdiet or the sentence, still he thought fit by virtue of the prerogative to extend merey to a eonviet. Moreover, he disagreed with the suggestion of the Governor that in every ease the judgen ought to make a minnte when they had passed sentenec apparently for the use of the Governor in Council. That would tend to confirm the contention that the Governor and Comeil were a Court of Appeal from the sentence of the Conet. I Governore he added.
manst keep steadily in view that the act of pardon of at sentenced criminal was an act of pure clemenes: and not in any way judicial. Exeept in eapital cases, as to whieh the toyal instructions ladid down a distinct course of procedure. the Gowronor: in order to inform his mind where clemency onght to be extended in any ease, will do well to consuit informally those who can best assist him. Imongst there he will naturally in most cases have recourse in the first instance to the judges, and particularly to the judge who tried

[^105]the ease; and ther, if they are consulted in this mamer, will no doubt always be fomind ready to give their advice.

These instructions remaned in foree until 189.2 . When the position was changed i,y the insue of the new letters patent, and royal instructions in that year.

The instructions in the case of C'mada previous to lsots "ere of the same type. Thus in September Istil Sir Edmund Head pardoned a conviet, Patterson, depite the contrary advice of ministers ${ }^{1}$ and in 1575 Lard Dhtferin commuted the death sentence pased on Lapine for the murder of seott in the North-W West insurrertion ta) 1 wo vals imprisomment on his personal reponsibility, but after the comsultation of his ministers," and his conduct was fully approved be the secretary of state:s In November of the same year the Sustraîan eorrespondence was sent for remadia, and it resulted in the careful reconsideration of the whole matter ly. Mr. Blake, who in this, as in all other matters, felt - trongly that the limistry must be rexpmeible. It mas be added that in 1875 the (invernor-General in 'ouncil eonsulted the Imperial Govermment in Martin's case.

## §̇. 'The Views of Mr. Blake is 1876

The position was changed as regateds ('mada in 1sis, as a result of the represemations made in $1 \times 76$ bre Dr. Blake on behalf of the l'anadian (iovermment. ${ }^{5}$

The representations. as mentioned above. arose out of the mising of the question by the secretary of state as to the form of permanent letters patent to be issued in respect of the ofliee of Governor. The form which was proposed to the Gover at of the Dominion was that which existed in Tustraliat and the comerepondenee which had paraed with
${ }^{1}$ Quebner Morning Chronirle. Siplumbur 7 . Intil.



* Hansard, cexxiii, 107.5.
 N(1. 99).

regard to the interpretation of the . An-tratian intemetions
 prote-alel trongy amainat the aloption of this form of
 whjection reated in alome mimete. The sereventr of state in the main adopeted his sugerestions. themegh some further comrepondenere parad with regatel to the deaire which wir presed atrongly Mr. Bake. that mothing -homld appeat as to the right of partons in the fetters pateont.
 bat ine deeided that there mellat be kept in the restal inst foretions a chanse dealing with the matter. and in that decisont Mr. Blake and the ('amatian (eovernment timally acepuicered.
'The following extanet shows the erromme of lhe. Blake representation :

The main question is mpen the inatemetion givento the
 "ithhold a pardan or a reprieve areording to his wwn deliberate jularement whel her the members of The ('ommeit eoneme therein or otherwise. Having regand to: fle form of the eome mission and of this instretion the proper inference is that in all cases not capita! the action of the (eoromor by way ot parden or commatation is to he as is his atetion in other matters. mulderavier.and that it is omly in the capital canc. which are serecially dealt witi hy the Ematruction that he ito act upon his oisn jutqement even agains adviere. 'Whe distinetion thes ereated dose not ippear well fotmded. It prosvides a ditferent male of actiom hased simple on the eralvity el the aentence. whereas the enty temathe di-tinetion that oecome


[^106]or not) which mas insolve lmperial interos- and thone Which, not incolving oll hintor- - conterm whely the internal almintistration of the alfalion of the Dominiom.

The sub-commither wombl shersel that ally i:1at ruction







 on condition of rate from the lolone. The latter chane i.
 With the former clas- maty bre ramed tho: of wfember- who
 is probable that even in the exerptional ravere -lygented
 the action of the (iowernor would gencratly be in aceordance

 prople If would also serem that in the vast majonity of exeeptional eases the exeeption womld be found to be feed nieal not real. the substantial interest- impolved being molds.


 Imperial interests. and this perihility furnishes. in their view, the onty gromed for the appleation to thene elasese of a special rule: It apperare to them. howeres, that this apecial rule may be applied mele the gemeral tangnage eontamed in
 commented, and which if interpered on limited in the mote


It mow heromes the dhty of the ath-commbitter to refer brictly to the argmencols- ipen which in the rate of the Sustralian eotomico it has herelabliomed that the indepentent action of the diosermore iencral in the exeroize of this pewer
 perper in the cease of (ealladia.

To the substantial argument for inclepentent antion in rertain exeeptional eases, the sub-eommittee have abready alluded. and they refer to it now only in orde to peint ont that the existence of this exeeption is mot a reason for giving in all cases independent power, but rather the reverne.

It is the exefltion which prover the male: any argumenta lased 11 pon it existemer are argmmenta for exceptional fratment. but they are not matome for making that treatment gencmat, a the the leate applicable to the bulk of the rases the rule which bite for the exerption wonld be of miveral applications. The other reasona refermed to appeate to be-
(1) That the high prerogative in question heing persomally.
 from the thaty of julging for himself in every carr in hioh

 the sabler position in remat to the (buentas premative of partum an the Home Secoretare. The sub-rommittere womlal in this eommexion refer to the views of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ mancil on the general question of ministe rial powers and reponsibilities its expresided in the Minnte of rommeil and the report ammexed therefo. ${ }^{1}$ thinkimg it merellese to restate in detail the position taken on the gemeral smbjere and the argmone advaneed againat the propered division of powers and reponsibilition.

The prerogative of pardon has been rightly vested hes statute in the Soveredgn, siner all eriminal offenees are against 'her peace 'or' her ('rown and dignity', amel it is reasomable. that the person injured shonkl hase the power to forgive: but neither the pmishment of these injuries nor their forgiveness (both being mattors which afteet the people) is arbitrary ; the one mat be, and accordingly in, regnlated by law; the other, lecing mainly beyond the province of law, iret, like the remaining prerogatives of the British Sovereign. held in trust for the welfare of the people, and so far as it is beyond the provinee of law is regnlated by the general principle of the Constitution.

There may in this, as in other instances, be some difliculty in rmming ont an exact analogy between the position in C'anada and in England, but to the sub-commit tee it appear:that the applieation to this subject of the findamental rute of the Constitution, as expemented in the report refered to. atfords the trie solntion of the question, and would furnish the nearest possible analogy between the practice proper to be pursued in each country.

In the United Kingdom, while the British Parliament makes laws for the punishment of erimes committed by their inhabitants, the Sovereign exereises her prerogative of merey towards such criminals under the adviee of her minister

[^107]there, who is chosen as wher britiols mini-the are choome and is reaponsible as othor Britioh mini-tora are repon-ible to the British Parliament for his adviere. Therefore in the Enited kinglom this prower is aseroised mmber the same restrainta and with the same sembition to the peophe (conscerned as the other powers of govermmems.

This it seroms to the shbeommitter i- the practiceal remitt whieh shonld be whaterel in the bome ons

Here whike the ('anarlian larliament mahes lan- for the pmbishment of erimes commither hy the inhahitants of

 Gomeil for Camada. or of her minister theres chanen as her other Canadian ministers are chosen, and rexponsible to the Gamadian Larliameat for his adviou: bor, having regard to the remans given it, the report almady refored to, can the suggested responsihility of the (Bownor to the Colomial Office for the exercise of this power mdepement of. thongh after adries, be deemed a rativfactory whetitute for tho responsibility to the (amadian people if a minister eharged with the nsial powers and duties in this respent.
(II) The seeond aremment is that experioney requives that this prerogative should be independently excereised by the Governor, and it is sugerested that the pres-are politioal as well as social whicll would be brought to bear upon the ministers if ie Iceision of such questions rested practieally with them would be most cmbarmosing to them. while the ultimate ernsequenees might be a serions intorforener witla the sentences of the courts '.

This suggestion, which is supponted, in the case of one of the Australian Colomes, by the views of lomal authorities. is not applicable in a gencral senor to fimarla. Where it has been eommonly supposel that the decision of this as of other fuestions rests (at any rate in the mases not eovered by the -pecial instruetion) practically with the ministers; where it is beliered that muless in the exere ional eases pointed out hy the sub-eommittee the combarraciment: sigereted womld but rarely oeemr, and that at any rate mini-tels womlet not he relieved of any such embarrissments by the proposed course : and where it is ennfidently maintained that wo improper interference with the sentences of the court: would resintt.

No doubt in the excreise of this as of many other powers of Government embarrassments and difficulties may from time to time ariee; but it is believed that their true solution

 and tronblew will ariar from the arodance than frome the Is andmption of the fill rexpensibility which the sub-commithere suges athould. by the alforation of the eviatime inatructions.

 Nimilar to that of the ('ommonmealth, which will be cited

 consulting the Screretary of State. the ('onmeil being mabis to advise and in all cises he colnsiders empelilly the reeome memlations of the Minister of Jhstiere:

## 

'The quextions of the excerciae of the promentive of pardon was considered al the (iolomial Conference of 1887 . when the delegates preant were invited to expreses theiv opinion on a. question mated hy Nir F. Dillon Bell, on belalf of Now Zealand, as to whefler the time had not come when it was expertiont to instruet the rolomial Gowernors that in mattor relating to the prowogative of merey they shonld be gnided by the atrice of their responsible ministers.3 Mr. Dealim stated that he was advived by the (invemment of Vietoria
 had alopted the attitude that ther were eomstitmtionally beund to acerept the adsiee of their ministere with reforeme to the reprievinge or execution of a criminal, but other (invernoss had stood npon their rights under the in-ther tions: and hidd derlined to take the atwiee of their minister The position was ineonvenient aml difiecult for the ( ioveromer






 atvien: Dobente. 1885 6. p. 311. But otherwive Lard (Buringten in the

 stated that low wombl be perterty prepatal tor acepot the

 tion except it the case where the parden on rephiase might directly aticet the interests of the limpine or of alla! eountry in plate beyont the jutiodiction of the diovermanent of the Dominion.

The apmion- expresobel, hownory, were on the whole mafaromable to the mahang of anse chamge. Mr. Servire imbed supported on behalf of liatoriat the properal to ahter the instructions. It alluleal the the fine that the Covernor Has instructed to call npon the judge who presided at the trial which emeted in it deathesentence to mithe at witten report of the vase, and was aththorizal to cather the judfe to be speceally mammoned. 'Io that ubjection hat been taken 11 Victoria, namely by Higinbothan C.J., "ho objected to being summoned execpt by the Exeentave Councila Sir dohn Downer, on behalf of South Austrahia, considered that it would be very ineonsenient in a small community like that to throw upon the ministers the responsibility of deeiding with regad to capital sentences. Sir Robert $W$ isadum and Sir Patrick Jemnings were of opinion that in New South Wilion at changy Was mat desirable, and Mr. "dye Douglas eonsedered that no alteration should be malle ats far ats Tasmania was concerned. Sir simmmed Eritlith thonght that the principhe of trating differently the catee of the prerogative of mereyandotheresecutive actionswa-anammaly, and he: ulso witicized the instruction th the Governor then contaned in the instructions, to plate on recond his reasons if he deeded a case in opposition to the judgement of the majority of the Exacentive Comeil, on the wromed that the Giovernor should treat the Council collecetively and not ats individual members. But he considend that the anomaly should be retained for the present, becatuse the nature of the ceases of life and death which occasionally arose was such that it was eminently advisable for the Culunies to have the 1:79:3

[^108]independent judgement of the Imperial offieer-the Giovernor. He eonsilered, however, that even so, ministers must be responsible for any adviee which they gave and be liable to eondemmation by Parliament; they could not consistently with the prineiples of self-government be relieved from responsibility for anything they did. The representatives of Newfomdland eonsidered that the puwer in such eases shoukd be vested in the representative of the Crown, and Mr. Serviee thought that the matter shonld certainly stand over until the Australian Colonies were agreed; while Sir William Fitzherbert, ou behalf of New Zealand, thought that it was ineonvenient to press the question of life and death for party deeision before Parliament. No action was therefore for the time being taken upon the question at issue.

## § 4. Tile Chavge of 1892

The deeision of the Colonial Conferenee remained for a time unchallenged, for evidently Ministries were not agreed as to the course to be taken, and mone at least were not adverse to being reliesed from the troublesome position involved by the neeessity of dealing with sueh eases on their final remponsibility, ${ }^{1}$ Un the other hand, Mr. Higimbotham felt very deeply on the subject, and it wats one of the points on which he addressed Lord kinutsford, not as a Seeretary of State, but as a distinguished person interested in Colonial affairs. Ilis language wats warm, but in effeet he was right in thinking that in all ordinary matters it would be better if the unal ey-tem of responsibility was adopted. In loss the utterly mistable position was illustrated by the action of the Coorrnor of Quecmsland, who deelined to aeeept ministerial advice in a non-eapital ease ; the Premier at onee said he would resign, and the (iovernor had, after consulting the Sceretary of State, to give way. Then tollowed a dispateh of October 30 to the officer administering the Government of the Colony, in which Lord Kinutsford admitted that Sir A. Musgrave had acted strictly within his instruetions, but he said that he would have done well to subordinate his

[^109]personal opinion to the advice of his ministers. Then eame the catee of the pardon of it Manti, Mahi Kai, in Nen Kealand. on ministerial advice. in whieh Lord Onslow sent a dispatelt on Febmary 7 , ISOt, as follows to the Colonial Otfice:-

1 has if.....aster to report that on Octobere 21,1890 , wntenef $n$ dedth ha- iussed upon one Mahi Kiai, a Mandi convicter. of the marder on April 12, 1890 , of one stephen Hatoney.
2. The juts in atd ering the verdet aceompanied it with a recommendition to merey on aceome of his age ( 17 years), and his being of the native race.
'3. I went fully into the case, and my Vxerentive Council advised me to eommate the sent ance to one of penal servitude for life, and I aceordingly did so.
4. The mimute in the book recorting the proceedings of the Executive Council is ats follows: 'The Minister of Justice submits the ease of Mahi Kai, an aboriginal native moder sentence of death for murder at New Plymonth. Commonted to penal servitude for life.?
$\overline{\text { br }}$. From this your Lordship will observe that there is no reeord of the advice given by the Executive comeit, nor does any such advier appear inpon the papers in commexion with the ease.
6. A question has been raised as to the form in which this adviee should be given in such cases--whether orally at the Council, or in writing on the papers at the time of their eonsideration by the lixerutive council.

I enelose a memorandum from the l'remier, from which four Lordship will gather that my perent advisers ontertain the opmion that all acts of administrative government within the Colony shouhd, without exepption, be done on the advice of ministers.:

They 'rntertain the same opinion as to the advice which

 phent hardly, in my epinion, appled.

2 They said that the pencor of pridon shomble he regulated hike: all ot her esecutive powers, that is, if the Gowrnor wished he could refuse to accep advice subject to the ordinary conseque nces (viz. the need of finding othrr anvisus in case of resignation). They do not diseuss or rucugnize the case of aetien in Imperial interests as they were furced to do in 1802, when they did not resign when Lord Glasiow reflused to grant them in increase in the Council.
the (iowemor is directed to take from his Executive Comeil as did Lood Camarvon in his dispateh of Nay 4, 1875, in which he salys that Whether also given orally or not, it whould be given in writing '.
7. Solong as ministers held it to be a constitutional pracetice and a duty that they should retain oftice, even if the (invernor shonld deeline to acerpt their advice in the exereise of the prerogative, and wo long as it was believe' hat collisionbetween the (Govemor and his ministers coulu be avoided by mutual taet and forbearance, the system may have worked well: but as soon as Sir Thomas Mellwraith resigned because the Governor of Qucensland deelined to aecept his advice, on which occasion your Lardship did not uphold the action of Sir A. Mnsgrave it became obvious that the retention of ofliee under such circumstances ceased to be a constitutional practice with Austrabsian statermen.

8 . If ministers see no reason for making a distinction between the ordinary business of government and the businese in commexion with the excreise of the royal prerogative of meres. the es, wernor may at any moment find himself as Sir $A$. Mungrave did-without advisers, and unable to replace them with other's having the eontidence of P'arlianent.
9. I have found in practice that the wishes and opinions: of the fovernor are in other matters, as well as this, listened to with all respect and that when consistent with their own opinions mis iters (ndeavour loyally to co-operate with the dovernor, accepting full responsibility for their actions.

But it may be that the Executive ('ouncillors would hold very strong opinions antagonistic to those of the Governor ; that the publie, knowing that the (iovernor is instructed to call for the advice of his Executive Council, would :ring very strong pressure on them to give cortain advice. and to resign if it were not taken; for your Lordship is a ware how strongly the public mind is sometmes agitated in cases of eriminals sentenced to death.

The present practiec is attended with much that is undesirable for the representative of Her Majesty. He is liable to be aecused of being actuated by religious or sectarian motives, or by class prejulice. Deputations of various kinds wait upon him. The counsel for the prisoner claims to be allowed to place before him facts alleged to have come to light since the trial, and thus endeavours to turn the Governor into a Court of Appeal.
10. Parliament may, in its debates, endeavour to influence public opinion to put pressure on the Governor, for I have
notieed a growing tomdeney moder eretain rifermstances to bu ng under eritiodism of the popmlar branch of the Legishature administrative functions performed by the fovernor even mader the adviec of re-pomable ministers. How mush more, then, would such a tendency devolop in cases which eoncern the internal atministration of the folong. bome where the Governor does not aet with the advice of ministers, and cantnot maintain that he is acting witha desire to hold the babanee between partioc. as in the case of the granting or refasal of a dissolution or the choiere of a miniater.
11. Were it not that the (ionermor is directed to eonsmlt Lis Exeentive Comeil. it might be hekl that the Govemor alone exereised the prerocative and was atone responsible for its exercise ; but, as ministers must give advier. they most also be responsible for that advier to Pirliament, and maty any time demand that it be taken as afteretive advice.

The consequence is a responsibility differing from the general reponsibility of the forernor to the (rown and the ministers to Parliament. in that it creates a double rexponsibility. with the possibility of deadlorek.

1 $\underset{2}{2}$. In a dispateh to the Gowemor of New Kouth Wates on November 1. 1sit, Lord Kimberley sas: : A forernor is to pay due regard to the aldice of his ministers, who are: responsible to the colony for the proper administration of justier and prevention of crime; and lonn Lordship, in Sour dispateh of October 30. Isses to the Administrator of the $r$ mont. of Queensland. alds to that doctrine that ther $\quad e \cdot$ will allow greater weight to the opinion of his minisa $\quad$ cases affecting the intornal armmintration of the ('olony than in cases in which matters of Imperial interest
 involved . Had your Lordships intended these instrections to apply not only to ordinary rases in which the roval prerogative of merey is involved. but to eapital cases alion. the duty of the liovernor woull have bere perfeedye clear.
13. I am not prepared to follow. Mr. Ballance into an inquiry whether the present is a survival of (rown colons practice, bint I am mable to sily that it appears to me otherwise than as an momaly in it commmaty posecescel of reponsible government ; for it serems incompatible with those principles that the fowernor shombl be instrueted to ponsult his ministers and bet be -pereitically instructed that
 at the risk of finding himself withont andvisers able to ciary measures and votes in Parliament.

It appears to be clear that at least two of the Dustralasian Governments (these of New Zaraland and (Gueen-land) entertain the opinion that, in the exereise of the prevegitive of merey. there shomkl be distinet ministerial advere tendered moler definte ministerial reponsibilite. It is poseible that
hese fwo dolonies maty not be alone in their contention : and. should sour Lomilhip ser your way to give offeet to
 I eannot sere that any danger to the Eimpire nered be feared.

The rapid stride. mate be these colonise in recent rears have resulted in the buldting np of anderial fabrie dithering only in degree $\begin{gathered}\text { arom the older commmaties of Enrope : and }\end{gathered}$ rifomstances have moth ehanged sinee Nir H. Pirkes wrote in 1874 deprecating any rhange in the existing practice. beeanse, he satid: "The persons entrosted with allthority, and the retatives and friends of prisomers. move chosely together in a commmity so small as ours. Vinisters arde capable of assmming completerepe asibility for the admini*tration of local affairs without exception. Puhle opinion expressed thromgh is number anm rariots of rhamols is eperedily exereved and quickly felt

Any abmer of power or danger to the frevervation of order. if not eheeked by the inthence of Parliament, would be certainly arrested hy the firs gemeral election, an erent Which 'un never be jostponed longer than three veats. but whie'. wisally reenrs meh more freguently.
14. In the carlier history of the lustralasian ('obonies, as in that of ('anada. there may have been mued to be said in fivour of the practice bit the calleses which operated to effeet a change in the bominion have not been wanting in Australasia, and should your Lordship see fit to assimilate the practice here to that which obtains in Canada, the prineiples of responsible groverment will be eomplete, whike the Queen's representative will be freed from an anomalons position, and a diffient and modesirable duta.
. $s$ the result of Lod Onslow's singesestion, the Seeretary of State addressed the seremal Colonies on the topie. with the result that all agreed in the adoption $\because$, he usial mose regarling exeentive action, under whieh the Governor shonkd
${ }^{1}$ South Anstrali: in the same year showed the same feelinge the (iowermment thratening resigntion. Prior to 1892 the (iownor dien lnsed capit. 1 rases in Comeil, asking the junior memher his opinion firs. Since then tha. Cabinel dereides on its advier and the (Governor approves in Council. lemer given_of course an ophertunity of secing ther pipers lufore Comeil.
deeline adviee only in eases where cither Imperial interesta were coneerned in the lefinite sense of interests affeeting other parts of the Empire or foreign countries. and kilve him to act in all other matters on the wimal prineiples of ministerial advier. that is to say, rejeet it unly if ho thonght he could seene another Ministry which would endorse his act and win a majority in the lower "inuse. Is maual. there is no flear remgnition of this faet in the correspondence: for instance. Lord Onslow's Iremisr, in enmenting on the original instrmetions with their sefinite reservation to the Governor of personal diseretion in capital cases. said that if that were removed the sitmation would be then $f$ werened by the ordinary clanse of the royal instruetion mpowering the Governor, if he thought fit, to act in opposition to the alvice of ministers:-

In other worls, the Govornor may in any eave refuse to aceept the adviee of his ministers, but in doing so he aceepts a responsibility involving ecrtain eonserquences. The practiee, however. has heen where the royal prerogative is exercised for the fovermer to aceept a pirvonal responsibility, and actually to shicld his ministers from wither the resp n-ibility of defending him or being under the noecsisty it , iey cannot do so of resigning.

But this is surely a false altermative ; it was not adopted by the Ministry of Mr. Ballance despite his memorandum when the next Governor in $1802{ }^{1}$ refused to aecept his adviee regarding the Epper House shortly aftewards, and it is elear that if a Governor refuses to aceept ministerial advice on Tmperial grounds there is no need for his ministers either to defend him or to resign. The latter alternative is ultimately. as shown elsewhere, unemstitutional: the former is an exeess of magnanimity, and would noly be misunderstood without benefiting either Governor or ministers.

New letters patent and instructions were issued in accordanee with the wishes of the Government - in 1 so? for the sis Australian Colonies and for New \%ealamd. Ta 1 good they

[^110]were reis-med, with some alterations not affecting the power of pardon. in the ease of the six states on the inauguration of the Commonwealth of Dustralia. and in 1907 for New Zealand. in eon-egmenere of the ehange of st yle of that Colony to Dominion. The dociments now rum as follows :-

## Tasmanill Leflers Palen*

IX. When any rime or uffence has been rommitted within the State against the Laws of the State. or for which the offember may be tried therein, the Governor may as he shall ser oemasion. in Our name and on Our behalf. grant a pardon to any aremplice in surh reme or offemee who shall give such information as shall lead to the eonviction of the prineipal offender, or of any one of such offenters if more than one : and further. may grant to any offender eonvicted in any ('ourt of the State. or hefore any Judge. or other Wagistrate of the State. within the State. a parlon. either free or suhjert to lawful conditions. of antremission of the sentenee passed on such offemder. or any respite of the execution of such sentener for such periods as the Covernor think- fit : and forther, may remit any fines, penalties, or forfeitures due or acerued to T's. Provided always that the fiovemor shall in $n o$ ease. exeept where the sffence has been of a politioal nature umaceommanied by any other grave crime. make it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the offemder shall absent himself or be remeved from the State.

## Royri Instructions:

VIII. The Ciovermor shall mot pardon or reprieve ally offender withont first recelving in rapital cases the adviec of the Exemutive council. and in ot her cases the adviee of ome. at least. of his ministers: and in any ease in which sitch pardon or reprieve might dieectly affect the interests of Our Embire or of any country or plare beyond the jurisdiction of the Govermment of the State, the Gowernor shall, before deciding as to rither pardon or repriese take those interest sperially into his own personal consideration in ronjumetion with sum advier as aforesail.

Sinee 1802 the matter of pardons hav gone on withont friction, and the New South Wales Official Year Booli for 1907-s asserto that ministerial advier is always acemped. A case arose in Tamania in leos where a comsiderabla
difficulty was oceasioned to the llinistry in dealing with int instance of the exereise of the premgative in a capital case.! The Government had had no experienere in draling with the matter since the issue of the new instructions. 'They. burefore, while reesiving a report from the jurge, omitted to ask him to attemi to dise uss the matler in Cabinct or to make any reeommendation. It is nsamillu the other states for the fowermuent to ask the chiof datiee to attemel and to question him on legal pronls. thongh (hisef dustiore. as a rule. do not make rexommendations." The liverentive

 the judge was in fisome of the commatation of the sentenere. and in eonsequence a popular agitation was started whell resulted in the julge being asked to attend at further ('iblinet meeting, and in the Cibbinet dereding to eommate the sentence in accordanee with his adviere. Nevertheles. they were faced by an attack in the House of I-membly Whach, however, was withdrawn when it appeared that the (ionerment had arted with no intention of disegereling their dhty and their position. ${ }^{3}$ 'The eane was important heratuse the (ionemon' thought it well to adelress a minute to ministers explaining that mader the new instruetions no persomal responsibility rested in such a ease with the fovemor. that the mode of proedare had been left by the new instruetions for the diseretion of each Colonial Goverment, amel that in: New Zealand the presence of a judge was not comsidered by Mr. Ballance in 1802 to be necesandy in the discussion of sentences. as the Executive fovermment there carried ont the law. ${ }^{4}$

*The practior, I brelieve, varien : the above statement repesemts the

${ }^{3}$ Mr. Mr.Call appeare to haw ramed the matter tom me chear that a
 information.

- In Canada in a capital cioce, since Istif; parla julge is under a legal whigation to furnish a full report. For New Zealand sece P'url. P'up, Is $9: 3$, 1. 1. p. 12: Constitution ami Civeron, ent of Frec Zealand, 11. 187, 210.

In 1000 in Western Australia the condemmation of a murderess raised much excitement; the question was raived in Parliament by the Opposition, and, on the refusal of the fovermment to reprieve. a deputation waited on the Goveruor, who, after receiving the adviee of mir sters. derlined on their advien to exerefise the prerogative. The Decasion was taken for making dear the responsibility of ministers for the action taken. ${ }^{1}$ Th New South Waks the advent of the Labour Government to offiee in 1910 was followed by very whement diseussions of the exereise ly. them of the prerogative of merey in the case of a murderer. and in eases of strike headers, ${ }^{2}$ with the result that in the ('riminal Appeal Bill of 1911 an attempt, severoly cen-ured hy the Opposition, was made to refer death sentences for recommendation to a comeil of judges. whose view woukl have practically been final.
It may lo added that it has been held in Victerian that a free pardon does not remove the criminal stain. or exemp a eriminal so pardoned from punishment inder an Aet to prevent the influx of eriminals.

In the case of the C'ommonwealth of Australia on its formation the Camadian model was formed: that is, the letterpatent igncre the subject in toto. and it is relegated to the instructions, where the whole of the old clanse of the lettere patent and that of the instruetions is rum into one: that does not matter. for the royal instruetions aud the lefter patent are only two different modes of signifying the royal pleasure in prerogative matters. and exeept by statute or usage there is no gromad to aseribe more sanctity to one than to the other: indeed the exercise of the prerogative coumb elearly be delegated by di-pateh just as its exercise is in partieular cases regulated by dispatch. The terms of the new instruction run as follows:-

[^111]VIII. And We do further abthorize and empower Onr said Governor-Gemeral, as he whall sere oectaion, in Onr natme and on Our behalf, when ant rrime or offenee againet the laws of (ha: 'ommonw eath has bern remmited for which the offenter may be tried whith Gur satel "ommonweath, to grant a pardon to any aceomplier in sum revime of offore who shall give surh information as shall lead to the eombire tion of the principal offemer. or of ant one of sheh offemters if more thath onfe: and further. to sfant to any offender conlvicted of any surh erime of offonere in ally finnt. or before

 or any respite of the exerention of the selleme of any such offonder. for stlel period as to Our aid Gowernom-(imeral maty sem fit, and to remit any fines, peraltios. or forfeitures which mat berome due and prablale to l's. Providerl
 "serpt where the uffenere has been of a politieal nathere make it a condition of any parton or remission of senteme that the offender shat he banished foom or shath aboent himself frome Otr satid Commonwalth. And We do herehy diveet and emjoin that Our said fiovernor-(ioncrall shall not parton or repriex amy such ottonder without tirst recoiving in capital eaves the adviee of the Executive fommeil for Our satid commonwealth and in other eases the advice of once at least, of his Ministers: and in any case in which shels pardon or reprieve might directly affect the interests of Onr Empire or of ance count ry or phate bevond the juriwhiction of the Government of One said (Gmmomweahth, War salid Governor-Gencral shall. before deceding ats to cither pardon or reprieve dake those interests spectally into his own perconal eonsideration in conjunetion with sucin advice as aforesad.

It will be seen that the only pardoning power vested in the Covernor-General is that of pardoning offenees tried agrainst the laws of the Commonwealth: the rase is now the same in Camada, where the power to pardon is to pardon oftemees against the laws of the Domision and does mot extend to erimes wheh are pmishable hy the courts of the Dominion as being committed under the jurisdiction of the Ahmiraltyor otherwise be Imperial enaetment triable therein, or as in the case of pitare triable therein jure !entium. There is. however, a considerable difference between the rastes: in
(imadar rimimal haw is a matlor for the ferleral parliamems.
 state redaning enfettered powre to deal with offoncenagain-

 the limed anthorized to be tried in any piet of the Eimpire hes the ('onets of the place where the aminal is appredended of in rinstoxty, and therefore the power of the ('ommonweralth extemels mataly to pardoting offences againat the quarantine.
 fommonwealth; in suld cases there might be a double
 guilty of a common law or statatory offerner alnd alow of
 womld be powe to exerese the prexogative areoteding as he was indieted meder the ordinary ermmal law of the state of madere the Pose and Telegrephl Aet of the (ommonmealth. It itrice howerer, that the words of the state lethers patent are -6) wide that ferhiniolly they would serem to cover the pardon 11: all otionder he atate (iovermor on the adrice of his state. :.mbisters for all oftemer against a ('ommonswalth law; it is merelless to saty that such a procerding wonld be utterly maconstitutionat, and may be deemed as begond the rane of posibility: if it did, the matter combl be weoded be: a Gont on provertinges iaken either to seenre lhe discharge in the prisoner fome consoly or his mestomation to bondage:

 regarding the exereise of this prerogative. The forme adopted in the rase of the ('ape in 1872 and again in the

[^112]

 may be illustrated by the Nital in-lamment-:

## Roymil Insivitilum.s




 been mate by the julge who trided the rite: allul, whenewer it appeats advisable to dos at tathere meateres to invite the attendance of such judge at the ('mmeil. The ( (overnor -hatl
 to hime expedient on to do, upent reenting the alviee of the
 deeite either to extemd or to withhold a pardon or reprideve. aecording to his own deliberate judgetment, whether the members of the Executive Council eonemr therein of otherwise; entering nevertheless, on the minuter of the lisecutive ('ouncil, a minute of his reasons at lemgth in eave he should deede any such question in opposition to the judgrenen of the majority of the members thereof.

## Latlers D'atent

L. When ant erime has been emmmithed within the Cobms, or for whieh the oftemeter maty be thed therem, the fovernor maty, as he shatl $\rightarrow$ ere wecasiong. in for name and on Gur behabf, grant a pardon to any aceompliee in -uch crime "hos-hall give - nch information ass shall lead to the convietion of the principal othemere or of athy one of such oftemers. if mow than one : and further, may grant to any othender comveted in any ('ont or before ally julge, or other magintrate within the Colony, a bardes either free or suhjeet to lawful conditions, of :my remission of the sentence pasod on such offerder, or inn respite of the execution of such rentene for such period as the (iovernor thinks tit ; and further may remit any tines, penaltics, or forfeitures due or acerued to L.s. Provided ahwas that the Govermor shatl in no ease, except where the oflence has been of a politieal nature maceompanied by any other grave crime. make it a condition of any pardon or remission of entence that the offender shall absent himself or be remored from the colony:

Whe differnee, howerer, 1 th be noted : after the pasamge of the Alems Aet hy the Lmperial Parlimment the Government of the (aye asked that it might bo allowed to banish from its shores certain clases of offonders, at the Cape was the happy lomting -gromm of alventurre from evory part of the worli, alll it wat ildomagerome to be ahbe to get ria of them, ind one way womlat be bey mating comlitional pardons. It was folt lig the laperial (bovermesent that in riew of its own new policy the oht pohblitions against exilins: perons would not be pesible to he mantaned in then integrity, and accordingly the detters patent of the ('ape an! subsequently of the 'Tranval and the Orange River Cobmy but not of Natal, which made morerget for change, were moditiod so as to read in the provion at in establishmemt the words if the offomber be a mathathom libitish subjeet, or a British subject hy maturalization in any part of omb Dominions', thas allowing the bamishment of aliense and -mbly bani-hment bas gone on cherfully ever sine with inereasine inconvenience to the limporial fincmment, as the route home for these hanishees from the eontinent is via England. where they are tempted to sojoum for a seavon.

The reason for vesting this peremal diveretion in the Govermor in south Ifricat is dere of combe to high eonsiderations of native poliey shich womll he of paramome impor. tance in the ease of a monder trial, whether of a native fon murdering a white, or a white for mardering a native. Thove may well be cara in which aither the pardon or the exernewn
 peate of somth Vifiea, and a- all hoperial interest it is well 11) secure impariality by Hur cotrating of the power f..
 the instruelious, whioh as in the rase of ('anadat and the f'ommonweath embody the matter in wher cases put in the letters pationt, rme as follows:-
IX. And We do further authorize and empower the Governor-ficnemhl as he thall we oceasion, in Our name and on Gur behalf, when any erime or offenee against the lat of the Lnion has been committed for which the offender mat
 plice in such erime of othenee who shall give and intormatman as shall leal to the consiction of the primeipal oflemere, or of any one ot such oftemeter it mone thath onn, and turther, to grant to mas offomer consicted of any such crime of
 trate. withim the linon, a pardone, caller fere or mbject to lawful conlitions, or any remionem of ther villonce phayd on such othemter, or any reapite of the varention of math sentence, for such permet as to the dovernondemeral maty eeem tit, and to remit any tines, penalties, or torfeitures which mity become date and piyable to les. Provided always, that if the offenter be a natimal-hom Briti-h subject or at Batioh subjeet by naturalization in any prat of onf Dominions, the Guvernor-deneral shall in no case, exeept whet the otlence has been of a politionl nature, male it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the umender shall be banished from or shatl ab-ent himeclf from the Union.

Aud we do hereby direct and enjoin that the GovermorGeneral shall not pardun, gratht remission 10 , or reprieve any such oflenter withont tirst rewiving in atses other than capital cases the whice of once, at hear, ot his ministers.
 suffer death by the mentence of any Cunt, the GovernorGeneral shall consult the Execntive $($ onncil upon thr "ase of such olfender, submitting to the (omncil any repert that maty have been mate by the juthe who tried the rise, and, Whenever it appears advisable to to - 1 , taking meatures to invite the attendance of such judge at the Conncil. 'The Govenor-fancral wall not prarton or reprieve any such ollender makes it shalt appear to him expedient so to do, upon receiving the whtice of the Executive Commeil thereon; but in all anch cones he is to deeide either to extend or to withhold a parton or reprieve, aecording to his own deliberate jndgement, whether the members of the Executive Council robemr therein or wherwise; entering neverthekso, on the Minutes of the Executive Council, a minute of his reasons at length in case he should decide any such question in opposition to the judgement of the majority of the members thereof.

The omission of any reference to Imperial interests in the surepee of the progative in urdinary cases is a somewhat
curions onc. It may be argued hence that the power of the Govemor-General is to be exereised entirely on the advice of ministers except where he is prepared to find new ones if they resign; but the ease is historically, and no doubt in intention, otherwise; this form dates from the ohd forms which were simplified in the sase of Justralia. The: old forms, as anthoritatively interpreted by various seeretaries of State, imposed not only in eapital but in all easco ${ }^{1}$ it personal remponsibility on the Governor, and though the new form will hardly at the present time carry with it that onus, it will be subject to the implied ruie that Imperial interests justify and require deviation from the practice of aecepting ministerial advice. It is in harmony with this that the Vnon instructions contain no power of action in disregard of ministerial advice on other matters of executive action of Imperial interest, for this power wan recognized by Mr. Blake to apply ipso fucto to all cases where Imperial interests overrode Canadian.

In Newfoundland the old form of instruments is retained, and now is similar to those issued in the ease of the Cape. But in this ease no alteration has ever been asked for or made to permit of the banishment of aliens. As a matter of fact. the practice of the Governor dealing with all cases personally continued right np to the gevernorship of Sir Willian Macgrege who indaced ministers to aceept a change of system and to follow the usual rukes of Colonial procedine in this matter. The disadrantages of the system as it stood were seen when the (iovernor remitted an absurd fine imposed for a technical breach of the game laws of the Colony, ant the incident was seized as an opportunity for a per-omal attack on the (iovernor by the press of the ('ulony:-

## § $6 . \quad$ Imanesty, \&u.

I few minor points as to the prerogative may be noted. It is still the case that no Governor is given formal authority

[^113]
## (HAP. Wl THE PREROGATIVE OF MERCY

to grant an amnesty ; this, however, is of no conceivable eonsequence, since the power caln be cexcrised in the way of an medertaking that persons will not be proserelted when the same effect results as if all ammesty hat been affereel. ${ }^{1}$ Since 1s7s, acting on as suggestion of Mr. Blakers, sureific power has been given to isite pardons for offencestriable in a ( onlons though not there committe l.: It has been held since ise93 that a (insernor can pardunfor a contempt committed dexpite the objection of the julge. ${ }^{3}$ an impertant derision, for the power of the (rown to pardon eontempts in the cate of eommittals by the frish Lamd Courts has beron doubted. apparently without aderfuate grombd.' 'The (ioscromor can. but in practice does not pardon offom es committerl within Colmial limits by members of the lmperial forees. whether naval of militars: but he is clearty empowered to da so. Since 1871 specifer provision has been made for the pardon of areomplicers.:

The right of remitting tines due to an informer is actthed for the C'nited Kinglom by an . Iet of 185!!, ${ }^{7}$ but this Act docs not apply to the Colonies, and when the power to remit a penalty, part of which was due to an informer, was neel



 a condition), and (obomothe was omitted. but was pirdemed on a like


 may the given by a lowal Ant, as in Now Zealand in 18se, det So. $t$ (Rusten. iii. 4-(1), in Canada, IIV Vict. ce. 11ti; 12 Vict. e. 13.
 sem to have fuen indeluled in the wide terms of the weder commistion



[^114][^115]in 1908, there was some diselnsibm in the New foundland press which led in 1910 to the prassing of an I (et (e. 17) removing all doubt as to the power of the (iovernor so to proeced, and the matter is usnally so deciderl by local tegishation. But in sueh case the (invernor does not act moler the promgative. but under the statute. This, lowever. wonld in no rato alter the principles on which lis action wonld be hatied.

# PART VII. THE CIICRCII IN THE DOMINIONS 

## (H I IR I


Tuse position of the Chureh lin the colonies presents a remarkable eontrast to the position of the (hureh in the Cnited Kingdom. It is true that at the present day the gradual disuse or formal repeal of the powers of cerlesiastieal juristiction, other than those referring to erechesiastical members of the church itself. has considerably diminished the importance of the official recognition by the State of the Church as an essential part of the State. But the connexion has only been diminished ; it remains in full foree in many particulars. and the presenee of the bishops in the House of Lords is a significant sign of the commexion of fhurch and State. Moreover. the (rown not only has the full control over the appointment of the archbishops and bishops. besides possessing an extensive ecelesiastical patronage. but the ecelesiastieal fourts exercise complete jurisdietion on the terms ked down by Parliament ower members of the ('hureh itself.

In the Dominions at the present day, execpt in the cawe of the Province of Queber. ${ }^{1}$ it cannot be said that there is any organic comexion betwern the ('hureh and the State.



 payment of dues hÿ Roman (iatholies. and thasobtams great privilege from. "hile independent of, the Niate. The Lam afieres oner adsiaed that the

 Lau', 1IT 4!-51.

## 1424 THE 'HURC'H IN THE DOMINIONS [PART vil

The history of the grestion is of interest. The eceleniastical law of England is ent part of the common haw which was introcheed by British wetther into setthed ('ohnier. The letters patent ereating Cohomial bishops hawe not proported to confer upon such bidopes juriatietion ower lay persoms such as used regulaty to be exereised by the rechesiastieal ('ourts ass for example. in matrimonial matters, questions of probate, ecedesiastical dues. or cases of brawling. deffamation of character, and so on. 'The first Cohoniak hishoprit reated was that of Nova Seotia in 17st. Where the letters patent conferred upon the bishop, full power and authority. upon ecelesiastieat matters over the erecteriantices of the Church of Enghand in Nova Scotia. and authorized him not only to visit the varions ecelesiastical permons in his dioceres: the ako to pmish and correct them. whether ber removal. deprivation, suspension. or other eecheniastical cemsure or correction, according to the ectlesiasticel haw of Eingland. and to inquire into their comduct by witnesses to be dhly sworn. Another commission empowered the bishop to exercise like anthority and jurisdietion in Qubbec. New Branswiek. and Newfomatand. Reference is make to this bishoprie in s. 40 of the Aet of 179), extablishing representative institntions in the two ('anadas. In 1793 the bishoprice of Quebere was fombled, and the two ('anadas were remosed from the jurisdiction of Nova Seotia. In Ist! an Imperial Aet (e. fin) recognized the episeopal jurisdiction of the Bishops of Queber and Nova Seotia as existing. In 183! the diorem of Newfomdland was detached from the dionese of Nova seotia and the diocese of Toronto carved ont of that of Quebere. the same power of jurisdiction being given. In 1845 the bishoprie of New Bronswick was detached from th:at ,f Nova Seotia, New Brmswick having possessed reprement.a tive institutions from 1784. In 1857 the bishopric of Hurem

- The Governor in the C'madian Provinces haed power under his commi-. sion and instructions to appoint to feneficers, bat he wa regured to alion
 the letters patent of Lord Monk in 1861, and repeated for all Cimada in 1 sti as (iovernor-(iemeral. Hence it was arged that the right of presentation



Was catred out of that of 'Toronte and in 1 stie the hishopric of Ontarion Was carved out of t?:at of Jurom, While a Bishop of Rupert: Lathe was appointed in Ist!, iat cach case with powers of jurisedietion. ${ }^{1}$
 aththorized the bishopse clergys and laty of the ('hureh of linglamd in the famadas 10 meet in their several dionerses and to frame constitutions and make regulations for enferecing diseppline in the ('hureh for the appointment. dispessesesion, and deprivation or remmsal of athe person bearing ofiere therem, amd for other matters and to med in symod to frame a constitution and regulations foll the general management and good govermment of the ehmed. This . let was assented wand was subserpuently explained and amended ly a later
 Set-. at the reguen of the $\mathrm{C}^{\text {amadian }}$ ('humeh, a metropolitan Was appointed by letterepatent of I B6in and tsibe. which gave him not only the powor of presiding at their provincial commeils. as desired by the (fanalian (homeh. but large powers of suspemding on certain oreasions the local jurisdiction of the hishops, and exereising speedite jurisedietion of his own in their dioceses. (omphaints were made against his exercising this juristiction. and he was informed that it was illegal. and that his powers were subject to the . lets.

In the case of New Zealand. originally imeluded in the diocoor of lastralia. in 1841 a bishoprie was created by fetters patent with the manal jomividetion. In lxige and in 18 sis four new bishoprices were carved out of the old one. but with pewers of visitation only. the Bishop of New Zabland being given metropolitan juriadiequon. In . Inctralia the sere of . Instratiat wise eonstituted in Ix:36. In 18t: ther bishoprice of Tasmanial was crated with w-mal powers of juriadiction.2 bat as complants had berom made bye Baptist ministers athd Preabyterians. (ejerecially with regiad to the

[^116]part of the hoters patent which gate the bishop the power of smmmoning witnessem and examining them on wath. of the advice of the law (ofticers mew letters patent were issued in Ixt! omitting the power to summon witnesses, the power to examine on oath. the exprese mention of jurintiction, alld the expres power to punish hes sumension, deprivation. or otherwise and only athorizing the bishop to visit the elergy. to call them belome him, and to inguife as to their motaks and behavionn in their offier and stations.

This question boing setthed thus. the bishoprice of Aust ratia

 dioceses as well as Tissmania being given to the Bishop of


 was created at Perth. in Isis! one at Brisbatme which coincided with the mewis arpabited Colong of Queconsland. and
 tion only being given.'
 invested the bishop with the power of lieensing cleveg and withdrawing their lieences upon catuse being shown, and this Aet chally was in foree in Quecosband, since it was pased before the separation of the Colonies. The Legislature ot
 rergy, and latity of any Victorian diocese to meet in syome and make regulations for the cuforeement of diseipline. In Tasmania similar provisions were made by a loeal Aet of 1859. 2.2 Vict. No. 20. which cmabled the bishop to examine

[^117]"itnesors on cath, though not to summon theme In somth Iustraliat mo heginlation was parocel. but the bishops and clergy bound themechee in twis by what was called a - comsemstal compact . cotablishing a somod and binding the rergy to obey its regulations.

Ln the case of South . Africa a hishoprie of ('ape 'Vown was retablished in $18+5$ with power of vistation mily. the ('iper being then a (rown rolong and the 'Pasmanian guestion
 a representative Parliament was instituted in the riape. Then letters patent were issued in ls.isis after the eondtitution of the bialiament reematructing the bi-hoprice. While a bishoprice of Natal was created and the bishopmice of Giahanis: Town was carved ont of ('ape 'lown. with perers of visitation.

This was the state of atfairs prevaling when three mont important cases were decederl which timally determined the position of the ecelesiastical law in the ('olonies. In the case of Long $r$. The Bishop of 'rupe 'Tom'n' decided by the Privy (ouncil. Mr. Long, the appedtunt. Who was all incumbent of a parish in the dolony of the (ape of (bood Hope, refused to obey eertain orders given by the bishop of the diocese in the exereise of his episeopal aththority and for sueh disobedience he was tirst suspended and then deprived. It was held by the Judicial (ommitler that, atier the grant of a constitutional government in the (ape. the letters patent were invalid for the purpose of confersing either eceleriastical or eivil juriselietion. They then eonsidered whether there could be set up a contlate betwern Mr. Lomg and the bishop. They held that Mr. I ong. by taking the wath of obedience to the bishope and b, aecepting a licence to officiate and the appointment to the living. mader a deed which contemplated the removal of the incumbent for any lawfal callse. did voluntarily submit himeelf to the ant hority of the bishop to such and extent as to comble the hishop to deprive him of his bencfice, this being decided on the banis of cont ratet. But they decided that Mr. Long had not been guilty of any such
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offence as justified the sentence againat hime. The bisluy
 the eleation of a delegitte for the parish. 'The Judie ial (ommitter lekt that the bishop hat mo pewer to rombers astond withont the sanction of the ('rown or the (obmial Legishature, and therefore Mr. Lomg was justified in refinsing to help to call the body intocexistence. The oath of obedience only referted to lawfol commands. In giving julgement the (ourt salid: Phe ('hureh of England, in places where there is no ('himeh cotablished by las. is in the same sitnation with any other religions borly--in no 'rettor bat in mo wors.
 ally other commman may alopt, mes for conforeing dise ipline within their berly. which will be hinding on those wher expresely or be implieation have assented to them. "The ('ourt ako hedt that exod if Mr. Lang had an appeal under the letters patent to the arehbishop. Which they did mot decide, as the matter in repeect of which the appeal was brought had $t 0$ do with a temporal right. We was at liberts to resort to the Sipreme ('ontrt of the Colony.

This case was followed by the case in re The Lomed Bishopp of Satal, ${ }^{1}$ in which Dr. (ohenso presented a petition to Her Majesty in ('ommoil alleging the mullity of a sentence of di-. possession for horesp promomed agalnst him hy the Bishoy of ('ape Town as metropolitan of that diocese.

In that ease it was held hy the Judicial (ommotere that the Letters Patent of $185 \%$. Which purported to subject the Bishop of Nital in erelesiastionl matters th the juriselietion of the Bishop of ('ape 'Town were uller rives amd of obe effect whatever. Their dereision was based on the fact that execp) in the ease of a ('olony in which the Crown had pewer to legislate, whether by the prerogative to legislate for a embguered or eded (olony. or whether it had power to legislate under such an Act as that of $1843^{2}$ regarding the $\mathbb{W}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{rl}-1\end{array}\right.$ dfriean settlements and the Falkhand Islands. the King could not set up by letters patent a metropolitan see or province. or ereate an ceclesiastical corporation, whose status right

[^119]and anthority the (olonṣ combl be required torerognize after the ('slong or wothement had reeroverl legislative institntions. Ther dudieial (ommitter romsidered that this virw was
 of ('aldenta was restahlished meler the allthority of all Aet of 1813 ; the additimal hishoprices of . Madras and Bombay
 Acts conferred an erelesiastical jurisdiction an far as neressary far administering holy eremonios and for the suprinten-
 atabli-hment. In lsey a hislop was appointed in damaira ly letters patent. hat his positisn was montiomed hey a Colmmial Art. Whirh wonld hase bero improper unkes the land Officers of the (enserment had heren satistied that the Golonial statute wan neressary to give effere to the establishment of the bishopric. Mareower. in Bighand even mader Hemry VIII it was comsidered neressary to pass an det ta establish new hishoprios. and the same plan had heen alleptedin the ease of the hishopries of Manchester and Ripon. S゙口 donlit letters patent had long heron issued ronforring an erelesiastical jurisdiction. but such letters patent were no dombt inadvertent enpies of the instroments issued for hadia moder the provisions of an Act of Parliament. ${ }^{1}$

They alsa laid it down that the erelesiastical law of bingland Was ont inforce in a settled (oolony:- and that therefore eerlesiastialal jurisdiction comld mat he eoufered corn if the letters patent were snfficient in law to ronfer on Dr. (Eray the rerefosiastical stathe of metmpolitan, mad to reate betwern him and the Bishop of Natal the prememal relations of

[^120] of the letter patent as attompted to eonter ally cerceion legal juriadietion was in viohation of the law lif ('ar. I. r. II, whinh had repealed the posier given ins. Is of I liliz. e. I, to appoint persons to exereioc ecrleniastical juristiction within the realms of kingland amel lereland. or mey other the domimions and eomatrias of the ('rowns. B3: IB ('ar. If. r. I: the ordinary ered -iantical juriselietions and anthority an it
 was reprated only with a prowiso tiat s. Is of the det ut L: lizabeth -hould remain repeated.

There wit therefore bu power in the ('rown to ereate ans

 patent to the appellant and reprondent were simply void in las. So metropolitan or hishop in ang ('olong laving
 letters patent alone (mukes granted under ant Aet of Parliarment or contirmed lyat (olomial statute). exereise any cocreve juriselietion. or hold any conrt or tribmal for that purpose
lastoral or spirit nal an'hority might be incidental to the othere of bishop. But all juriselietion in the (hureh, where it combl be lawfolly conferred. must proced from the ('rown. and be excreised an the law dibeeted. and shapension on privation of office was matter of coere e legal jurisediction and not of mere spiritual antlonity.

They proreeded to consider the a ation whether there
 there no trace of ant agreement to confer jurisedietion. Fut it was not legally eompetent to the Pislong of Natal to give ou to the Bishop of ('ape Town to aceept er exereise any such ja: indietion. They also pointed ont that the referemee to the an waperfectly pher. as it wasa reference to the hovereign as heat of the Established ('hureh and depositary of the ultimate
pellate juriseliction. Before the Reformation. in a dispute ot this nature between two independent prelates. ant apmeal wonld have lain to the Pope. but all appetlate anthority ot the Popre over members of the Fistablished (hureh was ! ex statute vested in the Crown. Moreover, ly the Aet $: 5$




 -ion of Delegatos for which the dudicial tiollomittere was




 apedialt was reformed to the $t$ 'mamittere.

It will bre ahereved that thin julperment hilar- the denial


 deemed by the lrixy touncil to be olle in whioh the King
 rame of Natal they did not adsert to the fart that the Pagis-
 representative bod!e and it is possible that this point hatd
 that the letters patent exabli-hing a Lagindature of Natal arold wot be revoked. as they eontamed no purner of revora-
 practice and of prime iple that the derision most be reatricted
 this intered is cleatly the hasis of ('rmpluell v. Ifull.' and.


 to change it- 1 'onstitution at all, if this dictum of the Priey
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 by the Naster of the Roll- that he wavernted to hive walat This judgement of the Mastor of the lanlo is of gerat impore
 forms which might low adpted by the (hureh of Fingland in the Coloniss. In the olle rase the membere might remain
 themsedres ligerement hy the rematitation of that 1 'hamela.
 they womld fall to be determimed tox the rivil lomrt- dom

 derlared by the Eagli-h domt- foms time to time. The is bi-hops would be eomeereated be the bi-herpe of the ( hamet


 lagland. But which nevertheless by arement or by . of the ( obtonial Legiskatare wonld have a emopletely separate comstitution. and that ematitution wonkt be a mattor to be
 lring 'ouncil atal ou fat is of inferius vatue.









 (hurch. or a majority of them. Wombt an lhinh tit, ther might.

 "hoet a bishop tor themachor.

 rither wholly win piat. the diseipline and government of the



 a hi-hop for each : they might parcel the listiol ont into
 all this they might do. and all this womld be perferely legal. and ath thi wonld be thinding one the members of the is.ane itia-


 "hich the I fown is prohihited fomm appointing or mominat ing

 but when they did -s the eprestion wonld the trial hy their


 *all italf in minn and full etmemonion with it. By the law

[^122]of the Chureh of England. the sovereign is the head of the 'hureh: and in substance (for the romge deplire is nothing more than a forms mo bishop ran ine biwfolly nominated
 person be legally consereated a bishop of such ('hureh unkes by the command of the Sovereign. If the members of the lims of court were to present one of their preachers to the Arehbishop of ('menterby salying that ther hat clected him Bishop of the lmes of court. and praved that he might bo. romsecrated. although the most reverend prelate might ferd disposed to areede to such praver. I apprehomd that he combl not lawfally to so, and that ipon application a prohibition would issue from the (burt of (Queen's Bench to prevent such a eonsereration. So, in like manner, the members of the ('hureh in Natal might elecet a divine and eall him Bishop of Natal. or invest him with any other title ; but even if the Archhishop of (anterhury conk be induced to eonservato such a person in due form, he would. I apprehend, have mo legal authority to exercise any of those functions which belong exchavely to a bishop of the ('hureh of England. What his pereuliar status in the ('atholie Chureh of 'heist might be, I do not profess to state ; but I apprehend that he would not be a bishop of the Chureh of lengland, and thate when the validity of his ordinations and eonsererations ramue to be eontested in a court of law, they would not appear to have made the persons ordained priests or deacons of the ('hureh of England, now would the places eomserated by him helong to that ('hurch.

He pointed out that the view whieh he took was in acerordance with the legisfation on the subjert in Enghand with regard to the conser ration of mshops in cometries not within the dominions of the (rown, or for sorvice in the ('olonice.
 members of the chureh in South Africa might maker :un agreement for an erolesiastieal tribunal to try eecele iastical matters between themselves. and might agree that the decisions of such a tribumal should be tinal whaterer thein nature or effere. This eivil tribunal would enfore the derisions against all persons who had agreed to be member of surh in association without questioning the propricty of their derision. but surh an association would be distinct fiom. and form no part of. the ('hureh of England. Whether it did or did not call itself in union and full commomion with the

Fhoreh of Emelamd. It would striatle and property be ant Episcopal thurch not of. but in. Komth Vfrical, as it is the lipiseopal chureh in seotland hat not of seotland. He strongly recommended that for the sake of miformity the Chureh of lengland should have thanches in the Cobomies instead of theio being apparate and independent 'hurehes. It was a mistake to think that the Bishop of tape 'Town had
 a matere of faret. the dereision was that he had jumisediction. but he must administer it in arcopdance with the doctrines and diseipline of the $\mathbf{C}$ humeh of Einglind. and in a mannere in areordanere with the principles of justice. and that whethere or not it were so administered was al fuestion that was to be deeided by the eivil 'ounts of the colonies.

He aecordingly held that the Bishop of Natal was attireently a bishop of the chureh of lengland as to be entitled to reereive the emoluments of his office. He idded. howevere, that if the hishop had faiked to rarry out his dhties he might have been refosed his: salares.

Therer was some real incomsiteney between this case and that of in re Th. Lomed Bishop of Vintel. but in mentioming the rase in ax perte denkimes the matter was disposed of. whell in the ease of the Bishop of Newthmedland a fuestion arose with regard to his anthorite in the Bermudas. bey the fact that surh anthority was comehsively anthomized $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ varions
 of eomex ion bet wern the ehane hes weremore preevelyedefined.

The Law Offiers of the ('rown in April istig were asked to advien what stepe could be tatien to try the bishop. assime ing that he was guilty of and reresiastical offence." They mentioned in their opinion that the tommial derision in the Bishop of Satal v. Cirefen had shown that therer had been some misapprehension in the view of the brive (oumeil is to the status of the ('olong: and it might the that the letters




 ot the earlier derisions.
patent granted were really valid, whieh no doult was the ease. The Archbishop of ('interhury had no jurisdiction. in their opiuion, to inguire into the doctrines of the bishop, and the (rown had no power to appoint commissioners, of the Privy Comeil to hear the action, for though the (rown wan supreme over all causes ecelesiastical, it was so in no other sense and to no greater extent than in canses temporal, that is, by law and ly means of the established Courts. The High Commission Court was illegal, and to refer the matter to the l'rivy Council under the Act of 1833 would be to re-establixh the High Commission Court. A seire facias to revoke the letters patent would omly apply to in improvident grant. and very posisilly the letters patent were valid. There was. therefore, no ('ourt which they considered capable of deciding the question of his looding or not hoding lieretieal opinions.

It is clear, however, from the remarks of the Master of the Rolls in the case of the Bishop of Nutel $v$. Ciladstone that the matter could have been settled by the tristces refusing to pay the bishop, on the ground of his heretical opinions, when the matter would have been deeided by the Court of Chancery and, on appeal, by the House of Lorts.

The decision of these cases once and for all made clear the position of Churehes in the Colonies. It is still possible for a bishop to be conseerated by an arehbishop of the English ('hurch with the permission of the ('rown for service in molue place either in or without His Majesty"s dominions. ${ }^{1}$ hut such consecration earries with it no grant of jurisdictiom. The members of the Church of England in the place in question would be assumed to assent to the doctrines of tha. Church of England and questions of eivil right, elepeudiug on questions of doetrine would be decided by the prine phe. of the Chureh of England. Such bishops are from time (1) time consecrated for service in the (rown eobonies and

- Cf. Anson, Lau' und C'ustom of the C'mstimtion. II. ii. シ2T-4.
${ }^{2}$ Nor is any special diocese assigned; this was asked for by the Bishur of Sydney in 1892 , hut Lord Kimberley deelined to change the pratior: ser New Zealand P'url. P'ap., 18:2, A. I a, p. 31. ('f. Hansarl. wei. 3.
 H. C. 259 II, p. 50. The tithe Lord Binhop is now incorvet, Parl filf. f: alkt f : : Right Rex. in :sxal othially.
abroad. They are members of the Chure of England proper, and the ('hureh is a real branch of the Chureh of Eingland.

On the wher hand. there exiet hage mumbers of bishops in the Cobonies who are membere of (hume hes more or leses chosely allied to and in communion with the 'hureh of Eingland in the Coited Kingdem. lont whose Churehes are quite antomomons bodies in no ways anbject to the eontroh of the ' 'hurch of England.' and eivil questions regarding which are deceded not on the basis of the law of the ' 'hureh of Eingland. but ont the biasis of the ementrate or legistative cuactment extablishing the combtitution of the 'hureh in question.
The nember of cases which deal with the variom- Cohnial Churehes is very greate-2 One of the most revent and intteresting is the "ase of $1 /$ nerperen $r$. Frackellom; which wat deededed in the High Court of the Commonwealth of Australia in lowes. In that canse a minister of the Prest beterian Chureh of Quecon-and had been guilts. in the opinion of the Preshetery of Brishame of mestisfactory conduct. The Presbyery recommended to the (ieneral Asembly, which was the supreme Court of the 'hureh in Qucensland, that that bedys should disolve the tie between the plaintiff and his congecgation. The plaintiff and other members of the Prebotery diserented and gave notice of appeal to the (ieneral Asembly. He ahow brought an action againet all the member of the Preaberer. exept himedf, (1) motrain any proceding upon the reolution as being contrary to the rule preseribed bey the constitution of the
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Presbyterian Chureh. 'The (icmeral Ancmblys hearing of this. and on his ahmission that the writ had been issmed. resolved to suspend him. which, under the coms: itution of the ('hureh, involved the disedation of the pastomal tie amb the loss of his emolnments. The plaintiff then bronght an aetion against the (iencral Aswmbly and the Preshytery jointly for a derlaration that the sentence passed was illegal and void, and for a mandamms to restore him to office. It was held in the second action by the supreme court of Queensland, and on appeal by the High Comet of Anstralia. on the comstruetion of the terms of the consemsinal eompare existing between the members of the ('lurels in Gneensland. that the rexpondent had submitted himself to the eontwa of the Presbytery and the (ieneral Assembly only in matter within their jurisdietion under the eompact, and that the Ceneral Assembly hat acted in brach of the eompact in summarily suspencling the phantiff from offiee and thosdepriving him of emohments to which he was entitled. and that therefore the suspension was illegal and void. It was held also by the majority of the Court (Griffith C..J. and Ocomor J.) that the issue of the writ in the first action Wia not a violation of the plaintiff's vow of submission to the. jurisdietion of the Connts of the Clureh. The order of the Supreme ('onnt of Queensland 'had dirceted that the plantiff should be at liberty to apply for sueh rehef by way of mandamms, injunction. or otherwise as he might be advised. and their order was altered by the omission of the word mandamos, as suggesting an order in the natmre of an order for specitic performance of an agrecoment for the extablishment of personal relations betwern parties.

The first action brought by the phantiff to restrain and proceding mpon the resshation was sureeseful before the
 decision was reversed by the fall (onet, and leave to appeal
 (ooper C'.J. tor the phatifi. but that judgement has revered her the fall Supreme (omrt, and it slereision was upleld hy the High Cont on the grennel that upto the issue of the writ theve had beren uo legal wrong to the ibaintift.

Was refored by the High ('onnt on the gromed that up the the issine of the writ in that action low divil right of the platintitf had been infringed.

It was chealy latid down by all the juderes that the l'remerterian ('harelh. like any other religions borly in Anstralia,
 relations and whligations of the members of which were reglated he the terms of an agreement to which they were barties, and whic! had bern adopted partly in lstis, when
 grether as an recelesiastical bedy moder the name of the
 when a selocme for the pencmal management of Chorehaffair was drawn up providiner for the administ ration of the ('harelt on the gemeral principles of the i ienterterian (lane hes in all parts of the world.
 was contended by the appellants that the (omrts set up by the agreements were independent jurlicial institutions of the State, whose proceedinge conld not be ralled in question in the Supreme Court. That view was rejected ont and out by the full ('murt of (Guernsfand. and the High fourt repeated the rondemmation. saying it was for the Conrt and not for the parties to determine the interpretation of the contract. The majority of the Comrt also held that the phantiff could not lose his right to bring a case. It was ahwase in the power of a Comt of Law to interpert and give efferet to a compact when ange eivil right depreded upen its terms. It could not be held that the minister of the Preshyterian Chureh was to be in the pe-ition of members of the Romat ('atholice chureh, and to surrender all his future prosijectand living into the hands of an infallible (eneral Assembly. The ('hief Jnstice thought aloo that the Cimmonos, 1 catse was anthority for holding that the issue of a writ ins such a case Was not a beeach of the ordination vow.
$O^{\prime}$ (emmor J. shated the sime opinion. He admitted, however, that a wohntary association might bind its members

[^124]
## 

by a stipulation that the interpretation of the terms of assectiation shoukd be exelusively in the hands of a judicial body empewered to deride without guestion the limits of its own juriscliction, and that the penalty of questioning the decisions of that tribunal should be expulsion from the asociation or a tempmary loss of its bencfits. but there was no suelo self-survender or abrogation of righte in the eontract in question, and the whole contract abounded in provisionfor secming to members the preservation of rights and a fair triab of accosations. Moreover, the Curdross cance was an authority in favour of the view which he tow s. Nates .J. ${ }^{1}$ also rejerted any miversal cham for exclusive jurisdietion in the ('hursh Courts. He said :

But these tribmals, though emmeniently emough styled - 'onuts'. are not courts in the legal selles. They have no jurisdiction properly on termed. The haw invests them with ine coercive power, with no anthority to issue process, of to dechare, determine. or enforee rights, and tle are strictly. dependent for such we-called juisdiction as they posser. upon the comsent of the parties who are subject io it. In this respeet the Act of 1900 makes no difference. That Act merely gives legal effeet to an agreement for federal union. and bestows no changed character on the tribmals then already existing in the several states beyond subordinating them to the final decision and paramount anthority of the Federal Assembly. All powers exercisable by the association, kegillative, judicial. or administrative, if intended to bind it own members, must spring from their consent, and donot arise from the authority of the general law.

He eoneluded from the decision in Lomg's: case and from the principle laid down there by Lord Kingedown, that if a man made a voluntary submission he combd not complain of the results: of this submission. He thought that thiwas brought out by the authority of the Scottish judges in the Cardross: ${ }^{3}$ ease, but of course subject to the constitntion which he aceepted not containing some provision contrary to law, for such a provision could not be enforced. But that position wais quite distinguishable from a provision

[^125] or determinable bs the epinion of a dexignated orgall of
 There was to primeiple which remelered illegal a provision. not that a person should not apirall lo the (bollts of the land, but that if he did so appeal he should reatre to be a member of the body which he had joined.

Thas the buglish fhureh in the fohmies is a volumtary association and has no corroise pewor. 'The rights of its members depend npon the eomstitntion of the (hureh. which by beroming members ther acerpt. and they will be interpreted areording to the ordinary primeiples of law beve the (bunts of the bominions.'

## 

The position of C'olonial $\cdot$ lergy in England. Which was wey obseme when the legal derisions atablished the distimetion between the Chorels of England. in the trome rellse of the word. and the (bhurehes in the Cohonies which were mot mally parts of the ('lmoreh of England. thomgh it might be

 lays down definitrly on what comditions Colonial elopgymen can officiate in English chorehes and lohd pueforment and as regards them the matter is regulated by stathte alld will mot present substantial difficulties in fillore. It shomblat be moted. howerer, that the anticipations of the Mastere of the Rolls




 N゙!

 1873 (/hansard, cexvi. 484), and then wias inteneded also to settle the position of episeopal property, which it purported to vist in the future ebective Lishops. But in 1874 that wayloft for the lowal legishathres: see Ifomant.

been in the slightest degrere fintilled. He was then of opinion that the firture would sur the development of the Chureh of England by hanches which were wal bateleson the binglish ('lureh geverucel by the primeiples alled rite of the binglish ('hureh. This has not berol the rase. Ifle matmal and heal dexire for antomony in rivil mattors havextended to religion guestions, and theonghont the Bominions the (lharehes which have heren established have made themselves indeperdedent ('hurehers in mion and commmanon with the linglish Chureh. hat in mo somse portions of that (lhorels. They are antomomons commmaties, and their gosermment differs cons-iderahly. from the ('hureh of Enghand propere. Cunforotionably this:
 the Clanreh has stimulated its exertions and prevented it nequiring the mopopularity which would eretanty have beros the fate of bodies eontrolled from lemes. Doreonere it has beren the definite pelier of the Arehbishops of (anterhary to raconrage fall foral antonomy. This is shown by thein attitnde towards thome in Xiatal who desired to maintain the position adopted by Bishop Colenso. and to preserve in Natal a Churelo which shonld be a trite hanch of the English Chureh and not a branch of the English (hureh in Sonth Africa, a Chureh in commmoion and maion with the English "mreds, but mot a branch of the English chored proper. Petition after petition has failed to induce the arehbishopto conser Tate a bishop) of the English (humeh to minister in Natal, with the result that the (horeh monst die ont ios: lack of ordained clergymen to maintain its ministrations.!






 I/oses Nibixi v. C'urateris of Church uf Eingland. ibid sure alow thithe.
 whership of the propretios. hat it maintam-ing frat the diatinetion of the ('lmeher (are :s cant a/).
as follows: Nopersin ordaned pricet or doaron by any hishop other than a bishop of the 'Church of Eugland or the Chureh of Treland. shall olliciate as a priest on deacon in any chater or chapel in buglanel without watern permiosion from the arehtishop of the provinee in which he propesies (1) officiate, and without making a dectaration set out in Hur Act. Nor can such a persom be admitted or instituted
 or act as cirate therein, withont the previons consent in writing of the bishep of the diocerse. The archbishop, however, may issue a hernee to any person who is holding preferment or acting as emate who hat the written eonsent of the bishop of the chioeses, and on reveipt of the liecence the person in question shall be in the sume presition as if he had been ordained by a bishop of a diovere in England. but no such licence can be isated until the persom in ques tion has hedd eecesiastieal preferment of acted ats ratate for a period exceeding in all two years. Aets contrary to this Aet are pemalized, and all appointments, admissions. institutions, wr inductions to preferment and appontments to act as emate contray to the Aet are dechared to be mull and void. The persons whe are ordained under the Art of 18ine are exempted from the provisions of the Ate of 18 at. The Act of 1852. referred to bishops of the bishoprice in India and persoms ordained by them. and werans ordaned by ang hishop who by virtue of hetems patent should have exercised the offiee of bishop in hadia on in ally of Her Dajestres Cohnies or forrign peresesions. By the Act of 1sit. the bishop need not be one appointed by letem patent but he mant be a binhop in communion with Whe ('hurch of Eagland, and the ordination must be sub). fert 1 the same provisions ats to the tithe and wathe of the persmes to be ordained ats if it had been performed by the bihop of the discese. Moreover, the int of 1sing applies only to persins so ordaned at the requet of the bishop of an Finghish diocese, and is theretore of momportance.

Bishops of these independent Charchere con be comservated


## 

any intreference of the ('rown. Which has me direet cont
 linglimd the consent al the lowon is regnivite. and this

 it would still be posither be the legistatise power of the

 not be given rewreive joriadietion even where the 'rown has power to eonfer it

It was under consideration , alter Vibl. When the King was emperwered to make the Lepere Homses in the ('amadiahereditary and to ammex tithe of homome to wats in it. and the Jaw Offeres of the ('rown were asked. Whether the Bishop, of Nowa Seotia conld mot be givell a permallent sat in the Cpper Honse. bint the whole project fell throngh, and the Law Officers evidently thomglat that as far as the bishop was eoncerned the ideal was not legally practicables. It nacel. however, to be the rustom as a matter of comse to give the
 of Nova Scotia and New Bromswirk.: and all Act of Now 13rmswick of 18.5 : which perporterl to deprive him of suld a seat was disallowed as an interforence with the royal prerogative. 'The hishops were all umminated on the nomince commeds which preveded rexponsible fovernment in the Anstralian Colonies and their inthemere and anthority war megnestionahly very great. Bat their pesition was eombpletely changed on the introdertion of repornsihle gewath ment. thomgh for a long time they retained. and to sumb
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 -ricols: colmment in the preso of the Collony.

In ('allardas the ate tion of the lionnan Ciatholice ('humeh hat-


 the fimservatior (fobmoment her the heliof that it was
 hes secoring their control of the religital of the Firmell pate
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 11. $1^{\circ}$. 38.
ment in I s!ef has led to a more satiofinetory rebation betwern
 agatint intorforeme in prolitios. but there arre xigne that





 Was acell in lame when the first lathary fommeil was heht there loy rommand of the Iopre, followed in I! $1 / 1$ fy the
 in the Ilulani Bay territury. fut the Alministrator. Mr.
 soldiers in uniform met him. thomph in Parlanment the
 atere of these arts. 'I'he Lagate himsolf, with genel taste. propered the royal health before that of the L'oper

## S 3. ('ulacil Eivmoments:

In the ('onstitation Acts of the self-governing ${ }^{\prime}$ 'ohonies it Was restomary at first that soms shomed ber reserved form religions proposes, in the salle way as they were resorverl for the rivil Cowrmment. In the rase of North Amerie: the position was altogether perenliar. By the Act of latl
 the ('lureh of Emghand in ('antada, and it was latid down bus S. $3 t i+2$ that the (invermor might be anthorizerl fig HiMajesty to make allotments of lamd within cach prowime for the support allel maintemance of Protestant dergy, t' att whenevor ans grant of land was made in either province there shombl tre a proportional appropriation of lands within the townsip or parish, or Hearly adjacent to the town-hip
 healths, ser (Gween-land Logixhtiet C'ouncil Jomruals, $1 \times 76$, p. 1631.
 is me establishell chureli, but the Chorehes are enduwed) see the retunt












 combur he instrancot mater the lireat mal of the prowime
















 vided ins the that the Bills toregeal the provinome of the




 and half amonge wher frotorant demommations.
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## 144世 THE ('HUHR'M IS THE DOMINIONS FPARTVI

The reservation of these lands was a souree of the greatest possible trombe. Fifty-seven rectories were ere acd in 1836 ly the Licutcmant-(Governor of Upiper Comada, Sir . Nohn Coblorme, and his right of doing so was extah) isheod in a case deeded on Augnst 25. 1852. and reported in full in rols. v and wi of (irant's ('hancery Reports. Difficulties arone in carrying out the provisions: it was contended by other Protestant denominations that it was not proper that the English Church alone shonld profit lye the arrangements, and it was admitted by all the judges when consulted in 1stu that the term ' Protestant ckergy' would at any rate cower the ease of the churell of seothand. which was an establisthed Chureh curally with the ('hureh of Einghand. Finally, in 1853 an Imperial Act was passed to anthorize the Legistafures of the presvinese of camada to make provision contcerning the elergy Reserves in the provinees and the proceco thereof. Under the authority of this statute and of the terms of the Union Aet, Clergy Reserves were seenlarized in 18:5 hy an Act of the Canadian Parliament (18 Vict. e. : - ) The right of the (avernor to endow rectories mider the authority of the Act of 1791 was taken a way ly an Act of the Canadian Partiament in 18.51 ( 14 \& 15 Vict. © 175 ), centitle - An Act respecting Rectorios. This Act expressly keft the legality of existing endownent to be setted by the Cometof haw and their kegality was dechared by the (omer of Chancery in 18:3. Thme ended the diffie ulties of fle quextien as to Chureh reserves in the Dominion."

In the case of the Maritime Provinces there was mo trombl with regard to religions endowments, and though :In A. A pased by New Branswick in 185: to remove the bishep from the Legishative ('ommeil was refused the assent of the ('remen on the gromen that it was an interferenere with the mos.al preeogative of appointing members to the lagishative (omme il.

[^128]the gromind ol the refusal was not any execial desire to ernforee contributions for religionso purpenes.
 religions purposes were inchaded in the (institntion dets of New South Wales of 1 sios. Vietoria of Is.õ. and 'lasmania
 tion of South Australia of 1 siti. Where there hat ahwas been strong oppesition to the Finglish ('lureh: nen in the cane of

 I powided $£ 1.0$ on for pmble worship. lint in all rases it was latid down that the apmonntition for poble worship was mot a matter in which the lmperial (fovermment dexired to insist upon their wwn views. ind it was open for the Parliaments of the (olonies to repeal the amomat reserved in the seluedules. ${ }^{1}$ e to alter them is they thought tit. and the amomet was distributed among the several denominations by the action of the Govermment areording to the prine iples of eonemrent proportionate endawment. In 1stie (No. 1! ) after it struggle with the Upper Hotme the grant wise revoked in New somth Wiales, with a sibing of cesisting rights.

In the case of 'Rasmania a Bill of 1 sis! for this porpose Wats disallowed, but later on, int 1 sis, when the det was re-enateded, it received salletiom.3 Similaty all ICt (No, 3) of 1 stion emed it in Querminand.

In the cance of lietorial the Cper Honse for mathy rears: prevented the repent af the apponmiation for religions arrieres mader the (ionstitution. Init eventually in 187 i (No. $3!n$ ) it also atsernted to the change being made.

In the catse of New Zabland no appropriation far rehgion
 priation made in Newfomdlame.

From the retnris rendered in land in rexponare to inn

[^129]address from the Honse of ('ommons.' it appeare that theres are no grants in respert of religions services in the ('olons of Sewfoundand. nor is any money paid ont of publir fund, for the maintenamer of surfh services. or for the butheng or repair of platers of worship.

In the Commonwalth of Anstralia a fow small paymentare still made in Nrow Sontl Wales to remgomen moler Nehednle (' of the ('onstitution, but fiather grants were abolished in 18:0.?.
 other than payments to visitime complaine to hopitals for the inseme. and visiting chaphatisto the varions prisons.

There is no expenditure on religions arovers in Queen-land or in Fonth Anstralia. 'The only expenditure is incorred in providing relgious semveres in the Amelater jail and the habour prisoms at Yiatala

In Western Australia thr only pmosion is for arrioces in the hatate asylum and in jail.

In Tasmania, the lat Cobonial chaplain died on . April :1900. from which date eeared the contributions made to the ('hureh of Pome. the We-leyan Church, athd the Fiere ( 'hureh of seotland. After Berember :3. How: the payment-
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his suceresor shombl only reeciore salary till the expiration of the sald five rears. But a minister in reecent of a malary at the taking effere of the Ale. Who at any time resigned his pert in order to acerpt al labaley where the previon- inemmbent was aloo in reereipt of such qulary. Was to reecive until death or resignation the same salary fromphlile funds as his
 Chureh of Enghamland fonir member: of the bitch Reformed 'hurch were still recerving allowates in acororlance with that Alet. Nothing was paid in Nillal.

 Heformal 'hareh, the ('hmeh of Emelamel. the Rebermert
 batherams 'hareh. the Roman ('athentie 'hareh. the Hehmex fongregation. and the Baptint ( hareh.

In the Tran-valal the rexpenditure ont religiou- acoriere has bern in comnesion with hexpitaid lmatio and heme ayhme
 of the watr.

In C'anada payments were made unty in re-peret of primon and aschum arvices by the Dominion (ionemment. and by. the Provincial (Ewsemments of Ontario. New Brman ioh Manitobal and British (ohmbia. But it must beremembered that the (batholie ('hureh in Quebere till conjes: all ther privileges conferved on it her the Gerebere dot of 17:4.' and that an ultramontane Legislature in Isss- made good to the Jenit- the property of which they were eherived in itras
 the Dominion ( bvermment no dond bightly declined to interfore with a very marked exereise of provindial atomon!

It may be adeled that in Niow Zacaland education is inow
 and Tomannia there is no demominational trachmy. Sut


[^130]contry ill fixed times fatably demminationabl tenets fa those deximes such trachinge. In Sullh Anstralias and Vietoria religion is mow rexeloded: an attempt was made in Isori in Sunth . Instralia tor sermer its reintrochetion by a reforendhm. Hut the result was in lasour of no dhange.
 failed. and an attempt ley the L'pur Hanse to serore a referenhme her amending in $1!010$ ann Edacation Bill failed "wing to the oblid resistance of the Lawor Honser. but it was dhmitted that the Ministry was divided ont the matter. In (bucemeland aftor a referendam in 1911. religions cdacation was rexomed hy . Iot No. is of 1981 . In Newfoundland ehacation is purely demominational finsormment grant-

 prevail- in Manituha, amb aka moler the fonstitution Alot-
 agood deal of latitude is now allowed." In sumth Africal the publie ahow are malemominational. ${ }^{1}$













# P.AR'T VII. IMPIERII, INITY INI IMPERISI. (O OPRESTION 

CHAPTFR I<br>THE CNTTY OF THE FMIMRE:




 thath of mity: it is. as Wre haterere a lome reorel of the giving op of raime to comtrol. and the leavine to the Ihntinioms the pewor todua- they will in therir own atfatirs. If it has mot fet reanlted in the gramt of a tathe as - international
 But this view woukl ine partial amd miveradinge and the other side of the guestion heromes wheme when it is remembered that tha perphe and the (rown are ultimately one people and one ('rown.

It is of eomme tree that there is a certain tendeney to adopt the theory that them is a -pereial -pereine of nationality in calell Dominion: that a man is a Camadian, an Sustralian. a Niow



 in l'anada, and who fulti- certain comelition- latid dewn in
 return thither whaterer hippern-: heremme bexdered
 are fatal for an immigrant - chance of pat-ith- the tr-t - on emtrance:- There in morecogition of the illat of an latrat-



 1:59:3
lian nationality by the High Court of Australin. ${ }^{1}$ but it does recognize that a person has a lome of his own, and that suld a persom if he returns to that home is not an immigrant whose entry ean be regulated by the Commonwealth :under it: general power to regulate immigration. There in :akn some recognition of it in the New Zealand immigration law which. as a rule, though not ahways allows a domiciked New Zeat lander to return to the comentry after abesener, and the immigration bill of the Cuion of 1911 atson recognizes this principhe

There are, of comise, other manifestations of the doctrime : it was at one time held in the C'madian ('ourts ${ }^{2}$ that Canadil could punish bigamy committed mutside Comada by a Britioh subject resident there, and Lefroy ${ }^{3}$ makes ont that this iconsistent with the decision of the Privy Coumeil in Machend v. Attorney-General for Neir South W'ales. ${ }^{4}$ by holding that the invalidity of the comviction in he latter case was due to the fact that the Aet was hedd to be int too wide terms an applying to any persons and mot merely to British subjectdomiciled in New Sonth Walde. This interpretation of the statute, besides being very far from being supported by the language of the court. is open to the fatal objection that if this were the view taken by the court they would have dealt with the question of domicile in the cave of Macteod which was discussed in the 'ourt below. But in New Zeabind the tendency clearly is for the sumpreme Court to hold that a New Zalander is subjeet over all the world to the jurisdietion of New Zealand, and that thus New Zealand has a sperial and peculiar mationality of itx nwn adherent to it. ${ }^{5}$

[^131]On the other hamb. the difterntties of thi- docthime are vers. great intemationally : there can be but ohe nationally i* long as the Empire remains united, amd on what ( Herion conld separate mationalitios be deviral within the Eimpire:

 tutes him a New Zadander: Thero atm virions reterian posible: it might bebirth, or reselenere or chaniote: it is



 domicike were adopted is the lime of divisions a matt might be often ehanging his mationalit!. It is indead chear that allegiance to one (rown is the eommon bemblat and that as nationalitios there is no future for the ronereptome of
 parts of the Simpire.

It is akes elear that at the bate of all the diversity of the frown. which amables tes to distingmish betwern the (rown in its various manifestations-so that the irown in fomth Australia and the (rown in Viotoriat cian congeng in a dixput. before the (ourts al: to the boundaries of the states in fulestion, and the (rown in the states cam be faxed in resperet of its property by the (rown under the (ommomwailth Parliament ${ }^{1}$-there is a very real semse in which the (rown remains a singie personality. In fureign aftain this mity is perfect ; no foreign Power dreame of approaching it Dominion Govermment to Wembath redress or to ask tor reference to arbitration. It is of courer always opron for

 to immigration matters. Whieh were dealt whth in pat direet




 A. ( C 泬7.
betwern the Commonweahh (iwverment and the Japanese ('onsul-fieneral in Sustralia, but, where the matter beromes in any sense of the wool a question of intermational right. the foreign Power has recourse to the lmperial (iowerment.

 the formal reguest for redrese was made mot diexet to the Dominion, but to the Imperial (iovermment. So in I!n. and the following geas, when the E(overmment of Nowfondland interfered with rights chamed hy the lonited states. the (iovermment of that cometry addersed its representation-
 imkefintely. Nor is there any rhanee of this pratetiee being
 of an intermational soveremon state is that there shomld be a mity which is sovereigt, and if the Dominions do mot intend to become independent powers they munt neerpt this unity as essential. Of course it would be absurd to emagine that the mity will always mamtan the present shape ; if the Dominions eommene to do more than beat the burden of their own defence, if they begin to bear patat of the burden of the Empire as a whole, then they will desion to recoive and will have aceorded to them a shate in the direction of the eommon international potiey. In that way. lies the future of the Fimpire as an empire : ante other way means the development of meparate states, allied no donht. but get mot mited and not one.

Moreorere there are erery mow and then rases which remind as that the artificial distinctions of the (rown in it
 are artiliedal and are the to the breakit er at of the resal
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 sonth Wiales. Nom rant it be lade that this julteronent is


 salid that they will mevor bre allowed : hat in ally rabe the position is simply that a mediton shomlat ale the 1 'rown in
 ame which is allowemale for it. It is unt at all likely that. the (iown combl reotover agains a defondant who hat
 a plamtilf ean reoorer when the deht has beeri paid by the ('rown in some other capacity. and it fors ont seron that the fact of the clam being a millanto onte conld be hedel to make any ditferoncer:
 was extremels prominent and wian repealdaly debitteda

 1.514 .3 3.5.





 thatt thian is the difforenthe

'There whe a vague but widespread ferling that some form of closer mity wa mo-1 desirable imbed, almost essential, but, 1 In the other hand. here was considerable opposition (1) the proponal, "aperially an |hatrala and the Conferene of 1ssi was invited subjent experaly to the exdluxion demamded by New Somblates from ita consideration of the yuestion of luperal federateon. Though the Imperial
 Heneral proposition- were mader comsideration it was fomed hopedess for the membets to agrere upon aly sheme of a draft federal comstuntions and in the proult the leaghe was dissolved, as it wa- fomul impraticable to adopt any positive polies, and it was beroming, lear that a mere attitude of "pproval of the ahstrace prineiple of federation was open to serions eomment and expered the hodere of the deetrine to ridicule. As an alternative to federation Nir Julius Vengell suggested that there should be given th the (obomies a simatl reprexentation in the Imperial Parlianent, the members to be clected bey the Dominions and not to be thaselmerely by the Dominion Covermanents, but this saggeationd did not prowe areppable. Now cain it be satid that the idea of federations has made amy substantial adrance. or that it has beeome ally more peopular. In the came of (imata, the Fedelat (iovernment dow not appear to be anxion- to abmadon itposition, nor is it likely that the Commonwath Covent ment would consent to survendering ans of it- powers. Now is the Cuion of south Arica, as fare as it apeate mom anxions to give up a portion of tre antomomys. white on-igh existe that the Imperial Parlament is willing to ancep fecteration.

The quention then ariese as to what the relations matar ber betweroll the differat part- of the Empire a- home parto grow in strength relatively to the Iother Comury. Mr. Binall






 -tress on what appears to him the inevitable developmont of C'anada as a kingdom molted to Cireat Britain meroly hy the tie of a common Soveroign and by enclial exalwill. He insists that Camada is alrame (motithel that powition. mad lie protests against the matintemance, even in theory, of
 of the larliament of the linited Kingenn. of the rematon in the hands of the Imperial fomamomet of the perner of concloding evon political and ratrulition treations. and of the
 Imperial P'arliament. Ho justly mongiza- that the pewers
 he insists on the fict that for all pratetical parpores, though tedhically ( 'antatit is at wall with ally punco with which the

 War, tum that if ('imblat chow-: 10 remath mentral no poser
 with this view the properat mate in the first ment of the Royal Commiswion on Forderal linion in Viatoriat in Isio, Which propored that the right of treatr-mahines slomble the gisen to the Sustralian (oblonices, and that the Imperial (is)





 no doult aning to it-vidinty to the linterl Nitites and the protection of the Mon one doctrine.

- Inother consequence lobild lollon fom the recogntion 0t the equalit! in atl rexpert - of the bomintone with the Mother ('ountry: ant one for which perhath (lir Dominions

[^133]
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are not yet prepared. In Canada the question has not arisen of recent years as far as concerns the bominion (iovemanent, ${ }^{1}$ for the Dominion has beron ruled by two strong parties, but the question hats presented itself no less than thrice in the Commonwealth of dustralia. The constitutional practice in the Coniterl Kingdom is undoubtedly that ministers whall recerive a diswoluta? of 1 arliament whenever they ask for it. but no sweh practiee prevails in the Dominoms. If the Dominions were to be regarded as liangdoms and their Governors were to be regarderl as Viererg-e ehosen where possible from the roval family, and reigning as constitutiona! monarehs-this distinction lutween the L nited Kingdon and the Dominions would eertainly disippear, and there is no proof that it is pet desimble that the distinction should disappear or that it is desierel that it should. In this connexion it is interesting to note that at the lmperial Conference of 19112 the New Zealand (iovermment proposed that the High ('ommissioners should be given a new status, should be authorized to commmineate directly with the Foreign Oftiee, given salts on the committee of Imperial Defence, and made the only channel of eommunieation between the Home and the Dominion fovernments, This proposal evidently implied that the Governors-(eneral and Governors should not be used ats at present, as a medium both of information to the secretary of state and the Imperial Government. and for enforeing by their personal interposition in the form of explanation and diseussion the views of the Imperial Government. Such a position of the High Commissioners would be appropriate if the Governor is to be regarded as a Vierroy and a constitutional monareh, but it would not be consistent with the position at present accorded to the (iovemor.

[^134]The ditficulties of the pesition are illustrated aloo hy the growing elesire of the Dominion-to be consulted in matter affecting war and peace. Thas eomplaint was made ber the Commonwalth Government ${ }^{2}$ that the ( dovernment of the Dominion had wot been consulted with regard to the comelasion of the Decdatition of London rexperting naval warfare in l!oos, and the ratitication of the arrangement wats in aceordance with their desire, held over momil after dinernsion at the Conferenee of 1!all. There is. therefore evidence that eloner commmication and consultation will be cesential in future.

In the sambe direction of eomrse the exents of 1 wa! lane point very markedle. Prior to the boer Wiar expremions of opinion were given by certain of the (olonial (iovernments in favour of concessions be the Dutels Repmblies. and during the war spontaneous assistance was granted he ('matha, Australia, and New Zaband, and of eourse hy the 'olonice in Gouth Afriea. who. howerer. were compelled to do so in ant ease in self-defence. ${ }^{2}$ hut the growing right of the Dominions to express opinions on hmperial ghestions wat seen in the views to which they gave utterance as to the settlement after the war, and in particular to the objeetions of Australia. New Zealand. and the ('apee to the adoption of the srstem of ('hinese labour in the Tramsiatas From that objection Camada held aloof on the ground that it was an interference with the atfiairs of a ('olons. But, on the othere hand. ('anada hersolf hats on several oceasions brged upon the Imperial (fovernment the propricty of granting Honne Rule to Ireland ${ }^{4}$ and there is a significant differenee between the tones of the reply sent by Mr. (iladstone instructions in

[^135]1882, ${ }^{1}$ in which the Imperial Government ansert that in matters affecting the C'nited Kingrom Her Majesty must be advised hy her ministers in that kinglom, and the reply sent by the Government of 1903 , though it did repeat the opinion of $188:$, and a similar resolution from . Da: tralia in I!oof met with no criticism.

For the present at least it seems that consultation must be the mode in which the new relation of the Dominions and the Cnited Kingdom is to be expressed, and the Imperial C'onferenee with the subsidiary eonferences offers the obvious mode of carrying out such consultations. It is much more doubtful whether any sytem of a permanent ('ouncil of artiee such as that proposed by the Govermment of New Zealand at the Conference of 1911 is practicable, for there is the almost insupcrable difficulty that a minister in a Dominion can only keep himself in touch with the eurrent of opinion in the Dominion ly residence there. and that a minister in London must be more or lese completely out of hamony with the Government.: Morcower, in the bominions the supremacy of Parliament over the (iovermment is much more marked than in the ease of the United Kingdom, where many factors concur in giving the Government a strong control over the members of Parliament. ${ }^{3}$
 idea of the High Commissioners as a political council (J.bb, Imperial Conference, it. 109-9). Nir ( ${ }^{\text {. Tupper's catse is isolated ; and technically even }}$ he was only a servant of the liovernor in Comeil ( $R_{1}$, N/ St... 1886, c. 16). though treated as a quasi-member of the ( ahinet.
${ }^{3}$ Lowell in hiv Giovernment uf Emblume righty rmphasizne this fart and salaries io members will strengthen the position. But it applies in a mueh less degree to "olonies. Ther Labour (insernment in the Commonwealth is stron . I! 11 , hut its policy is settled in caucus. sir Wilfrid Laturier was strong, but deferred to Parlament far mone than an English l'rime Hinister.
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 moned be di-pateh adhesed to the Govemors of (oblonit mader responsible govermment by Mr. Sitanhope. the Seemetary of State for the Colonies. On Nowember 25, Isste. In that di-pateh he quoted the remarks in the ()ueens yevech on the prorogation of larliament which referede to Her (oolonial and Indian prosecesion in the following term- -

1 have ob-erved with muth satisfaction the interes whieh, in ant increasing degree. is evineol by the people of this countre in the welfare of their colonial and hadian fellow -ubjects ; and 1 am led to the eonviction that there is on all sides a growing desire to dran eloser in every pratetable way the bonds which mite the varions prortions of the Empire. I have authorized commmieations to be entered into with the prineipal colonial (iovermments with a view to the fuller eonsideration of matters of eommon interest.

He added that Her Majenty - Goremment had conemored that the Queen should be advised to summon a Cohnial (onference in 1858 to diecu-s outstanding questions. He suggested that the most urgent question. and one brought to the front by the patriotic action of the colonios in offering contingents of troops to take part in the Depptian campaign, was that of the organization of mihtary defenee in the Empire; and secondly, the promotion of commereial and social relations by the development of potal and telegraphic commmication Was. he thought, atho of impertance. But the dispatch deprecated the discus-ion of any of the subjects falling within the range of political federation. The ('onference was to be purely consultative, and it was not material that the Colonies should have equal or proportionate repre-

[^136]ardtation. hott that it rather -hon' 1 incluste at addlition to
 of eath (iovermment, ally leating public man who was in

 considered deximble to arrange for the prexmere of repere


 mereting was ateremonial one. When the Prime Minivere athed aereat other ministors and ex-minioters. Mombers of larliatment. and others, were present and wemeral -pereher were
 hope, sia II. Holland, and representatises of the rolonices. shbsequentls: when question- percially roncerning prattientar department: were comsidered. members of the lionemsment within whore department the question fell asoisted in the disernsions. Arangements were made with the representatives of the fape for the armament of Table Bay and for the fortitication of Simons: Bay. the latter at the contire cost of the Imperial (iovermment. It was not found possible to make any definite arrangement- for the defence of king George's Somal and Torres Ntatits. but it Wite agreed to increase the Instratasian sfuadron. The agreement was for ten vears in the first instance, and under ite teme five fast eruisers and two torpedo gmblats were to be adeded to the sfutadron mater the eommathel of the admiatal, such resede to he retatined for serviere within the linits of the Anstralasian station. the Colonies agrecing to pay for main-
 ciation and other incidental charges it further sum not exceeding $83 \pi, 0$ ofr a vear.
A seheme for homerial pemy pontage wat thought to be
 unwilling to conter the Jostal lownwithont securing atergate representation. ${ }^{1}$ The question of an alternative telegraphie
















 that propered in the Vother (ountry with regatel to mer-
 upon the - lyar trate of the (blonies. In regamel to this tast gheation. the represtatation generally urged that it

 shomble pare mo effort tw hring about the abolition of that unsoumal system.
 Mr. Hofmery a ('ipe representative that commerer within
 equal rate on all import- contering the Empire from foredy
 aphied to the Nevence of the Fimpire.

It was aloo wred that permi-aton - honld be wivent to the

 in the calar of ciantulat.?
 Colonial judgement - imb of orders in bankimpter and winting



 mothod of giving eflere tol'olonial wille. There were alon




 alowr.

It Wis alsor comsiderent whether the tithe of the ('rown should not be chamged so as to indude at relipernere to the (Bolonies. but onf reforenere the the (ohnial finsornmente it Was aseretained that there wil- motrong dosire fore this:
 marriage of at hereared wife sister. bat moremble was atrived
 pasing of the limperial . Wet.

The mext ('olonial (omferener-that of Ottawa in txatarose in the man out of proposals mate in last as to the latying of a mble to commert . Dustralawia and rianalla, and Was hardly a full folonial Conferemere. The imvitation to the
 of the Comoni
of Domit Wales. Tinsn

There were present represeltatives adia. the Govermment: of New Sonth Now Zaaland. ill Instrillia, Vietorial. Querondand.
 of the ('ape grave much se formment. The representation cable had not eperiall gratifecation, as the eprestion of the passed inchuted the following th the ('ipe. The resohtions made by Imperial legislationg : 'That proviaion should be the Eupire to enter into agresterg the depencleneies of city, inchuding power of arerments of commercial reciproCireat Britain or with onding differential tarifs. with , see the cenlenial with one another.' Secondlly. "That any



${ }^{3}$ It was altered in 1901; see Cd. Tos: I Edw. VII. г. 1.5.
provivions in ratang troation browern direat litatan and ally forcign lower which prevent the adfereve: dencies of the Empire form elltering into agremont- of






 Which they were mot promittorl 1 or coter into diflerential tariff agreement- cexept. umker the Iel at läis.' with the mboining Justratian Colonies. 'The treatios of which it was
 and with the (icermath Zollserein of latia. Which procholed
 C゚nited Kimgdom.

 and for the formation of a Panitio calle to cembere fianala
 on one of the If:awaiam Islands:*

The Iamperial Gevermment rephed to the reeommendations of this Conference in di-patches of Jome :2s. Is! $5,{ }^{3}$ which expressed the final decision which has beem arrivel at with regard to the various points of importance diseltesed at the ('onferepere 'The first of the dippatches from Lard Ripon explained at length the reanolls why Her Majosty"s (fovernment could not molertake the inrangements for a preferential tariff. On the other hand. it was recognized that the agreement for reeiprocal trettment between two Colonies stood on a different footing amd might be aceepted. Int nevertheless. as such arrangemont-might injurionsly affert the






 reedere earefil emanderaton hefore they eonlal be approved.





 not give a diserelion to an lixerentive dioveroment as the the applieation of the preference, but shomble comtan int italf the terme which it was propered to grant.

On the other hamel, the himperial (ineromment ware not bet

 granting preferential treatment to the ciolonies nor intercolonial preference: they onle prevented a preference being granted by the (olotaics to the Imperial (bovernment, and the Imperial (iovermment did mot thenti the alsantage of the preferenere wonld outweigit the lowe to the trade of the two
 might be negotiated it womld be dillienle to arempe satiafare tory terms.

The reeond dixateh dealt with the question of commereial
 that all suld negotiations must be condncted throngh Her Majentys representative: that any agredment mast reereve before signature the eonsent of Her Mijestys (iovernment. and that acery concession gatat to a foreign Power must be automatically extended to .... C linted kingelom and to all other British posesesions. and that no concersion shonld be mate to or asked from a foreign Power which would injurionsty affer British intere-ts.

## 

The next Colonial comferencer was helle in 18.17 on the invitation of the laperial (:owermment, a il took place in connexion with the celdebration of the sixtieth amberestry of Her Majesty shemesir at. Ill the welf-governing Ciblines

## 







 resolntionta ont trallo Werr it lalloll-



 Colonilu-s.




 C'inted Kingrlem.:


 with elfeet lronn Jn!: 30, Is!!s. ${ }^{3}$







 matters of common interest. Mr. Sinlelon for lamel.




- Cantala granted a


 Cimata, (ill lisl.
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 the dirertion of haperial poliey womblinvole a propertionate eontribution in aid of lonprial expentiture. For which at


 Xewfomellable and Xital. the Promiers dechared that they were not propared to abamdon their former attitude with
 They aloo. with the exepptint of the Premier of Xentomadland. - inted that they did not wi-h the tolunies they repres rented to berome partios for the ronsention in regard to prade with 'Tunis then being negotiated with Franee.

On the (fuestion of the legi-lat re mearnme which had heen pancel hy varion- Colotion lon the exclosion of coloured immigramt-a fill exchange of views towk phater, and though Ho definite abrerment was reathed at the mecting. at the Premiers desired to consult their colleagues and Pintianen"
 ment hall evole experetation that the matheal desere of the Colonice to proteet themacture againat an werwhelming
 "pon anty of Her Majoutys -uhjerets on the sole gronnd of riace alme coloner.

With regited to prestal commmanations within the Empire. it appeared that in the preacht thancial ciremmstances of






 promed bu Live eflert to it.!
'Ihe dix-









 procerling further in the mitter.

 preatht, 10 the following elliat :-









 lia ont the stme priaciples a- were followed in the fefore of



 that year.



## BL 2

l'owers. The only freedom which the Demiralt! desired was frecedom mo as bert to proted Dustraliat not as beat to proteet other parte of the Empire. The conforence after heating Mr. (iosehen. Held that the statement of the Finst Lored of the Admiralty with reference to the . In-t ratian syuadron was
 the eontimantere of the . Instratian squadron under the terme of the existing andernemt. IIt the Iustralian Premiers exeept Mr. Kimgaton supported the reoshtions. alled he deelined to vote perding lurther eonsideration of a sermeme whels he put before the conference for the extablishment of a branch of the Roval Niabill Reserve in Austratial. The lromier of the Cape amommed that in provaitace of the resolution pasacel be the Lexinhature of that ('olong in farour of a contribution toward, the haty, he wats prepared to other. on behalf of the (olons: an unconditional contribution of the
 ammal contribution of E30.010w, to which Nital added £12.0100 ic Vear.

Various mimor matters were diecrased, and the Secretary of the Colonial Defence ('ommittec pointed ont to the varions Colonial Premiors the steps which were required. in the opinion of the (ommitter, to complete preparations for anse emergency. The Premiers undertook to consider the viens expresed by the Committee, and it wan also angeed to consider the sugge stion made for an ocatsional interchange of military units between the Mother country and the Colonics.
S. The: Colosidu. Conference: of l!oz

The next Colonial Conference was held in lane on the
 eated in the imitation to the delegates-in this ease the Prme Dinisters- were the political and commereial relations of the Empire aml its mave and military helence, but the Colonies were anked to make suggestions of other subjects. As in the case of 1897 the proceedings were treated as conli-

"(anadian and Australian ministers also allended on their arectal upics unly.
dential, and there was laid before Parliament only the pererh make by Vr. ('hamberlain at the operning of the (onformere.
 tions at which the conformere artivel. The mont impertath of theresohtions were as follows: With resamel to phlitioal relations it was resoleal-

That it womld be to the alvilltime of the Empire if

 afferting the delations of the Mother "ombtry athl Ilis



 for sth Conforences after commmeration with the lrime Vinisters of the reperetive (olonies. In abo of ally rimergenerarisug mpon which a -perial (onforence may hate bern
 not sonere that ihere rear thereatior.
 the confidential negothation of treatios with forelon Power.
 order that they misht be in a betler postion to give their adherion to surb treatics.

With regard to maval defence. it was agreed by. Instralia
 the improwement of the squadron allel the cetablishment of a branch of the Roval Nitall Reserve. The eon' thmion of




 at Wrill ship lowards the maintematere of a batmeh of the

 Ereater fatcilities in the gramto of eommio-ion- in the army
 anourdingly done.

The C'onferener also asserted the prineiple of preferential trade. They reeognized that free trade between the Mother Country and the British Dominions beyond the seas was not practicable at the present moment. lant that the (oolonies shoukl grant preferenee to the Mother comotry, and that His Majenty: (iowermment shomld be urged to comsider the expediency of granting preferential treatment to the prowhets and the mannfactures of the Folomies. ('mada moldetook Io contimue its preforenee of 333 per cent. and to increate it : New Zealand promised a preferenee of 10 per cent.: the ('ibe and Natal a general preforener of an per rent., and Australia an modefined gemeral preferenere. ${ }^{1}$

On the same principle it was agreed that it was desirable that in (iovernment contracts, whether colomial or lmperial. the products of the Eimpire shomild be prefereed to thowe of foreign countrics.

The attention of the (iovermments was to be ealled to the navigation haws of the Empire and in other cometries. and to the advixability of refusing the privileges of eoastwise trade. including in that term trade between the l bited Kinglom and the colonies and between one ('olony and another, to eomentries in which the eorresponding trade was confined to mational vesisclis, and it was ako reeommended that it should be considered whether any other stepsi shonld be taken to promote Imperial trade in British ressels.

The other reemmendations were of a minor character. in favome of the adoption of the metric system of wefights mat measures, the mutual protection of patents, the right of purchasing cables, and the exiablishment of eherap postage on new-papers and preriodieak.?

[^137]
In a dispateh of 1 pril $20.190{ }^{-1}{ }^{1}$ Mr. Lạteltom made rertain propeats to the fovernors of the self-goveminer ('olonies. Ho -mmmarizel in that dispateh the hivory of previons Imperial fonferences and suggented that it wonk be dreirable to diseard the tithe of Cobonial ('onfereneres and to spak of the meetings as meetings of the Impreral (ommeil .

It was suggested that His Majesty ( \&overmment hould be represented at theos meetings by the Seeretiry of State for the colonies. India. whenever her interests required it, would also be representerl. The other members of the comed wonld be the l'rime Ministers of the Colonies represented at the conference of 190. or. if any Prime Ninister should be umable to attend. representatives appointed for that purpose by their fiovernments. These presons would eonstitute the permanent body of the Imperial founcil. but. as in 1902. their consultations conld be assisted when necessary for special purposes by other ministers ! belonging either to the Imperial or to the Colonial Goveruments. They did not desire to give the Council by any instrument a more formal character, to dei...e its constitution more closely, or to attempt to delimit it fumetions. History showed that sueh an institution might be wisely left to develop in aceorbanee with the eiremmstances. and is it were of its own aecord. and it was well not to sacerifiece clastionty of power of adaptation to prematme definiteness of form.

It was also suggested that matters shombld be prepared in advanee for the meeting of the ( onference by a borly on whieh all the Prime Ministers of the Colonies shonld be represented.

In questions of defence this work was already done by the Imperial Defence (ommittere, on which aloo IVis Majentys Government desired to obtain from time to time the prenence of colonial representatives. and it was proposed to catithlish a similar body to deal with matterv of a civil chataterer. Such a body wonld also be useful, as the lmperial ('umed eotuld refer questions to it for suberguent ramimation and report.

[^138]At preent the rewhtions of the (onferenere were left to


 ing mattor- refored to it be the (omberaner. Dhreorer. such a perminnent hoty wombl avoid the neerosity of having ( onfereneer oll hore, which took a lome time to bring turether. It was, therefore. shgeresed for comsideration that llis Majesty should be adviaed to appoint a commission of a more permanent kind to disehanere. in resperet to mattere of joint concern, the same fimetions as both in the Linted Kingedom and the colonies were wont to be diseharged bey ropal commiswons or depatmental committees. The commision womid only act nown reformed made either bey the Imperial Commeil at its meatinge or at any time by Hix Majestys dovernment together with one or more of the (obomial dovermment-. It- function- womld be of a purely "omsultative and adrinory chatacter. and womld not supersede but applement those of the colonial otice. The (ommissom might be comstitnted at firs for a term of vears, and then, it it were fomed to be useful and -meresoful, it could be renewed. The (ommission wonld, it was proposed. eonsist of a permanent meleus of members nominated, in a eettan proportion, by His Jajestys (dovermment and the ('olonial Governments, but there should be power to the Commission to obtain the appointment of additional members. When necessary, for the purpose of making epectial incuiries. The persons appointed be the sereal Govermments to be permanent members of the ('ommision would. no doubt. be men of busineso or of oflicial experience and their remuneration would rent with the (iovermment- wheh they respectively represented.
The fommision should have an office in London, as the most comenient centre. and an adequate secretarial stafti. the eost of which His Majestys (iovermment wonlal be willing to deftay. It would probably be comvenient that the Secretare of the (ommision should also act is seeretary to the Imperial (oumeil when it niet. He would be responsible
for keeping all rerords both of the ('onneil and the (ommin. sion.

The propocals made both as to title able the permanent ('ommiseion were weloomed bye the fowornments of the
 curred both in the propoorel formation of an hapetial fommeil
 they eomsidered that the (iowermment of . Iu-traliat shonld tee allowed two represintatives at leats, one of whom shonld be the High fommiswoner when appoimed. or his anbetitute.

The Government of Sewfomblland were not convineed that the time had pet eome to carry ont the proponate suggested in Mr. Lettelton: di-patel. They were inelined to think that such an lmperial (ouncil would neresontily acpuire or possess a certain decgree of exerontio athilority and Newfoundland was not in a position to take any positive stepse either to contribute towarls the cost of the defence of the Empire as a whole, or to grive a preferenee in rommereial matters, a reference to the Hay-Bond (onvemtion of $19 n \geq$.

The (iovermment of New Zealand were not able to reple. and the (iovernment of ('anada, in a rasoned minute of November 13, 1905. Were somewhat adrepe to the seheme.

The remarks of the ('analian fovernment were as follows ${ }^{1}$ :-

The Committee at the outset are disposed to consider that any change in the title or status of the colonial Conforence should rather originate with, and emanate from, that body. itself. At the same time. being fully alive to the desire of His Majestys Government to draw rloner the ties miting ti:e Colonies with each other and with the Motherland, they are prepared t, $\therefore$ eve the proposals refared to their respereftil considerations. having tome so, bey fave to offer fla following obse fons:--

Four excelleney advisers are entirely at one with His Majestrs (ionermment in bele wing that politieal institutions - may often be wisely loft to develop in abeodance with diremmefances and. as it were of their own aneoord . and it is for this reasom that they entertain with vome dombthe proposal to ehange the mame of the ( denial Conference to
that of the Imperial ('onncil. which they apprehend wombl he interpereded as marking a step distinctly in athanere of the position hitherto attained in the diselnsion of the relations hetween the Sother Comitry and the folonies. Is the
 or less unconventional gathering for informal disenssion of pmblic questions, eontinucd, it may be, from time to time. as circmastances extemal to itself hity remder expedient. Int possessing no facolty or power of binding action. The assembly of 'olonial minister's whieh met in 1887, 18:17. and 136. appear to the committer to fulfil these conditions. The term conncil, on the other hand, indicates, in the view of your Exeellency ministers, a more formal assemblage, possessing an advisory and dehberative charateter. and in ronjunction with the word 'Imperial' suggesting a permanent insitution which. endowed with a continuous life. might eventally conte to be regarded as an eneroachment nom the fill measure of antonomons legislative and administrative power now conjoyed by all the self-governing Colonies.

The fommittee, while not wishing to be moderstood as advocating any such change at the present time, incline to the opinion that the title 'Irperial Confrevence' might be less open to the ohjections hey have inclicated than the tesignation proposed by His Majestys (fowermment.

As regards the second suggestion of His . Majesty's (iovernment, the Committer are sensible that such a Commission would greatly facilitate the work of the ('onference, and at the same time enhance the dignity and importance of that assembly. They cannot. however, wholly divest themselves of the idea that such a Commission might concerivably interfere with the working of responsible government. Wihile for this reason the Committee would not at present be prepared to adopt the proposal for the appointment of a permanent Commission, ther feel that such a proposal emanating from His Majesty s. © ernment shoth be very fully inquired into, and the ('madian representatives at the next ionference. whenever it may be hedd, wouk be ready to join the representatives of the sister ('olonies in giving the whole matter their most earefnl consideration.

The Secretary of State in view of this minute decided to let matters stand over for discusion at the nest Conferemee. which was fixed for 1007 . as it was fomm imposible conveniently to arrange an earlier date. The Govermment of

Which Dre Lextelton Was a member foll in l!mis, and laml



Before llace Colonial ronformer of lami was hella. the
 in which that (Eovemment should be bepreatoled at the ('onferencer. It was repreented that it wombl be eonsernient if not merely the l'rime Dini-ter shomlat be invited to atteml.
 other ministers as a matter of fad hatd attember and taken part in the dediberations. The ferevtary of state, while derelining to make athe dhange in the fommal rematintion of the conference. Which be left for eonsideration of the Conference itself. concomred in the desirability of the preane of other ministers bexicter the Prime Ministers and omeh ministers attended the conferemere on the materotamding that the woting diould be bẹ ('olonies amd not be individual lands.
 withont being sperially connered with some erremonial event in the Empire. It differed alon from the Conferences
 certain exceptions. were published and latid before Paitialment.3 The resolntions passed were of peenhar importance. In the at place the (omstintion of the limperial fonferemere Wits de eitely laid down in the folloning terme ':

That it will be to the alsantage of the lempite if a cone ference, to be called the lompretial conference. is level every forr years. at which question- of common interest may be diselused and considered as bet werol Hia Majesty dianernment and the (iow rmanents of the velf-woreming Dominions

[^139]heyond the seas. The Prime Minister of the United Kingdom will be cat officier Prowident, and the Prime Ministers of the wiffoweming Dominions of afficion members, of the Comferemere. The sereretary of State for the abomies will be
 in the :abcoler of the Pre-ident. He will arrange for sum Imprerial Confere ole after commmination what the Prime Ninisters of the rexperive Dominions.
sinth other ministers a- the reperetive (ancomemis may appeint will abo be members of the Conferenese it being melerstond that. exeppt lie pereial permiswion of the Conference, cath disensom will be comberemb he not more than two representative from (and (iovermment, and that cach Gowernment will hawe only one vote.

That it is dexirable we wablish a weme be whed the several fonermments represented shat be kept informed during the periots betwern the comfereneen in regard to matters whieh have been or may be shbjerts for disellosion, by means of a permanent secretarial staff. charged, moder the dirertion of the seeretary of state for the colomien, with the dhety of obtaining information for the we of the Comference. of altending to it- resohations, and of comdereting correspomdence on matters relating to its affairs.?
That upon matters of importance requiring consiltation between two or more Covermments which eamot conveniently be postponed imtil the next Comferenes, or involving subjeet: of a minor charaeter or whell as call for de tailed consideration. subsidiary Comfenones ${ }^{2}$ shond be hed betwen representative of the Cewermments concerned specially chosen for the ритове.
Military mattere were dealt with by the adoption of the principle of the catablishment of a Cemeral statf for the Empire, which should atmy military wenee in all it, banchers. whoud collect and diseminate to the varions (iovernments military information and intelligence, should mendertake the preparation of sehemes of defence on a common principle and. While bet interfering with guestions of comamand and administration, shond at the replest of the reveretive (byemment.


= Cuder this clatare a Xiaval and Military (ontomene Was hedy in !!m!








 of the (ommitter durimg the diarosion of the qur-tionraiserl.!



 ('ourt of Ippeal. It was atserel. hemever. that the pratio.e and procedure of the haticial (ommitter of the lerive 'ommeil should he laid lown in the form of a cothe of rulb and regula-
 delays, while at far as persible the eomblatome on which appeat- were permitted slowill be mate equal, and some
 to appeat in case: Where there existednoright of appeal - houlal the delegated to the (burt- of the (iolonies. It Wis alse agreed. on the motion of (iencral Bothat. that when (bonne

 comperent for the Legi-lature of thence 'olonia- to atoli-h any existing right of appeal from the sinpreme (ourt- to the

 of the court to grant leate to appeal in -uch vasco as might
 but that the right to appeal therevial leate from: 'se l'rivy (council should not be exurtailed.s

[^140]The Conference. with the execption of Hix Majentys doverment, remthimed the rexolutions of the conferenee
 ment conemred in resohtions in favour of supperting British mamiatured goods mell Britinh shipping. His Majesty's Govermment. however, were only able to cone in the reathirmation of the resolutions of the Conterencer 902 an to comstwise trade, subject to the omiswion of the words deating with trade bets eron the Whother comery and the Colonies.
The Conlerence agreal that inquiry should he instituted us to how far it was posibhe to make the privileges comferred and obligations imposed upont the' 'ohnies by exiating commercial treatice miform thronghont the Empres, and that all doubt -honk be removed an to the right of the Deminions to make reciprocal and preferential tiveal agreements with cench other and with the L'nite. Kinglom."

Rewolutions were paseed in favour of ungomity as regardtrade marks and patent, trade statistios, and company how. mad in favour of the $\cdot$-ablishment of reeiprocity throughont the Emprire with regart to the examination and authorization of hand survegors ${ }^{3}$ It wats ako recommended that international pemy pos, inge hould be amed at and that handing ticences for cables should be restricted to twenty years, and that subsidies should only be paid on the prineiple that half the receipts. after a tixed gross reventue had been earned. should be utilized for the extinguishment of the sub-idy, and. by agrecment, for reduction of the rates.

It was abso agreed to consider on what conditions naturalization ${ }^{1}$ in one Dominion whoth be made effective in other parts of the Dominions, a subsidiary Conferenee to be held if necessary, thad that if po-sible a arevief for mail, travel, and tramsport purposes shonk be devined for connecting (irean

 room for doubt as to this matter. No treaty prevents inter-Imperial or inter-Cotonial preferences. Cf. I'arl. I'ap. ( (d. $33!3,3390$, 4usu.

* I Conference on this was leeld in Loneton in May 1911 ; see I'arl. P'upo.



Britain with Cimatat. mid throngh Camatla with . Dlr-trathat and New Zealand,







 "attor."





## (H.IP'IたR III

## 





In seromplatere will the wereroment arrivel at at the Defonere (onferomer of tam!, the ('omblommealsh of . In-tralia phared orelers themsh the Shmialty for the emimers required. ('antala purchased the Rainlume alld Violies and



 the new defone fores atre goserned be primeiples smila" to there inf forere in the limperial Niay.?
 to consieler the allojeet of Imperial copleright.: The Con. feremer was held in May abd dulle. amd diacmesed filly the 'flestions of the mantemather of the mbity of copleright leginationi Hromghomt the Eimpire, amd the atc-abability of the Empire acereptims the Reviaed (oppright (omsemsion of

 of the aloption of at mitorn coprrigh law for the Eimpine.
 Combertions. shloject toremtais revervations, and in particulan to ilae right of amys medegorning Dominion to limit the ubligation imposed hy the (onvention to works the allthors

 secretariat under Sir 11. Just wan weorded by ath the Prime stinisters whe attenated the cimference

the Empire at which the Dhminion of＇antada，the（ommon－ wealdh of duatratial and all the states foxept sonth ．Dustralial amd Neu Zabland were represented．${ }^{1}$

The guestion of naturalization was comestered by an Inter－ Wepartmental Committere in loms．Its feport was formaded tom the consideration of the Dominions，and fint ther diseos－a Wats arranged for at the Imprial Conferener of 1 ！ 11 ：

Steps were taken for the introdaction of a silver eurrency


 The coinage is still mambiactmerl at the lowal Mint．${ }^{3}$

The Radiotelegraphice（ompention of 1 ！oni wiss adtrered to by the Dominion of（＇anada，the Lnion of South Dfica，the （＇ommonwealth of Justalia，and the Dominion of New Kealand．＇I Bill was prepared for introduction into the Imperial Pabliament with a view to fadilitating marrages 5 in this commtry of perom－roming from Britivh Dominions and Colonior．

Steps were taken to－remer it reduction in the Snex Cimal dace by at centimes a ton from danmary 1， 1911.

## 

The Igenda for the conferenee of 1901 presents the minal featnere of no resolution being moved by（imada，thomgh in the Honse of（ommons there wats at shggestion that the Premier should raise the question of the status of eonsuls． Whieh have caused trouble in the Dominion．Newfomedand only proposed the question of a stemmaip line direet to Newfombland and（imada，no dombt ats a link in the red route seheme．New Zabland，on the other hand，proposed many important questions．the hist of which is as follows：－${ }^{6}$

1．P＇ulbication of pmorectings．
That the（onferenere be open to the press except when the subierets are contidential．

[^141]


That the lempire has now reached a tate of lmperial development which mendere it experlient that there-homblbe. an Imperial toumeil of state. With repreathative from ath


 Dominions owaval.

(1) That it is asential that the Departinemt of the
 that and Department be phated under a weprater Promament Ender-sinements.
 development it has now berome atriable to rhanger the tithe of Serevaty of state for the (eotonice to that of serematy of state for limporial Aftaire
(3) That the tiff of the sorectariat be inemperated with the Dominion- Department moter the new londer-sereverys. and that allequetions relating tot hereftequerning Dominionibe refered to that Departmont; the Itishtommionioner- 10 be informed of mattere affereting the Dommions with a view to their dovernments expresing their opinion on the stlme.
(4) That the High Comminioners bre invited for attemt meetings of the (ommitter of Defoner when ghestions on Naval or Military Imperial defoner athereme the wermal Dominions are under diaros-ion.
(a) That the High (ommiseionere be invited for consuht whth the Foregn Vinistre on matters of foregon ind commereial. and sore ial atfairs in which the overora Dominions are interested. and inform their rexpedive tiowermment.
(6) That the Iligh Commisabures should herome the sole chamed of commmaication betwern Imperial and Dominion fovermments. Rowrmors-fencral and (Eoverome on all
 (ion.

## 4. Interelemene of ciril werments

That it is in the interestion the lmperial timermment, athe
 interehange of seleced officers of the reseretive tivil servieres should taber place foms time to timere with at view fo the arguirement of better kowledge for hoth arriese with Govermments.

## 

That in view of the semial. political. and eommereial

 ( owerment the adviability of apporablime the (iosern-
 with ab view to miterl atelion beines taken it the next merting

fi. Nitale-ommed .llantie ('able:


 rable between Englamland ('illitala amd that the power of
 lay and control sumb cable.

That in order a faceilitate the hamdling of the tratioc. and to seeme entire control over the ronte in which it is chenger
 the ward to ereet a land line arerond (anada
s. Cherpuening of rable reltes:

That in view of the areial and commereial alsantages
 cation between her dependencies and (ireat Britain. it is dexirable that all possible means be taken to serenre a meluetion in cable mates throughont the Empire.
!. Derelopment of telegraphir commumirations within the Burnuire :
'That the great importane of wireles telegraphy for social. commereial, and defensiop poposes remeters it devalabe that
 held at Melbourne in December l!omb be extemede as far as paretarable. taroughout the Empire. with the ultmate objert of extablialing a chain of britiol staterowned wioteso stations, which. in cmersency. would emable the Empite to be to a great extent independent of submarine cables.
10. All-Red Mail Roule luturow E:aglamel. Instratia. atul Sem Kernemul. vin r'omerla:

That in the interese of the Eimpire it is desmble that
 ('mana, with Dustralia and New Zealand, by the best mail sorvere amiable.

That. for the purpose of earrying the above desideratum into (effect. a mat service be established on the Paceitie between Vianomber, Fiji, duekland. and Syducy by first-claz
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 asel foreven shippins.

## 



 the comstitment pirts of the limpine with reypert to dentitute amel dexelted |rovorns.

## 1.). Inrome lin.e :







 int roduced torromosr Ilare rli-ithility.




 frexcompled fromi-timu) dut.

Imstralia ${ }^{1}$ sent two vagnely worded rewhtions on Britiols
 the eriven to the present state of the
11avigation lans in the Eimpire and in other commtries, with
 to prevent mifair competition with british ship be fored subidized ships: to aremre to britioh hipe equal trading advantares with foremes shas: to serome the employment of britioh semmen on britioh ships: and to raine the stathe and improve the eomblions of semmen emploted on shels - hips.

That it i desimble, ar far as ciremontances permit, to seremre and mantain miformity in the compans: thate matk, athd patent latro of the Empire.

## 万. V'aturalizntion:

"hat this Conteremer is in farome of the rexation of a *-atem wheh, while not limiting the right of a Dominion to
 isore to persons fultilling prestribed comelitions of certifeates of naturatization effective theotighout the Empire and refers to a matsidia? (onference the question of the best meaths to attain thi- end.

## (i. Inerlaraliom of Lamblone

That it is regretted that the Dominions were not consulted prior to the aceeptanere by the british aldeegates of the terms of the Decharation of lomedon : that it is not deximable that (ireat britain hould adopt the inelasion in Article $\because t$ of foodnaffs, in view of the fact that on latere a part of the trade of the Empire is in thoer antieles: that it is not de-mable that Great Britain should adro,t the provisions of Articles


## 7. Emignotion:

That the reoblation of the (onferener of 1 !not. Which was in the following terms. be re-affirmed:

That it is desinatbe to concomatre British emigrants to proced to britind (olonios rather than foregn countries ;

- That the Cmperial (iovermment lex regnested to co"perate with any (olomies desiring immignathts in as-isting suitable persons to emigrate ;

That the Seretary of State for the ('olonies be requested 10 nominate reprementatere of the Don ations to the Committee of the Emigrants' Information Oflice.

## ※. The law of ' 'omspirar!y:

That the members of this (onferenere recomment to their respertive (iowerments the dexirablene of submittines ma:-- tures to Parliamem for the peremtion of ate of eon-pimery to defent or exate the laws of ans other pat of the lempire; that the Imperial (iowermment make smatar representations to the Govermment- of hadia and the ( 'rown Colonies.
!. Naliomalizution of the Allontie collele:
That this ('onferenee atronestreromment- the nationalization of the Ithantie eathle $i: 1$ order to eheapern and remelere more efferetive telegraphir rommanieation between (ireat Britain, ('anada, In-tralia, and New Vealand by thas aeçuiring eomplete control of all the telegraphe able cable lines along the all medroute .

That with a view to fareilitating trade and eommerece throughont the Empire the question of the andriableness of recommending a reform of the present mits of weights, meanares, and coins onght to engage the eamest attention of this Conference.
11. Imperial $1_{1}$ " "m.

That it is desirat) , he judicial functiono in regard to the Dominions now exe ind by the Judicial (ommittere of the Prive ('ouncil should be revied in an hmperial . Ippeal Court, which should aloo be the tinal court of appeal for (ireat Britain and Ireland.

1:2. Co-operation and matual relations hetween the naval and military forces of the lnited Kingelom and those of the Dominions and the statas of Dominion matier.

The resolutions proposed by the Government of the Linion of South Vfriea ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ for disenssion at the lmperial Conference were of eonsiderable interest and importance, and they touched mpon eretain point- which had not been suggested for diseussion by any other Dominion (iovernmeat.

In the first place it was desied by the inion fosermment definitely to raise the old question as to the divi-ion of the ( obonial Uffice amd the placing of the Dominions lepartment and the Imperial seeretariat inder the eontrol of the I'rime Minister: The origin of this ideri mu-t he :ardibed to Mr. Deakin, who, at the Colonial Conterence of 1907, presoed energetically that the status of the Dominions slould

Perdie full recosnition in this mamer. Bat at the time
 properal athl of neceronty the projeet doppred. It had mot been revived by ang wher Dominion ame the reoshation as to the eomstitution of the (obonial Oilice properai he Nir
 offior at all, for it contemphated merely that the Sereretary of State -hould reecior at new title. mancly the secretary of Natre for lomerrial Mifar-and that two permanent Coder-Seeretario- of state should be ereated.

Of the other reoslutions the mest important were those (Nor, 3 and 4) which anersered that if any naval contribution Wat piven hy a Dominion to the Imperial (bovernment it -houkt be permitted to deduet from the amomot of that rontribution any sums which it might expend in connexion with naval defence or the ereation of matherame and that in place of the existing prefereme eranted he the Dominions. there should be substituted a sy-itom of contribution to Imperial masal and local dofonce. Naturally in this form the last reolution was hardly likely to be acecptable to the Imperial Guvernment. ('anada and Justrahia had definitely reognzed reponsibility in part at lant for their own natal defences , med were crating natios with that end in view, While Now Zadiand had preformed to malie a dired contribution towards the eon of the hary. But in either eane there hald been mo di-position 10 atergen that the existing pre feremees-houht be moditied or reduced, amd the adepetion of the propenal wonld haw hern parely di-adsantagerne to the Imperial (iovermment

The whole properal war me doubt tu be explatined her the
 made by the (ape and Natal towards the eoot of the naty, and the (Bion dovernment presumathly wished to eharge
 naval defence on land, and in this form the properal was obviously reasonable, but to steritice for any haval eontribution the benedite of the Briti-h preference would hate been mose unfortunate and the Linon Govermment later with-




 to almit that preferener has mever bern very pepmlar in


 that (No. is) refering to matmalization. In sumh . Vfrima the matter was -omplieated hes the ohjeretion of the Colontes
 be willing 10 combe intw a hatmatization sotem which womld

 But it doc- mot appear that the erant of weht rights hatl ever feen contemplated by the lomperial (iosermment.
 action to promote levter trade aml frotal remmmancations, and to diseonrage shipping eronferoneronal combine for the control of frefight baters a presing fouth . Wricat question ;
 of eleath duties and ineome tax make an attowatere for sums taily edatimed for them purperer in the Colonice.

## 


 Mr: Herties at member of the (1pporition, ratiad the que-tion

 that the Legi-lature mioht be able to exprese theje opinion as to the attitule to be adopted leg their repreathativer.
 was only a delegate to evpreat the views of the perphe whom he represented. If mattern were submitted mo partepirit



"ould be shown, and the expression of opinion by the legisfature would have more weight with the lmperial (iovernment and the other Bominion (iovernments thin if it were merely the opinion of the New Zadiand rabinet.
 Prime Slininter any idea of what the opinion of the Parliament Wonld be or what the opinion of the eountry would be? Gentese the matere were diodesed in the l'arliament it would be impessible for the Prime Minister to hate any eertanty that he wats representing the wishes of the people or even that he was representing the wishes of the la, Fliament. He thought that with regivel to $\operatorname{lmperial}$ relations things were drifting, and that though matters were satisfactory at present there might be dimgre if in the future Ministrios at home were more imbiferent than they were at present to Imperial considerations. He thought that the Prime Minister ought to be in a position to recommend a seheate for closer relations, as he hat inherited the Imperial poliey of Mr. Sedelon, and a disenssion of the whole question in Pathiament would be of great edneative value. He thought that the Prime Dinisters of the Dominions shoulal form in sote of Imperial ('absinct and be consulted on all question- of limperial import. 'That woukl be a good substitute for an Imperial Comeil, and the Premiers ronkl be consulted by telegriph . He diel not believe in a representitive body sitting in London, becallse by the time the elelegate got there they might not be representitive. Ho wiahed to know whether the Dominions since they had been Dominions were consulted in any wiy with regarel to lmperial polities a- distinct from English, hrioh, or Seoteh politics. If New Zeatund paid a certain amount to the upkerp of the fheet they ought to have a voiee in the distribution of the fleet and in eleed ding the guestom of peence or war. He dial not know whether enough Was now being paial to make it a live subjeet. but supposing eontributions were increasol, the question must and would arise as to what saty the (ohonies whieh eontributed were to have in the guestion of the fleet or the question of the army. Then ingin there wist the enuestion whieh he:
 an atl intergal part of the Eatupere for example could at Dominion or a lolong be sumentered in the satme wisy as





 remember that the bialiament of Xew \%ealamd mant matify
 shlicient saffeghaial. and it wonld be quite impererble to disellas within reasomable limits all the reoblutions which would be raised.

On November 23 a further bind dianowion took place.' Mr. Tiblor, at member of the Opposition, rained the quention dis to the position of the Dominions in resperet of lmperial ('onferences. It was propored, he githered, to consert the presition of High (ommisoioner for cath of the self-goterning Dominions into a political office. and if this were done there would be a direet diminution in the prower of the lartiament of New Zoaland. He tooked with grat jealonsy ypon the presibility of the certailment hy the Imperial ('onference of the powers of the New Healimel l'arliament. In reply, Nir doeeph Wiard sided that the (iosomment conld not be reponsible for suggestions made ley the press and if an! resohtion of the nature indieated were to be prased by the limperial Conference they would place thematles in the prostion of being politely told to mind their own busines. The (iovernment were repponsible to the perphe of their own entuntry for what they beliered womld be in their intereets. If at reperentatioe of Now Yealand at the lmperial ('onferenee attempted to prise a reoshtion interfering with the intermal politices of íreat Britain. Whe antiler would be that it was entirely outside their domatin. and that! Zealand should leare the home authorities to manaze their own internall affairs: and the revere prinerphe appled.

[^142]




 shish hat bext ornt be at commitho of bember of both










 athevement．matred a di－tinction．Never before was－med
 many great quentom－exhan－tively emsiderel ：never before
 of this great institmtion．Ha resertad very munh that the
 debated．in order that the mini－ters might hiare－poken in






 good ctough for the ritizern of the liritioh lales，it was mot Erod enough for ．Instatiat，and he heped that the question would br atian wred at the forderoming（onference．．III
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 it. making it a themothly lomperial buly reproantatise of








 -tiatus. Heremembered the time when there wa- burliatior-








 amother fishion, of whow aleeptillere they mot be the ultimate julges. The ('onterence and tome athairs mater it




Iment，and the selfegorming rommmitios were rititerd to
 that they were alf－governing amd that itw relation－with theoll ＂repe mot of at dietatorial whater．Ite refermed．with







 on the Imperial Serevatiat，fogether with othere ofieres to represes the wher Dominions．They shomble be ablojeet to the Bumah l＇rime Miniater，hat matintalned at the erost of the Dominions，and they－homh rary ont the instructions sent to them from the Dominions．

Mr．Deakina ako regtetted that there was me allu－ion to the development of preferential trade amd he referred to the enormons advantage that had hereg gated at the last fone ference by obtaining the profita of flon，006 atear on silver cointige

Sit Willian lyme＇－hated generally the view．of Mr． Deakin，and esperially with regard to the serevtamiat，which he thought drould be kept entirely distinet from the present （b）onial administ ration of Englaml．He was inclined to be in fivour of an Imperial（ourt of Appeal，withont going an fiar as did Mr．Deakin．

Mr．（ilann refereed to the case of the Declatation of Lomelon， to the question of natmalization－in whish he allnded to the dilliculty of the eolowe que－tion－and to the question of the Imperial（＇ourt．

In（＇antada a debato in the IOnse of fommone was delayed mutil April 20.1911 ．

The question was raised by Mr．Fonter，＇formerly Finance
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 mtheking the 'anmblath fowemoment for lath of comerey in fimthering the interas of the lomperial limberemer. Ho.






 partientar he peinted ont how extremely show ('inntlat hat


 Zomband and that of the ('ommomweatht, beth ol which hatl

 Diriea. despite its reerent formations. had sent there or fons
 land itself hat shown intere- in the gresetion of steans-hip commmoneation with the ['nited Kinglom. Whike ('untal, which had bromght forwat that lopice at the (ionlerenere of 1!018, had sincelet the matter reat. amb had tahen for further action with regarel to it

 batiat, showimy the adsantates of the alloption ol the plath of
 proposah lor an lmperial (onumel whinh hatd beron merel
 beon eompellal to ariticize on the arombl that the time wis
 he paid a very handsome compliment to Mr. De:alin. Whow abeence from the ('onforence of 1 ! 11 he thought wis mutell 1 , beregretted. Hisexplanation on the faihure ol' ('athatdat lopur

[^145]
 to oremper fully the time of the men : Anteremere and that his (





 matter conlef be atrabred: the diltionty tay in the question of the Pandie and in the attiturde of . Instralia towards tha sehemes. So far it did not appeate that ame seloeme which
 the time taken betwern Fingland and du-t talia, and mbess this could be done it was doubteal whether the . Inst ralian Gevernment could be persmaded to serome money on the sorvicr. Voreower, their elosit eommeremal relations with Now Zatand rendered . Instalia more mwilling to do ansthing to facilitate trade betweren the two Dominions ; but he trusted that it womld be found perible on the oerestion of the merting of the ministersat the lmperiall'onference to arrange for some dearee of preferential trade between (amada and Australia. at step which might be asemmed to result in the increase of the willinghese of . Instralia to asist in the (extat)lishment of better eommonications between the ('ommonwealth and C'anadia.

On this oerasion Kir Wilfrid Laturier made no mention ${ }^{1}$ of a guestion which he had raiad presionsly namely the guestion wheller some reeognition should not he given of the
 Dominions lẹ (on-uls-femetal and lomsuls of the wreat Powers. The peint has hered disebsed at embiderable length in Camada. experially in emmexion with the guration ol
 as they hase mo diphomatio stattis: and it has berol brought
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 Behgian，Netherdands，and Japathere Con－alo－demeral of （ © onsuls．
 Latnier indicated as at dithedly the tiect that whike hy

 a British citizan at all，and thi－perition 11 a－all untortuntll one，ats tending to aceconthate the distinction betneen Cinnt－ （hime eitizenship and nembership of the Dimpire．

## s．4．＇The Procerminis of rite（onferticti

The Conference hede twetre meeting fom May $2:$ to －tune 20 ．＇Thirteen ministers attended，and for the tiont time the Prime Dini－ter preshed ahomet thoughout the procectings．The thaincos ehatratete of the procedings： was also increased by the striet atheredee to the rade of excluding from the（outerenere all Imperial mini－tor whe
 －ions．＇The Secretary of state tor the lobmies，of exmere， attended ath the meetings and took the ehair in the absernee
 thatoidable abmence，Nir IV．Lambicr took his phace．There were also present． 1 virions veca－ions，the secretade of state for Home Minars，Foreigh Ahairs，Wiar，and India，the Chancellor of the E＊xehequer，the Lord Chancellor，the P＇resi－ dents of the board of limherad the Local Covermatent Boand， and the Postmater－didncrat．

The procectings were，as in 19日月。 private，but it daty precis of the Conference was publi－hed，and a full report appeared in July after revi－ion by the members，大ir doseph






 $1: 20 \cdot 3$ D 1

Wards motion for publidty was manimou-ly rejected by


Of the topios disersoed thone of political importanee were
 tion wf the Colonial Ulice : (b) the quewtions of foreign

 treatios: (r) the que-tion of British Indiats, coperially at conmeded with merehatht shipping : (1) the Imperiat ('out


Lese immediately political were the disernsion- an to $(f)$ the improwement of eommoreial relations. (y) the . Vll-lied Route and (h) emigration amd habour exelamger. Smother Eroup of topics lias (i) propmath for postal and telegraph reform. and chionts were matle to secure (j) reciprocity as to inconne-tiax and death-ilutien. The attempt to serene ( $k$ ) either deeimal eoinage or the metrie system of weights and measures was mot seriously premed. On the other hathd. (1) shipping conferences and rebates exokerl an animated disernsion. 'The usual proposials for' (m) uniformity in law, incheling the topics of atien :-anigration exclusion, combpathios, copyright, patents compernation, were hatrly (b. mank- and aceident though more progres.

 the (o) reeognition of colonial and lmperial judgemento wat asserted. (p) eo-operation as 10 int ernational exhihition- wats agreed to in principle, and a fin-readhing reheme of ( $q$ ) reciprocal legialation as to conspitacy was allowed to drop. Revolutionswere also agreed to as to (r) Suc\% ('anal duen and (s) the celebration of the Kings birthelas, and Mr. Fisher




 Keith (Juniur Iswistant secretary).
${ }^{1}$ Cd. $\overline{7} 4.5$, 川. 2s-3z.
 Conference in onte of the Dominions. and ateriprocal intorchange of mini-terial visit -

## (11) The Imperial I'onntril anel the Reroritami:ullion of tha 'olumial (1)!lier 1






 as subordinate shate. in the conduce of lmperial! priog. It present the lmperial dowemment - 11 ar whely repmothle for

 remained for those Dominions to deride to what extent they "omblatually eotoperate.

 consideration of foregn puliey and of international treation
 matters as might by agrement be tran-lemed to shel at Parliament. He propered that ('andarlat. Su-traliat. South
 Imperial Hente of Reprexentativo for Hefence one repres







 ment is rather a simbitieation of indepertent erplatity with the lionnan Empare-reenemized in Hne care of Willian II! tomally by the Empire) than of controt oser dependencier. The British Fimpire commotes reatly the whule as an indepentent unit of intermational


## 1 d 2


 and Xewfomdland $\because$ members, making a total of 7 . The mote of chection was to be left in cably care to be determined bey the Dominion in quention. The Lented limgtom hould
 and the term for which they were chected should be tive rears. In addition, the Lnited Kingrom and each of the Dominions - hould dect for anch term and in such manner as it should think tit two repreatative to be member of ath Lnmerial (onncil of Defence the functions of the Contesil to be in the main consultative atad revisory' 'There would be an executive of not more than 15 members, of whom not mone than one should be a member of the Semate, and the fanctions- of the lapretial P'arliament of Defence would be peace and war. Heathos and fordign relations wencratly in their bearing on fanperial defince. and the pording of the
 the Pialiament shoult have no power of taxations. but the amount parable be cath of the bominions should be a deht peyable to the excherpuer of the Imperial Pialiament of Defenere. It the expriation of ten years such amomet shouk beraised and paid in such mamer de the reapertive Dominions anred to. The amomet to be contributed hy the oferseds Dominions for haperial detence and war shoukl be per cetpite of pepulation not more than jo per cent, of the momet fer copile of population contaibuted by the Laited Ningtom for this purpose, but for all other purpose the contributions
 his seheme promppored an alteration in the Linted Kingetom to a tederal sy: tem. He put forward detailed proposato as to the raising of a reventue in futme for naval defence and the buidding of a large fleet of beahhor ghts.

In answer to further questions. Sir Joeph Wind explaned that the executive re-ponsibility with regitel to war and peace wouk reat with the Exeentive Council of fiftern, which would be elected be and responsible to the Parliament body, and he argued that the large predominance of the
representatives of the l"nited kinghom on the l'arlimment
 of it - present eontrol of fore ign mations. In fiat the Imperial



 be in agreement with his viells he womld - till holl that the
 mast be devised for the representation of the erowing democracies of the Dominioms.

 rewhlation remained in its original form lw womll hive hamd some rlitioulty in accepting it. but a lexishative boty which

 impraterable. Mr. Fivare wat of opinion hatt ther wis nothing the matter with the ( wermment of the Eimpire wi thenk mot ix remover be eonforener from time
 put forwarel he conld mot have areepged it in that for Gemeral botha wias also mable to contor in the propmial ; he was of opinion that all lomperial fommeil mot neresortrily
 of the lempire. Ifo did not think that the time wite !et ripe for the coming into existence of a boty of elertive mperexte tatives of the different parte of the Empire Sir Edwand
 thatle some reprerentation mathe lomperial liatiament womle
 affairs mast at least for at very long time atill rest in the hamds of the tmperial (iovermonelt.

Mr. Dequith, on behalt of the Imperial fovermment, refirmed to the memorial pursented to him hẹ a lave batmber
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 Zaidand lon the reeoshtrmetion of the (oblonial betier were not formally moved hy Sir docph Ward. becollare, with hia promios

 of the Imperial dewemment with recratl th the matter. Mr. Wareontt explained that the offiere was alreadle in effeet completely divided bedow the Permanent londer-sereretary of State. 'There were the Dominions Depattorent and the
 Department. indtuling the lewal hrame it. the reegivites.
 printing bronch, and honomrs. and similar ghestions. The Imperial fowerment were prepared forerete two Pormanent
 be differelt. athl agian. the only peroon, if the ehange were mithe. Who ind experience of the Jominions and ('rown Colonies work womld be the politieal rhief.

Moreorere it wond no dowht be desired be hoth Thatradia and New Kaidand that the Wominions I'nder-sereretary donuld have knowledere of the work in the lateitie and in the











alrealy exi-ted one which had hern highty paiad bey sir
 the mility of the kerefariat the lomprial forermment wrere
 Cowferene which womblemtain the sereretary of sithe. ther



 Department. 'Tlor busimes of this committere wolld be to
 fonferences propmala for the next eonterence. amt -ub-idiary
 alvinory and mot exerotiore. It wonld atriar the seremetry of state, who womld rommmineate with the Dominion Fiovemments as to the direls-ions of the commiller. but
 with the Domition Covernments. The seeretaty of state. -hould have the powe to smmonen the politimat or permatment heads of other fovermment depatments to dal with

 arheme. In thedixerraion which followed certain difterntios were pointed ont. Sib Wilfrid Latmer ${ }^{1}$ was ansions that
 merely the relations between one Dominion and olae l nited
 as to whether it womld not be inadrisible to make the llish ('ommi-ionce a political offocer. as of comer he was in the main recpuired for commerefalbu-incos, and it misht be difiwht to make a smitathe erketion if the offere monermed were reguied to be a politieal acemt. On the other hatol.
 the lligh fommin- wher and the Imperial fonernment with
 that, in the intere- - of the eontimnty of the work of the

$$
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Conferenere it wa randial that something -honld be dome (1) manintaln fowh betwern the erveral Conferencres, and ho rexal for the firther eonsideration of his seheme.



It the meeting of dime s the 1 'onforenere re-mber the
 mitter of the lmperial fonfernere which hat been brought forward hy the loperial (iover:mment. Mr. Hareourt had rimentated for the consideration of the ('onferenee a memorandhom ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in which he had ontlined more precesely the nature of his proposal. He mominded the conderenere that in the last paragraph of the first resohtion of the Conference of lant it hat hern agreal that mon matters of importanes regnimge eonsmbation betwern two or more (invermment whith eamot eonveniontly he postpened matil the next

 shonld be hedd betwern repreentatives of the (Govermments
 with this resolntion two mbeidiary (onferenees-the Defencer
 had been held. and His Majosty ${ }^{\circ}$ dinvomment now suggested that any matters which eonld not eombeniently be dealt with hy mbsidiary Confereners should be refermed, with the con-
 of the Tmperial Conference, which would thus be a subsidiary ('onferenee not limited to one smbjeet. and mecting at more or les regular interval for the transation of husiness refered to it be the Secretary of State for the (obonies with the asent of the Dommion Govermments. Ds a parallel to $^{\text {a }}$ such a (ommittee were addueed the Standing ('ommittee of the Board of Trate. Which advived the Board of Trate on fommereial intelligence and the difiusion of eommercial information, and the Ahesory fommittere appointed to advise the Boad of Trade and the 'obmial OAfice npon the admini-trative work of the lomprial Institate and referenere
was matre also to the . Xlviooty ('ommittre mmanimom-ty






 to any merning tho political of permancont heide of other departments wiach might be sperially eoneromed in -nlijerts
 not excentive. It womld he advisory of tho Noceretary af State, wonlal deal only with matter comeroning the last
 other mattors whirh aremed to be appropriate ghestions


 committer womld bre given to the Nororitive witite and commmbicated to the lominion fiovermmonts thronerh the
 representatives of tho Domimions would of courer be at liberty to inform their (invormmonts of the procerelings Ipirt
 the Soceretary of Sitate with the (iovernots-dedmeal of the Dominions would eontinne as at present. It was cephaimed that the Imperial Govermment did not elesire to prese the appointment of such a standines committee homble the Dominion ministers be mwilliner to acerept the proposial. hut they thought that a stambling anthority might he of abl-- fantial advantage in socuring rflebenry of working of the Cerectariat and the ('onference.

Sir Josceph Wiare ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ arlsoceated the adoption of the proposal shlijert to the omission of the express referoner to the Hieh
 for left entirely fore as to what represe.titivos they shombl


[^148]view of the frankere with whirly the Imperial ferrembert









 of ministorial stamling, ald that it womld bre meatiofactory
 them athe whers wore represented hy mini-tors. Ile was
 wolld be sent. But he conld not areopt astandinge committer which would interfore in ally wiye with the work of the
 Gameral Bothag v view. Nothing eonld he dome with the.
 publer alepartasents in romepourlenere with the Dominions
 really to do mueh wo:k direetly : the present fomferencer
 result woma pobsthly only be one on two important mathers which wrore mot on the aremala at all. 'Jos set upt the perpored brely would lead to diremmbention amd comfa-ion.
 intervention of ang boty whatever letwern the Home
 relation- betwern the Dominiome and the Wather Commtix
 The orsanization of the (oblomial Office had sriven ample satisfartion. abd he thonght that matters shomble be left as they were. The views of sonth dried were reinfored by Mr. Malam." who thourht that the rommitere wonld bower















 thr proptr。
















 - Hell al remom.
 mat the (insomment of sumth Stric: withdrew their m-ablu-



[^149]by the Prime Miniator to the imposibla in pratien ：llere
 must gathefore the perlitical head of the oftiere，and mu Prime


＇The Comferone then liselsied the yeretion of the inter－








 Enowledere．But he dealt upern the difternltien which lity in the way of a fombal interchate of divil swants．If．homerer．
 attalehed them tor the High（mmmi－sinmets oflice，they womld the given full facilitios to berome aregnainted with the work of the difleremp phblie departments．Similarts．mem－ hers of the（ olonial Othice had heen attached to the statl of
 Dominion of（＇inada，and the（＇ommonwealth of Instralia． and the（＇olomial Oftice womld have the alsantage of the it knowledge and experienere when they retmod．while visit had heen paid to sombe wi the Dominions ty Sir（harle： Lateas and Jr．Jost．He womld the ghad alsor to alford ans． further assistance possithe to the bominion（invermments． Ir．Batchelor for the Commomwealth of Anstratia accepted the view of Ir．Hareourt，and the resolntion was therefore adopted with the sufistitution of vinit．for the propurall of interehather．






Hbil．，H1．I！！





 Hationtal :





 betore merotiations were asted to.
 printed ont that the fommonombealth hatd inguited in lates




 - lomhl hatse herol informed of the matter att all cartiee date
 regaral th the Wer latation wepe in the mation thoa which hase


 the terme of the limsormtion might remher it impon-ible to
 thaning the ri-k of beiner comferated an heitere contrathatel (1) Wall:

 latligerents, and they heded that it wowld be forsible on thi-.



and wh the question of the comsersion of merehant vessels into men-of-war to ohtatin some modification before the ('onsention timally took chece.

Nir Edward Grey then exphined the views hedd hy His Majesty: Georemment both ats to the merits of the Convention and the question of eonsulting the Dominions with reerard to treaties.

On the menit of the (omvention he claborated the fact that the Combent ion antere ont of the derision tose upal Pri\%a (ourt arived at at The Hague in 1907; he eontended that such a Prize (ourt was an unquestionable improvement on the existing arrangement meder which the Courts of belligerents deceded finally on the complaints of nentrals in respere of the seiznre of nentril versels. But it wase essential to dratw up some rukes for the guidanter of the Comet, and this explained the fact of the drawing up of the rules embodied int the Declaration of London. As regards the substance of these rukes it mast be remembered that they were a eombpromist. Great Britain had seemred very vonsiderable eon-cession- from other Powns. Befure the Decharation there Was nothing to prevent any foreign Power dechang all food contraband. and now it could only do so meder strietly. defined conditions, and indeed the omis: was nommally throwis on the captors and not as hitherto on the ship, to prove the offence of carrying eont raband.

Similarly thongh His Vajenty"s (foremment disliked bery much the sinking of mentral vessels, they had fommed that many of the (ircat Powers were not prepared to share the in view on thin matters and the United States in particular had been very anxions that the compromise embodied in the Dechatation of Londens should be acepoted, as representing at any rate a considerable improvement on the arrangements which existed before the Derdaration.

With aegard to the question of eonsulting the Dominionas to treaties, Sir E. Girey explained that the fiat that thes were not consulted with regard to the Decharation arose ont of the fact that they were not eonsulted as regards Hagne.

$$
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Conventions. He was quite prepared that in the future the Dominions shomble beonsulted. and that representatives should take part in any inter-d partmental Conferenere which might be hedel to disemss such questions: but he emphasized the fact that in mathy case it would be meressary in the actual conse of megotiations for the Foregn Secretary to accept reponsibility for a derision, just as-inded he did with regard to the other members of the lmperial ('abmet ; time would oftern not permit of the formal comsultation of


Sir Wilfrid Laturiew ${ }^{1}$ Was not. howerer. quite prepared to acept the principle that the Dominions must be comsulted with regard to treaties of a perlitieal chatacter. This implied. in his of ath, that the Dominions were prepared antomatically to put the in foreen in time of war at the dispenal of the Mother (bumtry and this was e-sentially a step wheh ('anadar was mot ret prepated to take.

As recarde the actalal terme of the Derelaration of Lambon. he thought that they were a very grat improvement on the existing eomblition of alfans. and that the shombl be acepted glatly.
 desimbility of comsulting all the Dominions with regerd to treaties. and he explatined at length the reasoms which induced hime to believe that the Derlaration of Lomden was in every respert an adminable arrangement.
 vietion of the great merits of the Derelamtion as an attempt to settle many rexed ghestion- of intemational law.

Ont the resimption of the disellasom of the Derelamation of
 it was in the highes interesto of the limpire that the luperial
 with a foreqn comater which might alfert a partienhar Domanion without tirst comsulting that bominion. South Srical had no griceance in the past on this head, but he

[^150][^151]chamed this to be a amud principle in the interents of the Empire. The Dedaration itself he thought an advance npon the existing position, and he held that the balance of advantage was clearly in favour of ratification.
Sir Eidward Morris, ${ }^{1}$ on belalf of Newfomediand, a. Jy welcomed the readiness of the lmperial (ioverms if is aceept for the future the principle of consultation, a - ac thought that the creation of an International Prize (. and the detinition of its sphere of operations by the Declamation wire of great value.

Mr. Fisher ${ }^{2}$ then expressed his readiness to mose a new resolution in place of that which he had brought forward. The new resolution, which was drafted in consultation with the Imperial (iovermment, ran :-

That this Couference, after hearing the secretary of state for Foreign Affairs, cordially weldomes ${ }^{3}$ the proposal of the Imperial Government, viz. :
(a) That the Dominions shall be afforded an opportunity of consultation when framing the instructions to ine given to, British delegates at future metings of the Hagne ( conference, and that Conventions affecting the Dominions provisionally asconted to at that (onference shall be circulated among the Dominion Governments for their consideration ; and
(b) That a similar procedure, when time and opportmity and the subject-matter permit, shall as far as possible be used when preparing instructions for negotiation of other international agreements affecting the Dominions.

This resolution was unanimously aceepted, the qualification under the second head leeing sufficient to remove the objections felt by Sir Wilftid Laturier to any system under which the Dominions should claim an absolute right of beins consulted as to international treaties, thus bringing upon themselves the corresponding absolute obligation to tak active part in British wars.
Sir Joseph Ward ' then suggested that the conference ought to pass a resolution in favour of the ratifieation of the

[^152]Decharation, and Mr. Asquith ${ }^{1}$ explained that the (iovernment would attach considerable importance to the passing of such a resolution. The Decharation was a tremendous step in advance. It laid down a code of international law, and it set up an haternational (ount which might be trusted to act wet ially in the administration of the rode. Nor hey the ratifeation of the Derlaration wond the laperial (fovernment prejudiee their pesition with resard to obtaining further improvements in the state of international haw in dhe eourse.

Mr. Fisher, however. was not prepared to approve wholly of the Decelaration. It would be wrong indeed to abandon such a great step in alvance, and while meder the cirem stances the Gowernment of the (ommonwealth could not give their full approval, they would go so far as not to oppose the resolution, which was then passed, the ('ommonwealth of dustralia abstaining from the vote:-

The remainder of the moming session of June 2 Wris ocrupied in a disenssion of eommereial rehations and Ryitish shipping.

Mr. Pearees on behalf of the Commonweatht, reminded the conference of the tiereeness of the eompetition which British shipping had to mederge at the hands of subsidized foreign shipping which was a vatable for use in time of war by the foreign Goveruments whien subsidized it. To grive an advantige to british shipping the ('ummonwealt h (iovernment in 1901 had propered to give a preference of ijper eront. to British goods carried by British ships, manned by white babour, but the bill had been reserved on the ground that the proposial contliced with treaties het ween the C'nited Kingrlom and foreign countries. Mr. Pearee urged that these treaties, which were not, he understood of much importance, should be denounced in so tall at any rate as they affected the Jominioms and prevented action in favour of British shipping. Headmitted that in thiscase the condition of maming hy white habour had ralused a further difficulty. but that was not the ground on which the matter had booken down,

[^153]and the question might be diseussed quite apart from the general poliey of the British (iovernment in eking ont evenhanded treatment to all sections of the population of the Empire whether white or colonmed.

In replying for the Board of 'Track .Mr. Buxton' expressed the appreciation of the Imperial Covermment of the desire of the ('ommonwealth Government to assist British shipping, but the matter had to be considered in comnexion with British shipping all over the world, and it was not merely a question of denomacing treaties. hat of the effeect of such demmedation on trade elsewhere.

Sir Wilfrid Lamrior ${ }^{2}$ took np the treaty question in connexion with the pastion of canada in the most-favourednation treaties, which compelled ('imada to concede to some twelve eomentres the same advantages which it had given to the United States and to France. He proposed at a later date to move a resohution requesting His Majesty ${ }^{\circ}$ ( (iovernment to open negotiations with the several foreign Governments having treaties which applied to the overseas Dominions, with a view to serming liberty for any of those I ominions whirh might so desire to withdraw from the eperation of the treaty without impairing the treaty in respeet to the rest of the Empire.

Sir Joseph Wiad ${ }^{3}$ thonght it advisable that every assistance should be given to British shipping as against heavily subsidized foreign shipping. but he deferred an opinion on the treaty question pending Sir Wilfrid Laturiers explanation of his resolution, and he also deferred for the fuller discussion as regards navigation, on June 19. the question of the employment of coloured seamen on British ships.

On the resimption of the treaty discussion on Jume 16 , little difficulty was fomed in arriving at an agreement. Sir W. Lamrier ${ }^{4}$ pressed for the removal if possithle of the

[^154]obligations of old treaties ; he recognized that the (iowernment in commereial treaties never now bound the Dominions without consultation, and that the old treaties were historical relies, but he asked for their alteration, if posible. in the interest of the Jominions. just as the Gemman and Brlyian treaties had been got rid of. The other ministers concurred. and Sir LE. Crey ${ }^{1}$ at onee readily aceepted the proposall. Which was in harmony with the modern view of the treaty. pewer as it affected the Dominions, but as there might be diffientties in the process, he explained that if any Pownes deedined to permit the se parate withdrawal of the Dominions: the Government would endeavour to negotiate new treaties with the usual separate adherence and withdrawal clanses, on the understanding that the old treaties would be abrogited by the new, but without demoneing the old treaties ment new treaties had been agreed upon. If the lowers refused to aeceppt the proposials. the matter eould stand ower for the next limperial (bonference to consider.

## (c) British Shipping and British Indians:

On Jume 2 , after the disenssion of natigation law and treaties, Mr. Fisher * moved the resolution of the (iowornment of Australia in favour if miformity in the treatment of British shipping. Mr. Pearee, ${ }^{3}$ on behalf of the ('ommonwealth (iovermment, took exeeption to the control by the Imperial Government of merehant shipping legislation in the Dominions. He held that the Board of 'rade should not take exception to Dominion legislation. oefore it had actually hecome law, and he maintained that the fovernment of Anstralia had no desire to interfere unfairly with British shipping. but were meroly anxious to sere that British ships did not compete mufaily with Australian vessels.
Sir Joseph Wared, ${ }^{4}$ on the other hand, thought that it was perfectly fair that the Imperial Government shonld eall the attention of the Dominions to questions of merehant shipping

[^155]in advaner. If this were done it prevented needless misunderstanding and friction.

Mr. Brodeor. ${ }^{1}$ on behalf of Canada, was inclined to think that the position of camada had been projudiced since $1 \times 67$ by the passing of the Merchamt Shipming Aet of 1894 , and he urged that the Imperial Government should not interfere with the action of the I ominion as regards merchant shipping.

In reply. Mr. Hareonert ${ }^{2}$ pointed out that the plan ot giving notice of points with regarel to merehant shipping was done nnder the impression that it was an advantage to the Dominion Governments to know at the earliest possible moment the views of the Imperial fovermment, and Mr. Buxton ${ }^{3}$ emphasized the duty of the Board of Trade to eonsider and make representations with regard to the interests of the whole trade of the United Kingdom. With regard to Mr. Brocleur's objection, he pointed ont that the Aet of 1894 was merely a consolidating Act. and that its conactment imposed no new restriction on or interference with Camadian merchant-shipping legixlation.

The discussion ended with a formal passing of the resolution :-

That it is desirable that the attention of the Govamment of the l'nited Kingetom and of the Dominionss shonld be drawn to the desirability of taking all practical steps to seenre uniformity of treat ment to British shipping, to prevent unfair competition with British ships by foreign subsidized ships, to secure to British shipsegnal trading advantages with foreign ships, and to raise the status and impre e the conditions of seamen employed on such ships.

On June 1! the question of the grant of wider legislative powers to the Dominions in merchant shipping was inaugurated by a statement by Lord (rewe ${ }^{3}$ as Secretary of State. for India, with regard to the question of the British Indian. He recognized the impraetieability of the ideal of free movement throughout the Empire for all British subjects; the Dominions mmst deeide for themselves whom they would

[^156]admit, and he reengnized the foree both of the racrial and the eronomic objections. The racial feeling as moh was partly montal and partly phesiologieal: its exishener conht mot be denied if it rombl not ixe explained. But her emphasiand the face that in most resperets the less a white man hat indivichatly to be proud of. the prouder he is apt to be of his whiteness. and the more he eomsideres himself entithed to look down upon people of a colonted rater. Ite reminderl his ambience of the great tratitions of hedias. and of the intelleretual and refigions greatness of the Indian perople and he haid st ress on the loyalty of lndia. What was needed was a more sympathetie understanding: he would try to explain to India the pesition of the Dominions, and he askert the Bominions to comsider the pasition of India. The masatisfactory treatment of Indians in the Dominions win al constant
 mont in India proceeded.

Lord (rewe therefore isked that, white restrietmy inmigrat. tion, the entrance of mon-inmigrant Intians should be faceilitated and freed of difficultios. and that when hotians were lawfully domiciled (as in one Dominion for ower two hmotred veats) all rate should be taken to resperet their caste feelings. as. for example. in commexion in ith privon treatment. I really mited Empire rombl mot exist at long an India and the Dominions were at variance and the Mother Country was inwolved in the disputer.

Nir .l. Ward ${ }^{1}$ at once expressed his sympathy with India. but defonded his desire tos stop the eompertion of Lascrat crews on vessels trading between . Iust ralia and New Zealand on economie and social grounds. The competition of stheh rrews was ruining the lines which emploved wett-paid white labour. and those limes could not eontimen untese the liaws regulating the payment of habour were repeated or they were allowed to evede those laws by registration daewhere; neither of these alternatives was possible, and the (iovernment of New Zealand must endeabour to sate the whitererms. His. Shipping bille indeed penalized by a 9.5 per comt. tax

[^157]the bilk of larling and passenger tiekets of vessels which hat colonerel persons in the erew, bit the penalty was void if the Nemmen were paid the rates of wages current in New Zabland. and that proposal was fair and proper. While recognizing the rights of somiciled Indians, he asserted the principle that every race should be relegated to its own zone. thomgh he did not move it as a separate resolntion. 'The policy was essential for the fature good of the Einpire. and the Japanese alrealy forbatle any Japanese subjeet to be maturalized in a foreign eountry.

Dr. Findlay ${ }^{2}$ ('mphasized the eromomie side of the problem, comparing it with the exclusion hy high duties of cheap gools from India which New \%ealand enforeed, and pointed ont that the status quo had been one of the employment of white labomer.

Sir W'. Lamrier ${ }^{3}$ smpported the resolation for wider powers, but aserped that in his view the Dominion abrealy had plenary powers. but was subjert to the royal veto, which the Imperial (iovermment used freely in shipping matters only. Ss to lndian immigration he felt the economic difficulties. and conld not encourage it. As to the treatment of domiceiled lndians. they had all the rights of British subjeets which were inherent in such subjeets; if in British Cohmbia they had not the franchise, meither hat women in Englame. In the fintines. if the economic dilfientty disibperared no tronble wonld exist.

Mr. Batchelor ${ }^{-}$asserted that prejudier was disappearing in . Inst ralia. and subjeet to the exchnsion police. which wamalterable, they were andions to grant free entry to visitors. for which pmenose the permit system existed, and to treat residents on the same footing as other persons. eb. g. as regrardohtage pensions.

Mr. Peares ${ }^{5}$ explained that racial distinctions in regard to pearl contracts and subsidies in Pacitie Island thate were due to deliberate poliey, as sailors were needed for war pmposes. As regards general legishation, anthority wa-

[^158]only songht by the (ommonwealth lamlianent to serner thit local vessels were not smbereded to ronditions which were mot imposed on Imperial ind loreign ships.

Mr. Matan ${ }^{1}$ pointed ont that in Somth . Ifricat the objeretions to ladian immigration were bisued on the fied that there was abrebly a large resident . Wirieat population ; the probleme of dealing with that phestion was alratly very mbious, and will be greatly romplieated by the aklition of an Indian
 inasmuch as in Natal Indian labour was desired for work on the sugar phantations.

Lord ('rewe repled briedy to Nir doseph Wiard's atgre ments. He pointed out that, regarded from ati economice point of view, it was dilficolt to critici\%e the ludians lor having a different standard of living From white people. and to egnatize economie eonditions womld be very difientt. Indians conld not be expected to appreciate the exicet point of view of New Zealand.

The more general aspeet of merchant shipping wis dealt with by Mr. Bnston. ${ }^{3}$ He insisted that the prineiple was that the merelant shipping generalle should be regnlated by the Imperial Government, subjeet to the control be the (olonial l'arliaments of registered shipping and the coast ing trade and fo the extent of control in the case of . Inst rabian of vessele on romd voyages conferred bye so of the ('mevitulion det. Isom.
'Tle New Zealand proposal was apparemty that in territorial waters the folonial l'arliament comble regnate matters like wages, manning sale, aceommodation, and an forth. It might be possible to insist on the payment of New Zaialand rates of wages within territorial waters. hot it womld be very diffienlt to insist on the applieation of the New Zatand manning seale and of the New Zabland ideas of aceommodition.

In the case of foreign ships attempts to enforce these rules wonkl be illusory : foreign vessels outside territorial waters conld dednet the excess of wages paid. "umblereonvert the accommodation and could dismise it tr. next port the

[^159]additional men shipperd. The British slip womlal not be int
 a disats:antage as eompared with foremg shipging. Which was modombt not the dexire of the I bminions. Iteromsidered, therefore the t it was imposible to alter the existing arrangement. and he reminded the ('onferemere that Britial, shipping was suhjeet to retaliation form foreign Powers if dew
 The rule was that mo collotity imposed on shige of mother comentry her own eonditions as forars, manming. or aceommodation. hat merely took preeantions to prevent mesawortlys ships aniling from ler ports.

In monchasion. Mr. Baxton suggested that the question was one which might engrage the attention of the lasal
 th set itg. to rexamine into the eommerefial relations of the
 to witheraw his resolation ont that ermond. Nir .I. Wiard in reple recapitulated the legal poxitions. prointing ont that the Merchant shipping ste Is:lt, folled the sithation, and that under its terme (ss. 735 an: 1731 ) the 1 )ominions (ombld omly regulate comsting trale abd registored ships, and in each rase subjeet th the whal assent heing abtained before the cmaetment took effect. The law forbale effertive action agabst those vessels which carried Lascar erews. and it was ath economice ghestion. 'They had tried similarly 10 impose their rates on vessels which emale from clowlere praving lower wagiss a reference to the attempts to enforee the New Zatand eomditions on the . Instralian versels of Mrests.
 permit of the withdrawal of the reselmtom. Nir Wilfrid Laturier ${ }^{2}$ then proceeded to sipporit the reselations. but her argued in the style of Nir John Thempromin, that the Briti.nh

 re 128 and 123 of 1873 reopecting rexistered shipping rxpresty pro



 fill legialative pownro. athl that only a fomat atheration of








 tion betwerol ('analian and Britioh law. Mr. Fiasher timatly
 that the 'ommonweallh hat bot atl the pewers which it desired to hase. bitt Nia .J. Wiad intmated prefty platily that the fommonweath was really now hetter olf in this
 abo thought that matters were puite satiofitelorys athl that

[^160]the lonion had full powers. Thore resolation in fasonr of extomsion of the legistative power of the Dominions w

 anything sulstantial on emonply with tho wishos of t lominions in this regatrl.

## 

 "lats that of the Imprerial (ount of Ipreal, rexolutions havit beron propuat by the (ommonwatth of . Iunt malia in favo


 the durlicial 1 ommittere of the lrive (ommeil ; and by il


 diversity of lats anacted. atal the alifiering public polioi afferting legal introperetatom in those Dominioms. In stlp! of the rexolation of the ('ommonweath Mr. Mateledot thomght that it was anomatoms to hato two timal (ontres Ipperal, that the cexisteone of two such courts gave a pose bility of comblieting jorlgements, while the similatity of tl persommel of the ('onts wa all argament in favolle of the merger. He aritirizal alsa the selem muler which tl members of the hadiejal ('ommittere did not give individn
 individaal judgements werr givoll. Land Lameburn? the rxplaticel the nature of the existing ('ontre and their juri

 any Perer who had helld high jodicial offere. and it headel all tl appeala from the C litas Kingdom. Thare members forme a fuormon, but the (ourt nsially sat with at leas four. 'It dudicial Committer had hearl all the appal- from Coboni and Dominion (ounts, from the (hamel Jatands, the Is







 four laris of . Ipmeal, all l'rixy (ommeiltors who had hell high judicial ofliere, fwo julges wath -perial homeledge of
 the Jominions. In practire the members of the Howne of

 be mate he carded ont the divi-ion himatli, allel towh -pretial



 of the Homse of latels themselvers.

 but ome jultument. hot herergenizel that at rhathe in the pravelere of the Homse of Latat was mot perathle, allel he intimated that if the beminions prefereed that the pramere at the I'rive (ommeil -houll be hased ent that of the Howne al Larel-there wonld tre no ditherilly in matimg the alterations.



 from the Dominoms: Dial they dexire that at permament julderestomble rome form cath bominion to deal with all the "ppeals or onty with the appeak form that " mitat ! could always be artanged to talie all case at such perionl as would permit the . 1

[^161]from that Dominion. With regard to the l nited Kingelom the (iovermment were not prepared to make a change in the composition of the House of Lards. which alreaty imeladed one diatingnished judge from the Domintoms. Lard de Villiers. He suggested that two binglish jurges of the highest ynality shomblle added hoth to the Homse of Lards and to the dadicial Committere, that the grormon of both Courts shondille tixed at tive instead of there ats at presernt. and that the (ourt "honld sit sureersively in the Homse of lards for I "nited Kingdom appeaks, and in the l'rivy ('ome for appeals foom the Dominions and (obonies. It wonld thas be in ceffert a singhe Court sitting in two divisions. but the old name womld be kept.

Nir doseph Wiard ${ }^{1}$ expresed his preforence for a sestom by which the Judie iald (ommittere shonld lae strengthened by the atdition of a permathent judge fioms ciall of the selfgoverning Dominions who should take part in the hearing of
 from the İominion in which he was a jullere. It woukl be well worth. in his opinion. the eost to the 1 ) minion of priting their judge. for he would be ablle to inform the ('ont on matny mattors which it might not otherwise have satisfactorily
 were of the highest eonserguence to the Dominions for 7.000,000 aceres of hand were in the hatuds of some 47,000 Dabris. and it wats of such moment that eases which atfected those lands - and stleh cases must arise frequently-shonld be rightly decided that the payment for a judge was reomparatively of no importatere.

But Sir doseph Wibrde proposal wis not areeptiahle to the rest of the mombers of the (onferemere. Mr. Brodeme stited that the existing sistem worked satisfactorily. that the Provinces of ('alladia were concermed in the mattore and wombl resont if atsthing were derided without their comsent, and aecordingly $i$, wombl be well if matters combl be left as ther were. Ilr. Fisher ${ }^{3}$ thonght that appeals from Anstralial should be derided in . Instralia. hut he weognized that that

[^162]conld not be elome without an :mendment of the ('onstitution,
 home to sit oll the dudieial (ommittere. Mr. Mation
 appeaks lay only by suceial lease and that acoordingly appeals wonld be vary rate and the (ioseroment of the
 semel at mitn home to sit on the committere. Sir biblwad Morris: stated that Nowfomblland wat perferety satistied with matters ase they stood, amd that they erombl mot go to the experse of providing a jutge.

The ronference aceorlingly acepted aresohtion substithted hey Mr. Fisher for his originat resolation. to the efferet that. having heard the virws of the Lord (hatherellor athd
 posials of the (avermment of the l'nited kinge'om shonld be emborlied in at commmiention to be selt as soon its possible to the Dominion (ioveroments, and Mr. Sacpuith latid stress out the ofter mate be the Latel Chamedlor that riwes from the : Ominions shomild the gronped togethere so is to permit of their all being dealt with with the assist:unce of a jutge
 ment which he thonght womld mert the desire of the (iovernment of New Zaidind that it New Zaialand julpre shonld sit in ease's concerning Mand lathes.

The memorandma: ditenlited its the onteome of the diserssion eontaned nothing new. 'The Imperial (omet of Speral will consist of two divisions. the Ilomse of lards, and the Prixy ('omene. It will comsist of practically the stme members varied to suit the cases they have to deal with, and it will recoive additional stremgth thomgh the addition of two judges. 'The acerisions of the dudicial (ommittor will ats hitherto be issued as ome deedisom, but dissenting judges may intimate the grounds of dissent.

[^163]
## (e) Valuralization

On June 13 there eame before the Conference the important question of maturalization, and the ('onference were able to arrive at a positive result of imperial importance. Mr. Batchelor ${ }^{1}$ who moved the resolution of the Government of Australia in favour of asystem which, while recognizing the right of each Dominion to provide for loeal naturalization, should permit the issue to persons fullilling preseribed eonditions of certifieates of naturalization effective throughout the Empire, urged that it was quite impossible to secure uniformity in the conditions of naturalization throughout the Fimpire, but that it would be well worth while to set up a standard embodying the most drastic conditions, and to give Imperial certiticates of naturatization to persons: who would comply with such a stiandard.

Sir Wilfrid Laurier ${ }^{2}$ atso agreed that there was no possibility of securing uniform conditions of naturalization, but he laid down the prineiple that a man who was a British subject anywhere should be a British subject throughout the Empire. One Itundred thousand Americans annually emigrated to caniula. They sought at the earliest possible moment-that is, after three years residence-naturalization, and they obtaned it in C'inada, but whenever they lett Canada they ceised to be British subjects. The principle should be adopted that there should be uniformity in the effect of naturalization wherever granted, and that a man who was a British subject anywhere should be recognized as a British subject everywhere. This was perfectly compatible with diversity of methods as to the manner of granting naturalization. Sir Joseph Ward" Was prepared to accept this principle on the understanding that each Dominion would preserve its rights for the exchusion of aliens and Asiatics. Mr. Matan ${ }^{4}$ objected strongly to the proposal that there shoukl be two kinds of naturalization certificates-
 рр. 13:33, 13:24.
${ }^{2}$ Hid., pp. 2.51-3-an admirahle and lucid presentment of an irresistible

one Imperial and one limited to the Dominions-lyst he aecepted fally that a British subjeet anvolore shomd be a British subjeet everywhere, as lad down by Nir Wilfrid Lamerier. The fmperial (iovermment were not. howerer, able to aceept the proposal as it stond. ${ }^{1}$ They had stress on the period of fise gears which was regnited as a condition of naturalization in this eomntry, and they felt that the road to British citizenship shombl mot be mate too easy. 'Thery recognized ako in the fallest mamer that there mmst be divergent conditions of naturalization in the several lominions, and to obtain an lmperial natumatization it wonkl bre neeessary to have two standards. They suggested. therefore, that it shonld be open to any person who had obtained a certificate of local naturalization in any of the Dominions, and who had in addition resided for five veats in any part of the Empire, to apply for a certiticate of Imperial nathmalization. The application womld be mate through the responsible minister of the Dominion in which the applie:ant resided, and if he endorsed the application, the eertiticate would be issued hy the (iovernor-(iencral or Governor. No donbt it womld be possible that moter this sestem it man who had been refised a lowal rertitioble in one bominion might go to another Dominion and obtain lmperial naturalization therein. but any ill result conld be aroided bex a Dominion refusing to recognize the natmralization of a matn who hard onee been refused natmralization therein. and Mr. ('hmrehill thought that the prineples which he proposed might be accepted as adequate, and the Imperial Bill which had been prepared to deal with the question of matmralization should be re-trafted.

Sir Wilfrid Lamrier regretted that the Imperial Gowermment were not prepared to accept natmmatiation in any one of the Dominions as conferring British eitizenship thronghout the Empire, bat he was prepared to aceept the compromise as a substantial step in the right direetion, and the Australian Government also coneurved in the proposial. It was finally agreed theiefore to aceept the following prineiples:--
(1) Imperial nationality shouhd be workl-wide and miform,

[^164]each Dominion being left free to grant local nationality on surch terms as its legislature shonhed think fit.
(2) The llother Country finds it necessary to maintain five yeats as the qualifying periot. This is a safeguarl to the Iominions as well as to her. but five vears angwhere in the Empire should be as good as five rears in the Lonted Kingdom.
(3) The grant of Imperial nationality is in every case discretionary, and this diseretion shombl be exerevised by those responsible in the area in which the applicant has spent the last twelve months.
(4) The Imperial Aet should be so framed as to ena' e cach self-governing Dominion to adopt it.
(5) Nothing now proposed woukl affeet the validity and effectiveness of local laws regulating immigration or the like, or differentiating betweell classes of British smbjeets.

The Bill was aecorlingly at onee re-ilafted. ${ }^{1}$

> (f) Commercial Relution.:2 and (y) the All-Red Route.3

The non-political smbjects must be considered briefly. Sir W. Laturier disposed of the vexed question of $(f)$ commercial relations by moving a resolution which was aceepted by the [mperial Govermment subjeet to a rider to safegnard the lmperial Government and the Dominions from being obliged to aceppt reeommendations from the (ommission as to tariff poliey. As an amended the resolution (xx) runs:-

That His Majesty should be appronehed with a view to the appointment of a Royal Commission representing the Enited Kingdom, Canada, Australia, New Yealand, Sonth Africa, and Newfoundland, with a view of investigating and reporting mpon the natural resources of each part of the Empire represented at the conferenee. the development attained and attainable, and the facilities for production. manufaetnee. and distribution ; the trade of each part with the others and with the outside world. the fool and raw material requirements of each and the solurees thereof available, to what extent. if any, the trade between ead of the different parts. has been affected by existing legisdation in each, either

[^165]${ }^{2}$ P'arl. P'ap., Cd. $\mathbf{5}+45$, pp. $339+1$.

[^166]beneficially or otherwise, and by what methods eonsistent with the cxisting fixed policy of each part the trade of each part with the other may be improved ind extended.

A rider to this resolution in ceffert is that (x.xi) ${ }^{1}$ on (!) the All-Red Route, whieh runs:-

That in the interests of the Empire it is desirable that Grent Sritain should be eonnected with ranada and Newfoundland. and through ('anada with Sustralia and New Zealand. by the best mail sorviece available,
for it was agreed that in view of the impossilility of . Instralia co-operating in any existing seheme. the matter could well be diseussed by the Royal Commission.

## (h) Emigration nud Labour E.rchanges

On Jume ! the question of Emigration ${ }^{2}$ was diselased, and Mr. Burns wits present to represent the Laeal Govermment Boarl. Mr. Fisher formally moved the re-affirmation of the resolution of the ('onference of 1907 . that it wats desirable to encourage British emigrants to proced to British (obonies rather than to foreign countries ; that the Imperial (bovernment be requested to eo-operate with any (obonies desiring immigrants in assisting suitable persons to emigrate, and that representatives of the Dominions be nominated to the Committee of the Emigrants: Information Office. Mr. Batchelor supported the resolution, and sir Joseph Ward Was also in favour of it, while Mr. Malan, on behalf of South Ufrica, and Sir Edward Morris, on behalf of Sewfoundland, were ready: upport it. Mr. Burns. in reply. laid before the Conference a ries of figures indieating in the most interesting manner the great change which had taken place in the nature of emigration in the last ten vears. In 1900 the pereentage of emigrants from the C'nited Kinglom who went to parts of the British Empire was only 33 per cent.; in lamf it had risen to 54 per cent. : in 1910 to 68 per cent., and in the first four months of 1911 the proportion had risen to nearly 80 per cent. Woreover, the numbers were very large ; in lalf the total elfe ration would probable amount to 301 , 010
$1379 \cdot 3$
which wonld appropriate bo per cent. of the natimal increase of the population of the Cnited Kinglom, as eompared with 48 per eent. in 1910 and joll per eront. in $1!007$. But for the saving in life represented by a lower death-rate and a moll lower infant mortality, this cmigration wonlel be a very heave drain on the C nited Kingdom. The inerease of population in ten vears in Seotland and lreland was only $\geq 10.0101$, or less than the total emigration from (ireat Britain for one vear. With a diminishing birth-rate the United King. dom could not safely spare more than 300.000 people a ycar, and if so per rent. of these went to different parts of the Empire the ('onference wonld probably agree that this was as much as eonld reasonably be required. Niner lewt the work of the Emigration Offiere had more than donbled, and every effort was mate to leere the mandinery up to modern regnirements. Over-organization womld probably cheek the operations of many of the vohmary non-political and benevolent asweiations comered with the work. luformation was disseminated thromgh 1,000 puble libraries and municipal buildings. in addition to many post offees; 65u Boards of Cuardians sent all their emigrated rhidren to the Dominions. and in twenty-one vars. at a cost to the rates of $£ 109,000,9,300$ poor-law children had been emigrated. and there was eonvineing evidence of the high quality of such children. In five years at a cost of $£ 1.27 .000$, 130 Distres. Committees had sent 16,0 on emigrants to different parts of the Empire. Since 1007 army reservists had been allowed to leave this conntry and to contime to daw reserve pas. and sinee that date 8,000 reservists had avaled themselves of this permission, of whom only $3: 3$ were not muler the British flag. Mr. Burns ${ }^{1}$ eoneluded with the adviee to thr Dominions to trust to the hmperial Govermment in this matter. She would hold the seales fairly betwren the varions Dominions, and he was glad on his part to reeognize that during the last two or three years the Dominions hatd shown greater generosity in the treatment of emigrants from the United Kingdom. After this statement there was littlu

to be said. Sir Wilfrid Lamier said that matters appeared quite satisfactory. Mr. Bateholor ${ }^{1}$ coould only adrl that every effort to reduce the 20 per cent. of emigrate whe went ontside the Eimpire would be greatly appreeiated. and Nir Joseph Ward ${ }^{2}$ felt that if the Dominions receoterl sot per cent. of the emigrants it was as monh as they could reasionably expert. He suggested that the rewolntion shonld be altered to express approval of the pelieg that was being pursued, and Mr. Hareourt suggested that the liset paragraph. with regard to the appointment of representatives of the Dominions: on the Emigrants' Information Office. should be omitter. He promised that the information isourd by that office should he kept absolutely up to date, while, if represontatives were introduced as suggested. there might be rliffienty through con petition between the representatives of the different Jominions and States. This proposal was agreed to, and finally the resolution was passed in the form,
'That the present poliey of encomraging Britiah emigrants to proceed to British Donimions rather than foreign cometries be eontinued, and that full eoreperation be acoorded to any fominions desiring emigrant-

The diffieulties of eo-operation between (iovermments in emigration had been strikingly illustrated jnst a little carlier. At the afternoon session on June 2. Mr. Buston ${ }^{3}$ moved. on behalf of the Board of Trade, a resolution in fa vour of utilizing the machimery of the United Kingdom systell of Latour Fxelanges established in lome in conme :an in ith the notification of vaconcies for employment at ap lieations of persons for employment as between the 1 :minions and the United Kingdom.

He oxplained that appleations lad been received from overseas employers for the services of person- to be obtained from this comitry. and it was thought that it might be possible to arrange for affective eo-operation between the Dominion Govermments and the Imperial Government, by requiring that employers in the Dominions should give

$$
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notice to the Dominion Govermments, who would pass on the applications to their agents in Lomdon. Wy whom in concert with the Labour Exchanges vacancies conld suitahly be filled. If necessary, the Imperial Govermment would be prepared to advance the cost of passages, provided the Dominion (iovernmonts wore prepared to gharanter the refimel.

The proposal. however, was mot warmly received. Nir Wilfrid Lansier ${ }^{1}$ did not look with favour on the direet promotion of emigration of this kind. whether from Great Britain or elewhere. No matter how carefully guarded. it wonld probably lead to friction between employer and emplover in ('anada. The ('anadian Manufneturers' Association had opened an offier in London for the purpose of seroring skilled labour. hut they had found it masucers.af and the offier had Been elosed.

Nr. Batehelor: on hehalf of the ('ommonwealth. ceplained that, as the matter of seleceting emigrants still resterl with the Agents-Gencral of the States. he had held a meoting with the Agents-(ienoral and had consulted them on the matter, but he fonnd that they were adverse to the proposial. One great disadrantage was the guestion of time: to eommmieate the wants of employers, to select and dispatels the men. wonld take probably six months, and by that time the eonditions of the labour market might have entively changed, and the State Governments would certainly be reluctant to depart from the principle of having complete control of the :selection of assisted emigrants. Moreover, experienere thowed that to obtain a refund of passage money was very diffirnt, hut he had no objeetion to the proposal being further considered by a sub-ermmittere.
Sir Josephi ${ }^{\text {Wiard }}{ }^{3}$ was of opinion that some use could be made of the ageney : the New Zealand fovemment most carefully regulated immigration so as to secoure that immigrants landed only at suitahle seasons, and by a sustem of Labonr Exchanges which had been in foree since is94 they

[^167] might be aranged betweren the High (ommissionter and the Lablour Exclangers.

Gencral Botha' was prepared to assist agrionlturiats, but lee did wot think that the Labour bexthages combld be wed for this purposie.

Mr. Buxton then said that there was no intention on the part of the Imperial liovermment to prese the :evolation wh the conference if it were not gencrally arepotable. He hat put down the motion in order to initiate a diseltasion and to show that the Home (invernment wreve willing to (on-oproate. The idea was to sereure that very selection to which reference fand beern made, and this would ine carried ont if the Dominion Governments co-operated with the Lahour Exchanges. Ho would withdraw his resolution. and the Beard of Trade womld be ready to disersse the question with any of the Dominions who thomght that the Labour Exchanges romble rember aswistathere

Now Zabland as nsual took the lead in proposalls for |motal and telegraph reform. Int tivent Britain received a fabombable vote for the extemsion to Abstralia and the development in C'anada of the Imperial Pastal Order system.? which wat highly praised by both South Africa and New Zealand. Further cheapening of rable rates ${ }^{3}$ was promised by the Postmaster-fieneral, who explained that deforved telograms in phain language would soon be sent were the syatem th Anstralia at half ratese and alan promised reductions in press rates by pressure on the companies. He alan exphaned that by means of the control of handing lierences he hoped to seroure a control of telograph rates, which womld enable him tor regulate rates subjeet to dereision in case of disagreement by the Railway and ('anal ('ommission. But the lmperial (Government comble not arept the Anstralian proposals either for a new Atlantie rable ' or the purehase of a land line across lonada; as against the checerful

[^168]optimisin of Mr. learece as to extratrade. they feared a heaty additional loss on the Pacitie rahbe, and a line to ('manda eould not rereive suffieiont busimess to render it profitable. On the other hatid. the lmperial Govermment doveloped a practiend selome of wircless telegraphes including the eomstruction of a series of high pewer stations begimning in bonghand, thon in ('ypros, Aden, Bombay, straits, and Wentern Anstralia, thence to New Koaland lye land line and eable of wiveless telegraphy." This was arcepted by Anstralia and New Zoaland, and weleomed by Sonth Afrioa as a preliminary $t 0$ an detension to that Linion via East or West Africa.

As regards miversal pemny postage ${ }^{3}$ the lmperinl Government were mable to aceept the proposal in its full extent, as the lass would be very heary and womld mot be made up hy the inereased number of letters sent, since the expense of handling long-distance lotters and the reply was over $1 / \mathrm{fd}$ 'Ilreve was a loss, thongh a dimimishinge 0 or, $\quad$ an the permes inter-lmperial pestage and there was a lase which was being gradually diminished, and wonld in thirteron yeass disappear.
 foreign country was willing to ficer the loss, and thereforr only a general resolution in favolus of the rednction of postage cond be carried, New Zealand dedating her intention of continning her individual efforts at introducing at least a unilatroal penny postage and Anstabla ${ }^{4}$ declaning for recijurocity.
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 that the Dominions alome wonld gain ber the primeiple of alhwing the duty to ber ehaterel unty in the Dominions. Mr. Lhesil (Eeorge. however, promiad to ..... whether the
 made of the part chatged in the Dominimes, bitt this prowed impracticable. Nor and administration grounds could herer
 Which residents in the (ohnses could mot ohtain exception on dividemds From Briainh serentitios.

As regards death dation it was but persihle tor lime at solution of the diffoulty as tor the lueatity of aserts. The Imperial (invermment rould mot merept the Nouth Ifrican view buther whid the asere are situated where the erompany operaters and mahes that view is ahamboned. - : 20 of the Finamer . Iff. Is! 4. ammot bre ippliers:

 wealth (iowermment, their reablation in favour of the reform of the sistem of Weights and meatimes and roins. Ho. explaised that the ('ummonwealth were prepared to adnpt the metrie sy: fiveat Britain and New Yablamd alon adoptedit, and if the






 was not fawourable.
${ }^{3}$ Hoil. Ip. I6.i, 166.

Imperinl demerment were ansions lo make the changes the pmaing of surth a romblion might atrengeluen their hatus.

Mr. Buxtom.' on behali of the Homer (Buremment. conld not support the westution beamse her could not madertake that the reform wombld be carricd onte. If they had a dean



 commerere and domextic arrankement-would bre ationely upset.





 drew the wevhetion after he had suggereted that the ditioneles.
 the intended change.

The subject of coinage was revised oll Jume 16. whers Sir J. Wiard " took the opportmity of adroeating, not the derimal system, but a system of interchange of coins, complaining of the disnse of the half-erown as legal tender in the Commonwealth, and the resnlting losis to New Zealanders. The Anstralian representatives combated the assertion. bit admitted that they omitted the eroin from the new roinage with a view to approximating to a decimal system. Sir IV. Lamrier ${ }^{6}$ reminded the Conference that Canada allowed British coins as legal toulder, Int said they were little used. and he advocated therertically the decimal system as the omle semible one. Mr. hoyd (icomge" deprecated any kind of eoinage reform in view of the conservatism of

${ }^{4}$ thill., J. lis.
${ }^{5}$ thitt., ple. 36s. $36 \% \%$

a Hid.. PJ. 16ī, lis.

- Hid.. J. Jlis.
- Hide. Jp. 364. 351.

 alan doprive (Itt l/ Jomuinion of it - risht tw the frotit - on itsilver roinnge

 declared that the perliey of the sombly Africa derivion to




 of the Sonth Alrican -hipping ring. and sir J. Wind" - yomathized, hat dithered from the gemoral attarek madre lis.







 diseot.r $\therefore \therefore \quad \therefore \quad$ areneres ormbines for the contral of freigi.: •... : ... . . . he varime portions of the limpire.


 lad found no sulstantial support even for putting motorert the verymoderate recommendations of the Royal $f^{\circ} \mathrm{mmmin}-\mathrm{i}$ on onf Shipping Conferences.
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## (mi) C'uiformily of Latı

As insmal thene resohtions were rather barren. ('opyright was only mentioned, as the question had been fully discussed in 19!0 at the subsidiary ('onference of that date, and nothing conld be dome pending action on the Imperial Bill then before the Hemse of commons. Alien immigration exelnsion was reforred to the Royal commission on commerctial relations.: But the Imprial (iovermment seenred the passing of a resulution (xii), 'That, where aliens are deported under the law of any Dominion from one part of the Empire to another, it is dexirable that some system shonld be devised where the (Governments comeerned may affectively co-operate in the measures neeessary for the timal disposal of surlinaliens.' Hitherto both (cmada and som hatica have freely deported aliens to England, thes adding to the difficulties of dealing there with criminoms aliens. and the objeet of the Imperial fiovernment was to serure that by timely notiee it combd put in furce against sur hations the provisions of the sliems. Act:' 'The desirability of maiform tans: as to companirs, trade mark:, and patemts was agreed npon, but left ower tor action hy the Sereretariat and the other Govern meat departments, with a view to seemring that there shombld be greater miformity, experially as regards forms of application for patents.' Mr. Findlay ${ }^{5}$ went further, and pressed for inter-Imperial validity of patents. Acrident emmpensiltion" ${ }^{6}$ woked agrement exeept from Gemeral Butha. ${ }^{5}$ whe comld not sere its practicability. New Zaaland and (ireat Britain adready treat all persons alike. aliens or British sulbjecte, whether the dependents be resident or mot. In Anstralia and ('anada the matter in ome of state and provincial competence, and a general agreement was alome posibibe.

[^171]
## 

The entigration diseussion oll Jume was followed by a disenssion of the proposal of the New Zealand (iovermment for reciprocal legisfation for the relief of destitute and deserted persoms.
'The ditficolty which the resohtion was propmed tor meret Was, as explaned bey Dr. Fiodlay.' that arising from men deserting their wives and going toother Dominions. Proceredings maler the Fugilice offemers Ach Issi, When pussible. were extremely expensive, and in addition defeated their objeet by depriving the offender of his means of livelihood. Reciproe ity already existed in this matter between England. Ireland. and seotland. and he desired there should be interImperial reeiprocity. NewZaland and thestates in Anst ralia were prepared to make reciprocal arrangements by law under which ordors ohtained in rither New Zaband or Anstralia could be coloreed by the comets of the wher. Mr. Fisher ${ }^{2}$ supported this propecal. but Mr. Malans saw practial dithoulty in extending it bevond the limits of any Dominions, thongh he rerognized that if onfe or two offerders were bromght to book something Womhl be done in order to whtain the desioed result. Ho suggened. howrover, that the matter might be simplitiod by making dexertion ath offormer for which deportation ton!d take plare. Mr. Barns.' whille acreeing in the primeiphe. thought there wontal be difficolty in applying it in pratere. 'The English Lacal ( (wsomment Band ${ }^{\text {B }}$ thought that the cost of enforeing the priaciphe would be dispropentionate to the bemefit, and this view was shaned by the Irish Lacal (ioveroment Board and the Itome Otfice: hat he womld be prepared to eomsider with the Latw (Ificers of the (rown whether it might mot be iossible to meet the atmation by making deretion an offolle to be paniohed bey




[^172]- That in order to serore justice and protection. for wives anm children who have been deserted by their legal guardian, eit her in the United Kingdom or in any of the Deminions, reeiprocal legal provisions should be adopted in the constituent parts of the Empire in the interest of sunch destitnte and deserted persons:
(o) Recognilion of Domimion amd Imprial Iulyfoment:

Mr. Buxton ${ }^{1}$ om June 2 mowed a resolution in favomr of considering to what extent arramements could be made between fireat Britain and the bominions with is view to the enforcement in one part of the Eimpire of emmmereial arbitration awards given in another part.

After explaining the prineiphe of the resolution he suggested that it shond . . referred to a committere on which the Attorney-General would attend.

Dr. Findlay, on behalf of New Kealand. supported tle resobition on the gromed that it was not right that on thew. matters the Dominions and (ireat Britain should be un me - loser footing than foreign comentres. and the resolution was areordingl. raferred to a committee.

In eo amittee ${ }^{-}$the matter mexpeetedly developed. It was explained that an arbitration award became enforceable on an order of a judge. and from this result the vien developed that all judicial awards might be rendered enforceable on order of a judge elsewhere in the Dominions. Cltimately. in the full Conference on Junc lif a resolntion ( xXv ) was adopted.' • That the Imperial Gowernment should consider in concert with the Dominion fiovernments whether and to what extent and mender what conditions it is practicabhe and desirable to mahe mutnal arrangements with a veew 10 the enforcement in one part of the Empire of judgementand orders of the (onrts of Jnstice in another part, inelnding judgements or orders for the enforcement of eommere $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{i}$ conciliation awards.' The ('ommonwealth and the ('anadian reprenentatives made it clear that they rould only recom
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mend this matter to the favourable consideration of the tiatas and provines. as their larliaments had now power on tiai head

## (p) Intermationnal Eishibitians.s

On Junt : Mr. Buxton ${ }^{1}$ moved. and ther ('onfertane accepted his resohtion that the Imprial and Dominion fovernment- should innsider ill ampatation the quewe inen of the regulation of the comdtionse under which mereraterneal
 concerted atetion in the matter.
 with rhe allother alld prewenterl amythit: sotiofactory berna done
(9) L.r1" of C'omspirar!!

 a resolation in fatour uf the जhlmion in of meatates of
 or evale the lan ef allye whar pale of :he. Finpire. 'The
 ment. alld eventually it was withdramel on !he moderatandine that the Imprerial (iosermment would combmonicate with the
 would te per-ible for the lo to deal with the question rate .
 tiolli:"

 Mr Fisher on hehall of Anstrallial and it was acopperl at
 (buremment. Which did mot wish tor pht ita interels as a thareholder alowe these of the shippinge woble but the


 of opinion that the dues levied upon -hipping for u-ing the

Hmi.. pr.ents.

Suez Canal constitute a heary charge, and tend to retard the trade within the Empire and with other comentres, and invites the (iovermment of the Lnited Kingdom to continue to use their influence for the purpose of obtaining a substantial rechuction of the present charges.

## (s) C'rlobration of ther Kiing's Birthla!!'

Agreement was readily arrived at to hawe an official velehration of the King: birthday on June 3s but an attempt to make the King's hirthday Empire Day lailed. New Zealand was ready to aceept this. but Camada preferred to remain firm to May 24 and South Africa was unwilling to change that day: Australia admitted that it wat really. not a federal but a state question. and the matter dropped on Sir Wilfrid Laturier observing that the question was not worth a diseus-ion.

## (1) Filliur Conforemeses

On the last day of the comference it was propmesed by Mr. Fisher that . in the first phace, there whombld be interchange of visits betwern the respmeible minitere of the several Dominions, and that in the serond plates the Imperial Government shomblalio inte consideration the question of the possibility of holding a meeting of the Imperial Comference in one of the self-gwerning Dominions. ${ }^{2}$ ?lo first part of his resolution was wretemed int all sides. and the Imperial (iowernment gladiy aceepted it a- far on they wrex concerned. But it was pointed ont that the second pary would raise considerable difficultics. Sir Jomph Ward and General Botha both laid some strese on the fact that it waimpossible in the Dominions to collect the full apparatis of information which was provided by the (ewernment department: in the Cuited Kingelom, and pointed wal the adsantages which acerued from the ministers of the trominions: meeting at onee all the ministers of the lomperial fervernment, which would not be the cave if the comferemere
were held in any one of the Dominions. Aecordingly it was agreed to adopt the resohtion in the following form :-
That in the opinion of this comferenee it is desirable that ministers of the United Kingdom and the Domininns should between the ('onferences exelange recinrocal visits so as to make themselves persomally acquanter, with all the varions parts of the Empire.
That her (iovernment of the loided Kingrom should take into eomsideration the possibility of lowding a meeting of the Conference or a subsidiary Conferenee in one of the oversea Deminiems.

## §. Ninal and Mhitary Defence:

Naval and military defences were not dienessed at the Conference itself. but were relegated for comsideration at the Committere of hmperial Defence.
Advantage was taken of this artangement in order to explain at fill length to the minister the situation of foreign affairs as a whole as it preserted itedf to His Majesty ${ }^{\circ}$ s (iowermment, and thon effert was given in the most comrenient possible mamer to the desire which hat been expressed in Parliament that the international sitmation shonld be fully explained th the delogates. It is chear that thr disenswion of that sitnation without -perial referenee to defenee would have been emewhat arademie. white its dowe relation to defence aremed both that it sumbl he in full confidence and that it shombl he hromght into contant with reality.

Following on this exposition of foreign relations, the fluestion of military and naval defence was disenssol. thomghore very definite resultes were arrived at, the whole plan being to contiom the arrangements which were mate at the Silitary and Nasal Conferener of $t$ ton- Statements. were laid before the Imperial Defener (b,immittere showing how far the recommendations of that Conference had been carried into effeet. ${ }^{1}$ The (ieneral staff harl mate considerable progress: a paper as to present arrangement: for lo ans. attachments. and interchanges of officers of the regular army

[^174]and offieers of the oversea Dominions had been drawn up and forwarded to the Colonial offier for the eonsideration of the Governments eoncermed. ('amada and New. Zealand had acrepted the proposals; Anstralia had not yet replied, and the Government of the U'mion of Sonth Africa were not pret in a position ta imake any engagements. ('amada had set on foot a seetion of the lmperial (iencral Staff : Anstralia had done likewise, atd su had New Zenland. The ("hief of the (ieneral statf at home had herome ('lief of the lmperial (ieneral Staff. lia oeder to establish a close commexion, the necessity of personal intereourse between eentral and local nections had been felt, and with a view to neeting this reguirement a system of semi-official correxpondence on rontine and training had been ewolvel. The dnties of the local seetions of the General Staff were local defences and the traning of trongs on lines simitar to these followed in the Coited Kingrom bey the leataing Directory at the War Officer.

Amather memoramdnm dealt with the examinations for the promotion of officers of the permanent forces of the Dominions, aud it showed how the Dominions had adopted simiar examinations to those which take place in this commtry, and as a matter of fact the Army (ommeil molertake the examination of ofteers of the permanent forees on most suljecets, excluding only those which drpend mon local eonditions.

The Committee considered that the action taken had adready resulted in marked improwement in military edneatioll.
'There was also laid before the (ommittere information at to the courses of instrmetion in the Cnited Kinedom and hadia for officers in the owersea Dominions, atel a memoran dom on the edneation of officers at the statf collegese

A statement was made as to the terms upon which the servieses of the lispector-(iencral of the overseas lorees contal lor secured for inspection purposes hy the self-governing Dominions. Inspections were only to be made at the rexpuest of the beminion (iovermments.
"I" resolutions with regard to naval matters are of more importance. 'They deedde in efferet the principles which are to regulate the organization of the naval forees of the Conted Kinglom and the two Dominions. ('anada and Anstralia, which have adepted the prineiple of establishing loeal naviers. In time of peace the naval servieres and forees of the Dominions will be exehsively mater the control of their respertive fovermment-. but trating and dise ipline wiol be generally miform: by armagement there will be interchanges of oftiorers alld men betwern the forees of the Dobathions and these moler the eonton of the Admiralty. 'The ships of the bominion forcomments will be styed His. Majosty゚s ('anadian and His Majonty゚s Anstmalian ships respertively, amd they will hoist at the stern the White Ensign as a symbol of the allothrity of the ('rown, and at the jack-staff the distimetive thar of the bominion, and the
 aswigned to the dustralian and C'imadian fowernments.

The C'anadian Athantic station is to include the waters north of 30 month latitade and west of the meridian of for west longitude

The Canadian Pacitie station is to indlade the waters north of 30 north latitude, and east of the meridian of $180)$ longitude.

The Anstrahatn naval station is to inchele: -
Oll the Dorth. From 9.8 east hongitude be the paralle 13 sonth latitude to $1: 0$ east longitude, thenere morth to 11 sonth hatitude. thence to the bommary with bitels Liow (ininca outhe molth coast in abont longitnde $1+1{ }^{3}$ ceast,
 with derman New dininea in lititmer sonth. thenee cast




 thetese sollth

12:403

Ol" the West. By the meridian of $95^{\circ}$ enast longitude.
In the event of the Canadian or Anstralian Governmenta sending their vessels to another part of the British Empire, notier is to be given to the British Admiralty, and if they desire to send shipss to foreign ports the conenremee of the Imperial Gowernment is to be obtained, in order that the necessary arrangements with the Foreign Office may be made. as is now dome belwees the Admiralty and the Foreign office in the ease of ships of the British Fiect.

White the ships of the Dominions ate at aforeign pert. a report of their proceedings will be forwarded by the oftierer in eommand to the Commander-in- 'hief on the station or to the British Admiralty. Theoffiererin command of a Bominion ship so long as he remains in the foreign port will obey any instructions he may rerive from the fovernment of the United Kingdom as to the eonduct of any intemational matters that may arise, the bominion (iovermment being informed.

The commanding officer of a Dominion ship having to put into a foreign port withont previons arrangement on aeconnt of stress of weather, damage, or any unforeseen cmergency, will report his arrival and reason for ealling to the Commander-in-( 'hief of the station or to the Admiralty, and will obey, so long as he remains in the foreign port, any instructions he may roorive from the Gowomment of the United Kingdom as to his relations with the authorities, the Dominion (iovermment being informed.

When a ship of the British Admiralty meets a ship of the Dominions, the erenior officer will have the right of command in matters of ceremony or international intereourse, or where united action is agreed upon, but will have no power to direct the movements of ships of the other service mese the ships are ordered to co-operate by motual arrangement.

In foreign ports the senior officer will take command, but not so as to intorfere with the orters that the junior may have received from his own fovernment.

In time of war. when a haval servier or and part therenf has been put at the disposa' f the Imperial fovernment by
the Dominion antherities, the ships will form an int egral patt of the British Heet, and with remain meder the control of the Admiralty dming the comtinmance of the war.

In time of peatere arrangerments will be mate between the Abmiralty and the Dominions for the shipe of the Dominions to take part in theot exoreises of for any joint traning conn-
 the eommand af that affier he wonld mot, homever. interfere with the intromal eromomy of hije of allother siviar hinther than absohntrly nerereary.

 Dominion sorvire al the time the British Ahmiatly if requested, wit make the neeresilly aramgements to emathe " (bourt to be formed. Irowiong will be made fiy Order of His Majesty in Commeil, and by the Dhominion fiowernments resperetively to define the amditions moder whide ofticerse of the ditferent servieres are lo sit oll joint rourts: martial.

The Brit ish Admiralty medertakes to lend to the Duminions daring the period of development of their semeves, under conditions to be agreed upon, such flag offeeer and other offieers and mes as may be needed. Wi their selection preference will be given to olfieres and men eonning fon on eonneeted with the Dominions. hat they shonld all be volonteres for the service.

The selvire of offerers of the Rritish theot in the Dominiont natial forees, of of oflerers of these forees in the british theet. will count in all resperta for oromotions. pay. retirement. \&e. as service int their re i... is - I rees.

In order to de: :", is, atio quations of semonty that may.
 and the in senionty determis af hy the date of their commis-
 Anstralian serviders.


[^175]King's regulations and Admintity inatrmetions und the
 Govermments will emmmmiedate to call other my changes whielt they propesie to make in those regulations or that Act.

It wall be seroll that the proposals vithally arerept in the finllex way the indepenterne of the Dominion maidex sime Where intermational rehationt are concerned and save in wor, when the Admiralty will assmone full control of the navies if and when the appopriate anthority, the fiovernor in Comuril, phaces rither at the di-posial of the Amiratty for the war. 'The only lomistation mern-ary to colfert this cond would
 at as to apply it to the lomminon therta when maler the control of the . Whimalty in time of war, and to remove any dombtas to the extra-temitorial (preation of the Dominton Haws.

It falls to be adeled that mothing was satid at the ('onferener: itself on (ienoral Bothais propesed resolutom as to the changing to any subsidy granted to the haty of the cost of toeal defence works, the matter heing laft for disenssion between the Admialty and the sonth Afrean" reprenentatives.

## § (i. Jhe, RF\& lits of the ('onfehencoi:

Mr. Asquilh athl sif Joseph Wiand wore fully justified in claming that the ( onference conld chathenge eomparison with ally of its prederesoms as regarls loth the amoment of What wisdone and the importaner of the conchasions arrived at. L'nquestomahls the manis inportanere of the Conferenere com-ints in the bat that for the first time the Imperial
 Premiog a full statement of the prostion of internatiomal politier. e-pmedilly in their hearing ont the poollems of defonere It is, of eotame trome that the importatere of the
 ministers into the orreme imprii completesonly the principle wheh has heon acted upon comsiotently in recent year-

[^176]of explaining th the lhminion (ionomments the aspert of



 forenceof lam! man have meremitated explamations. fint it is trone that this is the first oxeasom on whioh it has berom
 recoive from the Imprial Cowromurolt a fall expesition of


 Both: on the fact that the Prime . Ninisters have hern taken into contidence and givern a shate in the (imsermonent of the Empire.

On the other hand. it mast beremembered that mothing has yet heron dome to make this Name other than mominal, illil
 ('anala gives no malerlaking that she will antomatieally take an active part in was entered into lig the (invormant of the United Kingalom. He rxpmesty ilerlined to acrept
 submitted to the Deminions before they were ratitied by the lmperial (ienormment, !iving as his rasish that, if the Dominions demanded that they shonht the comalterl in rexgat



This is. of romse. in protiot hatmony with his repreated

 Wre shall always le allareked, meither del saly that we wombly
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## MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2 )

take part in all the wars of England. 'That is a matter that must be determined by diremmstameses men which the ('anadian l'adiament will have to pronomere and will hawe to decede in its own best judgement. So at the Imperial (onference ${ }^{1}$ he maintaned the view that if the Dominions rlamed a right to be comsulted, and they were consulted and their advice was followed, they wonld be bound to follow the fortmes of England by ative participation in a war ensuing on the adoption of the ceonsse advised. and wo in Canala before the ('onference he stated that the question of the l)erdaration of London was one for a sovereign power, not for C'anada. thongh it conld be discussed in a quasi-official way:-

The attitude of C'mada-no doubt the only possible atti-tude-of eonse prevents any real partnership in the foreign poliey of the Empire for the present, and explains if it hardly justifies the somewhat sareastic referenees in the opposition press in Australia ${ }^{3}$ to the statements of Mr. Fisher as to the results of the Conference in this regard. Still, the acceptance of the principle of consultation in such a case as the Declaration of Lendon is a real step in advance without any exact parallel.

Great importance attaches ako to the decision with regard to the question of natmralization. It is not merelythat the decision to permit a foreigner who has beeome naturalized in one of the Jominions under the local haw to obtain. after five years' residenee in the British Empire. a naturalization which would be of world-wide effect is a logical one, and does a good deal to lessen the absurdity by Whicla a man may be Prime Minister of a Colony and yet ant alien ' When he attends the 'oronation ecrenomy but it
${ }^{1}$ Parl. P'up., ('d. 574.5, p. 117, where he reiterates his earlier view.
*The Liberal press in C'analia ahost unanimously supported the Prime Minister's attitude.
${ }^{3}$ c. g. Melboume Atye, June :2, 1911; Hobart Mirrury, Junc :2. Fur a monlerate view, cf. Britivh Australusian, June 3; Times, July 13 (where also Mr. Fisher's views are given) : Parliamentary Debat s, 1911, p. 5xד.
${ }^{4}$ Ineligible for a Privy Conncillorship or a Peerage : see $1: 2$ di: Will. IH. c. e. s. 3. 1 barenetey or a hnighthoud can of comse $i_{x}$. bestuwed even on an alien: see Fursyth, Caves and opiminns on Comsti.
also serves a most important cond as a partial suhtion of the problem of the assimilation of the vast momber of Amerieans who are ponring into ('anadis, and who, as a ruke, sect naturalization as soon as pessible. Sir Wilfrid Lanrior laid stress on the fact that these mon at proser eonld become ('inadiams but never Britisl! subjecets in the full semse, and it is elear that this position is a slecided menaer to the eontinned maintenance of C'mada as an intimate part of the Empire.

One ofher great constitutional reform eonsists in the agreement to estahlish an Imperial (onnt of Appeal wheh shall sit in two divisions, one of them to represent the Honse of Lords, and one to represent the Juchicial Committee of the Privy Council. The existing Honse of Loreds and the Judicial Committee alike will be strengthenced for this purpose by the addition of two judges of the highest standing, thus increasing to six the number of Lorde of Appeal whose services are permanently available for nse in the highest eourts. The normal quorum of judges in the l'rivy Commeil and in the Honse of Lords will be increased to tive, and judgements of the Privy Council will in future be delivered in a new form. At present only one jnelgement is delivered, without indication whether it is unanimons or merely that of a majority, or of the grounds on which the minority, if any, has dissented from the finding of the Conrt ; this form is convenient and proper, as it is intended to be given effect to by order of His Majesty in Commeil, and therefore there must be some judgement of the (ourt as a whole. The principle will be retained in future, but His Majesty's eonsent will be asked to a change by which it will be open for any juelge who dissents from the deeision of the Conrt to set forth the reasons for his dissent, although the judgement will still remain that of the whole committee.

In eommercial matters, while there was mond less disenssion than in $190 \overline{7}$, the actual record of performanee was more substantial. The creation of a Royal Commission, inchuding tutional Laur, p. 329. Innexation places, it seems. an weet of the annexed country in the same position as a natural-born subject ie.g. (ieneral Botha's Privy Councillorship in 1907).
representatives of the United Kingdom and the Dominions, to examine into the natural resoures and trade eonditions of all the self-geverning parts of the Empire. promises to be of real service and the solution of many problems regarting inter-Imperial trade. If no practicable scheme for an AllRed Route has yet been devised, the interest of the diovernments has already evoked an improwment in the serviees conducted by private enterprise, and the problem will mo donbt ultimately be solved in this manner. The PostmasterGeneral was able to promise very substantial reductions both in deferred ordinary messages and in press telegrams, while the British (iowermment somewhat unexpertedly presented for approval a seleme which will ereate a chain of wireles: tel graph stations extending from England to Cyprus, Aden, Bumbay, the Straits, and Western Australia. A minor pustal reform was promised in the extension to Canada and Australia of the British Postal Order system.
The diseussion on emigration, if not directly fruitful in results, was of great value in that it disposed of the claim whieh has been made in England that the Government should give more attive assistance to emigration. All readers of the discussion must realize that the existing omigration represents to the full all the population that Great Britain ean spare for the Dominions, and that, taken on the whole, the existing emioration agencies, publie and private, so fully meet the needs of the situation that the expenditure of Imperial funds: on emigration eannot be justified.
The other discussions were in the main negative in result. The attempt to obtain for the Dominions wider legislative powers in matters of shipping broke down almost at onee in view of the discrepancy of opinion which was revealed on the part of the several Governments as to the powers which they aetually possessed as matters stood, while the Imperial Government was not prepared to surrender to the Dominion Legislatures powers to regulate British ships on the high seas, which must result de facto in a preference to foreign vessels, or in retaliation on British slipping by foreign Powers. Questions of revenue prevented the Imperial

Government from ofloring any coneresom regarding the pas ment of donhle ineome-tax or of domble death-dhties, or the remision of tamp dhtirs levied on (olonial bonds. 'The propesal of the Imperial (iovernment that the Labome Fixchangess shonld be ned in connexion with emigration to the Dominions failed of acerptance owing to a hesitatom as to the proposill by the Dominabi ministers which prowed impossible to remove. Resolntions were passed in favour of greater miformity in the matter of trade-marks, copyright, and patents law, but such resolntions are now common form, and it is dombtful whether murh can be aceomplished to earry them into effert moless the Dominions are prepared in these mattere to areept the Imperial standards, and this they have not all yot shown much readiness to do. Similar eonsiderations apply to the resolntion which was adopted in favour of the mataal enforeoment thronghont the Empire of judgements inchoding eommereial arhitration awards, especially as the matter is not one which can be dealt with cither by the Parliament of the Dominion of Camada or the Parliament of the (ommonwealth, but mast be left to sime h action as may commend it self to the Parliaments of the States and Provinces not directly represented on the Conference. The disenssion of the Declaration of London clearly showed the disadrantages under which the Dominion ministers suffer in dealing with such a subject. The Imperial fovernment were in this case inevitably superior in the moderstanding of the iswes in question, and no argament was adranced by Dominion ministers which had not been already put forward. and with greater effect, hy crities in the Cuited Kingdom. sir Edward inrey had therefore mo difficulty in mereting the argmments adduced by the Dominion ministers and in obtaining the assent of all the Dominions (Anstralia abstaning) to the sifation of the Decharation, and Mr. Fivare, thongh mable consistently to vote for the ratitication, said that he fully realized that despite its defects, the Declaration was a great improvement on the existing state of affairs. The opponents of the Declaration did not feel that the sithation was materially altered by the assent of the Premiers, since,
in their opinion, they had not been in a position to make any such sturly of the question as to justify reliance on their judgement.
'The disenssions on defence matters were, of course, contidential, but there was, as a matter of b.e.t, mothing of any substantial importance to deal with so far as military defence was concerned, for the conference of 1909 had settled in principle the lines on which Imperial co-operation in defence are to proceed, and therefore nothing more remained to be done on this oceasion but to affirm the principles already accepted, and to report the progress already made in carrying out the resolutions of 1909 . As regards naval defence much was done to render explicit the agreement arrived at in 1909. ${ }^{1}$

As regards commereial treaties a definite step was taken in the deeision to attempt to secure the right of separate withdrawal from old treaties for the Dominions. But this is merely a earrying ont of an old principle, nor cloes the Imperial Government seem to have conceded the right to any Dominion to conchode a treaty with a foreign eountry in which it would diseriminate against the United Kingdom."
'The ehange of government in Canala is expected to result in the reference to the people of the question of Cabadian participation in naval defence: see Mr. Melletier, Canalian Gazefte, iviii. 188; Mr. Monk, Moms, of Commoms, Now. $23,191 \mathrm{I}$. Both navie; have adoperd the new rule as to thag .
"See New Zealand Parliamentary Dehu'es, cks. !3. ('f. alsu ('a alian Gia:tte, lviii. 173, 177, 178; Ewart, The King!om P'afers, pp. 107, lus. Nrgoliation for witherwal had alrealy been at tempted with Anstria and
 p. 3:37. The negotiations have been legm, ame a new treaty made with Polivia. For a list of the treatics. see p. I lin3, which as affecting all or some Dominions fall to be acded that of 1826 with France, that of $188: 3$ with Italy, that with Moroceo of 185 t , that of 1888 with Mexico, and porsibly one or two others ; (f. House of C'ommons Debates, xxx. 703. 841.
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# APPENDIX OF PREROCATINE INSTRLMENTS 

## (AN.ID. 1

'line instrmments isoned moder the prevegative for the Govermment of ('anadia comprise (I) Letfers P'atent muler the fireat seal constitnting the affice of (invernoredemeral, (2) Roval Instructions meder the Sign Mammal imf Nigult tothe Governor-Gencral, and (3) the fommisaion th the (invernorGeneral. 'The $\mathbf{t w o}$ former instrments are permanemt, the hast is isumed ancw with eitell change of fovernors. but is mot otherwise varied in form. The permanent hetters patent and instrnctions were first issued in 1878 , ind represent the resint of Mr. Blake's criticisms; ${ }^{1}$ they were revised in $1!0.5$. and show traces of the inthence of the similar instruments for the ('ommonwealth."

## I

LETTEERS PATENT passed moder the Great Shal of the Cnited Kingdom, constitnting the Office of GovernorGeneral and ('ommander-in-thief of the Dominion of ('anada.

Letter: I'atent. Deted 15th Jume 1!wis.
Bdward ' , i, by the Ciane of God of the United Kimgdom of Gro, .. .nd Ireland, and of the British Dominions beyond the So , Cefender of the Faith, Emperor of India: T'o all to whe sesents shall come, lirceting:
Whereas by certain Letters latent under the (irea Seal of Our Lnited Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland bearing date at Westminster the Fifth day of October 1878, Her late Majnsty (Quren Virtoria did constitute, order, and declare that there should be a fiovemor-General in and over Our Dominion of Camada, and that the person filling the said othee of Governor-(ieneral should be from time to time appointed by Commission muler the Rogal Nign Danual and Signet :

[^178]Amd whereas it is Gur Will and pleasure to revoke the said haters l'atent, and to subatitite other prosisions in place thereof:
Sow therefore Wie do leve these presents revere and determine the said recited latters latent, and ewervthing therein amtained, hatt withont prejudier to anything lawfolly dome thereumder: And Wro do derlare Gur Will and pleasure as follows:

1. Wre do hereber constitute, order, and dechare that there shall her a Cowermor-fomeral and Commander-in-Chirf ${ }^{1}$ in and wire Gur

 OHr Nign Vannal and Nignet.
 General and fommander-in- 'linef (herreinafter ralled Girr said diovernor-(ieneral) to do and execole, in due mamer, all thinge that shall belong to his satid ofliee, and to the trust Wi, hase reposere in hime, areorling to the several powers and authentions grantem or appointed him by virtue of The Brifish Aorth Almerive Act, 1867, anel of these present latters l'atent and of surch Commission as may ber issued to him under Our Nign Manual ent Signet, and aceording tu surlo Instructions as may ha m time to time be given to him, under Our Kiga Manual a di Signet, or lyy Our Ouler in Our Privy Comeril, or le L's through one of Our Prineipal Secretaries of State, and to surlu Laws as are or shall hereafter be in foree in Our said Dominion.
2. And We do herelge e thorize and empower Our satd (ir :ernorGeneral to krep and use the Gieat Seal of Our said Dominion for sealing all things whatsoever that shall pass the said Great Soml.
III. And Wr lo further anthorize and empower Our said Govemon General to constituto and appoint, in Our name and on Our helalf. all such Judwes, Commissioners, Justices of the Peaef, and other neressary Oflicers and Hinisters of Our said Dominion, as may in lawfully eonstituted or appointel by [s.e
IV. And Wie do fint her authorze and empower Our said (iovemor(iencral, so far as W'e lawfully may, upon sufficient cause to him eppearing, to remove from his office, or to suspend from the exereise of the same, any person exercising anyoffice within Our said Dominion. under or by virture of any Commission or Warrant granted, or which may be granted. by L's in Our name or under Our anthority.
$\dot{V}$. And We do further authorize and empower (hur said Governon(ieneral to exercise all powers lawfulty belonging to Us in rexpere of the summoning, prorogeling, or dissolving the larliament of Ons said 1)ominion. ${ }^{3}$

[^179]
 think fit, to anthorize the fiovermar limeral of forr thominnon of













 Deputy or Deputios shall not affere the exerrane of any such puwer.

VII. Aind Wi do herebe dechare Gur plemsure to he that, in the
 (iowernor-(iencral ont of Onr said Dominion, all and evers the powers and anthorities herein manted to hims shath, mutil Gur further pleasure is simified therein. Bre westel in sum prosom as maty he


 or persons as may be appointed by ['s muder Gur Sign Mamal aml Signet to administer thr fiovermment of the same; and in case there shall be no persoa or persons within (bur salid Dameinion so appuinted by V's, then in Our Chief Jutier for the thme beine of the supmeme ("omrt of Our said Domminom, or, in raser of the death, intapatity: removal, or absence out of Onr said Dommion of Our sainl (Chirf Justice for the time beine then in the semior Jorlar for the time being of Our said Supreme (omet then rexitling in Gur said bommion and not beiner unter ineapacit

Provided alwass, that the I somion duder shatl act in the administration of the Cowemment only if and when Ont sail! (hirf Instiee shall unt be present within onir sabl Daminion and (aprahle uf ahministering the fioverument.

Provided further that mun such peners or anthoritios ahatl wist in
 or they shatl have taken the dathe apminterl to ber taken be the (invernor-(ienfal of One saill Iowinon, and in the mamer pacided hy the lustructions acrompandit, these Gin Lepters latrat.

[^180]




 mont of Gur saill Dominion.



X. Amil Wie do further direet and enjoin that these Gur Latters






By Wartant mulder the kimés Sign Mamat.
MUII M.UCKE.N\%IE.

## 11


 of the Dominion ol 1 'amala.

## Pheted 1:\%he Jume isu:.

l:OW.JRD R. \& I.
Isstrectoss to (hir Gosernor-fiemeral and Commander-in-thof in and over Our Dominion of Camada, or, in his nhmorere, to thor Lirn tenant-fovernor or other Olliere for the time being alministetin: the (iovermanent of Onr said Dominion.

Cisen :t Our Court at Saint James's, l!as Frifteenth day of Jmer, 1905, in the Fifth year of Our Remen.
WIIEREAS be certain Laters latem hemmg date herrwith We have constitued, ordered, and dechared that there shath he
 said (Governor-foneral) in and ower (har Dominion of ('anada (hereinafter catled (har said Dominion). And Wir hate therehy anthorizai and commanded Our said Governordencral to do and execote in due mamere all things that shall belonge to his said othice. and to low trist We have reposed in him, a cording to the sweral powers anel anthorities granted or appointer …m he sirtme of the said Lector Patent and of siteln Coms issim. may be issurd to him mader w Sign Mannal and Signet, and ancombing to such Jnstructions as w. from time to time be given to him. muder Gm Sign Mamal and Sismen. or be Our Cider in Our Prisy Commil, or he Cs thrond, One of 1 On Pris: ipal servetarios of state, and tosuch Laws ans are or shall hem. after be in force in Our sitid Dominion: Now, therefure, We do, the










 Nexsion hohbou in the thirty-first wal thertememel y , ur of the limisu



 and for the due and inpartial admintstrathon of juxtwe; whirh Oathe thr said Chief Justice for the time being of (Jur and Inminion,
 any Judge of the supreme Court of Uar said Domimion shall, and he is hereby repuited to tender and inhminister unto hime or them.
II. Aud We do muthorize and require Gur said Gowernordienmat from time to time, by himself or by any other person to be anthorizal ly him in that behalf, to administer to all ind to every perans or person, as he shall think fit, who shall hohl any otline or phare of tust or profit in Our said Dominion, the said Watli of . Illegiance, tone ther with such other Oath or Oaths as may from time to time be preseribed by any Laws or Statutes in that behalf made and provided.
111. And W'e do require Our said Gowemer-(inmeral to commanicate forthwith to the Privy Comacil for (Gur said Dominion these Wur Instructions, and likewise all such others, from time to time, as ho whall find converient for Gur serwice to be imperted to them.
IV. Our satid Governor-timemal is to take vald that all Salla assented to by him in Our amme, or reserved for the simifiention of Wur pleasure thereon, shall, when thansmittel be him, be faine abstracted in the margins, and be arompanied, in such rowes as may seem to him mecessary, with such explanatory ou revath i. 4.4 may be required to exhibit the reasons and oceasio. 'or proporing such Laws; and he shall also transmit fair copies of the Jobatalls and Minutes of the proceedings of the larliament of gur said Dominion, which he is to require from the clerks, or other proper officers in that behalf, of the said l'arliament.'
I. And We do further authorize and empower Our sid dovernorGeneral, as he shall see occasion, in Our name and on Our behahf,

[^181]When any erime or offence agamst the laws of (Hur said Dominion ${ }^{1}$ has been committed for which the offender may be tried therein, to Hrant a pardon to any areomplier, in such crime or offence, who shatl give such information as shall lead to the conviction of the principal offender, or of any one of such offeuters if more than one; and further, to grant to any offender convided of any such erime or offence in any Court, or before any Judere, Justice, or Magistrate, within Our said Dominom, a pardon, cither free or subject to lawful conditions, or any respite of the execution of the sentence of any such offender, for such period as to Our said Govemor-(ieneral may seem fit, and to remit any fines, penalties, or forfeitures which may become due and payable to l's. P'rovided ahways, that Our said Governor-General shall not in any case, except where the offence has been of a political nature, makre it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the offender shall be banished from or shall absent himself from Our said Dominion. And we do hereby direct and enjoin that Our said Govemor-(ieneral shall not pardon or reprieve any such offender without first receiving in eapital cases the adviee of the lhisy Comeil for Our said Dominion, and in other cases the advice of one, at least, of his Ninisters; and in any case in which such pardon or reprieve might direetly affect the interests of Our Empire, or of any country or phate beyond the jurisdiction of the Government of Our said Dominion. Our said Governor-General shall, before deciding as to either pardon or reprieve, take those interests specially into his own personal consideration in conjunction with such advice as aforesaid.
II. And whereas great prejudice may happen to Our service and to the security of Our said Dominion by the absence of Our said Governor-fieneral, he shall not, upon any pretence whatever, quit Our said Dominion without having first obtained leave from Us for so doing under Our Sign Manual and Signet, or through one of Our Principal Secretaries of state.
E. R. \& I.

## III

COMDISSLON passed under the Royal Sign Minnaal and Nignet, appointing Field Marshal His RoyablHighess the Duke of Comnaught and (of) Strathearn, K.(i., K.T., K.P., C.C.B., G.C.S.I.. G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E., (i.C.V.O., to be Governor-Ceneral and Commander-in-Chief of the Dominion of cinada.

Dated March 6; 1911.

i. e. nut offences against provinciallans, as he was empowered to do up tw 1905 Hee p. lisit, $n . \ddot{\circ}$, for the umission of reference to rime triabl, in the (),minion thongh committed ontside. an omission which oremrs also in lonom finstuctions, clanse ix. In the ('nion the fiovernor-lieneral would seem for have no power to pardon offences against provincial as opposed to Conion law. for "laws of the ('nion' can hardly be preased to mean 'lans in force in thi-

(aliollal: li.I.
George the Fifth, by the Gitare of (iond of the ["uted Kinedom of Great Britain and Lreland and of the British Dominions beyomd
 Onr most dear am? cutmely beloved lonche and most faithful Comnsellor Aothur Willian I'atrick Albert, Duke of Comameht and Stratherm, Kuight of Owr Dost Noble Order of the (iartere \& 8 ., (irceting.
WE: do, be this Onr Commission mular Our Nign Manaal and Signet, appoint you, the sainl lluke of Commanht and strathearn to be, during Our pleasure, Our Govemor-Gemmal and ('ommander-inChief in and over Our Donninion of Canada, with all the powers, rights, privileges, and aldantages to the said oflice belonging or appertaining.

1I. And We do hereby authorize, empower, and command you to exercise and perform all and singular the powers and diections contained in certain Letters Patent monder the (ireat sioal of Onr Cuital Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, bearing date at Westminster the Fifteenth day of Junc 1:\%\%, constituting the said Ollice of Governor-General and Commander-in-Chef, or in any other Lettres Patent adding to, amending, or substituted for the samme, acrording to such Orders and lnstructions as Uur (iovernor-fiencral and Commander-in-Chiof for the time being hath abready receivel, on as you may hereafter receive from C's.
III. And further We do herely appoint that, so soon as you shall have taken the preseribed oaths and have entered upon the duties of your Office, this Our present Commission shall supersede the Commission unter the Sign Manual and Signet of His late Majesty, King Edward the soventh, bearing date the Sixteenth day of Jume, 190j̈, appointing Our Right Trusty and Right Well-beloved Cousin Abbert Henry Georqe, bind lime, Knight Grand Cross of Gur Most Distinguished Order of saint Micharl and saint Coborge (now a Member of Our Most Honourable Privy Commil and also Kuight Grand Cross of Our Rosal Victorian Order), to be GevernorGeneral and Commander-in-Chef in and over Our Dominion of Canada.
IV. And We to herehy command all and singular Our othecre, Ministers, and low mog subjects in Our said Dominion, and all others Whom it may concem, to take due notice hereof and to give their ready obedience accordingly.

Given at Our Court at Naint James's this Sixth day of Mareh, 1911, in the First year of Uur Reimn.

By Ilis Majesty゚ Command,
L. HARCOURT.

## COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

In the case of the Commonwealth there are four instruments, (1) Letters Patent eonstituting the office of (iovernorGeneral, (2) Instructions, (3) Commission, and (4) a Dormant ('ommissich providing for the administration of the (iowernment in the absence, \&e., of the Governor-(ieneral. The last instrument is rendered neeessary by the fact that the framers of the Commonwealth did not desire the Chief Justice to administer the government.

As the Constitution itself, in ss. 2 and 61 , recognizes the office of Governor-General and confers upon him the executive government of the Commonwealth, and allows him, subject to the Constitution, to exercise such powers and functions of the Crown as may be conferred upon him, the creation of the office by letters patent has been eriticized. But the practice eriticized rests upon obvious grounds of convenience. The only alternative would have been to include in the commission issued to each Governor-General the rules laid down in clauses I. II, and VI-X of the letters patent, and it was elearly much more convenient to have permanent instruments accompanied by permanent instructions than a temporary commission aecompanied by temporary or even by permanent instructions. It must be remembered, moreover, that the first rule contained in the letters patent as to the mode of appointing the GovemorGeneral, by commission under the sign manual and signet. could hardly have been included in the Governor-General: commission, and would have had to he had down, if it was to be laid down at all, in some other instrument.

Similarly as regards the Union. In the ease of (innda the position is different, for the office of Governor-General is not expressly ereated by the British North America Act, and the formal ereation is therefore still less open to objection than in the eases of the Commonwealth and the Union, where the office is expressly created by the Constitution. In all other eases the need of permanent letters patent is obvious: the office of Governor generally is not ereated at all by virtue of the Constitution Aets. It is assumed throughout the statute book that there is an officer so styled, and that he administers the government, but the ereation is left to the prerogative. The Crown must both name from time to time the persons: to exercise these powers: and must also assign the exact
nature of the powers. thongh of conrse within the limite of the statute law of the colony and of the common-law powerof the ('rown in that Colong. The instrmment are therefore perfectly simple and nseful. It is. howerer, the division of the dormment which has led to the ( hief Justiee of Somth Sinstralia thinking that moder the power to appoint andepots: Governor given in the lettere patent the depmety can only exereise powers resting on the prerogatione and not therefore
 merely reaffirmation of prerogative powers. For a depuly is merely one form of a (iovernor, and so long as the commission rontained both the appointment of the fowermor and his powers the right of the 'rown to say that a man seleeted by the Governor shond be (iovernor for certain purposes conlat hardly be denied.' But the division of instruments was neither intended to change nor haw it really changel the penition.

## 1

 Conited Kinglom, romstitutiog the oflice of : iovernorGeneral and ('ommander-in-('hief of the ('ommonwealth of . Instralia.

## 

Victoria, by the (irace of food of the Conited Kingdom of Ciceat Britain and Iteland Queen, Defender of the Faitl, Empress of India: To all to whom these Presents shall come, Greeting.
WHEREAS by an Act of Parliament passed on the Ninth diy of huly 1900, in the Sixty-fourth vear of Our Reign, intituled 'An Aet to constitute the Commonwealth of Australia', ${ }^{2}$ it is martem that - it shall be lawfel for the Queen, with the advie of the Prive Commeil, to deelare by Proclamation that, on and after a day therein appointed, hot being later than one year after the passing of this Act, the people of New South Wales. Victoria, South Australia. Queensland, and Tasmania, and also, if Mer Majosty is mastied that the people of Western Anstralia have agreed thereto, of Wentern Anstailia, shall be muted in a Federal Commonweith under the name of the Commonwealth of Anstralia. But the Queen mas, at any time after prochamation. appoint a (iowernorGeneral for the Commonwealth :

And whereas Wie did on the serenteenth day of september $0_{14}$. thonsand nine humdred, by and witlit the adviee of One Privy Comeil,

[^182]derlare hy Proclamation that, on and after the First day of Jmmary One thonsand nime lomded and one the people of Vew South Wales, Vietoria, south Anstralia, (Queconsland, and Tasmama, and also Westem Anstralia, shonld be mited in a Federal Commonwealth under the name of the Commonwealth of Anstralia: And whereas by the said recited Act remtain powers, functions, and anthorities were dedared to be wested in the Gevernor-fieneral: And whereas Wre are desirons of making effectnal and permanent provision for the Ollior of (iowemon-feneral and Commanh-in-Chief in and ower Onr said Commonwealth of Dustralia, withont making now Letters batent ou mach demise of the said Ofliere: Now know ye that We have thoment fit to constitute, order, and declare, and do be these presents consitute, ordere and derlare, that there shall he a (ionernor-diemeral and Commander-in-(hief (heremafter called the (iovernor-(iencral) in and over Our Commonwealth of Anstralial (hercinafter called Ont said (ommonwealth), and that the person who shall fill the said Othere of (exermor-fienemal shall be from time to time appointed by Commission mader Onr Nign Mamal and Signet. And We do hereby anthorize and rommand $W_{11}$ said (bovernor-dieneral to an and execonte. in due manner, all thins that shatl belong to his said command, and to the trost We have reposed in him, aceording to the several powers and anthorities granted or apminted him ly
 of these present Lettors Patent and of surh Commission as may be issued to him onder Onr Sign Mamal and Simet, and aceording to sueh Instructions as may from time to time be given to him, under Onr Sign Mamal and Signet, or hy Our Orler in Onr Prive Council. or hy C's through one of Our Principal Neeretaries of State, and to suchi laws as shall hereafter be in force in Onr said Commonwealth.
II. There shall he a (ireat Neal of and for Onr said Commonwealth. which Onr said (iovernor-deneral shall keep and use for sealing all things whatsoever that shall pass the said (ireat seal. F. rided that until a Great seal shall be provided. the Private Seal of Our said (Governor-feneral may be need as the (ireat seal of the Commonwealth of Australia.
111. The Govemor-fiencral may constitnte and appoint, in Our name and on Gne behalf, all such Judges, Commissioners. Jnstices of the Peace, and coher necessary Oflicers and Ninisters of One said Commonweatth, as max be lawfrily constitnted or appointed hy Us, 1
IV. The (iovernor-(ienem) so far as Wi Omelves lawfully mas. upon sufficient eanse to him appearing, may remove from his oflice. or shspend from the exercise of the same, any person exercising any oftice of Gur said Commonweath, under or by virtne of any Commission or Warrant granted, or which may be ganted, by Ls in Onr name or under Our authority.
V. The Governor-General may on Onr behalf exercise all powers

1 The powers given by Clauses JII and IV are already conferred hy ss. bit and lia of the Constitition).

 the Parliament of Gur sid $^{\text {sid }}$ 'ommonwealth. ${ }^{1}$

 the (iovernor-dineral to appoint any person or presoms, jeintly on severally, to he his Depaty or Dtputies within any part uf Whr


 Deputies, subject to any limitations appresed on dimetions wiven ly
 (ieneral, subject to such limitations and diections as aforesaid. to appoint any person or persons, juintly or severally, to be his loperty
 and in that capacity to extreise during his pleasime. surlo of his powers and fundions, as hemat derm it meersary or expedient to assign to him or them: Provided alwass, that the apomintment of such a Depmety beputies shall mot affert the exereve be the ( dowernor-fieneral limself of any power or function.
VII. And We do herelsy derlate Gur pleasure to be that, in the pernt of the death, incapacity, removal, or absence of (Wur satil (iovernor-faneral mit of Gur said fommonwalth, all and crery the powers and anthoritios herein grated to hims shall, matil our further pleasmere is signifiel therein. be wested in such person as may br appointed be les mater Wir :Sign Mamal and signet to be "ur Liemenant-(iovernor of Gur sitil Commonwalth: or if there shall be no such Lientenant-(fovern in in Gur said (ommonwalth, then
 Mannal and signet to ahminister the Covermment of the same. Provided almays that ther alserner of the Ciomermor-lieneral from Our swid Commomweulth for the purpuse of cisiling Ger territory of I'npene shatl not be deemed absence ont oj" (har stail 'ommumnealtio irithon the
 or anthorities shall vest in such Lientemant-fowemor, of such other person or persoms, matil her they shall have taken the mithes appointed to be taken by the (Governor-timeral of Our satil ('ommonwalth, and in the mamer provided be the Instruetions accompanying these Our Laters Patent.
VIII. And Wir to trerber mequire and ammand all Our Otliers and Ministers, ('isil and Military and all other the intalitants of Gur said Commonwealth, to be oborlient, adidige and assistiog muto Our said Governm-fiemeral, or. in the event of his death, incaparity,

[^183]or absenee, to such person or persons as mav, from time to time, mader the provisions of these Our Letters liatent, arminister the Govermment of Our said Commonwealth.
IX. And We do herehy reserve to Ourselve. Our heirs and suméssors, full power and authority from time to thme to rewoke, atter, or amend these Our Letters liatent as to Co or them shall seem meet. ${ }^{1}$
X. And We do further dieret and enjoin that these Our Letters latent slall be reat and proclaimed at simeli place or places as Our said Governoi-fieneral shall think fit within Owr said Commonwealth of Anstralia.

In Witness whereof Whe have cansed these ()wr Letters to be made l'atent. Witness Onrsidf at Westminster, the twenty-nint! day of October, in the Sixtr-fourth Year of Omr Reign.

By Warrant under the Cuern's Sien Manual.
MUER MAC'KENKIE.

## II

 Signet to the (iovemor-(General and ' 'ommander-in-('hief of the ('ommonwealth of Australia.

VH"TORLA R. I.
Instrictions to Our (iovernor-General aml Commander-in-Chief in and over Our Commonweath of Austraiia, or in his absence, to Our Lientenant-fovernor or the Offieer for the time beine administering the (iovermment of Owr said Commonwealth.
(iiven at Our Conrt at Kaint James's this Twenty-ninth day of Ortober 1900, in the Sixty-fourth year of Our Reign.
WHEREAS by efrtain letters latent bearing even date herewith, We have constituted, ordered, and deplared that there shall be a ( iovernor-General and Commander-in-Chief (therein and heieinafter called the Governor-(ieneral), in and over Our Commonwealth of Anstralia (therein and hereinafter ealled Our said Commonwealth). And We have thereby anthorized and eommanderi Our said GovernorGeneral to do and execute in due manner all things that shall belong to his said command, and to the trust We have reposed in him. acpording to the several powers and anthorities granted or appointed him by virtue o? the said Letters P'atent and of sueh Commission as may be issued th. him under Onr Sign Manual and Simet, and aceorling to such Instrueuons as may from time to time given to him. under Our Sign Manual and Signet, or by Our Order in Our Priwy Commeil, or by Us through one of Onr Principal Secretaries of State. and to such laws as shall hereafter be in force in Our said Common-

[^184]wealth. Now, therefore. Wir do, by thene Gur Instimetions maler Our Nign Manualand Sixher derdare (hor phasure to be as follows:-
I. Our first appointed dovernor-(ieneral shath, with all due solemnity, eause Our Commission, moder Ghr sign Hamal and Signet, appointing Gur said fowernor-dimeral, to be read and pablished in the presence of Gar (iovernors. or in their ahsemer of Our lientrmant (fosermens of Gur Cobonios of Nirw South Wahes,
 Anstralia and sach of the members of the Fxerention (oburil, Juders.
 attent.
II. Our said (insemor-firmeral of Onr said (ommonwealth shall


 sory Gatha'; aml likewise the manal nath for the dan exmention of
 waith, and for the dan and impartial andministration of jnetiere: Which (Gaths Gar said (iovernor ant (ommantar-in-('hiof of Ont Colous of New South Wiles. ar, in his absence, Gur Lientemant-

 into him.
III. Every Govemor-demeral, and exary other othere appointend to administer the (iovermment of Gur satid (ommomwalth after ()wr said first appointed (invemor-(inmmal, shall, with all hur solemmity. ranse Our Commission, under Our Sign Manabland Signet. appointing
 of the Chief Justice of then High Court uf Sustralia, or some other

 ('ommonicalih. 1

 said first appointed (iowemor-(ifmeral, shatl take the ()ath of . Whegiance in the form provided be an Act passed in the session hohbon
 - An Act to amend the Law relating to Promissorv Gaths "; and likewise the msual Oath for the dure exerention of the Otlice of Ond fiovernor-feneral in and over Gur said (bommoneatth, and for the due and irepartial administ ation of justiere: which oathes the (hief Lustice er "̈.e High Court of Aastralia, or stme other dulare of the said Court, shall and he is herehy required to tender and adhimster mato him or them, or the ('hiej Justier or seme other Jultye of the



- The wople in italise were ahlad by additional instructions of Augus 11.

V. And We do anthorize and require (onr said (iowemor-General from time to time, by himself or be uny other person to be anthorized by him in that hehalf, to administor to all and to every persons or person, as he shatl think fit, whes shall hold :my otlice or plare of trust or protit in Our said Commonweat h, the mat Oath of Allequanere, together with such other ()ath or Oathes as may from time to time be prescribed by any laws or stututes in that hehalf mado and provided.
VI. And Wiedo require Gur said (iovernor-tieneral to commonicate forthwith to the Members of the Execution Comed for Whr said ('ommonwealth these Gur Instructions, and likewise all surh others, from time to time, us he shall find convenimt fur Gur servier to be imparted to them.
VII. Our said Goveruor-demeral is to take care that all laws assented to by hisa in Our mame, or reservel far the signitication of Our pleasure thereon, shall, when tramsmitted by him, be fairly abstrueted in the margins, and be aceompaniod, in sidelo cases as may seem to him necessary, with such explanatory observations as may be repuired to exhibit the reasons and occasions for proposing surh laws; and he shall also transmit fair copies of the Jommats and Nimutes of the proceedings of the Partiament of Our said Commonwealth, whieh he is to require from the cherks, or other proper officers in that behalf, of the said l'arliament.
VIII. And Wedofurther authorize and empower Our said GowernorGeneral, as lie shall see ocrasion, in ()wr name and on Our behalf, when any erime o: offence against the laws of Our Commonwealth ${ }^{1}$ hiss been committed for which the offender may be tried within Our said Commonwealth, to grant a pardon to a:iy accomplice in such crime or offence who shall give such information as shall lead to the conviction of the primeipal offonder, or of any one of such offender if more than one; and further, to grant to any offender convieted of any such crime or offence in any Court, or before any Judere Justice, or Magistrate, within Our s:ad Cominonwealth, a pardon. either free or subject to lawful conditions, or any eespite of the exeention of the sentence of any such oftender, for such period as to Ous said Governor-(ieneral may seem fit, and to remit an fines. penalties or forfeitures which may become due and payable to Us. Providel always, that Our said Governor-General shall not in any case, "xerp" where the offence has been of a political nature, make it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the offender shall lu banished from or shall absent nimself from Our said C'ommonwealth And We do hereby direct and enjoin that Our sid Governor-General

[^185]ieneral wrized sols or lare of qialle, o time id prounicatr IIr saild others, ( ${ }^{(1)}$ ln.

It lans ation of - fairly as may ronc as oposing mals and тимонoflicers wernor behatf. wralth । hin Our in surh d to the ffonter mviet Julliv. pardon. cexerit 4 to 0 enalties. rovile: 1 , excep ondition shatl bw nwealth. -(iener:al we a stat. ing to the Inith stat the otl|' to pard
shall not pardon or repriewe any suld offender withont hast meriving in copital rases the advice of the Exenentive commil for Gur sain (ommonwealth, nud in other canes the alvier of whe, at least, of his Slinsters; and in any ease in whel surh parton or reprieve might directly atfect the intereste of Oar kimbie, or of any rombtren phan beyond the jurisdiction of the Goveroment of Gur said cimmonweath Our said Governor-(iemeral shall, befone deriding an to cither pardon or reprieve, take those intereste spercally into his own personalt consideration in conjunction with such advier as aforswid.
IX. And whereas ereat prejuliee may happen to Wor service and to the security of Onr said Commonweath be the absence of 1 bir sait diovernor-(ienerat, he shall not, upon any pretene whatewer, puit Onr said Commonweath withont having first obtamed heave from L's for so doing muder Omr Sign Mamal and Sigme or through one of Our Primeipal Secretariem of State.!
l. li. 1.

## III

(OMMSNSON pasmed muder the Royal Nign Mambal and Siguet appointing The Right Honomable Lard Demman,
 in- Chicef of the Commonwealth of Australia.

Dated March $\because 2,1911$.
(The substantive parts are exactly as in the ('madian ('ammission.)

## 15

DORMANT COMMLSEION passed muder the Reyal Sign Mamal and Signet, appointing The Right Honourable Lard (helmsford, K.C..l.G., or Sir Gerald Strickland, K.C.M.G.. to administer the (iovernment of the commonwealth of Australia in the event of the deadto, incapacity: removal, or absence of the Gavernor-fineral and (om-mander-in-Chief, and the Lieutenant-(iovernor (if any).

Dated Decembler 2. 199:9. EDWARD R. \& 1.
Edward the seventh, by the (irace of (iod of the ['uted Kingrime of (ireat Britain and lreland and of the British Dominions beromb the Seas King, Defender of the Faith, Emperor of hutial: To Our

[^186]Minht Trusty and Well-belowed Frederic: John Napior, Baton Chehnsford, Kıight Commander of Our Most Distinguishod Order of Naint Whehar and Naint (ieorge, fovernor of Onr State of New Sonth Wiales. ir to Gur Trusty and Ni,dthelon a Nir Cerald Strickland, Comnt drlla Ciatomia, Kinight Commmoner of Our suid Most Distinguishod Ordar, (iovermon of Our State of Western Instralia, (ireeting.
WF: do, by this Gur Commiswion muter Our Nign Manal and Sigurt, appoint you, the said Frederie John Nupier, Baron C'hehnsford, during Gur pleasure, to administer the (iovernment of Gur Commonwralt 1 . of Anstralia, with all the powers, rights, privilegos, and advantag' to the said Oflice belonging or uppertaining. in the event of the death, incapacity, removal, or absence of Gur fiovernor-General and Commander-in-flhef for the time being, and of Our bientenant(bwetmor (if mys).
11. And in case of the death or ineapacity of yon the waid Frederis Inhan Napier, Baron C'hehnsford, or of your absence from the Common-
 during Gur pleasure, to administe: the Ciovermuent of Our said Commonwenlth of Anstralia in the events herein speeified.
III. And IVe do herehy authorize, empower, and command yout to exercise and porform itl and singular the powers and anthoritios contaned in eertain Letters P'atent under the (ireat Seal of Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, bearing date at W'extminater the Twenty-minth day of Oetober 1900, eonstituting the Olliee of Govemor-General and Commander-in-Chief in and over Our Commonwealth of Australia, or in any other Letters Patent ndding to, ameuding, or substituted for the same, and aecording to such Instructions as Our said Governor-General and Commander-inThief for the time being may have reeeived, or may hereafter reepiv. from Ls. - ethroush one of Our Prineipal Secretaries of Stute, and aepording in such Laws as are now or shall hereafter be in force in Our said Commonwealth.

I!. Ind We do herelye further direet und appoint that so soon an You the said Frederie John Napier, Baron Clielmsford, shall haw taken the pressribed oathe and have entered upon the duties of your Oflice of administering the Government of Gir Commonwralth of Anstralia under and he virtue of this Our present Commission, the Lieutenant-Govemor of Gur Sitate of New South llales, or any other person appointed by (ommission under Our Sign Manmal and Sigus. to administer the diovermment thereof, shall thereupon administer the Govermment of Our said State in like manner as if you were absent from Our saidState.
I. And Whe do hereby further direct and appoint that if sou the saill Sir Gerald Strickland shall at any time in the events herein specified administer the (iovernment of Our said Commonwealth of Anstralia, then life do hereby direet and appoint that so soon as rim the said Sir Gerald strickland shall have taken the prescribed oath:
aud have entered upon the daties of gon thita of ahmmatronnthe

 and siguet bearing date the soventh day of Shy limajappomith...
 be Lieutenant (fovernor of Gur said Etate of Wiatern Dint tallat ant
 were absent from llor said state.
VI. Aul Wie do hereby dorlare that this the ('mamionion -hall


 Frederie John Nippior, Baron ('hehosford, w' be voin the said sil

VII. And We do hereby command all and simpolay (har omiome.

 their ready obedience acombingl:
 I!m: in the Ninth year of Our Reign.

By Mis Majesty゚ッ Commamb.
rRFWE:

## UNIGN OF NOUTH AFRICA

The instruments in this eiser are exably similar to thone in the cave of Canidit.

## I

 Ginted Kinglom, constituting the Olice of fiovermor(ieneral and Commander-in-('hide of the ['nion of south - Ifricil.

## 

Filward the seventh, by the Cirace of (ion of the linted Kingdon of (Great Britain and Ireland and of the British Mominions bevonl the Seas King, Defender of the Fiath, Emperor of Lutia. 'Tin all to whom these presents shall come, (ireetinir.
WHEREAS by an Act of Parlinnent passed on the Fwentioth day of September, 1909, in the ninth year of Our reign, intituled 'In Act to constitute the Union of South Africal ${ }^{1}$ it was enacted that it shonld be lawful for Lis, with the advier of On Prisy Comall, to declare by proclamation that, on and after a day thow appominted,

[^187]
## 1:7x IRE:ROMiNTIV: INSTHEMEN'S

not lewing later than ond vall after the prasing of that Net, Wur


 of the ['uion of somth Afrion, and that on and after the day appointerl
 should have full pewer mind anthority within the linite of the ('ondmies, hat that Wir might at any tilne after the prowlamation appoint a Gowetnor-firmeral for the L'nioa:

 that ons and ufter the Thirty-first lay of Way Imio, the Colomies
 the mame of the Conion of south Sfriva:
and whereas be the said reritend det it was further emeted that the (iowernor-(ienernd shall be appointed ley Us, mad shall have and may exercise in the Uniun doring Gur phasure, bit subject to that Art, such of Our powers and functions as We may be pleased to nssign to him, and that the provisions of that Set remting to the GovernorCieneral math extend and apply to the (iovermor- (indera! for the time being, or such person us We misy uppeint to athinister the (iovernment of the Union:

And whereas Wif are desions of making elfot mat and permathent provision for the ofliee of Governor-(ieneral and Commander-in-Chief in und over the L'nion:

Now know ye that We do ley these pressite derlare (Onr Will and pleasire an follows:

1. There shatl be a (Governor-denoral and Commanher-in-Chier in and over Our Union of Sonth Jfrian (hrerenafter called the U'iom), and uppointments t., the suid othere shall be made by Commission hudur (Onr Sign Mannal and Signet.

Ind W'e do herehe anthorize and command Gur suind (iowernorGemeral and Commander-in-Chicf (heremalter called the Governor (imeral) to do and exertite, in dow manner, all things that shat belong to his sabid olfiee, and to the trust We haver reposed in him. areorling to the several powers and anthoritios grantod or appointer
 leters Patent and of sud Commassion an hay be isoned to him under Our Sign Manual and Signet, and according to sueh Instruction as may fron time to time be given to him, moler Our Nign Manna and Signet, or ley Our Orler in Our Privy Comeil, or by Us throngh one of Our Irincipal Secretaries of state, and to such laws as are on shall hereafter la in force in the Union.
11. Thure shall be a Great Seal of and for the Union, which the Governor-General shall keep and use for sealing all things whatsoeve: that slall pass the said Great Seal. Provided that, until a Gireat sial shall be provided, the private seal of the Governor-General may. be used as the Great Seal of the Union.
(1, 1111 and the onlil ln 10 1 เ: 114 printerl I lnion colonies. fivint
 matation Colomics it inmar (wl that. ルッ anl to that (0 assign wermorthe tillu" GovernInament iii-Chief Will ame Chier int U'ıiun!), miswion vernerovirnar at whall in him. pointerl prressm to lin!: ruction Mabllal thronsh ts are on hich th" atsoever: a Greal eral mas


 ‘'illom.!












 being. then in the sentior dmber for the time belog of the supmone

 in the abministration of the dowsemment only if and "hen the watid



 he or they shall have taken the Gathes apmomend to la takern be the
 the Instrurtions arcompancing bese the lattere latent.




 Sureial Commissionel for any tortorios in month Ifrion with whirla We maty hater wathons. or appointing him to be bowemen or
 atjacent or mar to the E"nion, or shall be absent from the L'nion foir
 for at prioul mot exterding the month, then and in wery sur rase
 powers weted in him as fully as if he were restiling within the L'inion.
 trmporaty abiont for a shot prond from the seat of dovermment or from the Chion, he may, in every surh cast, by in instrument

 the Commonnealt!; for it see ss. 14 ant 15 of the det.
under the Pablie Neal of the Chion, comstither and appoint any person to be his beputy within the C'nion dumug such temporary absence, ${ }^{1}$ and in that capacity to exercise, perform, and execute for and on behalf of the fosernot-(beneral during such absence, but no fonger, all such powers and anthorities rested in the Governor(iencral, as shall in and bey such instrument be epecified and limited but no others. Every side Deputy shall conform to and observe all such instrmetions as the Gowernor-(ieneral shall from time to time address to him for his guidance. Provided, nevertheless, that by the appointment of a Deputy, as aforesaid, the power and authority of the Govemor-(ieneral shail not be abridged, altered, or in any way affected, otherwise than Wie may at any time hereafter think proper to direet.

Provided further that, if any such Deputy shall have been duly appointed, it shall not be necessary durng the continuance in office of such Deputy for any person to assumn the (iovernment of the Union as Administrator thereof.

VIl. And We do hereby require and command all Gir Officers and Ministers, Civil and Military, and all other the inhabitants of the Union, to be obedicnt, aiding, and assistimg unto the Governorficneral, or, in the event of his death, incapacity, or absence, to such person or persons as may, from time to time, under the provisions of these Our Letters l'atent, alminister the Government of the Union.
VIII. And We do hereby reserve to Ourselves, Our heirs and suceessors, full power and authority from time to time to revoke, altar, or amend these Our Letters l'atent as to Us or them shall seem meet.
IX. These Our Letters Patent shall be prockimed at such place or places within the Union as the Governor-General shall think tit, amd shall commence and come into operation on the day fixed by Gir Prodarathon for the establishment of the Union, whereupon the Lutters latent and Instractions described in the Schedule hereto, to the extent thereiuspecitied shall, without projudice to anything lawfully done thereunder, be revoked.

In witness whereof We have catused these ()ur Letters to be madt Patent. Witness Onrself at Westminster this Twenty-ninth day of December, in the Ninth Year of Gur Reigu.

By Warrant under the King's Sign Manual.
MUIR MACKENZIE.

[^188]nt any porary ute for but no ernorimited, bserve to time hat by thority y way proper n duly n ofline of the

Jficers unts of ernorto such visions of the rs and evoke, Il seem 1 Hace ink fit, by (orr on the hereto, ything - made day of

## ZIL:

## ing the

 ion (not ter cast oflice of Covernor and Com-mander-in-Chief of the Colony of the Cape of Ciond lloper and it. bepentenims
Latters l'atent amending lettior: Patent of :he ebth February, $18 \%$. constituting the office of fovernor and Coumander-in-Chief of th. Colony of the Cape of Comel Hoyn and its Dependencies
Letters Iratent further amending Letters Pitent of the 2 eith $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{l}$ ). ruary, 1877 , constituting the office of Governor and Commander-in. (hief of the Colong of the Cap we of (iond llope and its Dependencies
Royal Instructions to the (iovernor and Commander-in-Chief of the Cape of (Good Hopr and its Dependencies
Additional lioyal Instructions to the Governor and Commander-inChief of the Colony of the Cape of Good Hope and its Dependencies
la'ters patent const ituting the office of Covernor and Commander-inChief of the Colony of Natal
lioyal Instructions tu the (iowernor and Commander-in.Chief of the. Colony of Natal
Letters Patent making further provision for the appointment of a Deputy (iovernor in the Colony of Natal in certain events
letters Patent in regard th the absence of the Covernor of Natal from the Colony
Additional Renail Instruetions to the (iovernor and Commanler. in-Chief of the Colony of Natal making fresh provision as to the absence of the ciovernor from the Coleny
lefters Patent eonstitnting the offiee of Governor and Commander-in. Chief of the Colony of the Transwal
linyal lostrnetions to the (iowernor and Commander-in-Chief of the Colony of the 'Iransvaal
bet ters Patent eunstitut ing the office of Governor and Commanter-inChief of the Orange liver Culung
Royal Instructions to the fiovernor and Cummander-in. Chief of the Orange River Culony

[^189]Feloruary 2 i, 1sit The whote

May 12, 1904. . .The whole

Inly 20. 1893. . The whote

July 2l, 1893. . The wenk
December:4.1943 Thowhto

Augnst 18, Itwi: . The whole
August 1s, 1905. The whule

December $\mathbf{i}$, 1901; All except Section II
(:I to to bundarae-)
December if, 19世; The whok
June $\overline{\text { B }}$ 1907 . . Alt except section 11
(as to, lwnemdari-9)
June $\mathbf{5}, 190{ }^{7}$. . The whole

## 1582

## II

INSTRC(" ONS passed moler the Royal Sign Mannal and Signct to the Governor-fieneral and ('ommander-in-('hief of the [aion of Somth Ifrica.

Dated Derembirr 20. 1909.
EわW.AROR. \& I.
Intructoss to Oin Governor-General and Commander-in-Chief in and over Our Linion of South Africa, or in his absence, to Our lientenant-fovernor or the Oflicer for the time being administering she (invernment of the Cnion.
WHEREAS by certain latters Patent bearing even date herewith, We have constituted, ordered, and declared that there shall he a Governor-feneral and Commander-in-Chief (therein and hereinafter called the (iovernor-General), in and over Our Chion of אouth Ifrica (therein and hereinafter ealical the Union) :

And whereas We have thereby authorized and commanded the Governor-General to do and execute in due mamer all thines that shall belone to his said oflice, and to the trast Wie hate reposed in him, according to the several powers and anthonities granted or appointed him be virtue of the said Letters l'atent and of such Commission as may be issued to him under Onr Sien Manual and Signet, and aceording to such Instructions as may from time to time be given to him. under Our Sign Manual and Nignet, or by Our Order in Our Prive Comed, or by Us through One of Our Principal secretaries of State, and to such laws as shall hereafter be in fore in the Cuion :

Now, therefore, We do, by these Our lnstructions under Our Nign Mamal and Signet, declare Our pleasme to be as follows:-

1. Our first appointed fowemor-(ieneral shall, with all due solemnity, cause Our Commission under Onr Sign Mamal and Signet appointing him to be read and published in the presence of the semion Military Oflicer for the time being in eommand of On lienular Fores in South Africa, and of such persons as are able to attent.
2. The said first appointed Governor-(ieneral shall take the Gatl of Allegiance and the Oath of Oflice in the forms provider by an Ac passed in the ression holden in the thirty-first and thirty-secon vears of 1 reign of Her late Dajesty Querin Victoria, intituled " I Act to amend the Law relating to l'romissory Oaths ; which Oath the senior Chief Justice or Judge of the supreme Comets of th:e C'ap of Good Ilope. Natal, and the Transvatal, and the High Conrt of th Orange River Colony then present is herehy reguired to tmaler an administer mnto him.
3. Every Govemor-(icneral of the Cnion after the said fir: appointed Governor-General, shath, with all due solemnity, cause Gu Commission, under Our Sign Manmal and Signet, appointing him t be (hovernor-(ieneral, to he read and published in the presence of th

Chief Jnstice of Nonth Ifrica, or some other Judge of the supreme Court of someth Ifrica.
IV. Erery Governor-fieneral, and everv other oflicer appointorl to administer the foremment of the l'nion after the said first appointed (inwernor-Gencral, shall take the oath of Whergiane and the Oath of Ofliee in the forms provided by an het pasead in the Session holden in the thirty-first and thirtr-secomb years of tha Reign of Her late Dajesty Quen Vietoria, intitulon! An Aet to amend the Law relating to Promissory Gaths' : which Oathes the Chief Justice of South Ifrical or somer other Jutere of the supreme Comrt of Sonth. Ifrica, shall and he is hereber regnied to tonder athl administer unto him or them.
$V$. And We do anthorize and repure the (ionernor-General from time to time. by himself or be any other person to be anthorized byy him in that behalf, to administer to all and to every person or persons. as he shall think fit, who shall hold any office of ;etaed of trust or profit in the Enion, the satid Oath of Aliegianere, worather with sull other 0,1 or Oaths as may from time to time be preseribed by any laws or statutes in that belialf madda and providerl.
VI. And We do require the diovemotedeneral to rommenieate forthwith to the Jembers of the Execome Come for the Voun these Gur hastruetions, and likewise all surh others. frem time to
 them.
VII. The Gewernor-Gemparl shall not assent in Our name to any bill whieh We have specially instructed him throush one of Giir Principal secretaries of state tor reserve, and he shall take sperial rare that he does not aseent to any bill which he may be reepuived
 shall reserve any bill which dispmalities any person in the I'minere of the Cape of Cood Hope, who, meder the laws existine in the Cobme: of the Cape of ciood Hope at the entablishment of ther C'nion $\because$ or may become, rapable of being registered as a votrre from bri:- - w registered in the lrowine of the Cape of comel Hope by reason of his race or colour only.

VIlI. The Governor-fiencral is to take calre that all haws ansmend to hy him in Onr name. or reserved for the signification of Our pleasure thereon, shall, whon tansmitted hy him, be fairly abstractent in the urargins, and be arcompanied, in sur b cases as maty serom to him necessary, with such explanatory observations as may be required to exhibit the wasons and oreasions for proposing such laws ; and he shall also transmit. fair copies of the Journats and Mimutes of the procedings of the Partiament of the Enion. Which he is to repnire from the clerks, or other proper oflieres in that hehalf, of the edidl
Parliament.
IX. Ind We do further anthorize and empower the fiovenorGeneral, as he shall see occasion, in Our name and on Our behalf,
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committed for which the offender may be tried within the Cnion, to grant a pardon to any aceomplice in such crime or offenee who shatl give such information as shall lead to the conviction of the principal offender, or of any one of such offenders if more than one; and further, to grant to any offender convictol of any such crime or offence in any Court, or before any Judge, Justice, or Magistrate, within the Chion, a pardon, either free or subject to law ful conditions, or any remission of the sentenre passed on such offender, or any respite of the execution of such sentence, for such period as to the (iovemor-(icmeral may seem fit, and to remit any fines, penaltios, or forfeitures which may become due and payable to L's. l'rovided always, that if the offender be a natmral-horn British sulject or is British subject by naturalization in any part of Our Dominions, the Governor-feneral shall in no case, except where the offence has been of a political nature, make it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the offender shall be banished from or shall absent himself from the Union.

And We do hereby direct and enjoin that the fovernor-(iemral shall not pardon. grant remission to, or reprieve any such offorder without first receiving in cases other than capital eases the advier of one, at least, of his Ministrers.

Whenever any offender sha!l hame been condmmed to suffer thath by the sentence of any Court, the (iovernor-(iemeral shall consult the Executive Comel upon the case of such offender, submitting to the Conncil any report that may have been made by the Julge who tried the case, and, whenever it appears advisable to do so, taking measures to invite the attendance of suck. Tudge at the Council. The GovernorGeneral shall not pardon or reprieve any such offenter unless it shatl appear to him expedient so to do. upon receiving the advice of the Executive Council thereon; but in all such cases he is to decide either to extend or to withhold a pardon or repricue, according to his own deliberate judgement, whether the Members of the Execution Conncil concur therein or otherwise; antering, nevertheless, on the Minntes of the Executive Comeil, a Mimute of his reasons at length in case he should decide any such question in opposition to the judgement of the majority of the Members therenf.
X. Except in accordance with the provisions of any Letters Patent or of any Commission under Our Sign Mamal and Signet. the (iovernor-(ieneral shall not, upon any pretence whatever, quit the Union without having first obtained leave from Us for so doins nnder Our Sign Manual and Signet, or through one of Our Prineipal Necretaries of State, unless for the parnose of visiting some neighbouring Colony, Territory, or State, for periods not exeecting ons. month at any one time, nor exeeeding in the aggregate one month for every year's service in the Union.
The temporary absence of the (iovenor-General for any periont not exceeding one month shall not, if he have previously informed the Executive Council, in writing, of his intended absence, and if h. on the ength ndye-

 by one of Onr Principal serectares of state and not exceredmes fourtern days, be dermed aherener from the lonion within the meanime of the said luetcers latent.
(iivell at Onr Cont at Naint damess this Twenty-minth thar of December, 1 !net, in the Ninth Year of (hir limign.
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(OMMSSEION moder the Royal Sign Manual and Signet. appointing the Right Honourable Viseonnt (ilactone to be (iovemor-feneral amd fommander-in- 'hiof of the Cnion of sonth ifrieat.
(This is idmoteal in substaner with Clases: 1 , 1 , and 11 of the Gamarlian Commission, with the neressary omission in (lanse II of any reference to instructions already given to fomer (iowemos(ieneral.)

## NEW ZEALAND

In the Dominion there are in addition to the Latere Patent and Instruetions the Commission and a Domatht ('ommission.

I
1.ETTERRS PATEN' passed mader the (ireat Neal of the Cuited Kingdom. constituting the Otfice of Governor ind Commander-in- 'hief of the Dominion of Now Zealand.

## Istters Patent duted Norrmber 18, 1!wi.

Edward the seventh, by the frace of fod of the Lated kingdom of (ireat Britain and Lirland and of the British Imminions Inewoml the Soas King, Defender of the Faith, Emperer of India: 'Jo all 10 whom these Presemes shall come, Greeting.
WHEREAS, by certain Letters latent, muler the (iroat sial of Whr Enited Kingrdon of Great Britain and Helami, Bearing dato
 Majeste Queron Victoria did constitute. ordon and ledare that theme shonld be a fovernor and Commander-in-(hief in and over the Cotony of New Zealand and its Dependencies (therein called the (oloms) and that appointments to the said office when bacant shombl be made by Commission under the Royal Sign Manual and Sienet :

Ind whereas by an let passed in the session holden in the Twent $y-$ sixth and Twenty-seventh rears of the Roign of ile late Dajest! Quern Vietoria, intitled 'In det to alter the Boundaries of New

Zealand ${ }^{2}$, the Colony of New Zadand was defined as fomprising all
 and sixty-seeond degree of East Longitude and the one humbed and seventy-third degree of West Longitude and between the thirtythird and fifty-third parallels of south Latitude:

And whereas be a Proclamation bearing date the twenty-first day of July $188 \overline{1}$, issued by the (fovernor of New Zaband mater authority of hetters Pattent passed under the (ireat seal of Our L'nited Kinglom, bearing date the eightemth day of Jamary 1sx , the Islambs sitiate in the sonth :acitie Ucean betwefn the parallels of $2!4$ denrees and
 180 degeese West Longithote, known ats the kermader diromp, were, from an! after the first day of Dhyst 188", ammexed to and beeame part of the C'olony of New Zeatand:

And whereas be a Proclamation bearing date the tenth day of Jume 1901, issumd be the Govemor of New Zaband by anthority of an Order be L's in Our lrive Comend dated the thiterenth day of Hay 1901, made by virtue and in exerefe of the powers vested in Ls by the Colomial Bounlarie's Aft 18:5, the Boundaries of :he Colony of New Zealand were on and after the eleventh day of June 1 !eil extended se as to include the istands of the Cook (iroup, and ath other the Islands and Territories which were theror omirht thereafter form part of Our Dominions situate within the following boundary lines vi\%. : A line commencing at a point at the intersertion of the e3ral denree of Sonth Latitule and the 156 th degree of Lomas.t....e West of Greenwich, and proceeding due North to the point of intersection of the 8 th degres of Nouth Latitute and the Leth demere of Longitude West of Giremwich, thence due West to the point of intersection of the h degree of Nouth latitnde and the 167th degree of Longitude West of Greenwich, thence due south to the point of intersection of the 17th degree of Nonth Latitule and the 16ith denere of Lomgitude West of Greenwich, thence due West to the point of intersection of the 17 th degree of south Latitude and the 1 inth degree of Longitude West of Greenwich, thence due south to the point of intersection of the 23 rd degree of Nonth Latitude and the 16 (ath demree of Longitude West of Greenwich, and thence due East to the point of intersection
 West of Crecenwidh:

And whereas be Cur Rosal Proclamation. bearing date the ninth day of september 1907, We did ordain, dectare, and command that on and after the Twentr-sixth dar of kontember 19n- the Colony of New Zealand and the territory belonging thereto should be called and known by the title of the Jominion of New Zeatand:

And whereas it has become necessary to make provision for the oftice of Governor and Commander-in-Chief in and over Uur Dominion of New Zealand :

1. Now the efore We do by these presents revoke and determine undred ed and thirtyInst day thority ngdon, sitcat. anl es allul , were, became
day of thority day of sted in Cotony 10 1! $\times 1$ Il othes er form ry line. he 23 n I e West rsection ngitude ction of ngit ulk. ction of nyitude ction of nyitude ction of ngitule isection neritule
(1) nintlo nil that douy of ecathed for the ominion termine
the aboverecited Lettors Patent of the Twentr-fint day of Fimmare 18:! , but without prejudice to anything havfully done themumder. And Wir do be these prements ronstitute, order, and dechare that there ahath be a liovernor and Commander-in- Chief in and over bur Dominion of Siew Zadand (heremafter callent the Dominion), comprising the Trerritories, Inlants, ab! (countries forming the Colong of New Zealand as detined in the aboverereited Act, passeml in tho Session hahlen in the Twentr-axth and Twent - -seventh Vars of the
 the Bemondaries of Niw Zeatand '. Werether stith the further Islanls
 Zaband be the above-verited I'melamations of the (iownom therenf.
 day of dume I!nit : alled that appontuments to the sabl otlice when
 Nigut.


 to the temer of these lur Latters liatont and of surh Commision as may be isomed to him mater Gow sien Mamal and simet, and


 state and to sum laws as are now or shall hereafore be in fore in the Dominios.
 with alt due soldmity, before contering on any of the daties of hiOffice, callse the commision apper intinh him the Governor to be read and published at the seate of (iovemment, in the presence of the Chief Instiore or some other Julye of the supmene fourt of the Dominion, and of the Jombers of the Fisecutive Council theresf. which beine: dome. he shall then and there take before them the Wath of Allegiance, in the form provided by and A.t paseed in thes somion hodden in the Thirty-first and Thiry-second years of the Reign of Her late Majesty Quem Vietoria, intituhed In ACt toammed the Law relating to Promisory Oathes; and likewise the nsuat gath for the dhe exerution of the diffice of cinsmor, and for the dhe and inpartial administration of instice: whith Oathes the samid Chiof latiow or Judere is hereby rembired to athemister.

IS: The (envemor thall keep aml use the Publie seat of the Dmanion for sealing ath things whatsoever that shall pase the sain Publie seal. and until a new Puble seat shatl be providen for the Bominion, ${ }^{1}$ the Pathic Seal used as the Public satal of the Treritories. Islands, and Comntries prior to the Twroty-sixth day of sepmomer 1907 knewn as the Cotone of New Zeatant shall be demed to be the P'ublic Seal of the Dominion.

[^190]V. There shatl be an Executive Council for the Dominion, and the said Council shall consist of such persons as were immediately before the coming into force of these Our Letters l'atent Members of the Fixecutive Council of New Zealand, or as may at uny time be Hembers of the Execotive Conmel of the Dominion in accordance with any law enneted by the Legislature of the Dominion. ${ }^{1}$ and of sueh other persons as the (iovernor shall, from time te time, in Onr name and on Our behalf, but subject to any Law as aforesad, appoint moder the Publie Seal of the Wominion to be Members of the lixerentive Comeil of the Deminions.

V1. The Governor, mon hame and on One behalf, may make and "xeceute, under the said fonblie soal, grants mad dispositions of any hands which may be lawfutly granted and disposerl of by L's within tiac Dominiom. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
VII. The fovemor may constitute and appeint, in Onr ?ame and on Uur behalf, all such Judere, C'mmmesioners, Justiees of the l'eace, and other necessary Ofleers and Ministers of the Dominion as may be lawfully constitited or appointed by Les.a

Whl. When :ny crime has beell commited within the Dominion, or for whel the offender may be tried therem, the (iovernor may as he shall see occasion, in (\%ne inme and on Onr behalf, prant a parden to nure acemplice in such crime whe shath give such information as shath lead to the comviction of the prineipal offender, or of any one of such offenders if more than one ; and further, may grant to any offender convicted in any Court, or before any Judge, or other Magistrate. within the Dominion, a parlon, cither free or subjoct to lavful conditions, or any remission of the sentence passed on suth offender, or any respite of the cxecution of such sentence for such period as the ( foremor thinks fit ; and further may remit any tines, penalties, or forfeitures due or acerued to Us. Provided abways that the Governor shall in no case, except where the offence has been of a politieal nature maceompanied by any wher grave erime, make it a condition of any pardon or remission of sentence that the offender shath absent himself or be removed from the Dominion.

1X. The Governor may, so far as We Ourselves lawfully may, upon sufficient cause to him appeamy, remove frem his othice, or suspend from the execise of the same, any person exercising any office or place within the Dominion under or be virt ne of any Commission or Warrant gramed, or which may be granted, by Les, in Onr name, or under On authority: ${ }^{3}$
$X$. The Governo may exercise all powers lawfully belonging to Co in respert of the summoning. prorogning, or dissolving any legislative

- No such law has heen passeci.
? This power is obsolete, provision being made loy statute: of. on the pemer which was given in 1810, Reg. v. Clarke, 7 Mor. P. C. it; Reg. v. Mughes. 1 I'. C. s.
3 This power is exercised now under statute. For an unsuccessful attempt to appoint a julse nmier it withont parliamentary sanction, see Buckiley ${ }^{-1}$ l:Clearde, [18929 A. C. 387.
mid the before of the emhers thang other and on ler the Cumeril
freand of any within tile and Peace. as may minion. may as pardon ition as one of to any Magis, hayful ffender, 1 as the Ities, or overnor political ndition adsent

ソ, upon inspend or place Varrant der Our
y to C Lislativ"

Bods, which maw is or hereafter may he mathlishel whthe the

XI. In the event of the Wath, incapas ce "r remowal of tho Governor, or of his departure from the Dommion, Our hientemams.
 persom or persoms as Wie may appoint umber ( 1 ar sign Sanual amb
 Dommion, first taking the Gathe harein-hofore diretad to he takin hy the (iovernor, and in the mamer homin preseribed: whioh heme done. Wie do harely authorize, "mpower, ant command Our lioll temant-dowernor, ant every uther shel Administrator as aformial. to do and exerute during Cur phasime all thines that hatemes to the
 of these Our Letters P'atemt, and aceording to Our Jaimetions as aforesaid, and the Laws of the Dominion.
 absent for a short perioll from the siat of $\left(8\right.$, wermment $w^{\prime}$ anm the Jominion, he may in crery such case ber an Instrment moder the
 fowernor, or if there be no surh ollierer, then :me other person to he his Deputy durine suth temporary ahsenc", and in that rapacity
 daring such ahsence, bit mo homer. all such powers and athomention rested in the (inwruor be these Gur Letters l'alteme as alall in ame her such Instrument be sureified and limitel, hat new others. I'rowibloil. nevertheless, that, by the appointment of a lophty an af aresamit, tho power and anthority of the (iowernor shat not ber ahtided, atered, or in any way afferted, otherwist than Wie may at ang time larwafur think proper to direct: ${ }^{2}$

Nll. And Wir do hereherepaite and command all (ome (other and Ministers, Civil and Nilitars, and all other the inhathitants of the. Dominion, to be oberlient, aiding, and assistime mote the (fovermer ir such person or persons as may from time to time. mular the prorisions of thes Our Latters Patemt, andminiter the (iowernment of the Dominion.
 sucesswors, full power and antherity from time to time to probre alter. or ambent these Our Letters Patent as to L's on them shall siem mer.
 shall be read and proclamed at such place of plates within ${ }^{\text {bin }}$ Omminion as the (Gowernor shatl think fit.
 Paicont. Witurss Ourself at Wistminster, t!ue Vianhternth liye of Nowmher, in the seventh yar of ome heing.

By Warsint under the Kimg': Sign Mamal. MCIR MICKEN\%IE.

[^191]
## II

INSTRECTONS pased muler the Reyal Sign Mamal med Signet lo the（iosermor and Commainder－in－＇lief of the Dominion of New Zealame．

ばない，\R1）K．\＆I．

 Gowernor or other Oflicer for the time being almimitertig the Govermment of Gur atid Hominiom．＇
 We haw constituted，odered，and dedared that there slomb be a fiovemor and Commamber－in－（hind（therein and heremafter collend
 aud heremafter（aller the Dominion）：
 fiovemor to do and exeento all thines that loblome to his sated oflice．
 mission as may be issmed to him muder Our Sign Mahalal and Signet，

 Prisy Comal or he Les though one of Gur Primepal seretaios of state，and to surh laws as are now or shath herafter be in foree in the Dominion：

Now knew yon that Wir do ley thear Gur Inatractions meder Ome Kign Mannal and signe direl and moin and drelate（lor will aml pleasime as follows：－

1．In these Gur Instrutions，muless inemsistent with the context， the term＇＇the（iovernor＇shall inelude exery person for the time being administering the Govemment of the Dominion，and the term ＇the Exeretive Comed＇shall mean the members of the Exeentioe Comacil for the Sominion who are for the time beme the responsible advisers of thr（ionemor：－

II．The Governer may，whemer he thinks tit，regnire aty person in the pulbe servier to take the Gath of Whe Diance，tegether with such other（0ath or Wathes as my from time to time be prescribed hy any Law in fore in the Dominion．The（iovemon is to athinister such oathes or canse them to be administered bes some I＇ublie（Oflies of the Dominion．

[^192]
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[^193]


X. The temperaig nherome of the Convermer for athe pelioni ner excereding ons mom! shall mot, if he hase previonsly informed the



 be deemed a departure from the Domintom within the mesming of Gur saill latters lateme

X1. From and after the date of the remine into oprotion of ther abover perited Letters latent of exell hete, the Instime tions iswned to
 athl Nomet of Hom late Majesty Quene Viatoriat, harame date the


 November lant, in the somerli year of ther Rema.

## 111

 appointing 'The Right Homoirable Lard Islingtom. I).s.O.. ta be (iowernor and ('ommather-in-('hief of the Danition ai New Zealanel.
(This Commissitn is substantially idemianl with the C'analian (口иmission.)

## IV

 Mamal and Sigmet, appointing the Chief Juatice or the sienior Jutge for the time being of the simperme Contr ot New Zealand to administer the (Geverment of that D) minion, in the event of the death, ineatareity, ar abserne. of the (iovernor and Lientemant-(iowermer (if any).

Inated Derember 18. I!wio.
EJW:IRIN R. *
 of (ifeat Britain and Itelamel and of the British Dominions hevomil the seas King, Defender of the Faith, Emprorm of hotia: Tio ous Trasty and Wirll-belowed the Chief Jnstice or the Lomine hadge for the time beine of the supreme Conrt of Now Zatand: Greeting.
WHEREAS hy Onr Letters Patent unter the (ireat Neat of tha
Contied Kingatan of (irrat Britain and Irelame, bearing date at Wiot




 is limere partimblaty wot forth:





 the fion ermment of ther sillim:

Sй k




















 or : Naill hereafter be in forer in ()we said Dominion.


 rapabla of ahminisaring the (Ewermon'u.'

Smel Wir do herebe appoint that from and after the datr off $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$
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## PREROGATIVE INSTRCMENTS

February 1879, appointing the (hief Justiee on the senion Judge for the time being of the Colony of New Zeakand. to be administrator thereof, in the events therein sperified.

Ind Wedohereheremmand all and simentar Onr Offerers, Ministers. and hoving subjects in One said Dominion, mut all ah hers whon it may roncern, to take che motier hereof, and to give their ready ohedience acrowlingly.
(iven at Our Court at Naint Jameses this dighternth day of


By. Ilis Majesty
EldidN.

## THE ACSTRALIAN STATES

The destralian states all possess permanent ketters patent and instrnetions issmed on Oetober 29 , I!日O, in view of federation. These inst moments are alterations of the instraments existing at that date to meet the new ciremmetances arising out of the fommomurallh of I Inservilis ('omsilution
 ( (overnor and a Dormant ('ommixann to the ('hicef dastice or Senior Ahtge. Besider these there are ('ommissions appointing Lientenant-(iowernors. iswerd tothe ('hiof .hnstioes as a mark of distinction in New Nonth Wiales, Vietoria, Sonth Anstralia, and Tiasmania, to the President of the Leegislative
 Iustralia. Inder these eommissions the holders assmme the offiee of (bovernor in the absence, \& If there is no Lientenant-fopernor, the dormant commis. ion comes into operation.

LETVERS P'STENT passed molder the (ireat seal of the Cnited Kingrlom. eonstitating the office of (iovernor of the state of New South Wides and its Dependencies. in the fommonwealth of Aastrablia.

> Ledlers I'utent, Dithel Octaber 2!!, 1enke.

Vieteria. bey the (imare of liond of the Conitad Kinglom of Cireat Britain ind Hedand Queen, Defonder of the Faith, Empress of India: To all to whem these Presents shall come, (irne mer.
WIIEREAN' by certain Letters Patent, under the (ireat Neal at Ons: United Kingdom of (irrat Britain and lrdand, bearing date at

[^194]
## ndyr for

 jistrator
## inisters.

11 it may erdionere day of

AIN.

## patent

 iew of instrillstances' fitution to the dusice issions Instices South inlative Cetern tsilume vernor. nix, ion

 dencies: And whereas in viltur of the provi-oms of the cimemen.








 and its. Dapendencies in the Commonmedth of Alastralial withent








 are hrein after cathed the state), romprinine all that pertion of our
 and twenty-ninth and one hundred and fifir-fourth dexpres of cat Gongitude, and northwards of the fortieth degere of somt hatitude, including all the istands adjarent in the Paritio Ocean within ther



 Sew Holland whirh are called ix-pertively 'The ctate of somth
 in the said commonweath and that appointments th the sail
 Nignt.


 Commission, as mar be issoned to him undor Gur sion Mamal ind
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 IREROO.DTLVE INSTRCMENTHin Our Privy Council, or by Us, through one of Our Principal serretaries of State, and to such Laws as are now or shall hereafter be in force in the state.
III. We do also by these Our Letters l'atent declare Our will and pleasure as follows:-1
IV. Every person appointed to fill the Othere of Ciovernor shall, with all due soleminty, before entering on any of the duties of his Otfice, cause the Commission appointing him to be (iovernor to be read and published at the seat of Govermment, in the presence of the Chief Justien, or smme other Judre of the Supreme Court ithe State, ${ }^{2}$ and of the Members of the Exacutive Council thereoi, which being done, he shall then and there take before them the Oath of Dllegance, in the form provided by an Art passed in the session holden in the Thirty-first and Thirty-second years of Our Reign, intituled an . Act to amend the Law relating to Promissory Oaths; and likewise the usual Oath for the due execution of the Othice of Governor, and for the due and impartial administration of justice ; which Oaths the said Chief Jnstice or Judge is hereby required to adininister.

V:3 The Gevemor shall keep and ase the Publie seal of the State fo - oaling all things whatsoever that shall pass the said Public seal, a1. ' .ntil a l'ubiic seal shall be provided for the State, the Great Sea, formerly used for ${ }^{4}$ Wur collony of New Sonth Wales shall be used as the Publie seal of the State.
11. There shall be an Executive Council for the State, and the sail Council shall consist of such persons as were immediately before the coming into force of these Our Letters P'atent Vembers of the Executive Comeil of New South Wales or as may at any time be Members of the Executive ('muncil of Our said state in accordance with any law enacted by the Legislature of the State, and of such other persoms as the Governor shall, from time to time, in Our name ant in Our behalf, but subject to any Law ats aforesaid, appoint under the Public Seal of the State to be members of Our said Executive Council for the State. ${ }^{5}$
VII. The Governor, in Our name and on Our behalf, may make and execute, under the said Public seal, grants and dispositions of any lands which may be lawfully granted and disposed of by Us within the state. ${ }^{6}$

[^195]1 Secre er be in
will and or shall, of his or to be sence of + I the 1, which Oath of Session : Reign, Oaths: Othice of justice ; nired to

## Le State

 lie Seal, E Great shall beand the y before is of the time be ordane of such ur name nt under xcentive
VIII. The dewernor urye constitent ated appuint in Whr name and
 and other bere dry Oflieres and Mininters of the state as maty be lawfully eomstit, of ot appointod by lo. 1
IX. When athy rtime or whble has hern commathel withn the


 or aflemee who shall give sheh mformation ats shall heal to the com-

 any Court of the state or hefore ally Juture or wher Hanistate of the state, whin thr statr, a parthat either free or subjert lo lawful conditions, or any remisesion of the sentener passed on such offender. or any respite of the execution of surh sentencer far such prow as the
 forfat ures due or acerued to L's: Provided always that the Chomerno shall in ne case, exerpt where the offence has bernt of a politioal mature unatempanimed be anf other erave erime make it at enndition of any parden or remission of senteme that the olfunder shath abome himself or he remowe from the state: 1

 from the excreise of the same any prome exprising ally otion on

 or moder Gur authorits:
XI. The Gowrmer hay exercise all pown lawfully bemeing to


 XII. In the crent death, meapacity, or removal of the Governor, or of his del athre from the state, or aj his. assuminy the
 Our Lientematidownon, or, if there be now such Oflicer in the State.
'any lands within the state subject on the laws in foree for the time bring for regulating the sale or di-posal of Crown lamd-:

 of the royal name.
${ }^{2}$ Such crimes womld be trifel as a rale hey state Courts moler Ahmiraty jurisdiction, as to which the Commomumath liarliament has mot vet legivatedy



"Not in Victoria, fompth Anstralin, or Timmania. In Western Austmatia the; are now otiose, as the Council has ceared to he nominee.
"The words in itahios apply to New sonth Withe only, and wert adhed by letters patent of bee. 1. Hems, beranse it was known that the (iovernor would have occasion to alminister the (awromment of the fommonneale h. $12 \div 9 \cdot 3$
then such person or persons as we may appoint, under Our Nign Manual and Signet, shall, during ()ur pleasure, administer the Government of the state, first taking the Gathis hereinbefore direeted to be taken by the Governor, and in the manner herein preseribed; which being done. We do hreby anthorize, empower, and command Ons Lientenar ${ }^{+}$(Governor andevervothre such Administrator as aforesaid, tode and execute during Our pleasure all thines that belong to the Ofliee of (Gowe nor aceording to the tenor of these Our Letters Patent andareordingto(har hastructic nsas aionesad, and the daws of thestate

Xlll. In the event of the fovernor having occasion to be tellporarily absent for a short petiod from the Seat of Government or from the State, excep for the purpase of alministering the (iocornment of Our ('ommonurallh of ' Westralia, ${ }^{1}$ he may in erery such case, by an hast rument under the l'wice seal of the state. constitute and appoin
 Oflicer be ahsent or mable to act, then any other person, to be his Deputy durine such temporary absence, and in that capacity $t$ exercie, perform, and execute Sor and on behalf of the Governo duris weh absence, but no longer, all such powers and anthoritio verted in the (avemor, by these Our Letters Paicent, as wh $l l$ in an be such Instrument be sperified and limited, but no others. Pro vided, nevertheres, that by the appointment of a Deputy as aforesai the power and anthority of the ( fovernor shall not be abridged, It ere or in any away affected, otherwise than We may at any time hereaft think proper to direct:"
1 The worls in talies apply to Nen South Wake only, and were added letters patent of erember 1 , low, berame it was known that the duvers "omll have o . .osen to administer the (fovermment of the Commonwealth.

- This form is adopted in the ease of Victoria and Tasmania, and also Western Anstrala, except that the chases are X111 and XIN, and XIII beg: - In the event of the othice of the Guvernor lecoming vacent, or of the fiover being incopable, or of his departure from the state. In the ease of Queensla and fonth Australia the form is different, viz. :-
- $\underset{\sim}{ }$. In the event of the death, ineapacity, or removal of the (invernor, or of departure from the hiate, all the powere and anthorities herem granted to h shatl (subject to the provino and comlition hereinafter contained) be vest during Gur planare in Our Lientenant-(iovernor of the state, or if there be such oftere in the state, then in such person or premen as may be apponted I's moder (Hur Nion Mamal and Niquet to administer the (iovermment of State. And W゚e do hereby (sulject as aforesabl) wive and prant all sureh pos
 Provided always and subject the this contition that before any surth power: anthorities mall west in such hientenant-forernur, or such other person on suns, he or they abill have taken the Gathe heremafter direeted to be taken the (iwernor of the State, and in the mamer by these betters latent provi
XI. And whereas it may he necensary or expedient that the (awernor sh absent himself wecasonally for a whor period from the aent of covernmen from the sitate, whereby the affairs of the state mipht be expand to detrim if there were nu preson on the spot authorized to exercise the powers anthorities by these thr Letters Patent granted to the dovernor or som them: Now We do herehy anthorize and emposer the Governor. in e
 of the State, for comatitute and appoint the Lientemat-(iovernor for 1 he.


## THE . MNTR, ILIIX NTMTES

 Mminters, and all wher the inhabitants of thestate, to low obedhent.
 as may from time to time buder the provinions of these Gur levelets Patent, atminister the (iowermment of the state.
 assoms. finl pewer and anthonty from time to then to rewke, altor.

 shall he read and prowlatued at such phace or platers withen the state as the (iovermor whall hink fit: ${ }^{3}$




> By Wianant moter the Qneross siren Vammal.

## MCHI M.UCKEN\%Iに.

## II

 Sigmet to the (evermor of the Nitate of New Soutlo lliales


$$
\text { Interel Oefoluer 2! } \ln \text { Inc). }
$$

Vlefolil. li. I.
 Wales and its Deprondencios, in the Commonwedela of List mata
 ahministering the (iosemment of (har said state and it. [beper dencios.


 We have ronstituted, orderod, and derlared that there shall bex
 hependencies int the Commonwealth of Sustralia (whith said state of New south Wales and it: Deprendencies are therein and hereinafter ralled the state):




 as shall in and tiy sut hatrument ter spustion and limitel, hut no wethere.

 in in any way afficted, wherwise than Wir maty at any time herraffer thimh proper tiodirect.

NY. Westerin Australia: XIH: Queensland and Sunth Anstradia.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ XI. Wentern Antralia, XIS: Quecnsland and sumth Australia.


## кKこ

And whereas We have therels anthorized and cemmanted the Governor to to and execute all things that brlong to his said oftice accorling to the tener of Our said Letters P'itent, and of such Commission as may be issued to lim under Om Sign Manual and Signet, and arcording to such Instrutions as may from time to time be given to him meder (our sign Mamal and signe or by Our Order
 of State, and to such laws as are now or shall hereafter be in foree in the stite:

And whereas We did issue certain lnstrutions muler Our Sign Manual and Signet to Our (iovernor and Commander-in-C 'hief in ant over Our (olony of New Soutl/ Wates and its Dependencies, bearm diate the Nimt day of Jule 1859 ?

Now know you that We do hereby revere the aforesaid Instructions and We do be these Our Lastructions under Our Nign Mamal ane Signet direct and enjoin and deetare (ow will and pleasure as fol hows:-

1. In these Giur instructions, muless ineonsistent with the context the term 'the (iovernor shall inchude every person for the time bein administering the Govermment of the state, and the term' Expeutic Comencil' shall mean the members of Cur Eirecutive ('mumeil jor the stat utho are for the lime beimg the responsible alrisers of the Ciovernor.
2. The Governer mav. whenever he thinks fit, require any perso in the public service to take the Oath of Allegiance, torether wit surch other Oath or Wathe as may from time to time be preseribe be ally law in fore in the state. The foverner is to administer suc oathe or canse them to be administered be some Pashe Oticer of th state.
3. The (Governor shall forthwith communicate these Gur Instru tions to the Executive Comali, and hewewise all such others, frot time to time, as he shall tind convenient for Our service to impa to the:n.

1V. The (Govemor shall attend and preside at the meetines of th Exeeutive Coumeil, unkess prevented by sume necessiny or reasonah caluse, and in his absence such member as may be appointed by hi in that behalf, or in the absence of sueh member the senior memb, of the lixeentive Comeil actually present shall preside; the seniorit of the members of the said Couneil being regulated aecording to $t$ onter of their respective appointments as members thereof.
V. The Exeeutive Council shall not proceed to the dispatch business maless duly summoned by authority of the Governor 1 meses two members at the least cexclusive of the fowernor of of member presiding) be present and assisting throughout the who of the meetings at which any such business shall be dispatched.
VI. In the execution of the powers and authorities vested in hit

1 The words in italica occur only in the Victorian instructions. It is curi that they du mot also occur in the Tasmanian instruments, as there aloo

rded the id ollise, of such mal and - to time ill Crder creanits I fore in Jur sign ef in and , bearing ructions, nual and If as fol-
context, me being Exerutice rthe stater norr. ${ }^{1}$
ny person ther with reseribed ister such cer of the
ir hist mereres, from to impart ness of the reasonable ed by hill or member eseniority ling to thir f.
ispatch of vernor ner or of the the whol tched.
ted in him.
It is curimu, ere also the
 hat if in ant rase he shall ser sutliciont canse to dianont from the
 powers and inthoritios in opposerinin the the opinion of the (imment.
 No artilles.
 Comacil to regune that there la erombed apm the Minutes of the
 the ginestion.










 mentioned. asont in On mame to ally Bill of ally of the followimg chasses:-

1. Any Bill for the divore of persons jomed tengther in holye matrimone.
 of gratnity may be made to himedf.
2. Ane Bill atferther the antrence of the state.
3. Iny Bill, the provisions of which shall appar inem-istrot with obligations imposed upon I's by Trate.
4. Any Bill of an extramdinary nature and impertanere, whember
 in the state of the trade and shippug of the Cumed Kinedom and its Dependencies may be prejuliced.
5. Iny Bill containing provicions to which Ont assent has beroll once refised. or which haw been disallowed be lis:
Untess he shall have previonsty obtained Gn: Instructions upm such Bill throngh one of Our i'rimipal serematies of State, or mubse such Bill shall contain a chatese suspending the oprotion of surd Bill mutil the signification in the state of Chr phensure therempon, or muless the Governom shall hate satistied himself that an urent nefessity exists repuiring that such Bill he hromyht into immerfate operation, in which rase he is authorized to assent in Gur name to such Bill, unless the same shall be repugnant to the law of England.

[^196]



 and in other rases the artvier of ome at hast, of hiw Ministers ; and III any case in which such parton or wherice misht theretly atiect the interests of Our Fompire, of of any rombtre or place beronil the jurisdiction of the dowerment of the state. the dowemer shath, liefore

 as aforesmith.



XI. The (dovermor shall not, quit the state whome having tirst obtained lease from l's for so doing molur Ome sign Mamal and

 of Australia ore for the purpese of visitine the levermor of alls

 month for every pars servier in the state.

XIS. The temporare absence of the finw mon for any prion not excedines our month shall mot, if he haw provionsly informed the Fxecntive ('omed. in writing. of his introded absenere and if he haw dhly appointerl a Deputy in aceordance with Oin satid deters Patent be deemed a departure from the state within the manime of the satil Letters l'atent.

## 111

(OMMLSSION nuder the Royal Sign Manmal and Signet appointing the (ior ernor.
(This form of Commission is similar to that nsel in the case of (Ganala.;

## IV

DORMANT (OMDISSION moler the Roval Sign Manma and Signet apponinting the (Whef Jnstice of the kento Judge for the time being to administer the (avermmen in the event of the death, incapacity, or absence of the Governor and Lieutenant-(Governor (if any).

Deted Octolier 29, 190k.
(The form is similar to that uset in the case of New Zealanl.;

[^197]or witl． ‘olloil s：illl Tert the io jums －liaforro
 1 idviow
shllis th －HIIlem ing lir：s lial allul －rorept omurculth of ：1lly rerolinir all 10110 rionl not limed the
 l＇atent． †lare salial

R． 1.

Nig11／t

## V

COMMISSOON piswel mmtor the Roval Nign Xamal and



 Austratia．

## l：lll：\III li \＆ 1.





 Greting．




 Whier bolongine or appetaming．


 Commonweralt of Austrahia，Wir do hereleg anthorize and Iremin yon to administer the（invernment of Our said state of Ni．n sumth





 at Wratminster the First day of December I！M：ammondine the amme．




 in（101 said ぶtate．


 to sive their ready obedicure aremetimes．

Givern at Che Court at samt Jamess．this Thirtieth dap of Mareh， 1916，in the Tenth verar of hur Remon．

（CREいました。

## NEWHOCNDIANI

In the rase of Newfomdland the isatroment- are of sperial interest as being of the ohber tepe the liret promatome hettors patemt and instrotetons beine still valid and in lorere. There are alan the mimal eommis-sion and a dormatht eommis-
 form.

 (ommander-ite- 'hiof of the R-land of Newfomdland atod it: Depembeneis.s.

Vietoria. be the diame of dent, of the lonterl kinefone of tireat


 Acal of One Conited Kinmion of (ireat Britam and Irelamt, hearing
 Third Yoar i Gur Requn. constitnt: and apmint Gur 'ruate and

 Shehael and stant (imener). (ompanion of Gur Most Honomable Onder of the Bath. to be, Guring Our pleasure, Gur Cowerme and Commander-in-Chef in amb owr Our What of Nowfondand and its Dependences. And whereas Wir ate desirons of making afectual and permanent provision for the otlice of fowernom and ('ommander-
 dencies whomt making new Letters latent on wath lemise of the
 and by these presente do revoke and detemine. the said recital

 and mere motion, have thought fit to romstitute, order, and declate. and do $1:$ these presents constitute, order. and derlare, that ther whall be a (Governor and (ommander-in- ("hicf (heremafter ralled (hur sald (Bovermor) in and ower One Island of Sewfoumbland, and the Islands adjacent, and all the coast of Labra for from the entrance of Iudson's Staits to a line to be drawn dar North amb sontla. from Anse Sablon on the said Coast to the Fifte-second Derree of North Latitude. and all the lslands aljacent to that path of the said Coast of Labrador, as also of all Forts and Garrisons creeted and establishem






































 of OHE Prixe ('onmal.





 dissolve the said llows of . Issembly.
V. Ind we do further authorize and empower $0_{1}$ ar aid liovornor,

## Hfilli





 "hat wesere that ahall parathe said lobhbie sial.
 in (har tame and on Our behalf. to maker and exeente, mider the sami)





 constatered or appomemb bes.
 as he shall sere weranim, in Gur name amb onf Gur hehalf. "hem ans


 information amb exidene as whall heal to the approthomen and






 (insurnor shall in mo case make it a condition of ans pardon on momiswon of senteme that the wflemder shatl be banished from. of *hall absent himsilf from Onr satil Colu....

 to remowe from hiv othere in to suspend from the mercise of the same
 under or he virtur of ane Commiswin on Warcont granted, or whit may be eranted, by I's in Gur name, or under Gur anthorits.

 out of Our said Colony all and every the powns and anthortio herein granted to sou shall, emtal Gir further phasure is signifiom therein, he and the same are herehy vested in surf person de may h
 Lentenant-(6osernor of Gur sall Coblow, or if them shath be mose Lientenant-Governor in Gur sand Coholy, then in suth person
 Th administer the (foverament of the same : and. in ease there shat be no person or persons withim Our sabl Colongs suppointed by C thums lor sall| :114ly linlailif 1 Hm awfull 11111 all to :an Julere. ther frow al of ther
 * which thar sailid rilom ur firill. in (ownmor.
 he sillur, I Cidons: or whirh the ewnt (iovertior inthoritire signifion us may 1 י - be Onr (no such person or mind Signt here shall ed by Cu .
 Ghe villd Cohnos








 1104.4.
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## 1608 PREROGATIVE INSTRUMENTS

such Deputy shall conform to and observe all such instructions as Our said (Governor shall from tiane to time address to him for his guidance. Provided, nevertheless, that by the appointment of a Deputy as aforesaid, the power and authority of Our said (Eovemor shall not be abridged, altered, or in ang way affected, otherwise than We may at any time hereafter think proper to direct. Provided further that if any such Deputy shall have been duly appointed it shall not be necessary daring the continuane in ofliee of anch Deputy for any person to assume the (iowemment of Our said Colony as Ahministrator thereof.

## II

INSTRCCTIONS passed under the Royal Sign Manual and Signet to the Governor and Commander-in-('hief of the Island of Newfoundland and its Dependencies.

Dated March 28, 1876 .

## Vl("TORIA R.

lustractions to Gur (fovernor and Commander-in-Chief in and over Our Island of Newfomdland and its Dependencies, or, in his absence, to Our Licutenant-(iovernor, on the Ofther Administering the (Govermment of Our said Island and its bependencies for the time being.

Dated this 28th day of March, 1876 , in the thirty-minth year of Our Reign.
WHEREAS by ertain Letters Patent, hearing even date herewith. We have constituted, ordered, and dechared that there shall be a Governor and Commander-in-chnef (hereinafter called Our said (Governor) in and over Our Island of Newfoundland and its Dependencies (hereinafter called Our said Colons). And We have thereby authorized and commerded Our said (iovernor to do and execute in due manner all things tat shall belong to his said command, and to the trust We have reposed in him, according to the several powers and authorities granted or appointed himber virtue of the said Letters Patent and of the Commission to be issued to hita inder Our Sign Manual and Signet, and acrording to such Instructions as may from time to time be givel to him, muder Our Kign Manual and Signet, or by Our Order in Our Prixy Comecil, or hy I's through One of Our Principal Secretaries of State, and according to such Laws and Ordinances as are or shall liereafter be in foree in Our said Coloms. Now, therefore, We do be these Our Instructions under Our Sign Manual and Signet. declare Our pleasure to be, that Our said Governor for the time being shall with all due solemnity canse Our Commission mader Our Sign Mannal and Signet, appointing Our said Governor for the time being, to be read and published in the presence of the Chief Justice of Our said Colony for the time being, and of the Nembers of Our Expentive Council thereof; and We do further declare Our pleasure to be that Our said Governor and every other
ollicer appointed to administer the Ciowemment of Wur sail Cobony shall take the (Oath of Allemiance in the form provided by an . .it pasend in the session holden in the thiterefire and thint 9 -nomed vears of Our Reign, intituled • Sn Act to amend the Law relating tu Promissory Gathes : and likewise that he or ther take the usual oath
 Commanter-inthiff in and over forr salid folons, and for the due and impartial administration of justire which sairl gathes the (lhicf Jnstien for the time being of Our sain! Cobosy or in his absence, or in the erent of his being otherwise incapactated, the sinior Juthe then present, or. failing such Judge, the senior Member present of our said Executise Comeil, shall and he is herebe repured to tender and administer unto him or them.
11. And Wid do anthorize and require One said (iowerner, from time to thme, and at any time hercafter, be himself or bey any other person to be authorized by him in that be half, to ahminister to all and to evers persons or person, as be shall think fit, whinshall hold any oftioe or place of tonst or profit, the said oath of . Ildemiance, together with such other Gath or Oaths as may from time to time be preseribed by any Laws or statutes in that behalf made and proviled.
III. And Wie do require Gur sald Gevernor to remmmicate fonthwith to On Exerentive Comeril for Our said Colony these Wir Instructions, and likewise all surlo others from time to time as hes abll lind convenient for Our servier to be imparteal to them.
IV. And We do hereby diect and enjoin that Onr salid Executive Comeil shall not procerel to the dispatch of business unless dul! summoned be anthority of Gur said Governor, and moness three Members at the least (rxelusion of himself or the Nombre presiding) be present and assisting thomghont the whole of the meetings at which any sme h hasiness shall be dispatehed.
V. And We do further direct and enjoin that Our sabd dowrmor do attent and preside at the mertings of Our said Execontive Council, miless when prevented by some necessary or rasemable canse; and that in his absence such Slember as may be appointed by him in that behalf, or, in the absence of any surlh Member, the seniog Nromber of the said Execotive Comecil actually present shall preside at all such meetings, the senionty of the Members of the Comeil being regnlated according to the onder of their respetive appointments as Mrmbers of Our said Council.
VI. And We do further dieret and rojoin that a full and exant Jommal or Minute be kept of all the deliberations, ants, procedings, votes, and reselutions of Gur said Exsontive (ommeil, and that at each meeting of the said comecil the Dlimese of the last meeting be read over, contirmed, or amended, as the wase may rempire, before proceding to the dispatels of any other business. hind Wir fo further direct that twice in each year a full tamseript of all the Minuten of the said Council for the preceding half yar lue tmanmed to Los through one of our Prineppal secretaries of state.

## 1610 PREROGATIVE INSTRUMFNTS

VII. And We do further direct and enjoin that, in the execotion of the powers and authorities committed to (Our said Go: י. י.... hy Our said Letters Patent, he shall in all cases consult with Our said Exxecu tive Council, excepting only in cases which may be of such a nature that, in his judnement, Onir service would sustain materiad prejndice by consulting Our Comecil thereupon, or when the matters to be decided shall be too umimportant to require their advice. or too nrenent to admit of their advice being given by the time within which it may be necessary for him to act in respect of any such matters. Provided that in all such urgent cases he shall subsequently, and at the earliest practicable perionl, communicate to the said Executive Council the measures which he may so have adopted. with the reasons thereof.
VIII. And We do authorize Our said (iovernor, in his diseretion, and if it shall in any case appear right. to act in the exerese of the power committed to him by Our said Letters Patent, in opposition to the advire which may in any such case be given to him by the Members of Our said Executive Council. Provided, nevertheless, that in every such case he shall fully report to L's by the first convenient opportmity such proceding with the gromids and reasons thereof.
IX. And whereas We have by Onr said Letters Patent provided that the Lenislative Council of Our said Colony shall be constituted in the manner therein appointed: Now We do dechare Onr pleasme to be that Five lembers of the said Legislative Council shall be a quornm for the dispateh of the husiness thereof.
X. And We do authorize and empower Our said Governor, from time to time, by an Instrmment under the Public Neal of Our said Colons, to appoint one Meraber of the said Lecrislative Council to preside therein, and to remove him and appoint another in his stead.
zI. And We do contirm all standing Rules and Orders heretofore made by Our authority for ensuring punetuality of attendanee of the Members of the said Legislative Conncil, and for the prevention of meetings of the said Council being held without convenient notice to the several Members thereof, and for maintaning order and method in the dispatch of business, and in the conduct of all debates in the said Council; and We do authorize and empower the said Legislative Council to make such other and further Rules and Orders as may to them appar requisite for the above-mentioned purposes. not being repuynant to these Our Instructions, or to any other Instructions which Our said Governor may receive from Us.
XII. And We do further direct and enjoin that Minutes shall be regularly kept of the proceedings of the said Legislative Council. and that at each meeting of the said Council the Nimutes of the last preceding meeting be read over, confirmed, or amended, as the case may require, before proceeding to the dispatch of any other busines, And We do further direct and enjoin that Our said dovernor shall transmit fair copies of the Journals and Minutes of the proceedine

## brided

 tituted sure to 1 be a from ili said meil to stend. etofore anee of vention notic. er and debates he said Orders mposes. other ceedin! from the (lerks or uther proper whicers in that hohalf of the said Legislative Bodies.
 Our said Letters Patent, to summon amb call therther the (armeral Assembly of Our said Coleny, Wiedo further direct and enjoin that the persons therempon duly chected to be Mrmbers of the salid . Dsemmbly shall, before their sitting, take the said Oath of Iteserance, which Oath he shall commission fit persons, under the soal of Whr said Colony, to tender and administer unte thenn; and until the sallu-

XIV. And in the enactment of Latws wit is isamb colong. We
 be practicable, the following Rules and Insture that is to say : :-
XV. Whe style of enacting such laws shall In . The (bosimor, bientenant-(iovernor, or difier Ahninistring tu. Govermment (as the case may Iee), (bonsil and Issmble', and unothre.
XVI. In the passing of all laws, carlh differnt matter is to be provided for by different law, withont intermining in on and the same law such things as have no proper relation to cach other, amd no Clanse is to be inserted in wamexed to athy lat wheh shall be foreign to what the tith of smb Law impurts, and lun perpethat flause is to be part of any tempmay haw.
XVH. Our said (formor is mot to assemt in flar han $\quad$ to any Bill of any of the classes heremafter sperified, that is to saty:-

1. Auy Bill for the divore of pervons jomed twiether in Hols. Matrimony.
2. Any Bill wherche any grant of Lamb on money or othry donation or gratuity may be made to himsilf.
3. Any Bill whereby any paper of other curceney may be mate a legal tender, except the com of the ratu or other enold willer woin.
4. Any Bill imposing differential duties.
5. Any Bill the provisions of which shall appear incomsistent with obligations imposed npon L's by Treaty.
6. Any Bill interfering with the discipline or conten of our foress in Our said Cotony be land and sea.
7. Any Bill of an extamelinary nature and impontanes. whemby Uur prequative, or the rights and properte of our subjerts mot residing in Our said Colons, or the trade amd shipping of the ['mted Kiugdom and its dependencies, may be prejudieed.
*. Any Bill contaning provisions to which ohr aternt has beren once refused, or which have been divallowed by L's:-

Unless such Bill shall contain a chanse suspemding the ofration of sueh Bill until the simnitication in Our said Colome of Gur pleasure thereupon, or muless Our sad fovernor shall have satistied thimelf that an urgent necessity exists requiring that such bill be hromght into inmediate operation, in which case har is anthon wed to asoment in Onr mane to such Bill unkess the -ame shall beremmant wo the law
of England, or inconsistent with any obligations imposed upon Us by Treaty. But he is to transmit to us, by the earliest opportunity, the Bill so assented to, together with his reasons for assenting thereto.
XVIII. And We do further direct and enjoin Our said fovernor to transmit to Us, through one of Our Principal Seeretaries of State, a transcript in duplicate of every Law which has been assented to by him in Our name, together with a marginal abstract thereof duly authenticated under the P'ublic Seal of Our said Colony, and that such transeript shall be accompanied with such explanatory observations as may be required to exhibit the reasons and oceasions for proposing such laws; and that in case any such law shall at any time be disallowed, and so signified by L's, Our Heirs and Successors, under Our or their Sign Manual and Signet, or by Order of Our or their Privy Council unto him, then such Law as shall be so disallowed shall from theneeforth cease, determine, and become utterly void and of none effect, anything to the contrary thereof notwithistanding.
XIX. And whereas We have by Our said Letters Patent authorized and empowered our said Governor, as he shall see occasion, in Our name and on Our behali, to grant to any offender convicted of any crime in any Court, or before any Judge, Justice, or Magistrate within Our said Colony, a pardon, either free or subject to lawful eonditions: Now We do hereby direct and enjoin Onr said Governor to call upon the Judge who presided at the trial of any offender who shall have been condemned to suffer death by the senitence of any Court within Our said Colony to make to Our said Govenor a written report of the case of such offender, and such report of the said Judge shall by Our said Governor be taken into consideration at the first meeting thereafter which may be conveniently held of Onr said Executive Council, where the said Judge may be specially simmoned to attend ; and Onr said Governor shall not pardon or reprieve any sudh offender as aforesaid, unless it shall appear to him expedient so to do, npon receiving the advice of Our Exeentive Comncil therein, but in all sueh cases he is to decide either to extend or to withhold a pardon or reprieve, according to his own deliberate judgement, whether the Members of Our said Executive Conncil concur therein or otherwise ; entering, nevertheless, on the Minutes of the said Council a Minute of his reasons at length, in case he shonld decide any such questions in opposition to the judgement of the majority of the Members thereof.
XX. And We do further direct and enjoin that all Commissions: granted by Our said Governor to any person or persons to be Judges, Justices of the Peace, or other officers, shall, untess otherwise provided by law, be granted during pleasure only.
XXI. And whereas Our sald Governor will receive through one of Our Principal seeretaries of State a Book of Tables in Blank, commonly ealled the 'Blue Book', to be anmally filled up with certain Returns, relative to the Reveme and Expenditure, Militia, Public Works, Legislation, Civil Establishment, Pensions, Population, Sehools, Course of Exchange, Imports and Exports, Agricultural ereto. nor to State, to $b y$ duly 1 that serva-pro-- time under r their d shall and of orized in Our of any within itions: 1 upon 11 have within port of hall by neeting ecutive ttend ; ffender , upon in all rdon or ner the erwise; nute of tions in thereof. nission: Judges, rovided

1 one of k, comcertaint l'ublic ulation. cultural

Prodice, Manufactures, and other mathers in the said - Bhur Book' more particulany specified with refermere to the state and condition of Our said Colony: Now We do herehe dired and ewoin that all such Returns be accurately prepared, and pmetmall: transmitted to l's from vear to vear throush ome of Gur lemeipal sereretaries of state.
 and to the seemrity of Our said Colony be the absemoe thenferm of

 doing mader Gur Sign Mamal and sizuct, or throtug one of Our Principal secretaries of state.
l. li.

The powers given in the Letters Patemt are not all
 same hy . Set of Parliament or local let since it was first confored in Is $3:-$ by Commision to the (iowernor. ${ }^{1}$ No power is given in regard to marriage lienteres. lettere of alministration, probate of wills, or the custorly and mamatement of honaticesand idiots and thein estates, as was done in 1 wo of the 1 atralian Letters l'atent mp to limon.:

[^198]$1$

## ADIENIA

Page 8. For the Aets regulating the erowermment of sombth



 IN6x (six officials, six non-eflictals neminated in Ordere) : Wet 33 Vict. No. 13 : 37 Vict. No. 22 ; 46 Vict. No. 24 ; 50 Vict. No. 110.

Pane l3f. Cockhurn's charme was in li. v. Aetwon amd Braml


Page 2(x). The fioverner's action in 190 g was discussed very corcetically in the Assembly on September 29 , 1911 ; see Morrury, September 2? : Eramimer, Suptember 29 and 30. But the ronstitutionality of lus artion was deffeded warmaly ley themier.
 The correspondenee was printed in Newfoundand; cf. Mefrath, Sereforanllumel in 1:11, pp. fil-3.

Page 2.20.n. 1. The fuestion of the effect of the contact with the eompans came lofore the supreme Court in the case of The Attorney-irmeral of Srijounilland $\because$. The C'mumerrial ('ulher ('o, The Govermment clamed 16, onk doflars in respect of four cables of the company under the Act 5 Edw. VII. c. $\overline{7}$.s. 2 , white the company urged in defence that ly the contract of 1909 a cable rumning to the company's station in Newfoundland and then igain to sea was to be reckoned for the tax as one cable. The cont refused to allow the Act to be set aside be : contract unatified by the leegislature : see Royal Gazette. Wctober 17, 1911.

Page 223. The relation of the (iov: nor and ministers has bern recently illustrated by an extraordnary serios of poents in New south Wakes. The Labour party took oflice in 1990 with a secure majority of two in a house of nimety members, and a probability of steady support from four or five other indepentent members. The policy of forbidding the acepuisition of freehold was adopted, athel with it the intention of repealme the Act permitting the conversion of leaseholds was dechared bey the Minister of Lands. This de welopment raised doubts in the minds of the Independents and also of some of the Dinisterialists who represented country districts, and though

## ADDENDA

in : direct motion of censure on July $25^{1}$ the (iovernment were mantained by a majority of 42 votes to 37 . the same date saw the resignation of their seats hy Mr. Dum and Mr. Horne, members for Mudgee and hiverpool llains.

The result of their resignation was to reduce the number of members of the dssembly to 88 , of whon 44 were achnowfedged supporters of the (iowemment, leaving the Govermment with an effective voting strength of 43 only, as one of their members was in the Chair. The Gowomment then deceded and anmonuced in the Assembly on July $26-$ that the would not cary on any further business on the ground that while two ordinary be-tertions such as those neeessifated by the resignation of members would not justify Government in suspending its operations, the position in which the (iovernment were left hy the loss of their assured majority rendered it undesirable to proered further with hasiness until the hyele tion had been decided. Bur he leader of the group of ladependents at onee made it clear that he was not prepared to allow an adjourmment over the period of the elections.

After full comesderation, therefore, the habour Govermment decided that it would be well to ask the oflicer administering the government to grant them a prorogation with a view to taking at the by-elections the opinion of the comntry on the questions at issue, but the Lien-tenant-(ioverner definitely declined to do so, whereupon the Governmont placed in his hands their resignations, which he accepted conditiomally as usual on his being able to find other advisers prepared to undertake the responsibilities of povermment.3 Mr. Wade, the Leader of the Opposition and formerly Premier, was then sent for by Sir William Cullen, and was askeyl if he would undertake the conduct of poverment. This Mr. Wade was mwilling to do unless the Lientenant-Governor was prepared to promise him a dissolution as with parties equal there was no real prospect of his being able to cary on husiness, especially as the Labour party had deprived him of his potential majority of one by inducing the speaker to resign his position, so that had he taken office Mr. Wade wouth have been defeated at any moment the Labour Party chose. 4 The lieutenant Governor then recalled to office the out onge Ministry and grantet them, on Augnst 1: a prorogation of Parliament until Jugust 23, $t$ tide over the period of the by-elections.
${ }^{1}$ see Parliamentary Dilutex, 1911, sess. 1, pp. 1813-1914. On the contrar on luly 26 on a motion to sumend the standing orders in order to pass a suppl Bill to eover luly. Augnst, and September, the dovernment was only able bring up 37 numbers against 37 ; the Speaker voted with the (iovernmen
 consideration of the estimates, the Speaker held that he should not east his vot in such a way as perhaps to bring abont a change of administration; s pp. 1929-51.
${ }_{2}$ Parliamentary Debates, pp. 1924 1925.

- 1bid., pp. 1998-2001.
- See Sydney Morning II rald anu Daily Telrgraph, July 1011.
were w the ers for mbers ters of voting The ly on on the recessimment nment wirable d been e made ver the rmment lections Lien-(invernted conrepared ade, the sent for ake the to unless solution. yable to ved him esign his we been utenant 1 granted ist 23 , to a contrary, wa supply nly able t.. wernument. o post puntic ust his votu ation ; sce

1st 1 and $\stackrel{2}{ }$,

The energies of both parties were thus conerentraten upen the hig--leetions in the two districts; in one case the Labour mimber derident to stand again in order to sereure the remsoned npimen of his coms.ituents on the 'question at issue, while in the onher case the Latume
 pesition was, however, materially altere! by the dercish of the Covernment to a bandon the potier of the repail of the A.t permettue


 was unconstitmional in reximine while the Premier wis wine in the

 his absence in Englanat at the Cohnial Comference, and in camsinf the Speaker to vacate his stlice for party purposes. Ther remilt of the hy-elections was disappeinting to beith sides, for in rume seat the Labour ${ }^{3}$ ant in the other the Litheral canlidhte (hater unseatech) succeeded, traving the parties precisely erpala, for the ind epentrint
 themsetves with the Cpposition to the Cowermment.
The position was chearty atmost an inlunssibite one an it repeated the famens predicament of Newfondlant in 1:stis. when there was an eqpiality of members on hoth sitles, ant the ele ertion of a Speaker presentend insuperable ditliculties, so that the new Honsis of Assemblys had to be dissolved before it had taken any artion. But in this cand the Labour Government was sullicienty fortunate to stemer an Opposition member. who consentel to take the speaker's chanr on the muderstanding that a redistribution of seats would taker place in accordance with the constitution as a result of the census of $1:$ ? 1 .
 business in the main shoubd be procereted with. There was naturally much indignation on the part of the Opposition at this antion bey one of their members, and the election of the , peaker was only carried on Angust $23,{ }^{4}$ when Partiament reassembed, amidst sernes of the greatest excitement and disorder, and these semens wrie remesed on Augnst 2? with the result of the exchusion from the House of two Opposition members. Mr. J. Perry brought an :ection atainsa the Speaker, ${ }^{5}$ and it was allesed that hr was net duly apprimtent to that ollice on the ground that the proceedin! wil Ausunt $2:$; were irregular. masmuch as the speaker hat bene instathed in the chair while a member of the Oppesition was cudravouring th aprak

[^199]and to propose anc, aer Lentleman as speaker. The ill fereling induced be the inedent resulted in the comstant occurrener of dissent from the Speakers ruliugs, and condminated in a dixquacerne serene of disorder on september 19.1 when seven members of the Sisemble were ejected by the police by dive tion of the spaker. The spenker ralerl 2 that a motion 'that the sprakers words aceosing him (Mr. Wide) of prevarication be taken down' was out of onder, the
 lue also rated that a motion to censume the spaker was out of order.a
The lientenant-(fovernor's netion in refosing a prorogation to the Labme party was severely eriticiz of be certain Labomer members, but eloarly it was in acoordance with constitntional practioe that he shonld not thos do what the Assembly declined to do. if he could find other ministers rearly to carry on bnsiness. His action in refusing a dissohtion to Mr. Wade was also criticized be the Liberal press, and muphestions were madre after the election of Mr. Willis as Speaker, that the Limutenant-(fovernor .honld fore a dissolntion. But the liberal press on the whole were prepared to agree to a briof contimanere of the (iovernment in ofliee if it preceeded energetically with redistribution ; an offer to do so and to drop, if possible, eontentions measmes was made by the Ministry. The Upper Honse is, of conrse, by an overwhelming majority opposed to the Govermment, and deelined to accept the Parlamentary Elections Bill so far as it removed the panper discmalification for the framelnse. ${ }^{4}$

Page esll. Lord Carnarvon's dispated dealt specifically with the position of the (iovernor-(ieneral as to disallowimy provincial Aets inf Canada. Mr. Blarke took up this matter tether with the guestion of the prerogative of meres. See p. 727.
Page 322. The Government of Sir W. Laurier were decisively defeated at the peneral election of September 19n1, on the issue of reciprocity with the United States, and retired withont meeting larliament. Mr. R. L. Borden then formed a (bovermment cluding

[^200]Mr. Hazen, the Irmmer of New Branswok, and Mr Conhram, Die







 folios. Tho ex-spakers of the semate and Commens were aloro of




 mont durime the eloctoral contras and combumad lay Mr. Burden in the llonse of (ommerns), it was smmmend for Sonomber lit to wote sulples, and salaries, do... Wrre paid in anticipation un as
 rexime: Tha vietory of the Latheral-ionervation party in the
 Sir J. Whitno to power with as slighty ralumen majorite and he as



 defeat of the Liberat party in Western lustraliat the I'remier at onee rexigned. amd Mr. Saddan hecame Premier of al Latome fiowernment comtaining two ho norary ministers. All but Mr. Fradtan were elected in candus.
 preferential voting for the dssembly, and the

[^201]November 1911, os followed, returned the (iovermment with undiminished streng, 'Ilae Commonwealth by Act of 1911 luss introdmed computan: registration, and las abolishal the protal vote and sulbshtut absent vote, which New אouth Wales ablophed by Act So. S of '11. The mensure was criticezed as monair po
 in the nes of the pres sote. See alan llamphreys. I'roportumal lieprest dation. "ri dwarl Island has hot yet adopted the hallot. Sire also and ICt No. 1! of 1!11:
l'age (izs.
to the Prible
reduced all 1 ten

 reading (IIdate. Heasure regaril it. On the ot pulsory preferen + 1 ... disadvantageous 1 |al: in contented itself : 1 h reje
 Appropriaten bill, wholl wonld have

 an liovernanent abamdoned - qudly passed the Bill for com4aps bectanse it was expecter! to low hre sathe year the 'Ta-manian Councet
Sill regarding the mantenance of testator ${ }^{\circ}$ famblit Thit sump Anstralian Council rejected the Voto Bull in 1911: mater this $131^{\prime}$. if a Bill is paseed thrive in splatate
 vermy after the , econl jassing, the bill may le presented to the fowernor for asont: in the Lown Honse the serond reading wns passed by :3 to 15. and the third hy el to 10. In the debates it was pointed int that the result of giving women the franchise in 1804 had been to increase the strength of the ownership voters and to render the Honse more Conservative: the proportions of voters are now, Assmbly, 18:, 435; Council, 64,390. It was also ponted ont that since leiti repeated efforts had bern mate to securo a better franchise ; in 1!n!-3 Mr. drukins's Minist ry propoziol househoh suffrage and the dual vote ; in lone the eoalition uf Labour amb Democratic Liberals proposed 45 oecupation pualifeat:on and the dal vote, but though this was carried in lenty and ISMn, and a general election fourgit on the point in 1906s, sot even in 1907 only ILIT occupation yualification without the dual vote was concerded hy Aet No. y20, together with votes for lessees with Lj川 improvenents, ministers, teachers, postmasters, railway stationmastors, and offieer in charge of polier stations residing on gowernment property. and the inerease on the numbers enrolled was only 8.5B4 in three years. An adult suffrage proposal carried by jey to lig in the House was rejerted in the Council by to 12 in 1910, and the land Tas Bill of the (iowernment was rejerted on second reading (Concil Delates, pp. 47.3 seq.) At the end of $1: 911$ He Conncil rejereted the Ippropration bil becanse it included provision for (iovernment brick works for all purposes ; an appeal for Imprial intervention was doclined by the Secretary of State on the ground that every constitutional remedy
 urdinary supply Bill and the (iovernor grantiol it dexolotwh wht



























 in the New Bramswit brant: of a bank, thomals the depmen could have been paid in I mulon.



 state, pp. St: B. But the point of the derision, whirla delitatately Aeclined to disenss the question of ace of state. is that am alien excluded has no right of action. hot that the (rown has the right to "xelude, and presmably it is a twie of the ohd rule than an allen rould not bring an action at all ( 10 , Lis 12x a, 12:4 a), whech hat, in mosit matters died out. 'Tlue power is mot ratedy ane on on in south Africa, e. g. Raners case. If C. T. R 1 : and several cases in 1! 11 .


## ADDEND.

contravene the usual rule that a Governor camot do an art of state without ratifieation, for the act of state arose from the treaty of cession of Pondotand, and the Government of the Cape had special anthority from the Crown to deal with Pondoland, by Letters Patent of June 7 and July 27 , 1894 (see Act No. 5 ) of 1894 of the C'ape), and the Engtish Courts systematically dectine to consider rights arising from annexation. In Sprigg v. Sigcou ([1897] A. C. 238) the plea of act of state was not substantially urred. just as it was not urged in R. v. C'reute, ex parte Sekgome ([1910] 2 K. B. 576), hit in the latter case the exereise of legislative anthority under the Foreign Jurisdiction Act, 1890, and the Order in Council for the Beehamatand Protectorate made thereunder, was hedd suflieient to justify a deportation and detention, in the former the terms of Aet No. 5 of 1894 were not wide enough to do so. For futhre cases suitable provision was made by Act No. 29 of 1897. ('f. Keith, State successiom, pp. 13 seq., 83.
l'agi 1099. The attitude of the Commonwealth Govermment is shown by its action in 1911 in repealing the rule laid down in South Austraha in 1910 forbidding Astatics to obtain licences for fishing in the Northern Territory; see P'arliamentary Debates. 1911, pp. 751, 752. Queenstand has adhered to the plan of the langnage test both as regards land (1 Geo. V. No. 15, ss. 5!), 62, 94) and sngar works (2 Geo. V. e. 8, s. 9), and in a Leases to Aliens Bilt of 1911. Victoria has passed in 1911 the Bill regarding aceommodation for shearers. which makes requisite separate provision for gharters for Asiatics. 4 Widows Pensions Aet of New Zealand in 1911 and a Factories Amendment Aet of Tasmania contain elanses affecting Asiaties.

Page 1105. A good example of the eombination of Imperial and Colonial legislation to carry out treaty or quasi-treaty obligations is seen in the issue of Orders in Council under s. 238 of the Merchamt Shipping Act, 1894 (e. g. for Japan in 1911, applying to all the British 1)ominions), as regards foreign (leserters from merehantmen, and the existence in many Colonies of Acts dealing in detail with such cases and largely supplementing the Jmperial Act (e e. New Sonth Wale.. Aet No. 47 of 1898 ; Western Australia, No. ı, of 1878 ; Queensland Act, 16 Viet. No. 2.): Tasmamian Foreign Scamen Act. $185!$ (No. 8) ; Natal Aet No. 50 of 1903 ; C'anada Rer. Stat., 1906, c. 113. s. 323 ; New Zealand, Act No. 178 of 1908, part xiv).

Page 1109. New Zealand by Act No. 枋 of 1903 took power to restrict the coasting trade to cases where reciprocity was allowed, alld C'inada by Aet 7 \& 8 Edw. VII. c. 64 has full power to close the coasting trade in any case it pleases, but has relased the rule lig Order in Comeil of December 9. 1909. Australia proposes to take similar powers in the Navigation Bill of 1!11; ef. Delnetos, 1911. pp. 537.538.

Pacie 1121. The Imperial (iovernment permitted the Cape to enter into a Customs Union with the Orange Free State (see Act-

## of state

 reaty of special Patent pe), and arising plea of urged in e latter urisdicnd Proortation vere not as made seq., 83 .ment is n South fishing pp. 751, est both r works Victoria hearers. Isiatics. actories tic's.
rial and ations is Ierchant British and the ch cases Wale: Qneens: (t, 185! c. 113.

No. 1 of 188: 3 , of 1805). A to treat the Frece Nate thifermially as opposed to the Cnited Kingdom and British possessions. Thie Frenstate had once indeed been British territory, hint was then an infepentent state, and the action of the Cape raised dillionlt purestions of intemational law as regards most fatomed mation thanses in
 contemplated the possibility of the ancession of forminn territories
 Tramsial Colony made an agrement with Mozambigne muler Which the prodncts and manfactures (exerpt spirits) of that territory enter the Colony (now the Prowince) free of daty: The Protectorates now enjoy a customs rexime hased on the Coman moditiod by s. 12
 are dealt with on the basis of the Cniom.' The Cnited kingrlom is unt given the same terms. hut the United Kinglom conerded the principle in 18.3 in the case of Anstratia.
Page 1153. The tresty with spain (am be denomered at six months' notice muler the notes of Derember $2 x$ and $2!9,18!14$. Swoden and Mexico haw apreed to permit the separate withdrawal of the


Page 1184. (ff. Bowen. Thirty Yicars of riomial Cimermume,


Page 12lts. In the session of 1 th11 Now Zatand amended the Ad of $1: 009$ in acrordane with the madertaking diven to the lmperial torermment, berestricting the com wol of bills of herding to rasest of rarriage from Now Zealand. The demement rated ou protest in l'arliament. Ser Act No. 37.

Page 1237. The Coprotht Act is now law as 1 \& $\geq$ tion. V. c . If.
Page 1263. The L'nion Jefence Bill, which will no donht berome law in 1912, contemplates compulsory training onle of colmatary entistment is insulficient to mantain the first lime of difenere at a lewed of abont $25,(\mathrm{KK})$ men. The members of that fore will consist of tive regiments of momed riflos, absorhing the (ipe Moment Polier, and aveilable for police as well as military service, whth artillery: the Coast Garrison fores, and the Aetiwe (itizen foree viz. those lietwern 17 and 25 who are being tramed. The Seromd line will inednde citizens to ade 45 who haw hern tramed or have serwel in Rifle
 may be called on to serve. Those who are tramed will serve for :onr years with a (amp attendanere of from in 10 lis diys and a
 age 41. Non-Earopeans are relieved of the burden of tefencerentirds. Jn this connexion it is important to note that in :n appeal mader tife

[^202]Cape Sehool Boards Act, 1905, the Appellate Division of the Suprente Court has deciled that any person one of whose nearer ancestors was black or yellow is a non-European; Times, Dec. 14, 1911.

Page 1306. The title Honourable is now recognized throughout the Empire in the case of judges of the Supreme Courts of all the Australian States, of the Union of South Africa, of New Zealand, and of Newfoundland and of the High Court of the Conmonwealth during their tenure of office, and on retirement if specially recommended. In the case of the Provinces of Canada the judges of the Supreme Courts are given the st yle of Honourable locally, and so in the case of the Supreme Court, but in respect of these the olficial style of Lordship is used; see e.g. the notice prefixed to 43 s . C. IR. These cases are not covered by the new rule so far. Sce Gazette, Jan. 1. 1912. The Administrators of the Union Provinces are so styled.

Pages 1309, 1310. The Law Officers of the Crown definitely advised on April 30, 1859, that precedence by birth or title in the United Kingdom did not automatically convey sinilar precedence in a Colony, and that it was proper for a Colonial Governor to regulate precedence (in default of special instructions) according to local conditions; see South Australian Parl. Pap., 1871, No. 115. By dispatch of January 26, 1869, to the Governor of Victoria a pledge was given that no precedence woukd be accorded officially in future to any ecclesiastical person, the letters patent creating bishoprics having been held to be invalid: see Victoria Parl. Pap., 1890, No. 38, p. 6. That archbishops rank by date of appointment and not of consecration as bishops when precedence is granted (as in Canada and Newfoundland) is laid down by a dispatch of 1910 see Canada Statutes, 1911, p. vi. The vexed question of relative rank of Imperial and Colonial officers (Victoria Parl. Pap., pp. 7-10) has been settled by the rule that Imperial officers in the Colonies receive Colonial commissions and rank under them.

Page 1323. Other Acts which would not apply to a naturalizet British subject outside his own place of naturalization are the Foreign Marriages Act, 1892, and the W'ill: Act, 1861. So he would be exempt from the extraterritorial operation of the law of treaso ( 35 Hen. VIII. c. 2), murder ( $24 \& 25$ Vict. c. $1(4)$ s. 9 ), bigany (ibid., s. 57) ; certain offences under the Merchant shipping Aet 1894, and the Explosice Substances Act, 1883, and the Foreign E, listment Act. 1870; nor would he fall within the protection of claust in extradition treaties relating to the non-surrender of national P'arl. Pap., Cd. 3524, p. 142. See also Piggott, Nationality. Th Australian Act of 1903 contains (s. 5) a curious clause which contem plates that an aboriginal native of New Zealand may yet not a British subject, which is an impossibility; and s. 9 must be rem as applicable only to a woman marrying a naturalized British subject or it is repugnant to s . 10 of the Imperia! Act.

Page 1347. New Zealand alone atopts the rule of compul-ory retirement of judges appointed after the passing of an Act of $1!x, 3$ on attaning the age of $\boldsymbol{i}$ : years. In the Crown Colonies. julve who. save in British Honduras, Malta, Gibraltar, and Leewatht, hold at pleasure are subject to the ustal retiring age mons, but this would run counter to the Constitutions of Camala, the Commenwealth. and the Cuion, which rest on Imperial Acts: in the two latton "ans the Constitution can be modified be lowal a tom.
 hed by the Court manmonsly that the superme Court of Xiw Zealand coukd not punish manishaghter committod be a britisla

 provided the ace nised died mot belong to the ship. the commsid ion the accosed (Stout, usw ('..R.) and hoth Johnston J. and Richmond I. holding that the Let 30 \& 31 Vict. e. 122, s. 11, diet not ipple to a Colonial Conrt. The decision may hate been correct, for the accosed may uot have heen a British subject-lec clamed on haw been matmralized in the lented states-and if he were, maty haw been a member of the erew (ef. Richmond Jo, at p. Bie). Dint the wording of the section ('any Comrt of Justice in IIer Majpotys Dominions ') is absolutely conclusise in fatwour of the juriatiction. and it may be presumed that none of the juldes read the Aet.

Page 1423. The power of the Roman Catholic Churd in Camadn has been nuch dincussed in connexion with the Ifelval case. Where a marriage of Catholes celebrated by a Irotestant eheremam was deetared invatid by Arehbishop Brucheri. and then hy Lammendean I. hed invalid on the primeiple laid down afresh in the ne temere deeree reguiring marriages to be celebated be a Roman ('atholie pries: the decrese, however, extends the primeiple to nixed marrianes. The Quebee Court held that (1) Catholies camot he married hy a Probestant, and (2) questions of the validity of Catholic marriaces mast be settled by the ecclesiastical rourts. On both points the Courts have differet: Catholie marriages by Protestants have been uphed in Burn v. Fomtaine, 4 R. L. Iti3; Delpit v. 'oté. R. I. (Q. 20 C.S. $3338:$ and denied in other eases in accortance with the views of the ecelrsiastical courts. Similarly some judees have asserted their anthority to deeide the issues (Delpit v. Cote. R. J. (Q. 20 ('. S. 338 , per Arelhibald J.), and reversed the decision of an archbishop, while others have held the reverse (Durocher v. Deyre, R. J. Q. :3) (. .s. Ditt,
 under appeal, and the issue will, it is hoped, be taken to the Prisy Conncil. The Queber Courts have not get refused to deat with cases of mixed marriages (cf. Dorion w. Lourem. 1i L. (… J. 324; Burn w. Fontaine, 4 R. L. 16i3), nor have they tecided amy case on the new branch of the ne temere dectee ; see kwart, The Kingdom Iropers pp. 121-32. Mr. Lancaster has, however, introduced a Bill into the Dominion Parliament to declare valid any marriage duly celebiated
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be a minister of relixion (Camadian Gazette, Iviii. 401). This seems cíparly ullra rirrs: ; cf. Deluates, pp. 590-2, 737-40, 819-21.

Page 1426, 11. 1. Canada is organized into three ecelesiastical prosinces: that of Canada (an arehbishop and nine other bishops), of Kupert's Land (an archbishop and seven bishops), and of British Cohmbia (three independent dioceses), with a Primate of All Canadia lat present the Archbishop of Rupert's Land). New Zealand has only six tioceses, the Bishop of Dunedin being Primate.

Pate: 1436. The Sonth Africa cases were followed in Bishop of Columlin v. ('ridle, $1 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. (lrving) 5.

Page: 145T, n. 1. In Ryland v. The Queen ${ }^{1}$ a fiat was granted to a petition of right in respect of a elain made by an oflicer of the Province of Canada: the case was decided on the merits, and the judges did not decide the objection taken by the Crown that as the claim was in respect of service under a Colonial Government there conld be no claim on the Crown in England. In West Rand C'emeral (iold Mining Co. v. The King ${ }^{2}$ a fiat was granted in respect of a claim against the Crown in respect of the seiznre of gold by the Transvaal Government prior to the outbreak of hostilities in South Africa in 1899. The claim was by consent treated as if fonnded in contract. and the Imperial Government was said to be liable as the successor of the Republican Government, a view rejected by the Court. ${ }^{3}$

It may be added, with reqard to the question disenssed above (pp. 14:-4) as to the petition of right in Colonies which do not enjov English law, that in the case of Trinidad the Supreme Court held that an action lay against the Crown direet, and though this decision was appealed against, the Privy Council deeided the ease on other grounts. ${ }^{4}$ In discussing the case of Ceylon ${ }^{5}$ the Judieial Committee assumed that the petition of right was not available, but the point was not argued. In the Natal ease ${ }^{6}$ the Judicial Committee did not decide whether a fiat by the Crown would have autiorized the Natal Court to deeide a claim against an Imperial officer on a government contract, but that it would has been held in Mauritius. ${ }^{7}$ In Quebec the Act of $1883^{8}$ expressly preserves any mode of proceeding available before it was passed, apparently presuming that some form of claim against the Crown existed without the passing of an Act, a
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view mesed in Harrey v. Lord Aytmer. 1 The l'atitiom of Righ Act of Canada makes no distinction bet ween clams arising in Qneber against the Feteral 'iovermment and other elams. and expressly contrmplates the bringing of such elams in (Suebec, ${ }^{3}$ white at the same time it limit:s the cases in which petitions tan be bromght to rasers surh ats conld be brought in England in 1860,' ant it expresily preerere existing remedies. ${ }^{5}$ The evidencer atainst a petition of rieht lyme in Queber is thas reduced to the very unjuticial dictmon of on - judge in a case ${ }^{6}$ decided on other gremeds. in whirh another julere asserterd its existence. The question is never bikels to conne for tecision. as St. Sucia has adopterl a ('rown sints Grimance m $1: 1^{17}$ which gives a smilar remedy to the English puthtion of rufht, and Britush Guiana adopted a similar orthance in l!n 1 .

Page 1466 . Is the distinetoon drawn abowe between t!er case of a (envernor and the king has bere fuestioned it maty be as well to state the positom as resards the King ateordine to recent precedents. It has beren sufficiently shown above that the powers of refusing a dissolution and of comperling the rexignation of ministers still exist in the case of a (ioverner, even if mane considerations require that they shonld be used with cantion. It is admetted that both in the case of the (iovernor and in the ease of the Kine a dissolntion of Parliament withont the advire of ministers is an innomenhility. In the early sears of Queen lictoria's reign it is char that. she took the view that she had a diseretion as to erranting a dissedution and that the grant was in the nature of an appeal to the comery on behalf of mimisters, and one which onght not to be ased exerpt in extreme cases and with a certainty of sumers.s. ${ }^{8}$ But in 18.58 , when Lord berby asked her permission to saly that, if a votr of censme wete earried against him in the (ommons, Paliament would be dissolved, Queen Victoria consulted Lord Iberdeen, and Lerd
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Aberden laid it down in the clearest terms that if the minister adviser the Queen to dissolve she would, as a matter of course, do so, alth. Igh he did not sugrest that the Queen should promise a dissolution in advance of the defeat of the (iovernment. In the netual case in question the Quern permitted Lom Derly to know that he would have a dissolution if he were defeated, but as a matter of fact he was sustained on the vote of censure. ${ }^{1}$

Further, it may be pointed out that the advance of ministerial responsibility in the mineteenth century has been well marked. It is true that William IV did not, as was helieved before the publication of Lord Melbournes papers, dismiss the Melhourne Ninistry in 1834, but he was anxions for its retirement and cagerly accepted the opportunity afforded be the offer of resignation made by Lord Nethourne in a letter of November 12, as a result of Lord Althorps removal from the Commons. ${ }^{2}$ But in 18.08 Lord Aberdeen spoke of a dismissal as out of the guestion and umprecedented. Moreover, in 18:32 Lord Grey resigned when it appeared that the Reform Bill would be transformed in commottee in the Lords, and the Kings tried to form a new (iovernment, commissioning Lord Lyndhurst and the Duke of Wellington for this purpose. They failed in view of Peel's refusal to consent to any reform measure, and then only was the authority given to the Prime Minister to create peers if necersary. ${ }^{3}$ In 1910-1 the King never attempted to form an alternative government, so important was it considered to keep the Crown out of political controversy. ${ }^{4}$

Page 1517. The House of Lords dectined on December 12 to aceept the Naval lrize Bill, which would have enabled the Government to ratify the Declatation of London. In the Commons strong protests were made against the practice of not laying conventions before Parliament for authority to ratify, and it is clear that the growing tendency is to insist on giving Parliament a formal voice in ratification, not merely to ask it to legislate with a view to ratifica tion. The example of foreign countries (e.g. Franee and Germany is evidently having effect; she Lords Delutes, x. 809-95 ; C'ommons. xxxii. 1597 ser.

Page 1529. The Bill to inerease the Ilonse of Lords as a Court b adding two judres was somew hat severely criticized in the Common on the ground that the addition of further judges was needlessl expensive and was not asked for be the Dominion Government: and it was left over at the end of the 1911 session; see Deluites, xxxi 244-78, 2554.
'Letters of Quefn V'ictoria, iii. 289-91; in great measure owing to the fil of his being able to lissolve being known.
${ }^{2}$ See Mrllourne I'apers, pp. 220-6; Maxwell, A Crntury of E'mpire, ii. 37-: the older view in seen in the Peel Letter,s, ii. 888 ; 'Todd. Parliamentary finter ment in England, i. 133-6; and even in Dices, Law of the Constitution, ${ }^{7}$ pp. 429-3
${ }^{3}$ Ausun, Lauk of the Constitution, i. ${ }^{4} 355,356$; Maxwell, i. 33.5 seq.

- See Mr. Asyuith in Honse of Commons Dcbates, xxix. 811 seq. ; Lurd Cre in House of Lords Debutes, ix. 834 seew.
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 Po, which took wer ther cables of the Inelo- Inervath and biret

 tween the Uniterl Kinedom amd the Dominions and Colomiew siljowt

 the whole position in I!日! in eonjumetion with hae Shairalts, lom
 ment.! Sugerstions have herom mate in the peres har the whatitution of a dirme contribution to the naw in plare of the proviaion of an
 people of Canada after a solume has beren prepariel.

The creation of the maval foress of the bominions and their elam for consultation in forcion polities ratse asain the ghestion of pecumiary responsibility, e. . for illegal capture by bominion fleds. or for failare to obserwe neutrality rules. Formerly the lmperial Government bore the pecumary responsibility (e. 2 . in respert of the failure of the Govermment of Vietoria to prevent the violation of neatrality loy the Nhemanderh in 186.53). hut the rule will repuive full consideration in the light of the changed eiremisianere of imalepentent Dommion navies. Cobmial (iovermments already bear the expense of violations of international law within their twrimomes, os. If the case of the Vancouver riots of 1941 , ('amada painl the cost of making good the damage done: in 18:8 Newfommand paid the danages in the Fortune Bay incolent, ${ }^{4}$ and any damanes a wated ander the Pecmany Clains treaty wh the Conted states will, of course, be paid liy (anada or Now fommendand the case may be.

Page 1552. The Aet passel to carry the armarement into efferet was the Nucal Iisciphine (Dominion Nerel Furess) Ad, I!日II. It
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applies to the naval forces raised and provided by a Dominion before or after the Act to Which the provisions of the Sural Disciplime: Act, 1886 , and aneuding Acts hase been made applicable, the Act of 1800 as amended smbject to nuy adaptations which may have been stances of made by the Domimion to adapt the Ace the circmonGeneral for Admiralty, and empowers the C'rown be Order in Conncil to modify the Aet so as to regulate the relations of the Imperial forces to those of the Dominions, but if the ships of a Dominion are phaced at the disposal of the Admiralty the det of 1866 shall apply withont such modifications and adaptations. The Aet does not operate in any case maless provision is made for its coming into effect by the Dominion, and presumably the Dominion Parliament can terminate its effect as regards that Dominion. The Commonwealth in 1911 has amended its. Nural Defence Aet of 1910 and has redueed the length of senior cadet training.
The Union of South Africa do not propose in their Defence Bill to start a naval foree, but only to continue the Royal Naval Reserve braneh, while they will develop the artillery forces which now serve to supplement the limperial garrison artillery. ${ }^{1}$

Page 1588. The power of the Governor to grant lands in the absence of an Aet is also discussed in C'murd v. The King, 43 S . C. 12 88, especially at $\mathrm{pp} .95-8$ by Duff J.
${ }^{2}$ The Naval Reserve will be formed noder the anthority of the lmperia Acts of 186.5 and 1909 (sec ss. $\because 1,2: 3$ ). The Bill provides also for compulsory cadet training from 13-17 in pupulous areas (s. 6), and for a military colleg on a modest seale (s. 4\%). The Memorandum accompanying the Bill recog nizes (p. 4) tl - defence of the coast and shipping depr.ud on the British Navy but (p.1) asserts the duty of South Africa !o assume a responsibility for he own defence. Co-operation with Imperial nilitary forces is provided for кs. 13, 15, 97. The Act will ultimately repeal Cape Acts No. 322 of 1892,4 1893, 16 of 1895 , Natal No. 36 of 19155,30 of 1905,34 of 1904 ; Transva Orlinances No. 37 of 1904 ; Act No. 21 of 1 (M)X, and it repeals forthwith Cal Acts No. 7 of $18.5,4$ of 1884 ; Natal No. 2.2 of $1!0^{-7}$; (Orange River Colon Ordinance No. 25 of 1905 ; and the Cape and Natal Nucel Volunters. Acts 1908 and 1907.
before ripline Art of been ircum vernorComeril mprial hion are apply wes not ng into liament ommonand has
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[^83]:    2 In the Coummonnedth, under the Nia igatmot Bill. Inis apperal appeats
    
    

[^84]:    
    
    
     remodied in tiv \& 46 Vijet. 1 . Fio.

[^85]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^86]:     Itimserme. 1:3 Ciox. (: 1: 18...
    
    
    
    
     :orzed and condemued for fishing and wher oftemen m termentat water.
    
    
    
    

[^87]:    

[^88]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^89]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^90]:    
     : [1907] A. C. 81. su the Supreme (ourt of Victoria in Wolldstonis case, 25 V. L. R. 357
    ${ }^{3}$ [190j] V. L, R, 463.
    ${ }^{2} 1$ bid., at p. 467.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ For Victoria, June 9, 18t0; Queensland, June 30, 1860; South Australia, June 9, 1860 ; Western Australia, Octoher 11, 1861 ; New South Wales, November 13, 18:0): Tasmania, March 4. 1831.

    - 1 C. L. R. lost.

[^92]:    
    －｜1！（バ1．1．C．：21t．
    
     ：3xtiosery．

[^93]:    
    
    

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ ('mим
    
     Court has had to decide what ciber ball within this category: seep p. 884.

[^95]:    - 3 d + Will. N: c. 11....
    
     Indian judges may sit.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Presumably now the provisions will apply to the Cinon of Sontat Alricia
    - The Government of the C'in" prid his exprinees.

[^96]:    

    - Tluese matters now come in the tirst phace beture the Connmer ot Patents or the ordinary Courl = der the P'atemes det ni $190^{\circ}$.
    
    - Act No. 3:2 of 1!422. n. 20.
    ${ }^{5}$. 1 e: 31 Vict. Nu. is, -. 24.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ [!! !ī] . 1. (', s!.

[^98]:    

[^99]:    
    
    

[^100]:     -x.pere it.

[^101]:    '13 Mon. P. 1'. 34.
    
    
    
    
    
    

    - : How. P. ('. (N. S.) 11.).
    
     Letis a question of the rights of judges in Vimetia was referred to the

[^102]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     P'ur. I'ap., ('cl. -as:.
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Prive ('ouncil is nut hound by its own judgements al necessity. ditioring trum the Homse of Larts. For cases of eontlict with the court of Ipleal
    
    
     and ef. 3 Ipp. Cas. 11.5 with +1 Ptt. Cis. $3: 24$.

[^103]:    

[^104]:    
    
    
    
    
     but in all ciamen most decide an he thought reght.

[^105]:    ${ }^{2}$ Fee Act No. 17 of 1883 . Vietoria had a similar statute, No. 233 .
     117, 118.

[^106]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     ( owemor-renema conkl pardon under the prepogation in such cases. biat the change $\mathfrak{c}$ as only mate in tane, following the commenneanh model. which "ppied to bety differnt circumetances. fue the Commonncalth hats nu criminal lan proper. (f. Comada Sisos. I'ap., 1s60, No. 16.

[^107]:    Itove, pp. 1 is serp.

[^108]:    

[^109]:    

[^110]:    
    
    

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ For Sunth Iustralia, see Legislative Corncil Dehates. 1910, p. 450 . Fir
    
     seq.. 1316 seq. : Sydney Imllifin, August $10.1!11$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ryall v. Kinealy, © IV. W. \& A'B. (L.) 193, at pp, 206, 207.

[^112]:    - That i: C'anda the (iovernor-tieneral rould prodon offences agam.
     quite char. was deliberately intended lye the lmperial fowemment, and
     were ditierent in regarel to lipher Gimadi, where both the dowermit
    
    

[^113]:    
     11!. 1011-2.

    * Evenimy Telegram, January ? 0 , 19us.

[^114]:    '|159:3| . . ('. 13s.

    - Hanverd. I!ms. "xatii. IG!.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ ('f. his statutury dutiow under the trmy It, INsi. ... it.
    
     20 Vi•t, c. ix.

[^115]:    12793

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ In t!e conve uf those appointments the gramt of juristiction was charly inallertent. P'ower to visit only was given in the ease of Montreal (ls.io)
    
    
    

[^117]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     11p. 442 sey.

[^118]:    
     14. 395-144; Fursyth, C'uses ant 1pinions in Cim-vitutional Lax, chat. ii.

[^119]:     3 I. C. $1 . \quad$ Sce now British Setlements Act, 1557

[^120]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     ly Act of 1708 entablished, and ats pasition was one of kreat strength: the bishop was a member of the Comeil ; it is nos Ionger in this pesition :
     wis never establishod.

[^121]:    
    
    
     and it alteled its Constitnton (ree the preamble tu Britiva Cohmbial Lail, No. 147. 1571).

[^122]:     ".
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^123]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     : Hi ?
     $1579 \cdot 3$

[^124]:    

[^125]:    
    = 1 Mow. I'. ('. (N. S.) IH.
    $\stackrel{3}{3}$ I).. at 1p. 314, 315.

[^126]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^127]:    
    

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sore Hincks, Keligious Emburmonts in C'untrla (lamelong, Incin
    
    
    
    

[^129]:    
    
    
    

[^130]:    
    
    

[^131]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^132]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^133]:    
    
    

[^134]:     fand Sinistrifs diomisad on several oce:sions. The strong fosition of the Government in (imada rembers an aponintment such as that of the bube of 1 'omnanght as (iovernor-dineral prsible; it would be diffes at if it Were likely that political action were needed, for one so closely allied to the Crown must lxe leyont personsl interference in government and such attacks as those on lard Aberdeen in $1 \times 96$.
    

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Parl. P'a,.. (id. nisl3. p. ! !
    
    
    
    
     Fift! Y'ars, ii. 139-43. If. p. 1:30.
    
     $2 \times 21.3157$ (1916).

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ See I'arl. P'up., C'. ت川91, sibs 1, for procedings and papers.

[^137]:    ${ }^{2}$ These promisen were male gonel in the next tariff legivation of all these Cotomies. ('f. Jetbl. C'nlmial Autionalism, pp. 214-40; P'arl. I'ap..
     For an analysis of the preferences and full detaik, see col. 3.524, pp 317 seq.
    
    

[^138]:    

[^139]:    
    
     mot aceepited. Canada fraring that the institution of arch a burly might
    

[^140]:    
     rifle elubs were dixeliwed.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ihinl, III
     See Part VI, chap. iii; I'all. P'ap', Cd. sitis. p. 230 .

[^141]:    
    
    
    －Ihid．．所 1：3ヶ－ォ\％。
    －Ilial．｜l｜1 1i：！－s．
    

[^142]:    frtat , chit. j13 sur.

[^143]:    
    

[^144]:    ＇P＇arliamentary Dimilos．1！日0，Dl．（istil art．
     410 ：～ザリ．

[^145]:    

[^146]:    
    

[^147]:    
    
    

[^148]:    

    - Jhid.. P. IZis.

[^149]:    
    

[^150]:    
    ${ }^{5}$ 1bill., fly. 1.20 serf.

[^151]:    llol. 叫 11 s. 119.
    

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cd. $5745,14.129,133$.
    : 1tid. ple 130 ser.

    - I phrase suggented by sir E. Murris as mote approphate than concur-
    ${ }^{+}$Cd. 5745, p. 132.

[^153]:    

    - Ibid., 1P! 133, 1:34.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hid., ply. $134-4$; ef. Mr. (ilym in Commonweralth farliamentery
    
    $1: \% 3$
    E

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ (d. $5745.1 \mathrm{p} .136-8 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Hid., pp. 138, 130. ${ }^{3}$ Ibid., pp. 139 ser.

    - Hid., ple. 3:-f-6, where Sir Wilfrid answered the arguments addueid in The Times of June 7,1911 , that his new proposals involved a breach of the commercial unity of the Empire, by insisting that, with different tise.ll
    

[^155]:     ${ }^{2}$ Ibid., 1. 143.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ihid. Pr. 144. 14.

    - H:icl., 1. 11:\% E e ${ }^{2}$

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cd. 5745 . pp. 148, 149.
    " Ibid., p. 145.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. pp. 145 146.
    

[^157]:    

    - N6owe 14. 1211 i.

[^158]:    
    
    

[^159]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Hid.. IIV. HII, 411.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hid., ply. 41:-fi. Nee abose, Part $\because$, shap. vi.

[^160]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     ing litaitation of liability which everne in at litherent part of the [mpertal]
     It soukt he butal that the Suprembe ('inst of ('antal. has whedt the validity of the ('anadann shrpping . let. hatt the peint of repugnaney wis
    
    

    - Hbid. II. 42:3. s lloul.

[^161]:    
    

[^162]:    
    

[^163]:    
    2 1 hicl., pre.
    
     P'arliumentary Dh hutes, 1! 1 1. p. 1is.

[^164]:    

[^165]:    

[^166]:    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid., pp. 344-is.

[^167]:    Cd. .int. pr. 15t 10\%.

    Hid., ip. 1:5-9.

[^168]:    
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid., pur 2s1-91.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hid., Pr $3 \geq 3$ -
    

[^169]:    - (i1. $5745,119.3: 3-32$.
     \%ealand is alreidy assured loy the erection of stations "ith 12.00 mis. . day radius in New Zathand and Aostralia, whike Fiji is to he connereded
     telegraphy. The eost of the sitraits station was to 1 , dividet hetweell
     ly a committer with iepere e.tatives of either buminin.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hisl., 111. 315-2:3.
    - In Iustralia penny postage with the Fimpire dates only from 1911.

[^170]:    
    
     and instanced what had been done in .lostralia mader the I Inseralian Indnotrins Presercation Aet to break up the costatal combine.

[^171]:    
    
    
    
    
    

    * Ihinl. pe. 르 3.
    

[^172]:    
    

    - Hnil. 1. :-
    

[^173]:    

[^174]:    

[^175]:    
    

[^176]:    

    - farl. l'ug. (ill sitio. p. Ais.

[^177]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sce above, pp. lise s.q.
    ${ }^{2}$ (lawe $V$ of tho instructions does not Lise the fiovernur dentral pener to, pardon for an offence committed outside but triable in Canada. This pewer was given in the ohl hastructions, but, it sedms, too clase alherence to the commonweahh model has resulted in its omission. Fere lp. 1415, 1 His.

[^179]:    'lithe lirat given in then hetters patent.
    $\therefore$ This is to be understome as roferring to federal ufticers (abowe. 1r. TוnI), at de facto the apmointments are normally made under a statutory power. Bul thi - hinsu sandielis the ure of the royal hame, and so as to Clanse IV.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'The powers of summons of Semators is given to the (iovernor hy 30 Vict c. . it s. 24. of summoning and olissolving the Honse of Commons by ss. 38 and in "ven without the rhanse he wonh have rojoyed the right to prorogue or ".".
    

[^180]:    
     wealth, the ("nion, New Zamand, am: Xiwfonntinut.

[^181]:    ${ }^{1} 31 \& 32$ V'ict. c. 72.
    ${ }^{3}$ It is rather curious that the Instructions to the federations and the Enion should contain a clause onitted in 1892 from the A:stralian instrictims $t 0$ please Mr. Higinbotham ; sec above, p. 16s; belun, 1. 15:11, 11. 1.
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[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless it were held that there comblonly be one persin at a time with guber. natorial functions, and for this I know no anthority, while practice has miformly henn otherwise.
    

[^183]:    
    
     of the Commonwealth. wilbull requirine that the hohker of the Dormant Come mixain shoult be swurn in.

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ Clanses III-V could be revoked without prolucing any result, as they are needless. But the powers eontained in them comld not be varied. Chase VII has been revoked and replaced by a new clanse by letters patent of Mareh 2!. 1911.

[^185]:    Fernyson as Alministrator, on the departure of Lard Hepretmen. Infore a stato judge.
    i.e. against Commonwealth statures or any rommon haw attaching to ihn Commanwealth, mot in the case of state offeners. A crime may be. bith sith and Commonwealth. and according as it was treated as the one or the oth (cf. R. v. Mardonald, $x$ W: A. L. R. 149) the appropriate suthority to pard wonld be the Governor or Governor- (ieneral.

[^186]:    ' In view of the new letters patent of March 29, 1911 , this chane wasamembel ly instructions of even date to read-
    '1X. Except for the purpose of visiting Cur Torritory of Papua, the fovermorGeneral shall not ${ }^{\circ}$ (\&c.).

[^187]:    - 9 Éuw. VII. с. !

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Act.s. 11, only authorizes the apmointment of a Diputy daring the temporary absence of the (iovernos-ficheral, presmably from the lnon (now merely from the sat of (insermment), what the provision in the latter cast may rest on the prerogitive.

[^189]:    :20:?

[^190]:    - This way dube on the accernion of King leorge $V$

[^191]:    'This power is statutory, being given by 1.7 oi lif Vict. i. i:. \&. 4t, at the New Zealand Legishature Act, ss. $\mathcal{Z}$, 13.
    

[^192]:     revised simmtaneousty with the Anstralian instrnctions on Harch 26 ， 1592 （nce （＇onstitution and Forcrmment of Neur Zcalend，pp．I8：－（i）．
    －Three are no uther members of an Executive Commil in New Zealand，and the phrase seems to have been borrowed in ixtid from a colong where the Execntive Conncil，as in Victoria and Tasmania，contains past members．

[^193]:    
     hut when all mention of reservation of Bills disippeared in l!niz, when Xi.u Zeatand acpuired rimk as a Domininh, it was reintroxluced frem the (iandian
    

[^194]:    ' In each case the preamble cites the former letters patent and the operatise clatose rewhes them and defines the boundaries and constitutes the oflice. In the ease of Western Australia the first clause is split into two.

[^195]:    not clear in either case "hy the variation is made, nor is any useful purpone served by it. The ()rder in Council has long since been adopted and modified by Queensland legislation (see 31 Vict. No. 38, and amending Aets):
    ${ }^{1}$ In the case of Queensland and South Anstralia this clanse is not inserted and Clause IV appears as XII.
    2 'Or the next Superior Judge of the State' (Queensland andSouth Australia)
    ${ }^{3}$ Clause III in Queensland (' And we do hereby authorize our said Coveru'r to ') and South Australia, VI in Western Australia.
    ' 'In' in Vietoria, Tasmania, south and Western Australia.

    - IV, Queensland and South Australia ; VII, Western Australia.
    "V. Queensland and South Australia; VIII, Western Australia, which read.

[^196]:    
    
    
    "VIl, and su $1, n$ in all the wher ('asisw.

[^197]:    - Ner now alon 7 Erlw. Vll. r. 7

    The words in italies were added in the case of New South Wales omly the instructions of December 1, 1909.

[^198]:     res.s. $\because$ I Most, pe 3.
    
    
    
    
    
     to federation and in the tirst (Vnerentami comminion in lait). (f. ex perte:
    

[^199]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Mrfinwell wa- in Eindand for the Coronation. Mr. Wale having arranged
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibith, pp. 311 sieq. Mr. Wate profented againot the (dwrmment moter. taking antomatieally the ilefence of the speaher, holding that it should be left over for consideration after the result of the action

[^200]:    1 See Sydncy Daily Tileguth, Vorning Herald, September : O
    1, which supplement the official report in I'rerliamentary Debutew, 1911, ses.s. f. $160-6$.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid., 111. 70.3-3:3.
    4bill. pp. Soti seq. ; the Government vidded on this puint to save delay
    
     ehanged beeame law as Act No. S of 1911. On Nowomber it the Supreme Court manimotsly decided in favour of the plaintiff, Mr. Perty, in an aetion ugainst the Speaker and the Sorqeant-at-Arms, on the froumd that the Speaker could net authorize the sorgeent-at-Arms to bring bact: to the tlouse a member alleged to be guilty of discourisey, his power being limited to the preservation of order, and not extouding to punishment: Morning IIerrld, Novenber Ix. 1311. For fur' 'r developments, see Mebates, pp. 17n; ts; the (iovernment intend if possil. olegislate to give the Assembly the privilegre of Parliament. to punish eont empts ( 1 p. 171:2, 17is, 1769). In (Qucem-land, Sonth Australia. Western Aust ralin. and lommania, no general power such as this cexists, but it
    
    

[^201]:    ' C'amadien Ciazeltt. Iviai. 1!1s.
    
    
    
    
    
     permitting "nited States vestels for engage in the com-ling trate in las! ;
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     for unforeseen expelatiare and now appoyriates mones: for the perion up
    
    

[^202]:    
    "Colonial Coffice Lial, 1!111, 1. Wsis.

[^203]:    ' Timrs. Deember 18, 188:3, p. 2. The facts will be found in full in Provincinl Lefislation, 1867-95. pp. 269-78.
    ${ }^{-}$[190.5] 2 K. B. 391. See Keith, State Suecession, pp. 68 seq.

    - New Trinidad Lake Asphalt Co. v. Attorney-General. [1904] A. C. 415. ai H1) $419,420$.
    ${ }_{5}$ Hith herage Siman Appn v. Queriì Advorct, 9 App. Cas. 5il, at p. 587.
    " I'almer v. Ilutchinson, 6 App. Cas. 619, at p. 62:3.

    7. Murruy v. Johnstone, 1866 Houritius Decisions, 2!. This is also the vinu
     Indicinl Practice in South Afrect, pp. i, 6. In Mauritins dams against the. (rown in its colonial capacity are regularly brought direct, see Colonial Gorrm. ment v. Lahorde, 1902 Mauritius Drcisions, 19; Ordinance No. 35 of $159!$ So in the Cape up to 1881 at least without any Act.
    ${ }^{2} 46$ Vict. C. 27, 5. 17. See Cole of Civil Irocedure, ss. 1011 -2.5.
[^204]:    IStuart, 542, at p. ins.
     Cif. for a claim in respect of Queloee, R. v. Bellon", 7 Apll. Cas, 473.
    ${ }^{3} 38$ Vict. ©. 12, s. 17. The Ex herpur Court lectame the only court mormally used for this purpose by 39 Vict. c. 27.
    
     c. 16, s. If (c) to cover cases of lam in tolt.
     s. 21 (2).
     Petition of Right. plo. 37-4. The case is of nureal ral ac. ('f. in Cyly ('analit,
     the rase liker. ise failed, althoush a petition of right cortanly enuld have lee:d bromelit there.
     nizes the petition of right to the limy reongized in the Queder Civil Cown. *. 2211. In Fiji claims arainst the ('rown ant hought in the suprene (omet
     (ieneral, 18i.i !" F. I. R. .214, at p.

    * Letters of Qucen l'ietoria, ii. 91.

[^205]:     ibid., pp. 178-80; Mr. Monk, ihid., P1. 240 8; romer, Nir Wilfrial Lanriar, ibid., plp. SO seq. ('f. ('anadimn filk tle, hiiii. 415, thi, "hich comphasizes the effect on Canadan fecling of the revelations of the danerome atombion which existed in July and Angns 1911 in comexinn with Girman policy towards
     December 1:2).
     The project had often lwern raisel lnfore the dewlon by the star and wher
     is a roast defence shlome only: Manilohe Frer I'row, January 2:3, 1912; ©f.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ See Morris, Memoir of Cicorge Miginhotham, plp. S.3-9:3. Hut . Tanaira, a Crown Culden, was compelled to pay half the cost of the mistake of her Governor
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